

↳ actually 11

## Bounded team private-information games:

NEXPTIME-complete [Peterson, Reif, Azhar - C&M 2001]

- Dependency QBF (DQBF): [Peterson & Reif - FOCS 1979]

$$\underbrace{\forall X_1 : \forall X_2 :}_{\text{black player}} \underbrace{\exists Y_1(X_1) : \exists Y_2(X_2)}_{\substack{\text{white 1} \\ \text{only sees } X_1}} : \text{CNF formula}$$

white player 2  
only sees  $X_2$  variables

- Can white force a win? (satisfied formula)
- only one round! (multiple rounds don't help)
- ENEXPTIME: guess  $Y_1 \forall X_1 \& Y_2 \forall X_2$   
 ↳ exponential ↳
- Bounded Team Private Constraint Logic (TPCL)  
 with 3 players & planar graph
  - moves must be known legal with visible information
  - ENEXPTIME: guess strategy for all possible visible information (exp. # states)
  - reduction from DQBF
    - first black sets all vars. (white twiddles thumbs)
    - chosen activates → long chain (black threat)
    - white players set their vars.
    - chosen → unlock all → formula activation
    - white wins (just in time) if formula satisfied

# Unbounded team private-information games:

undecidable

[Hearn & Demaine]

(based on work by Peterson & Reif - FOCS 1979)

## Team Computation Game:

- instance = space- $k$  algorithm/Turing machine  
*(memory/tape initially blank)*
- black move = run alg./machine for  $k$  more steps;  
output (if any) determines winner;  
else set  $x_1 \cdot x_2 \in \{A, B\}$
- white  $i$  sees only  $x_i$  & can set only  $m_i$
- white  $i$  move = set  $m_i$
- does white have a forced win?
- reduction from Halting problem: does this Turing machine ever terminate?
- build  $O(1)$ -space algorithm to check white players play valid computation history  $\rightarrow$  halt of the form # state<sub>0</sub> # state<sub>1</sub> # ... # halt state
- in fact each white player must have in mind 2 pointers A & B into common history
- $x_i = A$  asks for character at A & advance A
- but white players have no idea of other's A/B
- alg. maintains whether 1's  $x_1$  state = 2's  $x_2$  state  
(identical from # with  $(x_1 \cdot x_2)$  moves since)

- then if  $(x_1, \bar{x}_2)$  moves until 1 reports #,  $\xrightarrow{1 \ x_1}$  ahead one  
and if  $(\bar{x}_1, x_2)$  moves then continue,  
then check this 1 state valid transition from 2's  
& vice versa with  $1 \rightarrow 2 \xleftarrow{\text{O(1) space!}}$
- white strategies must work for all possible  
black moves  $\Rightarrow$  valid computation history
- Team Formula Game:
  - black sets  $X$  such that  $F(X, X', Y_1, Y_2)$  (else lose)
  - black wins if  $G(x)$   $\uparrow F \Rightarrow \neg F'$
  - black sets  $X'$  such that  $F'(X, X')$  (else lose)
  - white 1 sets  $Y_1$ , seeing only  $Y_1 \in X$
  - white 2 sets  $Y_2$ , seeing only  $Y_2 \in X$
  - standard reduction from Team Computation Game
- (Unbounded) TPCL with 3 players, planar graph

## Parallelism & P-completeness:

- book by Greenlaw, Hoover, Ruzzo [Oxford 1995]  
"Limits to Parallel Computation: P-Completeness Theory"

NC (Nick's Class, after Nick Pippenger)

= {problems solvable in  $\log^{O(1)} n$  time  
using  $n^{O(1)}$  processors (PRAM)  
i.e. circuit of size  $n^{O(1)}$  & depth  $\log^{O(1)} n$ }

- e.g. Sorting: compare all pairs. }  $O(\lg n)$   
compute rank = sum of ' $<$ 's } time on  
via binary tree }  $O(n^2)$  proc.

