MIT OpenCourseWare http://ocw.mit.edu

16.346 Astrodynamics Fall 2008

For information about citing these materials or our Terms of Use, visit: http://ocw.mit.edu/terms.

The Covariance, Information & Estimator Matrices Lecture 22

The Covariance Matrix

#13.6

• Random Vector of Measurement Errors: α where $\alpha^{T} = [\alpha_1 \quad \alpha_2 \quad \dots \quad \alpha_n]$ Assume measurement errors independent.

- First and Second Moments: $E(\alpha) = \overline{\alpha} = 0$ and $E(\alpha_i \alpha_j) = \overline{\alpha_i \alpha_j} = 0$ $(i \neq j)$
- Variances: $E(\alpha_i^2) = \overline{\alpha_i^2} = \sigma_i^2$
- Variances: $E(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i = 0$ Variance Matrix: $E(\alpha \alpha^T) = \overline{\alpha \alpha^T} = \mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \sigma_n^2 \end{bmatrix}$
- Estimation Error Vector: $\epsilon = PHA^{-1}\alpha$
- $E(\epsilon \epsilon^{\mathrm{T}}) = \overline{\epsilon \epsilon^{\mathrm{T}}}$ • Covariance Matrix of Estimation Errors:

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{T}}} &= \mathbf{P} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{P} \\ \overline{\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{T}}}} &= \mathbf{P} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \overline{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{T}}}} \, \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{P} \\ &= \mathbf{P} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{H}^{\scriptscriptstyle{\mathrm{T}}} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P} = (\mathbf{P}^{-1})^{-1} \end{split}$$

Covariance Matrix = $(Information Matrix)^{-1}$

A Matrix Identity (The Magic Lemma)

Let \mathbf{X}_{mn} and \mathbf{Y}_{nm} be rectangular compatible matrices such that $\mathbf{X}_{mn}\mathbf{Y}_{nm}$ and $\mathbf{Y}_{nm}\mathbf{X}_{mn}$ are both meaningful.

However, $\mathbf{R}_{mm} = \mathbf{X}_{mn} \mathbf{Y}_{nm}$ is an $m \times m$ matrix while $\mathbf{S}_{nn} = \mathbf{Y}_{nm} \mathbf{X}_{mn}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix. With this understanding, the following sequence of matrix operations leads to a remarkable and very useful identity:

$$\begin{split} (\mathbf{I}_{mm} + \mathbf{X}_{mn} \mathbf{Y}_{nm}) (\mathbf{I}_{mm} + \mathbf{X}_{mn} \mathbf{Y}_{nm})^{-1} &= \mathbf{I}_{mm} \\ \mathbf{Y}_{nm} (\mathbf{I}_{mm} + \mathbf{X}_{mn} \mathbf{Y}_{nm}) (\mathbf{I}_{mm} + \mathbf{X}_{mn} \mathbf{Y}_{nm})^{-1} &= \mathbf{Y}_{nm} \\ (\mathbf{I}_{nn} + \mathbf{Y}_{nm} \mathbf{X}_{mn}) \mathbf{Y}_{nm} (\mathbf{I}_{mm} + \mathbf{X}_{mn} \mathbf{Y}_{nm})^{-1} &= \mathbf{Y}_{nm} \\ (\mathbf{I}_{nn} + \mathbf{Y}_{nm} \mathbf{X}_{mn}) \mathbf{Y}_{nm} (\mathbf{I}_{mm} + \mathbf{X}_{mn} \mathbf{Y}_{nm})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{mn} &= \mathbf{Y}_{nm} \mathbf{X}_{mn} \\ \mathbf{I}_{nn} + (\mathbf{I}_{nn} + \mathbf{Y}_{nm} \mathbf{X}_{mn}) \mathbf{Y}_{nm} (\mathbf{I}_{mm} + \mathbf{X}_{mn} \mathbf{Y}_{nm})^{-1} \mathbf{X}_{mn} &= \mathbf{I}_{nn} + \mathbf{Y}_{nm} \mathbf{X}_{mn} \\ (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X})^{-1} [\mathbf{I} + (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X}) \mathbf{Y} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{X}] &= (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X})^{-1} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X}) \\ (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{X})^{-1} + \mathbf{Y} (\mathbf{I} + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{Y})^{-1} \mathbf{X} &= \mathbf{I} \end{split}$$

Hence:

$$(\mathbf{I}_{nn} + \mathbf{Y}_{nm}\mathbf{X}_{mn})^{-1} = \mathbf{I}_{nn} - \mathbf{Y}_{nm}(\mathbf{I}_{mm} + \mathbf{X}_{mn}\mathbf{Y}_{nm})^{-1}\mathbf{X}_{mn}$$

To generalize: Let $\mathbf{Y}_{nm} = \mathbf{A}_{nn} \mathbf{B}_{nm}$ and $\mathbf{X}_{mn} = \mathbf{C}_{mm}^{-1} \mathbf{B}_{mn}^{\mathrm{T}}$. Then

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{A}$$

Inverting the Information Matrix Using the Magic Lemma

• Recursive formulation: $\mathbf{P}^{*-1} = \mathbf{P}^{-1} + \mathbf{h}(\sigma^2)^{-1}\mathbf{h}^{\mathbf{T}} \qquad [= \mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathbf{T}}]$

$$(\mathbf{A}^{-1} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{C}^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}})^{-1} = \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{C} + \mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B})^{-1}\mathbf{B}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{A}$$

• Using the magic lemma: $\mathbf{P}^* = \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{P}\mathbf{h}(\sigma^2 + \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{h})^{-1}\mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{P}$

