Persistence for persons

Reason, Relativism, and Reality
Spring 2005

"Reductionism"

- Who is identical to whom boils down to prior and independent facts
- Locke was the first to say how this might work: "As far as this consciousness can be extended backwards to any past action or thought, so far reaches the identity of that person."

Locke's theory

- Person A at t_1 = person B at t_2 iff B at t_2 can remember (most? some?) of the experiences A had at t_1 .
- Does this sound OK? Does anything need to be clarified?

Transitivity Objection (Thomas Reid)

General recalls being a dashing young officer. Officer recalls being flogged as a boy. General can't recall being flogged as a boy.

Photographs removed due to copyright reasons.

Locke must say G = O, and O = B, but G is not B. That's impossible! Identity is transitive.

Circularity Objection (Butler, see section 80)

Images removed due to copyright reasons.

Is it *real* memory or *seeming* memory? It needs to be real to define identity. But now the theory is circular, because a memory is "real" only if the remembered person = you.

Neo-Lockeans think they can answer Circularity

- Say real memory presupposes identity. It doesn't matter because we can switch to quasi-memory!
- B <u>quasi-remembers</u> having experience e iff
 (i) B seems to remember having e;
 (ii) someone really did have e; and
 (iii) the causal connection between (ii) and (i) is the same kind as occurs in genuine memory.
- How does that help?

Neo-Lockeans think they can answer Transitivity

- Allow memory chains!
- B at t_2 = A at t_1 iff there are intermediate people $A_1,...,A_k$ such that B remembers A_k 's experience and A_k remembers A_{k-1} 's experience and.... A_1 remember's A's experience
- How does that help?

Neo-Lockeans also add new kinds of psychological glue

- B is psychologically connected with A iff B quasiremembers A's experiences and/or B quasi-fulfills A's quasi-intentions, and/or B quasi-regrets A's mistakes, and/or ...
- B is psychologically continuous with A iff they are linked by overlapping chains of psychological connectedness

Images removed due to copyright reasons.

Neo-Lockean criterion of personal identity (1st stab)

- Later person B = earlier person A iff B is psychologically continuous with A
- This seems to solve Transitivity and Circularity
- Can you think of new problems? What would Parfit say about amnesia?

Next time

What if A is continuous with two later people?!?

Images removed due to copyright reasons.

Read Parfit, ch. 11, "How We Are Not What We Believe"