P-hard = all problems  $\in$  NC can be reduced  
via NC algorithm to your problem

Karp-style reduction

$\Rightarrow \notin$  NC if  $NC \neq P$

P-complete =  $\in P + P\text{-hard}$

## Base P-complete problems:

### Generic Machine Simulation Problem:

given a sequential algorithm & time bound  $t$  written in unary, does it say YES within  $t$ ?  
↳ to make  $\in P$  ~ else EXPTIME-complete

### Circuit Value Problem (CVP): [Ladner - SIGACT 1975]

given an (acyclic) Boolean circuit & input bits.  
is the output TRUE?  $0 \& \downarrow 1$

NAND CVP: just NAND gates

NOR CVP: just NOR gates

Monotone CVP: just AND & OR gates

Alternating monotone CVP: (AMCVP)

input  $\rightarrow$  output path alternates AND/OR,  
starting & ending with OR

Fanin-2, fanout-2 AMCVP: (AM2CVP)

all gates have in & out degree 2

(allow outputs other than one of interest)

Synchronous AM2CVP: (SAM2CVP)

all inputs to each gate have same depth

Planar CVP: planar circuit [Goldschlager - SIGACT 1977]

- use NAND crossover

- but: planar monotone  $\in NC$  [Yang - FOCS 1991]

## Reductions: [Greenlaw, Hoover, Ruzzo - book 1995]

- start & end with ORs
- reduce fan out to  $\leq 2$  (also fanin to  $\leq 2$ )
- make AND & OR alternate
- fanin 1  $\rightarrow$  fanin 2  
*(preserving alternation & start with OR)*
- fanout 1  $\rightarrow$  fanout 2  
by duplicating circuit  $x \rightarrow x$  &  $x'$   
& combining extra outputs  
*(preserving alternation & end with OR)*
- synchronization:  $n = \# \text{gates}$ 
  - $n/2$  copies of circuit
  - $i$ th copy = levels  $\underline{x_i}$  &  $\underline{\overline{x_{i+1}}}$   
 $\text{inputs \& ANDs}$        $\text{ORs}$ 
    - OR takes inputs from  $i$ th copy,  
sends outputs to  $(i+1)$ st copy  
*(determining ANDs by alternation)*
    - AND in 0th copy become 0 input  
 $\Rightarrow$  level 0 = inputs
    - inputs fed to  $i$ th copy by input gadget
    - output in  $n/2$  copy

## Bounded DCL:

[Hearn & Demaine]

- edges are active (just flipped) or inactive
- vertex active if its active incoming edges have total weight  $\geq 2$
- round = reverse unreversed edges pointing to active vertices  
(& these are the new active edges)
- P-complete for AND, SPLIT, OR graphs  
(but not necessarily planar)
- reduction from Monotone CVP
- even easier from SAM2CVP

## Lexically first maximal independent set:

- as found by greedy algorithm:  $\Rightarrow \in P$   
 $S = \emptyset$

for  $v = 1, 2, \dots, |V|$ :

if  $v$  not adjacent to  $S$ :

$$S = S \cup \{v\}$$

- decision question: is  $v \in S$ ?
- P-hard: [Greenlaw, Hoover, Ruzzo - book 1995]
  - reduction from NOR CVP
  - number gates & inputs in topological order
  - drop edge orientations  $\hookrightarrow (\text{ENC})$
  - add vertex  $\emptyset$  connected to all  $\emptyset$  inputs
  - $\Rightarrow v \in S \Leftrightarrow v = \emptyset$  or gate  $v$  outputs true
- computing whether size  $\leq k$  also P-complete:
  - reduction from previous problem
  - connect  $v$  to  $n+1$  new vertices, set  $k=n$
  - $\Rightarrow \text{size} \leq n \Leftrightarrow v \in S$
- gap-producing reduction:  $n+1 \rightarrow n^c$   
 $\Rightarrow n^{1-\epsilon}$ -gap problem is P-complete  
 $\Rightarrow n^{1-\epsilon}$ -approximation is P-complete

## More P-complete problems:

[Greenlaw, Hoover, Ruzzo - book 1995]

- Game of Life: cell  $(x,y)$  alive at unary time  $t$ ?
- 1D cellular automata
- acyclic Generalized Geography
- is point  $p$  on  $k$ th convex hull of point set?
- multilist ranking: given  $k$  lists, is  $x$  the  $k$ th smallest in the union?
- $a \bmod b_1 \bmod b_2 \dots \bmod b_n = 0$ ?
- first fit decreasing bin packing
- LP with coefficients 0 & 1 } strongly P-complete
- max flow      - has fully RNC approx. scheme

## OPEN:

- are two numbers relatively prime?
- $a^b \bmod c$
- feasibility of LP with  $\leq 2$  variables per inequality
- maximum edge-weighted matching
  - pseudo RNC algorithm
- bounded-degree graph isomorphism

MIT OpenCourseWare  
<http://ocw.mit.edu>

## 6.890 Algorithmic Lower Bounds: Fun with Hardness Proofs

Fall 2014

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: <http://ocw.mit.edu/terms>.