Section 13

LECTURE

Acute and Chronic Pancreatitis

Acute and Chronic Pancreatitis

Acute Pancreatitis

1) Etiology

- Alcohol
- Gallstones
- "Idiopathic" (2/3rds from biliary sludge or crystals)

Notes: Dr. Apstein

- Drugs
- Post-ERCP
- Hypertriglyceridemia
- Hypercalcemia
- Post-operative
- Trauma
- Cancer or other obstructions of the pancreatic duct
- Other

2) Putative mechanism of intracellular injury

- Blocked secretion
- Fusion of lysosomes and zymogens
- Enzyme activition
- Intracellular injury

3) Local effects: can explain kidney, pulmonary, and intestinal damage

- Inflammation
- Third space fluid accumulation
- Peri-pancreatic and retroperitoneal fat necrosis
- Pancreatic necrosis

4) <u>Systemic efffects: can explain shock, adult respiratory distress syndrome</u> (ARDS), diffuse intravascular coagulation (DIC), death

- Activation of kallikrein leads to bradykinin generation, capillary permeability and vasodilatation
- Activation of complement leads to increased WBC chemotaxis, release of WBC elastase, phospholipase A2 and leukotrienes
- Activitation of thrombin leads to DIC
- Activation of phospholipase A2 damages cell membranes and lung surfactant
- Activation of elastase leads to blood vessel damage
- Activation of chymotrypsin leads to capillary permeability
- Release of lipase leads to local and/or distal fat necrosis
- Overload of endogenous protease inactivation pathways

5) Clinical Features

Abdominal pain

Common, virtually all patients

Classically, epigastric with radiation to back, but can be RUQ, LOQ or diffuse

Long duration (days)

Some relief by bending forward

- Nausea and vomiting
- Physical examination

Abdominal tenderness +/- guarding, distention, and rebound

Fever

Tachycardia

Grey-Turner or Cullen sign, rare

6) Laboratory

Serum amylase

May be normal

No prognostic significance

Not specific; elevated in many other GI and non-GI diseases

Rapid rise and quickly cleared

Pancreatic isoamylase is more specific than total serum amylase

Urinary amylase

Normally, 3% of filtered serum amylase is excreted

During acute pancreatitis, more is excreted

No advantage over serum measurement in diagnosis except to

exclude macroamylasemia

Serum lipase

May be normal

No prognostic significance

Not specific; elevated in many other GI and non-GI diseases

Elevations last longer than serum amylase

• Other serum or urine markers

Phospholipase A, trypsin, carboxylester lipase, carboxypeptidase A, colipase, urinary and serum trypsinogen-2, pancreatitis associated protein, trypsinogen activation peptide

None of these, either alone or in combination, has a clinical advantage over measurement of serum amylase and lipase

• Ranson's criteria for prognosis of acute pancreatitis

At admission

Age > 55 years WBC > 16,000 Glucose > 200 mg.dl LDH > 350 IU/L AST > 250 IU/L

During first 48 hours of hospitalization

Hct decrease >10%
BUN increase of > 5 mg/dl
Ca++ < 8 mg/dl
PaO2 < 60 mm/Hg
Base deficit > 4 meq/L
Fluid sequestration > 6L

7) Radiology

- Abdominal plain film (KUB): Sentinel loop or colon cut-off sign, exclude obstruction or perforation
- Chest film: 30% will be abnormal with pleural effusion, inflitrate, atelectasis, or adult respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)
- Abdominal ultrasonography: best method to detect gallbladder stones
- Abdominal CT scan: most important radiologic test for diagnosis, complications, and prognosis

Interstitial pancreatitis:

Uniform enhancement after contrast Represents 75% of all cases of pancreatitis Infection and morality rate

Hemorrhagic or necrotizing:

Non-homogenous uptake of contrast Represents 25% of all cases of pancreatitis Infection rate high (30-50%) Mortality high (10-30%)

Grading scale for severity:

A: normal

B: focal or diffuse pancreatic enlargement w/o peripancreatic inflammation

C: peripancreatic inflammation

D: single fluid collection

E: > 1 fluid collection or gas in pancreas or retroperitoneum

8) Treatment

Reverse underlying precipitating cause

Early ERCP in patients with acute severe gallstone pancreatitis

Correction of hypertriglyceridemia or hypercalcemia

Discontinuation of causative drugs

Initial treatment is identical regardless of the cause of pancreatitis

Supportive care

Nasogastric tube NPO IV fluids Analgesics Nutritional support

Antibiotics

Older studies showed no benefit

Recent, better designed studies show benefit in patients with severe necrotizing pancreatitis who received cefuroxime, imipenem, or a combination of ceftaazidime, amikacin, and metronidazole