• Define: $a = \sigma^2 + \mathbf{h}^T \mathbf{Ph}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{Ph}$ so that

$$\mathbf{P}^{\star} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{w} \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}}) \mathbf{P}$$

The Square Root of the P Matrix

#13.7

The matrix \mathbf{W} is the **Square Root** of a Positive Definite Matrix \mathbf{P} if $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}^{\star} &= \mathbf{P} - \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{h}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{P} \\ \mathbf{W}^{\star} \mathbf{W}^{\star \mathsf{T}} &= \mathbf{W} \Big(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{h}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{W} \Big) \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ &= \mathbf{W} \Big(\mathbf{I} - \frac{1}{a} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}} \Big) \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ &= \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{I} - \beta \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}}) (\mathbf{I} - \beta \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}}) \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ &= \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{I} - 2\beta \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}} + \beta^{2} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}}) \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ &= \mathbf{W} [\mathbf{I} - (2\beta - \beta^{2} z^{2}) \mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^{\mathsf{T}}] \mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} \end{aligned}$$

But $z^2 = \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{h} = a - \sigma^2$

Hence: $2\beta - \beta^2 z^2 = \frac{1}{a} \implies \beta = \frac{1}{a + \sqrt{a\sigma^2}}$

 $\mathbf{W}^{\star} = \mathbf{W} \left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{T}}}{a + \sqrt{a\sigma^2}} \right)$ $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{h}$

Properties of the Estimator

- Linear
- Unbiased: If measurements are exact ($\alpha = 0$) then $\delta \widetilde{\mathbf{q}} = \delta \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{H}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{r}$ so that

$$\delta \widehat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^{-1} \, \delta \mathbf{r} = \delta \mathbf{r}$$

• Reduces to deterministic case $(\delta \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{H}^{-T} \delta \tilde{\mathbf{q}})$ if no redundant measurements. If \mathbf{H} is square & non-singular, then $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{H}^{-T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{H}^{-1}$ and

$$\delta \hat{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{H}^{-\mathbf{T}} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{H}^{-1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \delta \tilde{\mathbf{q}} = \mathbf{H}^{-\mathbf{T}} \delta \tilde{\mathbf{q}}$$

Define \widetilde{q}

$$\delta \widehat{\mathbf{r}}^{\star} = \mathbf{F}^{\star} \delta \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}^{\star} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{F}^{\star} = \mathbf{P}^{\star} \mathbf{H}^{\star} \mathbf{A}^{\star - 1} \qquad \qquad \delta \widetilde{\mathbf{q}}^{\star} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta \widetilde{\mathbf{q}} \\ \delta \widetilde{q} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{P}^{\star} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{w} \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}}) \mathbf{P} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{H}^{\star} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H} & \mathbf{h} \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad \mathbf{A}^{\star} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^{\mathrm{T}} & \sigma^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Then

$$\mathbf{F}^{\star} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}})\mathbf{P}[\mathbf{H} \quad \mathbf{h}]\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0}^{\mathrm{T}} & \sigma^{-2} \end{bmatrix} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}})\mathbf{P}\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}^{-1} & \frac{\mathbf{h}}{\sigma^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}})\mathbf{F} & \frac{a\mathbf{w}}{\sigma^{2}} - \frac{\mathbf{w}(a - \sigma^{2})}{\sigma^{2}} \end{bmatrix} = [(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}})\mathbf{F} \quad \mathbf{w}]$$

$$\delta \hat{\mathbf{r}}^{\star} = \mathbf{F}^{\star} \delta \tilde{\mathbf{q}}^{\star} = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}})\mathbf{F} & \mathbf{w} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \delta \tilde{\mathbf{q}} \\ \delta \tilde{q} \end{bmatrix} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{w}\mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}}) \delta \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{w} \delta \tilde{q}$$

$$= \delta \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{w}(\delta \tilde{q} - \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \hat{\mathbf{r}}) = \delta \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{w}(\delta \tilde{q} - \delta \hat{q})$$

Since $\delta q = \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \mathbf{r}$, then $\delta \hat{q} = \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}} \delta \hat{\mathbf{r}}$ is the best estimate of the new measurement.

$$\delta \hat{\mathbf{r}}^{\star} = \delta \hat{\mathbf{r}} + \mathbf{w} (\delta \tilde{q} - \delta \hat{q})$$

$$\mathbf{w} = \frac{1}{\sigma^{2} + \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{h}} \mathbf{P} \mathbf{h}$$

$$\mathbf{P}^{\star} = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{w} \mathbf{h}^{\mathrm{T}}) \mathbf{P}$$

$$\mathbf{W}^{\star} = \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{I} - \frac{\mathbf{z} \mathbf{z}^{\mathrm{T}}}{a + \sqrt{a\sigma^{2}}})$$

Triangular Square Root

$$\mathbf{W}\mathbf{W}^{\mathsf{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & w_1 \\ 0 & w_2 & w_3 \\ w_4 & w_5 & w_6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & w_4 \\ 0 & w_2 & w_5 \\ w_1 & w_3 & w_6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \\ m_2 & m_4 & m_5 \\ m_3 & m_5 & m_6 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{split} w_1^2 &= m_1 & w_3 = \frac{m_2}{w_1} & w_6 = \frac{m_3}{w_1} \\ w_2^2 &= \frac{m_1 m_4 - m_2^2}{m_1} & w_4^2 = \frac{\det \mathbf{M}}{m_1 w_2^2} & w_5 = \frac{m_5 - w_3 w_6}{w_2} \end{split}$$