Digital Instrumentation for the Radio Astronomy Community

Aaron Parsons[1*], Dan Werthimer[1], Donald Backer[1], Tim Bastian[3], Geoffrey Bower[1],
Walter Brisken[4], Henry Chen[1], Adam Deller[4], Terry Filiba[1], Dale Gary[7], Lincoln Greenhill[5],
David Hawkins[6], Glenn Jones[6], Glen Langston[3], Joseph Lazio[8], Joeri van Leeuwen[9],
Daniel Mitchell[5], Jason Manley[1,10], Andrew Siemion[1], Hayden Kwok-Hay
So[11], Alan Whitney[12], Dave Woody[6], Melvyn Wright[1], Kristian Zarb-Adami[2]

[1] *University of California, Berkeley;* [2] *Oxford University;* [3] *National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Charlottesville;* [4] *National Radio Astronomy Observatory, Socorro;* [5] *Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics;* [6] *California Institute of Technology;* [7] *New Jersey Institute of Technology;* [8] *Naval Research Laboratory;* [9] *ASTRON, Netherlands;* [10] *Karoo Array Telescope, South Africa;* [11] *University of Hong Kong;* [12] *Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Haystack Observatory*

Submitted for consideration by the Astro2010 Decadal Survey Committee in the area of *TEC: Technology Development* for the *RMS: Radio, Millimeter and Submillimeter from the Ground* Discipline Program Panel.

Abstract

Time-to-science is an important figure of merit for digital instrumentation serving the astronomical community. A digital signal processing (DSP) community is forming that uses shared hardware development, signal processing libraries, and instrument architectures to reduce development time of digital instrumentation and to improve time-to-science for a wide variety of projects. We suggest prioritizing technological development supporting the needs of this nascent DSP community. After outlining several instrument classes that are relying on digital instrumentation development to achieve new science objectives, we identify key areas where technologies pertaining to interoperability and processing flexibility will reduce the time, risk, and cost of developing the digital instrumentation for radio astronomy. These areas represent focus points where support of general-purpose, open-source development for a DSP community should be prioritized in the next decade. Contributors to such technological development may be centers of support for this DSP community, science groups that contribute general-purpose DSP solutions as part of their own instrumentation needs, or engineering groups engaging in research that may be applied to next-generation DSP instrumentation.

* phone: 510-406-4322
 email: aparsons@astron.berkeley.edu

FIG. 1: Cluster processing architectures using general-purpose digital hardware and packetized communication protocols will allow a burgeoning digital signal processing community to share development of next-generation instruments supporting many science objectives in the coming decade.

I.   EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Traditional radio astronomy signal processing instrumentation is highly specialized; custom instruments are designed and built for individual applications using specialized hardware, physical interconnect, communication protocols, and control software. In the past, custom development was required due to project-specific constraints and the limitations of the then available digital signal processing (DSP) technology. Despite the explosive growth in computational power available through DSP technology, the complexity of development necessitates a lengthy incubation time for individual projects, leading to a loss of timely scientific research. To address the need for rapid development of digital instrumentation, a "DSP Community" is taking form with world-wide participantion. This community pools the expertise of constituent researchers and engineers around general-purpose, open-source hardware and software resources for the timely development of new instruments. The evolution of this DSP Community will be critical to the construction of new instruments and the generation of new scientific results over the next decade.

Pooling the resources of a diverse community of DSP developers requires technologies that enable hardware to be used for a variety of applications, that enable DSP libraries to run on a variety of hardware, and that enable instruments to be built from processors whose capabilities are constantly growing. We advocate for supporting the development of technologies that commodify DSP computing, extending the concept of cluster computing through network switches to high-performance digital processors such as Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs, see Fig. 1), Graphics Processing Units (GPUs), specialized DSP chips, and Application Specific Integrated Circuits (ASICs). The advantages of commodifying

DSP computing are substantial; it facilitates shared development of processing hardware and DSP libraries, shortens development time for new instruments, reduces engineering costs for maintaining and upgrading hardware, and speeds the adoption of more powerful and energy-efficient hardware technology. Detractors to this approach may contend that a general-purpose system requires more resources than a system specifically tailored to meet a particular objective; we counter that such an approach is optimal in terms of time-to-science.

