

# Covid-19 X-ray Image Classification

Maneesh Kumar  
Singh

University of Illinois at  
Urbana-Champaign

mksingh4@illinois.edu

Raman  
Walwyn-Venugopal

University of Illinois at  
Urbana-Champaign

rsw2@illinois.edu

Satish Reddy Asi

University of Illinois at

Urbana-Champaign

sasi2@illinois.edu

Srikanth Bharadwaz  
Samudrala

University of Illinois at  
Urbana-Champaign

sbs7@illinois.edu

## ABSTRACT

Detecting COVID-19 using Chest X-Ray (CXR) images is becoming increasingly popular in deep learning research. When training deep neural networks, large and balanced datasets are preferred. However, since COVID-19 is new, there are a limited number of CXR images available which results in a challenge for training deep neural networks. Existing research has shown different approaches to address this imbalanced data issue. Two notable studies are FLANNEL[5] an ensemble based network with focal loss and a patch-based classifier[6] that works on segmented versions of the lung contours. We propose merging these two concepts together to improve performance of detecting COVID-19 in CXR images.

Use segmentation networks to create masks for the lungs as a pre-processing step. Replace base models in FLANNEL with patch-based classifiers that take the image and respective mask as their input. The patch-based classifiers will be used as the ensemble.

We are able to reproduce FLANNEL and base models results using the updated datasets. We were also able to reproduce patch-based classification using X-ray images. We also created a segmentation network that can produce masks of the lung contours for CXR images. We successfully used this segmentation network to produce masks of the CXR images in the updated FLANNEL datasets.

We saw improvement in metrics when training the base models and FLANNEL ensemble in detecting COVID-19 images. Since no parameters were changed, we suspect that this is due to the increase of COVID-19 images for the dataset in comparison to when the FLANNEL paper was written. We are in the process of training the patch-based classifiers to use as the base models for the ensemble.

With the success of performing segmentation on the dataset and the increased performance of the original base models due to the increased dataset size, we are hoping that this will lead to a further improvement once we finalize the patch-based classifiers. Our optimism is due to the patch-based classifiers outperforming their “global” counterparts that processed the whole non-segmented image as discussed by Park et. al [6].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The sudden outbreak of an unexplained pneumonia found in

Wuhan, China [1] was caused by a new coronavirus infection named nCOVID-19 (Novel CoronaVirus Disease 2019). This pandemic has ravaged the world on an unprecedented scale. By April 2021, 141 million people have been infected and there have been 3.01 million deaths. The related studies reveal that infected patients exhibit distinct radiographic visual characteristics along with fever, dry cough, fatigue, dyspnea, etc. Chest X-Ray (CXR) is one of the important, non-invasive [2] clinical diagnosis tools that helps to detect COVID-19 and other pneumonia for affected patients.

Using deep learning for X-ray classification is an ongoing research area and recently there have been promising models proposed for COVID-19 classification. The problem that all of these models face is an imbalance dataset due to the limited number of COVID CXR images available.

FLANNEL is a COVID-19 CXR classification model proposed by Zhi Qiao et al. [5] that has been shown to accurately detect COVID-19 even when trained with only 100 available COVID-19 x-ray images. There are two core components for the FLANNEL architecture, the first is that it uses an ensemble [1] of five independent base learners that predict the classification of the CXR. Each of the predictions are then passed through another ensemble network to determine the final prediction classification. The goal of the ensemble is to increase the robustness and accuracy of the network since each base learner should capture patterns in the images independently [6]. The second core component for the FLANNEL is its use of the special Focal Loss [3] function, a modification of the standard cross-entropy loss that places a focus on the imbalance negatives by applying down-weights to well-classified examples. Focal Loss has been known to improve performance for imbalanced datasets.

Park et. al [4] has also created a deep learning model that has been proven to be effective on detecting COVID-19 when trained with limited datasets. The approach taken was to first detect lung contours of the CXR and perform segmentation. The motivation for performing segmentation first is that the patch based model focuses on the lung area since it's the primary region of interest used to perform analysis. In general, standard biomarkers [4] from CXR images analyzed are the following

1. Lung Morphology Mean Lung Intensity
2. Standard Deviation of Lung Intensity
3. Cardiothoracic Ratio (CTR)

Thus it could be observed that most of the initial diagnosis is carried out from CXR images by concentrating the

lung area, that is our motivation to adopt this thought to have the patched method integrate with Flannel approach. We also find by doing this it makes the model less susceptible to noise happening outside the lung region. After the lungs have been segmented, patch-based classification is performed. Patch-based classification involves selecting random crops or patches across the image for a set number of times and then performing classification on each patch. Afterwards, the final prediction of the image is made by majority voting based on the prediction of each patch. From the graphs provided in the paper [4] by Park and Ye, it is clear that the patch-based classification outperformed the models that used the whole image for a limited train set data. As we have an imbalanced dataset with limited COVID 19 CXR images, we are optimistic that utilizing patch-based classification models for the FLANNEL ensemble with the combination of focal loss optimization would result in a performance improvement.

