Computation and Visualization of Subjective Artist Similarity for Music Libraries on Android Devices

Manuel Maly

Institute of Software Technology and Interactive Systems
Vienna University of Technology

Abstract. Abstract goes here.

Keywords: subjective artist similarity, multi-dimensional scaling, audio analysis

Table of Contents

1 Introduction

2 Related Work

2.1 Features of Digitally Stored Music

Audio Features (http://bit.ly/bY30GO) Lyrics (http://bit.ly/bY30GO)

2.2 Artist Similarity

Subjective Artist Similarity Computed Artist Similarity Feature Extraction Psycho-acoustic models Comparison to Subjective AS

2.3 Visualization

3D 2-Dimensional Visualization Multidimensional Scaling Self-Organizing Maps Spring Graph [e.g. http://www.liveplasma.com/, http://radioclouds.com, http://audiomap.tuneglue.net/]

2.4 Summary of this Section

3 Scenario and Scope of this Thesis

3.1 Scope Definition

3.2 Selected Artist Similarity Computation

Rationale...

3.3 Selected Visualization Computation

Rationale...

3.4 Summary of this Section

4 Computation of Artist Similarity based on Webservices

4.1 Matching of Data-items from Different Sources

4.2 Basic Artist Similarity

4.3 Optimizations for Better Subjective Similarity

4.4 Summary of this Section

5 Visualization of Artist Similarity

5.1 Summary of this Section

6 Implementation of Artist Similarity Visualization on Android

6.1 Structure of the Application

6.2 Web-Service Workflow

6.3 Android Environment

6.4 Artist Similarity Visualization Variants

6.5 Summary of this Section

7 User Study

7.1 Hypotheses

7.2 Experiment Setup

Population

Tasks

Metrics

7.3 Evaluation and Analysis of Study Results

7.4 Summary of this Section

8 Conclusion

References

1. http://developer.echonest.com/docs/v4/
2. http://devzone.wiki.meemix.com/index.php?title=MeeMix_API
3. http://www.lastfm.de/api
4. Aucouturier, J.J., Pachet, F.: Music similarity measures: What's the use? In: Ircam (ed.) Proceedings of the 3rd International Symposium on Music Information Retrieval. pp. 157–163. Paris, France (October 2002)
5. Cooper, M., Foote, J., Pampalk, E., Tzanetakis, G.: Visualization in audio-based music information retrieval. Comput. Music J. 30, 42–62 (June 2006), http://portal.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=1176357.1176365
6. Frank, J., Lidy, T., Peiszer, E., Genswaider, R., Rauber, A.: Creating ambient music spaces in real and virtual worlds. Multimedia Tools Appl. 44(3), 449–468 (2009)
7. Holten, D., van Wijk, J.J.: Force-directed edge bundling for graph visualization. Comput. Graph. Forum 28(3), 983–990 (2009)
8. Lidy, T., Rauber, A.: Evaluation of feature extractors and psycho-acoustic transformations for music genre classification. In: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR 2005). pp. 34–41. London, UK (September 11-15 2005)
9. Mayer, R., Neumayer, R., Rauber, A.: Rhyme and style features for musical genre classification by song lyrics. In: ISMIR. pp. 337–342 (2008)
10. McFee, B., Lanckriet, G.: Heterogeneous embedding for subjective artist similarity. In: Tenth International Symposium for Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR2009)) (October 2009)
11. Morrison, A., Ross, G., Chalmers, M.: Fast multidimensional scaling through sampling, springs and interpolation. Information Visualization 2, 68–77 (March 2003), http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.ivs.9500040
12. Sarmento, L., Gouyon, F., Costa, B.G., Oliveira, E.C.: Visualizing networks of music artists with rama. In: WEBIST. pp. 232–237 (2009)

9 Appendix A