Now is an especially important time to invest in DSP technological infrastructure. A wide range of developing radio, millimeter, and sub-millimeter astronomy facilities and experiments rely on high-performance DSP computing. These include a number of interferometric arrays, high-bandwidth spectroscopy experiments, pulsar de-dispersion instruments, and fast-transient searches. Investing in technologies that catalyze cooperative DSP instrumentation development among these projects will reduce the total cost of achieving their many science objectives in the coming decade. Several projects are currently demonstrating the viability of cooperative, open-source DSP instrumentation development [2, 9, 12], indicating that the time is ripe for such an investment.

After describing the context of shared digital instrument development in §II, we outline in §III several types of instruments that will rely upon commodification technologies to reduce the time, risk, and cost of developing the digital instrumentation needed to meet a variety of science goals in the coming decade. In §IV we discuss key areas where technological development will be necessary to achieve many of these science goals. These include: 1) digitizers 2) hardware processors 3) DSP libraries 4) flexible computing architectures 5) instrument design 6) control software. These areas represent focus points where support of open-source development for a DSP community should be prioritized.

II.   MOTIVATION AND CONTEXT

The custom instruments that are the standard DSP solutions in radio astronomy instrumentation usually take several years to design, construct, and debug. By the time they have been deployed, their capabilities have often been surpassed by the Moore's Law growth of computing technology. This pattern of rapid obsolescence is inherent to signal processing instrumentation in a digital age and maintaining concurrency with the latest DSP technology will be central to achieving many science objectives in the decade ahead. Indeed, many projects are relying explicitly on "just-in-time" DSP technology development by designing instruments whose full science objectives cannot be attained using current processors.

The capabilities of many radio astronomy applications are determined by the availability of digital computing power and high-bandwidth interconnect. These applications include correlation, beam-formation, spectroscopy, pulsar de-dispersion, and fast-transient searches. The pace of DSP advances also means that radio observatories typically need to be upgraded multiple times over their operational lifetimes. The development of technologies that facilitate consistent, scalable instrument architectures, interoperability between families of DSP hardware, and interoperability between hardware generations will allow astronomers to develop DSP libraries and instrument architectures that easily map to new, more powerful DSP hardware as it becomes available.

We propose that priority should be given to solutions that shorten development time for a wide range of radio astronomy DSP applications, taking advantage of commodity hardware and interconnect where appropriate. For cases where new hardware is necessary, we advocate for the development of open-source hardware solutions that service a broad set

FIG. 2: The CASPER packetized FX correlator architecture illustrated above uses Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) connected to commercial 10-Gigabit Ethernet switches to solve the correlator interconnect problem inherent to large antenna arrays [13]. Using standard communication interfaces for high-performance DSP computing is a step towards abstracting instrument design from DSP computing hardware.

of applications. Examples of such hardware have already proven to be exceptionally valuable to the radio astronomy community, and have enabled rapid progress in a wide range of science applications [12]. Regardless of the specific DSP processors they employ, open-source digital computing platforms enable a heterogeneous community of radio astronomers and electrical engineers to share development costs. The platform-independent, open-source approach reduces development time, risk, and cost to a given project, and enhances opportunities for innovative approaches owing to the rapid dissemination of information and techniques.