Our goal is to take the novel ideas of each approach listed above with the goal of improving performance. To accomplish this we will make modifications to the existing FLANNEL architecture by first pre-processing the CXR images by performing segmentation of the lung contours. Afterwards, we will then update the independent base learners in the ensemble to be patch-based classifiers. We call this new architecture "Patched FLANNEL"

## 2. METHOD

The primary objective was to improve the detection of COVID-19 in CXR images with a multi-classifier model that can detect Normal, Pneumonia Viral, Pneumonia Bacteria and COVID-19. The baseline we will be comparing against is the original FLANNEL architecture. We used the same datasets that were used in the FLANNEL paper, the COVID Chest X-ray Dataset from GitHub and the Kaggle Chest X-ray images dataset. Similar to the FLANNEL paper, we also restricted the types of images used to anteroposterior (AP) or posteroanterior (PA). The restricted images were then labelled into one of four categories; COVID-19, Viral Pneumonia, Bacterial Pneumonia and Normal.

The first major data pre-processing step that we performed on our dataset was segmentation. In order to accomplish this, we recreated the same segmentation network that Park et al. used for their patch-based classification; FC-DenseNet103 [2]. We trained the FC-DenseNet103 model using PyTorch to produce a mask of the lung contours of a CXR image. The datasets that were used to train the segmentation network were the Japanese Society of Radiological Technology (JSRT) dataset which contained 247 PA CXR images and the Segmentation in Chest Radiographs (SCR) database which contains segmentation masks for the CXR images from the JSRT dataset. The JSRT/SCR dataset were randomly split where 80% of images were used for training and 20% were used for validation; this resulted in 197 images being used for training and 50 images being used for validation for the JSRT dataset as shown in Table 2. Since CXR images from different data sources will come in a wide variety of formats, the JSRT dataset was pre-processed by performing data type casting to float32, histogram equalization to adjust the contrast, gamma correction to adjust brightness and standardizing the image size by resizing it to 256x256. During training, the network parameters were ini-

Table 1: FCDenseNet103 Segmentation Training & Validation Dataset

Dataset	Number of images
Training	197
Validation	50

tialized with a random distribution and the Adam optimizer was used with an initial learning rate of 0.0001. The learning rate was decreased by a factor of 10 when there was no improvement in the loss. The Jaccard Index (JI) was used to evaluate the model during training since we were comparing the similarity of the mask produced by the network to the mask provided in the SCR dataset. An early stopping strategy was used based on the validation performance to prevent the model from overfitting.

We then applied the trained FC-DenseNet103 segmentation model on the AP and PA CXR images from the Covid Chest X-ray and Kaggle X-ray datasets, this resulted in producing a mask for the lung contours for each of the images. We then split the segmented dataset using a train-test ratio of 4:1 to randomly generate train test splits. To ensure reporting accurate performance on the base models, we used five fold cross validation while training.

The next improvement that we produced was creating patch-based classifiers. Similar to the original global base models in FLANNEL, the patch base models used were pre-trained from ImageNet to account for the small size of the dataset. The pre-processed images were first resized to 1024x1024 to be as close to the original pixel distribution. The masks generated from the FC-DenseNet103 segmentation model were also upsampled to 1024x1024 to match the new CXR image size. The resized images were then masked with the lung-contours and passed as input to the patch-based classifier. The patch-based classifier then produced k number crops/patches of size 224x224 from the CXR. To limit patches outside the lung area, the random points were forced to be within the lungs and the random point was used as the center of the patch. During inference, the k should be large enough to ensure that the lung pixels are covered multiple times. Each patch is then fed into a network to produce a prediction. The confidence score was calculated for each category by calculating the percentage of predictions for each class based on the k patches. The optimization algorithm used during training was the Adam optimizer with a learning rate of 0.00001. An early stopping strategy based on validation performance was applied and a weight decay and L1 regularization were used to prevent overfitting. The best model is selected among 200 epochs training. Considerations Here are our considerations discussed below -

- The pretrained model for segmentation (FC-Densenet103) will perform well on the datasets used in FLANNEL[7] paper.
- The number of patches(K) to be 100 to start with, will be fine tuned after evaluating the results.
- The patch image size will be chosen as 224X224 initially and will be fine tuned after evaluating the results.