CT guided aspiration or surgical drainage of pancreatic fluid collections

Experimental agents

Possible benefit

Somatostatin or octreotide Gabexate mesilate, a protease inhibitor

No benefit

Histamine-2 antagonists Anticholingeric medications Glucagon

Peritoneal lavage

9) Gallstone versus alcoholic pancreatitis

- Important therapeutic implications: Gallstone pancreatitis has a very high recurrence rate without definitive treatment (25% have an additional episode within 6 weeks).
- Factors favoring gallstone pancreatitis

ALT > 150 IU/L Female gender Age > 50 years Amylase > 4000 Alkaline phosphatase > 300 IU/L

- All patients with their first attack of acute pancreatitis need abdominal ultrasonography to look for gallstones in the gallbladder.
- Patients with gallstone pancreatitis should have a cholecystectomy after recovery and before discharge from the hospital.

10) Complications

- Hypocalemia from loss of ionized calcium within areas of fat necrosis by binding to fatty acids.
- Pseudocyst

Encapsulated, non-epithelial lined collection of fluid arising from pancreatic inflammation

Can cause pain, obstruction, become infected, or rupture

Common in up to 40% of patients with pancreatitis

Most resolve spontaneously

Treat if complications occur or if pseudocyst persists > 6 weeks

Chronic Pancreatitis

1) Clinical Features

2) Abdominal pain

- Common, but not invariable (20-45% have no pain).
- Usually epigastric, radiating to back.
- Variable pattern

Episodic lasting < 10 days with pain free intervals of months

Almost continuous with exacerbations which may require hospitalization

3) Pancreatic Insufficiency

Enzymes

Steatorrhea > protein malabsorption. Must lose >90% of pancreatic function.

Hormones

Glucose intolerance common.

Diabetes, a late complication.

More frequent in patients with family history of diabetes. Management difficult (fragile diabetic)

loss of insulin & glucagon, low insulin requirements no down regulation of insulin receptors no insulin antibodies. diabetic complications can occur.

4. Complications

- Pseudocyst
- Bile duct obstruction
- Duodenal obstruction
- Pancreatic ascites
- Splenic vein thrombosis
- Pseudoaneurysms
- Pancreatic cancer (25-fold increased risk)

5. Diagnosis is difficult

- Acute on chronic disease
- Laboratory and radiographic findings can be normal.

Laboratory

Amylase & lipase usually normal because fibrosis reduces concentration of these enzymes.

Elevated liver enzymes suggest bile duct stricture or pancreatic cancer.

Fat in stool (oil droplets) by Sudan stain.

Imaging

KUB: calcification

CT: calcification, ductal distortion, fluid collections, and enlargement of gland

ERCP: beading of the duct which may correlate to functional changes; normal ducts no decreased function; mild to moderate duct changes associated with pancreatic insufficiency in 50% of patients.

Endoscopic ultrasound (EUS): stones, visible side branches, ysts, lobularity, irregular main duct

Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP): lacks sensitivity and specificity of ERCP or EUS.

Pancreatic function tests

Secretin stimulation test

Intravenous secretin stimulates pancreatic bicarbonate secretion Collect duodenal fluid after IV secretin administration
Peak [HCO3] <80 meq/L suggests chronic pancreatitis
15% of patients with normal ERCP have abnormal secretin test
15% of patients with normal secretin test have abnormal ERCP

Bentiromide test

Bentiromide administered orally Cleaved by chymotrypsin releasing p-aminobenzoic acid (PABA) Measure urinary excretion of PABA Equally sensitive and specific as secretin test

References:

Acute pancreatitis

- 1) Ranson JH, Rifkind KM, Roses DF et al. Prognostic signs and the role of operative management in acute pancreatitis. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1974; 139:69.
- 2) Sternby B, O'Brien JF, Zinsmeister AR, et al. What is the best biochemical test to diagnose acute pancreatitis? A prospective clinical study. Mayo Clin Proc 1996; 71:1138.
- 3) Fortson MR, Freedman SN, Webster PD. Clinical assessment of hyperlipidemic pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol 1995; 90:2134.
- 4) Johnson SG, Ellis CJ, Levitt MD. Mechanism of increased renal clearance of amylase/creatinine in acute pancreatitis. N Engl J Med 1976; 295:1214.
- 5) Agarwal N, Pitchumoni CS, Sivaprasad AV. Evaluating tests for acute pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterolo 1990; 85:356.
- 6) Keim V, Teich K, Fiedler F, et al. A comparison of lipase and amylase in the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis in patients with abdominal pain. Pancreas 1998; 16:45.
- 7) Kylanpaa-Back M, Kemppainen E, Puolakkainen P, et al. Reliable screening for acute pancreatitis with rapid urine trypsinogen-2 test strip. Br J Surg 2000; 87:49.
- 8) Balthazar EJ, Robinson DL, Megibow AJ et al. Acute pancreatitis: Value of CT in establishing prognosis. Radiology 1990; 174:331.
- 9) Balthazar EJ, Freeny PC, van Sonnenberg E. Imaging and intervention in acute pancreatitis. Radiology 1994; 193:297.
- 10) Tenner S, Dubner H, Steinberg W. Predicting gallstone pancreatitis with laboratory parameters. A meta-analysis. Am J. Gastroenterol 1994; 89:1863.
- 11) Sainio V, Kemppainen E, Puolakkainen P et al. Early antibiotic treatment in acute necrotizing pancreatitis. Lacent 1995; 346:663.
- 12) Golub R, Siddiqi F, Pohl D. Role of antibiotics in acute pancreatitis: A meta-anaylsis. J Gastrointest Surg 1998; 2:496.
- 13) Freeny PC, Hauptmann E, Althaus AJ et al. Percutaneous CT-guided catheter drainage of infected acute necrotizing pancreatitis: Techniques and results. Am J Roentgenol 1998; 170:969.
- 14) Andriulli A, Leandro G, Clemente R, et al. Meta-analysis of somatostatin, octreotide and gabexate mesilate in the therapy of acute pancreatitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 1998; 12:237.
- 15) Uhl W, Buchler MW, Malfertheiner P, et al. A randomised, double blind, multicentre trial of octreotide in moderate to severe acute pancreatitis. Gut 1999; 45:97.