III. RADIO, MM, AND SUB-MM ASTRONOMY DSP APPLICATIONS

Correlators. Interferometric arrays use correlators to generate visibilities that may be used for imaging. Each cross-correlation engine in a correlator receives data from every antenna and many engines are used to handle the aggregate data rate; for large numbers of antennas, this easily leads to an unmanageable number of interconnections. Correlator architectures that packetize antenna data can employ commercial switches to simplify the task of routing data. Software-based correlator architectures have often used switches to distribute processing [2, 17, 19]. Recently this approach has been shown to be viable for hardware-based correlators such as that shown in Figure 2, which use 10-Gb Ethernet switches for high-bandwidth data interconnect and fanout [13].

Two major directions of correlator development in the coming decade will be expanding the bandwidth processed per antenna element and expanding to large numbers of antennas and array receivers. Expansion of correlator bandwidth to tens of GHz will be addressed by developing new high-speed digitization boards (see §IV) and parallelizing computation within processing modules. The overall increase in processing capability required by higher-bandwidth correlators will most likely come in the form of increased numbers of parallel processing modules and should not require substantial modification of existing correlator architectures. However, expanding correlators to the large numbers (10^2 to 10^6) of antenna elements required by upcoming radio astronomy facilities (the Allen Telescope Array (ATA), the Combined Array for Research in Millimeter-wave Astronomy (CARMA), the Frequency Agile Solar Radiotelescope (FASR), the Long Wavelength Array (LWA), the Murchison Widefield Array (MWA), the Precision Array for Probing the Epoch of Reion-

ization (PAPER), the Square Kilometer Array (SKA)) will be a daunting task. Developing FPGA-based packet-switched correlators has been an important step in demonstrating the feasibility of using high-performance switching technology to address this problem. However, there are still several orders of magnitude in data routing complexity that must be addressed before facilities of the scope of the SKA will be feasible.

Spectroscopy and Beam-Formation. Pulsar science, solar science, and exploration of other fast-transient sources will require versatile wideband spectrometers capable of very rapid readout. Flexible, high-performance computational elements will be needed to support post-processing tasks such as de-dispersion and RFI rejection. These applications are well suited to hybrid DSP architectures in which digitization and coarse channelization are performed using high-speed ADCs mated with FPGAs, while more intricate algorithms are implemented using CPUs and GPUs. Other applications such as spectral line studies and SETI will require very high-resolution instruments. "Zoom-in" capability can also be achieved with a hierarchical system where coarse channelized data are fed to additional computational resources for high-resolution spectroscopy.

Very Long Baseline Interferometry (VLBI) is also benefiting greatly from advances in digital processing hardware. With less than two years of development, filter-banks developed on general-purpose FPGA hardware have been combined with recently developed high data-rate VLBI recording systems to improve the sensitivity of VLBI observations at 1mm wavelengths (230GHz) by a factor of three, allowing stringent new limits to be placed on the size of the presumed black hole at the center of our galaxy [4]. Work is now proceeding to use the same hardware platform to phase all of the mm-wavelength apertures on Mauna Kea, enabling another large improvement in these sensitivity-starved measurements.

Real-Time Imaging and Calibration. For next-generation wide-field arrays, calibrating and imaging the correlator output poses a substantial computational burden. At low frequencies, the need to resolve time-variable ionospheric conditions is driving correlators to shorter integration times that increase data rates. For large arrays, these data rates can reach levels where the traditional data reduction path of data storage and off-line post-processing is no longer viable. Heightened time-dependent calibration requirements, wide-field imaging with non-coplanar arrays, and real-time RFI mitigation techniques increase the computational complexity of post-processing. As a result, many upcoming instruments are finding that calibration and imaging will require digital processing comparable in complexity to correlators [20], while the algorithmic complexity of real-time imaging and calibration suggests that CPU- or GPU-based cluster-computing solutions may be appropriate [18].

Fast-Transient Detection and Timing Systems. Contemporary pulsar machines take advantage of commodity CPU clusters by channelizing the observed bandwidth into sub-bands appropriate for a single node [3]. However, such machines often rely on legacy high bandwidth I/O interfaces that have quickly become obsolete. Future machines will benefit from scalable, packetized communication between the channelizing front-end and the computing cluster [5]. This will allow machines to be rapidly upgraded as faster processors become available. GPUs have recently been shown to be very effective for coherent de-dispersion pulsar processing, and can be rapidly added to a generic cluster to dramatically increase performance [1].