## 3. RESULTS

Table 2: Experimental data description

Source		Total	COVID-19	Viral	Bacterial	Normal
Original data	CCX data	554	478	16	42	18
	KCX data	5856	0	1493	2780	1583
View Distribution	AP view	6163	282	1501	2789	1591
	PA view	247	196	8	33	10
Training/test splits	Training	5127	378	1509	2291	1288
	Testing	1283	100	339	531	313
	Total	6410	478	1509	2822	1601

AP: anteroposterior; CCX: COVID Chest X-ray; COVID-19: coronavirus disease 2019; KCX: Kaggle Chest X-ray; PA: posteroanterior.

Table 3: Performance comparison on F1 score: Class-specific F1 score is calculated using 1 class vs the rest strategy

	COVID-19	Pneumonia virus	Pneumonia bacteria	Normal	Macro-F1
Densenet161	0.7694 (0.03)	0.5901 (0.05)	0.8030 (0.01)	0.8875 (0.02)	0.7625 (0.02)
InceptionV3	0.8938 (0.01)	0.6413 (0.03)	0.8112 (0.02)	0.9015 (0.03)	0.8120 (0.02)
Resnet152	0.8302 (0.02)	0.6218 (0.02)	0.8046 (0.01)	0.9080 (0.00)	0.7911 (0.01)
ResNeXt101	0.8197 (0.03)	0.6151 (0.04)	0.8016 (0.01)	0.9046 (0.01)	0.7852 (0.02)
Vgg19_bn	0.8753 (0.02)	0.6023 (0.01)	0.8016 (0.01)	0.8950 (0.00)	0.7936 (0.00)
FLANNEL_old	0.8168 (0.03)	0.6063 (0.02)	0.8267 (0.00)	0.9144 (0.01)	0.7910 (0.01)
FLANNEL	0.9239 (0.01)	0.6675 (0.02)	0.8306 (0.01)	0.9322 (0.00)	0.8385 (0.01)

The values in parentheses are the standard deviations.

We chose 5 base learners for FLANNEL framework, Densenet161, InceptionV3, Resnet152, ResNeXt101 and Vgg19\_bn. These models were fine-tuned using default parameter values, settings and by using the Adam optimizer. We compared FLANNEL with these 5 base learners of the framework.

We are planning to create and train patch-based versions of the base learners that will use the masked version of the same images from the dataset. We will then re-run the FLANNEL with the patch-based learners and compare performance. In addition to the improvements, we are also planning to compare 2 recent COVID-19 deep learning models, COVID-Net[9] and AI-COVID[3].

**Write about data processing** We applied horizontal flips and random noise to convert PA view into AP view so that model can be trained on the same view. We use a train-test ratio of 4:1 to randomly generate train test splits. We applied five fold cross validation on training to get 5 models. This is done to maximize the limited sample size. For image pre-processing, we resize all images from 256\*256 to 224\*224 by randomly cropping them in the center. Inception\_V3 images were cropped at 299\*299 because of resolution required by inception\_v3 model. The original X-ray has the same labels which are masked by the crop.

In our proposal data go through two processing In Segmentation Network Global approach Masked images resized to 224\*224 Local patch-based approach Masked images were cropped randomly with a size of 224\*224 CSR images are resized to much bigger 1024\*1024 to reflect pixel distribution better Segmentation mask is unsampled to match 1024\*1024 image size To avoid cropping the patch from the empty area of the masked image. Centers of patches are randomly selected within the lung areas. During inference, K-number of patches were randomly acquired for each image to represent the entire attribute of the whole image. K was chosen to

sufficiently cover all lung pixels multiple times. Each patch is fed into the base model to generate network output and among K network output the final decision was made based on Majority voting. I.e. Most frequently declared classes were regarded as final output. Here instead of majority voting keep the confidence score and actual labels for ensemble approach. In our approach, the number of random patches K was set to 100, which means the 100 patches were generated randomly from one whole image for majority voting. For classification network training, pre-trained parameters from the ImageNet were used for network weight initialization, after which the network is trained using CXR data. As for optimization algorithms, Adam optimizer with learning rate 0.001 is applied. After 150 epochs, the learning rate is changed to 0.0001. The best model is selected among 200 epochs training.