- 16) Kalfarentzos F, Kehagias J, Mead N, et al. Enteral nutrition is superior to parenteral nutrition in severe acute pancreatitis. Results of a randomized prospective trial. Br J Surg 1997; 84:1665.
- 17) Neoptolemos JP, Carr-Locke DL, London NJ et al. Controlled trial of urgent endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography and endoscopic sphincterotomy versus conservative treatment for acute pancreatitis due to gallstones. Lancet 1988; 2:979.
- 18) Folsh UR, Nitsche R, Ludtke R, et al. German Study Group on Acute Biliary Pancreatitis: Early ERCP and papillotomy compared with conservative treatment for acute biliary pancreatitis. N Engl J Med 1997; 336:237.
- 19) Uhl W, Muller CA, Krahenbuhl L, et al. Acute gallstone pancreatitis: Timing of laparoscopic cholecystectomy in mild and severe disease. Surg Endosc 1999; 13:1070.

Chronic pancreatitis

- 20) Steer ML, Waxman I, Freedman SD. Chronic pancreatitis. N Engl J Med 1995; 332:1482
- 21) Ammann RW, Muellhaupt B. The natural history of pain in alcoholic chronic pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 1999; 116:1132.
- 22) Layer P, Yamamoto H, Kalthoff L, et al. The different courses of early- and late-onset idiopathic and alcoholic pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 1994; 107:1481.
- 23) DiMagno EP, Go VL, Summerskill WH. Relations between pancreatic enzyme outputs and malabsorption in severe pancreatic insufficiency. N Engl J Med 1973; 288:813.
- 24) Mergener K, Baillie J. Chronic pancreatitis. Lancet 1997; 350:1379.
- 25) Del Prato S, Tiengo A. Pancreatic diabetes. Diabetes Reviews 1993; 1:260.
- 26) Malka D, Hammel P, Sauvanet A, et al. Risk factors for diabetes mellitus in chronic pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 2000; 119:1324.
- 27) Ammann RW, Akovbiantz A, Largiader F, et al. Course and outcome of chronic pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 1984; 86:820.
- 28) Luetmer PH, Stephens DH, Ward EM. Chronic pancreatitis: Reassessment with current CT. Radiology 1989; 171:353.
- 29) Bozkurt T, Braun U, Leferink S, et al. Comparison of pancreatic morphology and exocrine functional impairment in patients with chronic pancreatitis. Gut 1994; 35:1132.
- 30) Catalano MF, Lahoti S, Geenen JE, et al. Prospective evaluation of endoscopic ultrasonography, endoscopic retrograde pancreatography, and secretin test in the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis. Gastrointest Endosc 1998; 48:191.

- 31) Wallace MB, Hawes RH, Durkalski VV, et al. The reliability of EUS for the diagnosis of chronic pancreatitis: Interobserver agreement among experienced endosonographers. Gastrointest Endosc 2001; 53:294.
- 32) Kahl S, Glasbrenner B, Leodolter A, et al. EUS in the diagnosis of early chronic pancreatitis: A prospective follow-up study. Gastrointest Endosc 2002; 55:507.
- 33) Lankisch PG, Seidensticker F, Otto J, et al. Secretin-pancreozymin test (SPT) and endoscopic retrograde pancreatography (ERCP): Both are necessary for diagnosing or excluding chronic pancreatitis. Pancreas 1996; 12:149.
- 34) Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Cavallini G, et al. Pancreatitis and the risk of pancreatic cancer. International Pancreatitis Study Group. N Engl J Med 1993; 328:1433.