While fast-transient radio astronomy remains a relatively unexplored field, this is likely to change as large arrays come online. Fast-transient observations naturally benefit from the widest bandwidth measurements possible, because there is only one opportunity to observe

any given event. This requires a sensitive trigger to store the high-bandwidth data around an event. Flexible, open-source DSP hardware and software will soon enable real-time searches for dispersed fast-transient events, which can then be stored for further processing offline. Fast-transient processing in interferometric arrays also benefits from a hybrid computing model involving full correlation of all elements and processing signal from each antenna independently as they point in different directions [14]. Fast-transient processing can also make use of complex monitor and control systems that generate and receive real-time triggers for initiating follow-up observations between observatories.

IV. SHARED DIGITAL INSTRUMENTATION DEVELOPMENT

The variety of applications that depend on DSP instrumentation and the unique science objectives of these applications ensure that every DSP instrument will be unique. Rather than adopt a "one-size-fits-all" approach to shared digital instrumentation, we advocate developing flexible building-blocks and architectures that allow a wide variety of instruments with various of capabilities to be constructed. Specialized FPGA- or ASIC-based hardware may not be optimal for lower-bandwidth instruments or applications that switch quickly between processing algorithms. Similarly, high-bandwidth instruments employing relatively simple processing algorithms may be more efficiently implemented on such streamlined processors.

We identify six points of commonality between the various applications discussed in §III where the DSP community stands to benefit the most from shared development. These points of commonality include digitization hardware, digital processing hardware, DSP libraries targeting various hardware platforms, switch-based processing architectures, top-level instrument design, and monitor/control/interfacing software. Each of these points is discussed in greater detail below.

Interchangeable Digitizers. Designing and calibrating analog-to-digital converters (ADCs) is expensive and time-consuming. Boards that employ commercially developed ADCs have typically been custom-built for individual applications and have employed custom interfaces for passing data to digital processors. Currently, digitizer boards often go through several stages of redesign as crosstalk and reflection artifacts are identified and eliminated. Furthermore, the expertise required to design such boards is rising as the signal bandwidth to be digitized increases. Substantial engineering time can be saved if 1) digitizer boards are developed cooperatively to serve many applications and 2) a standard interface between digitizer boards and digital instrumentation is established, so that digitizer boards may be interchangeably attached to the same DSP engines.

One technology that will serve a large number of upcoming low-frequency arrays is the design of low- to moderate-bandwidth (100MHz to 500MHz) digitizers with attention to manufacturability and cost such that digitizers may be produced in quantities that address the needs of arrays with many (10^3 to 10^6) receivers. Another direction in ADC technology would address the needs of high-bandwidth applications. In traditional wide-band instrumentation, broad-band signals are broken up into smaller sub-bands (typically 0.5 GHz) before digitizing. The analog mixing and filtering used to generate these sub-bands can contribute up to one third of the total cost of a backend. Moreover, imperfect analog filtering introduces calibration errors. With increasing digitizer bandwidth, such systems can be replaced by digitizers operating at intermediate-frequency (IF) or radio-frequency (RF) bandwidths, with sub-bands extracted digitally. The increased bandwidths of next-generation (20 to 80

FIG. 3: This example of open-source digital processing hardware was co-developed by the Berkeley CASPER group, MeerKAT (the South African SKA prototype), and NRAO. It features an FPGA processor that is programmable with an open-source DSP library, and provides high-bandwidth 10-Gigabit Ethernet interfaces for transmitting and receiving packetized data.

Gsamples per second) digitizers will substantially simplify analog front-ends and will make possible new science based around wide instantaneous bandwidths.