The primary objective was to improve the detection of COVID-19 in CXR images with a multi-classifier model that can detect Normal, Pneumonia Viral, Pneumonia Bacteria and COVID-19. The baseline we will be comparing against is the original FLANNEL architecture. We used the same datasets that was used in the FLANNEL paper, the COVID Chest X-ray Dataset (<https://github.com/ieee8023/covid-chestxray-dataset>) and the Kaggle Chest X-ray images dataset (<https://www.kaggle.com/paultimothymooney/chest-xray-pneumonia>). Similar to FLANNEL architecture, we will also restricted the types of images used to anteroposterior (AP) or posteroanterior (PA).

The first major data-pre-processing step that we performed on our dataset was segmentation. In order to accomplish this, we recreated the same segmentation network that Park et al. used for their patch-based classification; FC-DenseNet103[9]. We trained the FC-DenseNet103 model to produce a mask of the lung contours of a CXR image. The datasets that were used to train the segmentation network were the Japanese

Society of Radiological Technology (JSRT) dataset (<http://db.jsrt.or.jp/analysis/>) which contained 247 PA CXR images and the Segmentation in Chest Radiographs (SCR) database (<https://www.isi.uu.nl/Research/Data/Bases/SCR/>) which contains segmentation masks for the CXR images from the JSRT dataset. The JSRT/SCR dataset were randomly split where 80% of images were used for training and 20% were used for validation; this resulted in 197 images being used for training and 50 images being used for validation for the JSRT dataset as shown in table 2. After the model was trained for 100 epochs, the following metrics were noted:

Table 2. FC-DenseNet103 Segmentation Training & Validation Dataset Dataset Number of Images Training 197 Validation 50

We then applied the trained FC-DenseNet103 segmentation model on the AP and PA CXR images from the Covid Chest X-ray and Kaggle X-ray datasets, this resulted in producing a mask for the lung contours for each of the images. We then split the segmented dataset using a train-test ratio of 4:1 to randomly generate train test splits. To ensure reporting accurate performance on the base models, we used five fold cross validation while training.

---

**Algorithm 1:** FLANNEL with patch-by-patch Training

---

**input:**

X-ray Images, Class Labels  
 Segmentation Base Model  
 {FC-DenseNet103}  
 FLANNEL Base Models  
 $\{Learner_1, Learner_2, \dots, Learner_n\}$   
 {Define B as batch size}  
 {K random patches}  
 Stage 1: Train segmentation network using FC-DenseNet103 with respect to input images and labels. Using trained segmentation network, pass random k patches to FLANNEL base models  
 Stage 2: For each batch (TODO: Dimensions) from input images and labels do -i Step1: Get prediction values from all Base Models (TODO: LATEX formula) -i Step2: Get learner weights  $W = \text{NeuralWeightModel}(\text{Latex Formula})$  -i Step3: Linear Combination for Prediction (Latex softmax formula) (Where  $W_i$  represents i-the column of  $W$ ) -i Step4: Loss = FocalLoss( $\hat{Y}, Y$ )  
 Back-propagate on Loss and update parameters  
 ENd For

---

Stage-1 Stage-1: Segmentation Model Training Formula  
 Stage-2: Ensemble model learning TODO Formula Get Focal loss definition from original paper  
 Implementation Details (TODO too much copy and paste)  
 FLANNEL with patch by patch are implemented in PyTorch and are trained on 4 different Amazon Web Services Elastic Compute Cloud virtual machines each featuring a single NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPU. The base models (TODO: mention base models) are fine tuned using pretrained models. The data are augmented with random flips, crops and scaling during the fine tuning process.  
 After the base models are trained, FLANNEL is trained by passing in the concatenated output layers of the base models as the input features. TODO: Insert performance

Stage-1 Base learners training TODO: Write TODO: Insert  
 Stage-2 Ensemble Learning TODO: Write Conclusion As

we are making progress, we have run the base models and FLANNEL on the new dataset. We completed the Segmentation training and are able to feed that to base models. We still need to run the stage-1 and stage-2 of FLANNEL approach to see the actual performance cost of bringing in Patch-by-Patch training to FLANNEL.

With the improved distribution of COVID-19 data we see FLANNEL outperforms the metrics as seen in the base flannel paper.

<https://link.springer.com/content/pdf/10.1007/s12559-020-09775-9.pdf>

## 4. APPROACH

We will use updated data to reproduce FLANNEL and our proposed improvement.

### 4.1 Reproduce FLANNEL

#### 4.1.1 Stage-1: Base Learner Training

As done in the original paper, we would use CNN models InceptionV3, Vgg19\_bn, ResNeXt101, Resnet152 and Densenet161 as base learners. Due to limited data for training, we will utilize pre-trained models on ImageNet and fine-tune each model for COVID-19 classification.