Flexible Digital Hardware Processors. Digital processing hardware runs the spectrum from lower-bandwidth, commercially-developed CPU-based computing clusters to high-bandwidth, custom-developed ASICs. Lying between these extremes are GPUs that are optimized for floating-point operations, DSP-optimized microprocessors, and FPGAs that efficiently implement fixed-point processing. These various processors offer a trade-off between processing performance and programming flexibility: CPUs are programmed with code that is fully reusable between processor generations; GPUs provide better floating-point performance, but require code that is more customized to architectures that change between processor generations; FPGAs have this same trade-off, but for fixed-point operations; ASICs offer minimal programmability. Depending on the processing needs of an application, each of these platforms can be appropriate [2, 9, 11, 13].

Currently, industry can be relied upon for developing general-purpose CPU and GPU platforms that serve the needs of the DSP community. Other platforms require custom hardware that targets the needs of radio astronomy signal processing. General-purpose DSP hardware such as the board shown in Figure 3 demonstrate that the high development cost of these boards can be shared between many applications [12]. Such open-source hardware is a new direction in shared DSP instrumentation development and represents an important step toward commodifying high-performance DSP processing. A technological goal for the next decade is designing hardware that employs the latest processing technology and high-bandwidth packetized communication interfaces to function as nodes in a cluster architecture. As with CPU-clusters, such systems might dynamically partition computing tasks across DSP nodes. This would allow large computing tasks to be mapped into arrays of commodity processing hardware, with heterogeneous clusters allowing DSP applications to be implemented on platforms most suited to their performance and programmability needs.

Power consumption per operation is a processing consideration that is becoming increasingly important for large DSP instrumentation projects. The cost of power and cooling for

digital processing can represent a significant fraction of the operation budget of an instrument. The power efficiency of processors improves with increasing density in silicon manufacturing, creating an incentive to upgrade digital instrumentation to newer technology even when the science goals are being met by current processors. One example of relatively low-power processing acceleration uses GPUs [11]. While the power consumption of a GPU may be twice that of a CPU, many applications can achieve an order-of-magnitude increase in floating-point operations per second on GPUs over CPUs, and so GPUs provide more floating-point operations per watt.

Reusable DSP Libraries. Whether in the context of writing firmware for hardware processors or writing software for CPU clusters, programmers have a variety of languages and tools at their disposal. In selecting between these languages/tools, a programmer may decide between low-level, performance-oriented languages (C, VHDL, Verilog) and higher-level languages (Python, Simulink) that trade performance for ease-of-use and flexibility. Hybrid approaches are gaining some momentum in the software community, where software frameworks are implemented in a high-level language and performance bottlenecks within this framework are re-coded in a performance-oriented language [6, 10, 15].

Both performance and flexibility are priorities for libraries that are to be shared by the DSP community. Tools that facilitate hybrid programming approaches should conceal chip-level or board-level details from programmers, establishing a top-level interface that is abstracted from details of hardware implementation. As has been demonstrated by examples in the software community [7], high-level programming interfaces increase productivity both for casual and expert programmers. Nonetheless, sometimes performance requirements can only be met using low-level languages. Writing cores in low-level languages requires substantial expertise and implementations often target one generation of hardware for a given DSP platform. The recurring engineering cost of such cores make them appealing targets for shared, open-source development.

The advantages of open-source software scarcely need emphasizing. In the context of DSP instrumentation, the advantages of shared development of DSP libraries are even more pronounced when one considers the necessity of porting libraries for each hardware generation. The quality assurance resulting from shared development and testing for large numbers of projects far exceeds what can be achieved for a single project. Attention should be given in the coming decade to implementing such DSP libraries in open-source languages to facilitate their adoption within the community and to ensure that all developers may easily obtain the tools the need.

Expandable and Flexible Instrument Architectures. The keystone technology that enables the shared development of DSP instrumentation is the ability to combine a small set of processing modules to create instruments that meet the needs of a wide variety of applications. Communication protocols and interfaces that facilitate the interoperability of hardware modules are vital for this goal, as are technologies for communicating between a large number of processing modules. The value of these technologies have been demonstrated for a current generation of hardware using 10-Gigabit Ethernet communication protocols and switches. New generations of instruments may need to make use of other, higher-bandwidth communication solutions. Historically, packetized communication protocols like Ethernet tend to survive several hardware generations and provide a relatively stable site for interoperability.

The heavy reliance of internet technologies on standardized communication protocols

FIG. 4: In a general architecture for radio astronomy DSP instrumentation, radio-frequency, intermediate-frequency, or baseband signals are digitized, the relevant band is extracted, spectrally decomposed, packetized, and transmitted in Ethernet protocol. Data are routed through commercial multicast switches to an array of general-purpose computing engines that can be dynamically partitioned between commensal applications such as correlation, beam-forming, spectroscopy, pulsar de-dispersion, and real-time imaging. These DSP engines may employ any of a variety of processing technologies suited to the application, including ASICs, FPGAs, GPUs, DSP chips, and standard CPU processors.

ensures the continued development of robust, high-performance switching solutions. As the complexity of DSP instruments increases, the advantages of employing standardized communication become more pronounced, even when protocols incur a modest overhead in communication bandwidth and complexity. There are also a number of side benefits to abstracting instrument architectures from specific hardware or generations of processing technologies. One of these is the ability to design an instrument using one generation of processing technology and then to switch to latest generation hardware nearer to the time of deployment. By doing so, one can inexpensively expand the capabilities of a designed instrument or for a fixed set of capabilities, reduce power consumption.

A future direction for architecture development is to employ data broadcasting to promote commensal processing by multiple backends (see Figure 4. The capability of digital processing for commensal observing can greatly boost the science output of radio astronomy observatories and is a very attractive selling point of packet-switched DSP architectures. A related technology to be developed is the dynamic allocation of DSP resources so that a cluster of DSP processors can allocate hardware computing resources much as CPU clusters do.

Shared Instrument Design. Although many of the design principles we have highlighted so far emphasize the diverse nature of radio astronomy DSP applications, it is also important to recognize the degree to which many applications overlap. Applications may share a fundamental architecture, even when specific design parameters (e.g. the number of channels in spectral decomposition or the number of antennas in an array) may differ. Developers of independent systems can collaborate on instrument designs that are parametrized to support many applications. The modular design principles highlighted above, ranging from interchangeable digitizers to re-programmable processing modules to packet-switched communication, increase the extent to which a single parametrized instrument design can

serve multiple applications. Even in situations where instrument designs are not explicitly parametrized to serve a given application, designers can use existing, tested designs as starting points for implementing new functionality.

Shared Monitor/Control/Interface Software. Finally, we propose to address the boundary between DSP instrumentation and the broader astronomcal observatory. As signals are digitized ever closer to antenna elements and high-performance digital processing extends deeper into backend analysis, the distinction between DSP instrumentation and the larger observing system is becoming vague. Monitor, control, and interface software will be central to commensal digital observing, and will need to be fundamentally integrated with the DSP systems [8].

The scale and complexity of upcoming systems and their close relationship to shared digital architectures suggest that the development of such software might also be shared between instruments, even though monitor and control systems must address the unique needs of each observatory. One point where such collaboration may be possible is the automated generation of software drivers that interface to DSP hardware. An example of such an interface for FPGAs [16] demonstrates that complex data communication may be abstracted using a unified file model, thereby reducing the task of remote control and monitoring of custom DSP instruments to a familiar remote system administration problem that is well supported by existing open-source software.

V. SUMMARY

Time-to-science is an important figure of merit for digital instrumentation serving the astronomical community. The growing capabilities of high-performance DSP computing have created the possibility of designing hardware that serves multiple applications. The complexities associated with designing instrumentation have led to a need for pooling expertise and development costs between multiple projects. A DSP community is forming that uses shared hardware development, signal processing libraries, and instrument architectures to reduce development time of digital instrumentation and to improve time-to-science for a wide variety of projects.