#### 4.1.2 Stage-2: Ensemble model learning

We would feed the predictions from base learners to FLANNEL neural weight module to learn base learner weights. For learning, we use the Focal loss function modified for multi-class classification. To compare the advantage of FLANNEL model on ensemble learning, we would also train FLANNEL with traditional ensemble methods voting and stacking, training FLANNEL with cross-entropy loss replacing focal loss and training FLANNEL with re-sampling and without focal loss.

### 4.2 Improvement Discussion

Based on the performance of the novel FLANNEL architecture, our team is motivated to improve the performance further. With inspiration from the approach of Oh Y., and Park S. [4], a primary improvement that we are proposing is to extract the lung contours from the CXR images prior to classification. The motivation behind this is to have the classifier focus on the specific lung regions versus the whole CXR image. In addition, another improvement we propose is to modify each base model in the ensemble to process the segmented CXR image in K patches as shown in Figure 1. To accomplish this, the steps we will follow are

1. Extract the lung contours from the CXR images using Segment Model.
2. Train k-patch classifiers by starting with pre-trained model from ImageNet. Divide extracted lung contours into k-patches. Run each patch through model to generate classification, prediction is calculated based on majority voting. Update shared weights for each of the k models.

3. Construct improved FLANNEL architecture by using extracted lung contours as input and k-patch classifiers used as the base models.
4. Train Improved FLANNEL architecture. From input CXR images, extract the lung contours using Segment Model. Create k-patches of segmented CXR. Each k-patch classifier processes k-patches and produces predictions. Calculate weighted ensemble through neural weighting module. Compute prediction based on k-patch model predictions and weights. Compute focal loss and update neural weighting module weights. Continuously calculate metrics to measure performance such as but not limited to: accuracy, recall, precision, F1 and ROC.
5. Perform ensemble (combining multiple K patch classifiers) to calculate the weighted ensemble.
6. Get the prediction and compare with the ground truth.
7. Apply Focal Loss to train the model (improve weights).
8. Test the model on the 'test' dataset to calculate accuracy, precision, F1 measure, ROC and other metrics.




Figure 1: FLANNEL Improvement

### 4.3 Performance Analysis

We will record the classification accuracy for 4 classes using F1-score. We compare the F1-score accuracy for COVID-19 vs other classes for five base learners, FLANNEL with ensemble strategies voting and stacking, FLANNEL with cross entropy loss, FLANNEL with re-sampling and FLANNEL with k-patch improvement. We use receiving operating characteristic (ROC) curve and precision-recall (PR) curve to display classification performance against threshold. Finally we will provide visual description of FLANNEL and proposed improvement performance using confusion matrix.

## 5. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

We are planning to use FLANNEL source code as our baseline and enhance on top of it. Our codebase would be using below software/python packages as shown in Table 4

Table 4: Software/Tools used

Software/Tool	Version
Python	3.8.5
numpy	1.20.2
torch	1.8.1
torchvision	0.9.1
matplotlib	3.4.0
scikit-learn	0.24.1
pandas	1.2.3

As FLANNEL model requires significant compute and GPU resources (3 NVIDIA Tesla P100 GPUs). We would be utilizing AWS EC2 service with instance type p3.8xlarge which provides 4 NVIDIA Tesla V100 GPUs along with 32 core CPU and 64GB RAM.

For data analysis and exploration, we are going to use Google Colab.




Figure 2: FLANNEL Improvement




Figure 3: Confusion Matrix



Figure 4: Segmentation Training

## 6. REFERENCES

- [1] L. K. Hansen and P. Salamon. Neural network ensembles. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 12(10):993–1001, 1990.
- [2] S. Jégou, M. Drozdzal, D. Vázquez, A. Romero, and Y. Bengio. The one hundred layers tiramisu: Fully convolutional densenets for semantic segmentation. *CoRR*, abs/1611.09326, 2016.
- [3] T.-Y. Lin, P. Goyal, R. Girshick, K. He, and P. Dollár. Focal loss for dense object detection, 2018.
- [4] Y. Oh, S. Park, and J. C. Ye. Deep Learning COVID-19 Features on CXR Using Limited Training Data Sets. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*, 39(8):2688–2700, 08 2020.
- [5] Z. Qiao, A. Bae, L. M. Glass, C. Xiao, and J. Sun. FLANNEL (Focal Loss bAsed Neural Network Ensemble) for COVID-19 detection. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 28(3):444–452, 10 2020.
- [6] A. Sharkey. On combining artificial neural nets. *Connect. Sci.*, 8:299–314, 12 1996.

case