In light of the demonstrated success of this approach and the number of upcoming radio astronomy, millimeter, and sub-millimeter science objectives that will rely on digital processing in the next decade, we advocate for prioritizing the development of technologies that support open-source, general-purpose DSP instrumentation for a broad community. Contributors to such technological development may be centers that directly engage in research and support for this DSP community, science groups that offer to develop and share general-purpose DSP solutions for their own instrumentation needs, or engineering groups engaging in research that may be applied to next-generation DSP instrumentation.

We have identified six areas where the DSP community is most likely to benefit from technological development relating to processing flexibility, standardization, and interoperability. These are: digitization hardware, digital hardware processors, DSP libraries, flexible computing architectures, parametrized instrument design, and standardized control software. Progress in these areas will reduce the development cost and time-to-science for DSP instrumentation at a time when a large number of upcoming observatories and experiments will be relying on digital processing to achieve their science objectives.

[1] Cognard, I., et al.. 2008, in Workshop PULSARS Theory and Obs., http://lpce.cnrs-orleans.fr/~pulsar/PSRworkshop/workshop2008/Cognard.pdf

[2] Deller, A., et al. 2007, PASP, 119, 318

[3] Demorest, P., et al. 2004, in Bull. of the AAS, 1598–+

[4] Doeleman, S. S., et al. 2008, Nature, 455, 78

[5] DuPlain, R., et al. 2008, in Soc. of Photo-Optical Instr. Eng. (SPIE) Conf. Series, Vol. 7019

[6] Greenfield, P., et al. 2002, in ASP Conf. Series, Vol. 281, Astro. Data Analysis Software and Sys. XI, ed. D. A. Bohlender, D. Durand, & T. H. Handley, 140–+

[7] Haglund, P., et al. 2003, Lecture notes in computer science. Springer. 1040

[8] Harp, G., et al. 2006, in URSI Natl. Radio Science Mtg., 2006, Session J2., http://strike.colorado.edu/~sjg/URSI/data/harp2935.pdf

[9] Harris, C., et al. 2008, Exper. Astro., 22, 129

[10] McMullin, J. P., et al. 2007, in ASP Conf. Series, Vol. 376, Astro. Data Analysis Software and Sys. XVI, ed. R. A. Shaw, F. Hill, & D. J. Bell, 127–+

[11] Ord, S., et al. 2009, ArXiv:0902.0915

[12] Parsons, A., et al. 2006, in Asilomar Conf. on Sig. and Sys., Pacific Grove, CA, 2031–2035

[13] Parsons, A., et al. 2008, PASP, 120, 1207

[14] Siemion, A., et al. 2008, ArXiv:0811.3046

[15] Smirnov, O. M. & Noordam, J. E. 2006, in ASP Conf. Series, Vol. 351, Astro. Data Analysis Software and Sys. XV, ed. C. Gabriel, C. Arviset, D. Ponz, & S. Enrique, 355–+

[16] So, H. K-H., & Brodersen, R. 2008, Trans. on Embedded Comp. Sys., 7, 1

[17] Takeuchi, H., et al. 2004, in Int. VLBI Service for Geodesy and Astrometry. Ottawa, Canada, February 9-11, 2004. p. 200, ed. N. R. Vandenberg & K. D. Baver, 200–+

[18] Wayth, R., Dale, K., Greenhill, L. J., Mitchell, D. A., Ord, S., & Pfister, H. 2007, in Bulletin of the AAS, Vol. 38, 744–+

[19] West, C. 2004, Masters thesis, Swinburne Univ. Of Tech., Swinburne

[20] Wright, M. 2005, Real Time Imaging, SKA Memo 60, Square Kilometre Array, http://www.skatelescope.org/PDF/memos