

LINEAR ALGEBRA

Assignment-4

NAME: NAGA MANO - HAK

Roll No: 2021101128

Q1

Given, V is a vector space spanned by the finite set of vectors, $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$

RTP: Any independent set of vectors in V is finite & contains no more than m elements.

i.e., we have to prove if \exists a set S in V containing elements $[n > m]$ then S is linearly dependent.

\Rightarrow let S be a non-empty subset of V containing vectors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ s.t. $n > m$

as $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m$ span V

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^m A_{ij} \beta_j$$

where A_{ij} are distinct scalars in Field F .

Now

consider

n scalars x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n in F
set then

$$\Rightarrow x_1 \alpha_1 + x_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + x_n \alpha_n$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \alpha_j$$

$$(\because A = \sum_{i=1}^m A_{ij} \beta_i)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \sum_{i=1}^m A_{ij} \beta_i$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^m x_j A_{ij} \beta_i$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j \right] \beta_i$$

then,

w.k.T

For an $A_{m \times n}$ matrices if $n > m$ then

$AX = 0$ has non-trivial solution

$\Rightarrow AX = 0$ " for not-all x_i being equal to zero "

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j = 0 \quad (\text{for some non-zero } x_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 \alpha_1 + x_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + x_n \alpha_n = \sum_{i=1}^m \left[\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} x_j \right] \beta_i$$

$$\boxed{\sum x_i \alpha_i = 0}$$

~~for some~~ and \exists some x_i 's $\neq 0$

$\Rightarrow x_1 \alpha_1 + x_2 \alpha_2 + \dots$
and some

$\Rightarrow x_1, x_2, \dots$

in the set

Hence, any indepe

V is finite and

Hence, proved.

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_1 \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \alpha_n = 0$$

and \exists some $\alpha_i \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly dependent

in, the set S is linearly dependent

Hence, any independent set of vectors in V is finite and contains $\leq m$ elements only,

Hence, proved

Q21,
Answer

Given,

W_1, W_2 are finite dimensional sub-spaces of vector space V .

RTP:-

① $W_1 + W_2$ is finite dimensional

$$\textcircled{2} \dim W_1 + \dim W_2 = \dim(W_1 \cap W_2) + \dim(W_1 + W_2)$$

①

let

W_1 has the basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$

W_2 has the basis $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$

then

W.K.T

Intersection of 2 subspaces is a subspace

$\Rightarrow W_1 \cap W_2$ is a subspace of V

and also

for every linearly independent subset of

$[W_1 \cap W_2]$ is finite and is part of a

(finite) basis

Since W_1

$\Rightarrow W_1 \cap W_2$

is part

$= \sum \alpha_i$

Now $W_1 +$

$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k$

and

let α_1, \dots

be indepe

$\Rightarrow \sum \alpha_i$

$\Rightarrow - \sum$

Hence,

as \sum

α_i, β_j

$\Rightarrow \sum \alpha_i$

but as

and

$\Rightarrow \sum \alpha_i$

Sub-spaces

Since W_1, W_2 are finite dimensional
 $\Rightarrow W_1 \cap W_2$ has a finite basis which
is part of basis of W_1 and W_2

$$= \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k\} \Rightarrow \boxed{\dim(W_1 \cap W_2) = k}$$

Now $W_1 + W_2$ is spanned by the vectors

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \quad \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \quad \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$$

and

~~let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$ form~~

~~an independent set~~

let

$$\sum x_i \alpha_i + \sum y_j \beta_j + \sum z_r \gamma_r = 0 \quad \text{for some scalars } x_i, y_j, z_r$$

$$\Rightarrow -\sum z_r \gamma_r = \sum x_i \alpha_i + \sum y_j \beta_j$$

Hence,

as $\sum z_r \gamma_r$ is a linear combination of

$$\alpha_i, \beta_j \quad \begin{matrix} i=1 \text{ to } k \\ j=1 \text{ to } m \end{matrix} \text{ in } W_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum z_r \gamma_r \in W_1$$

$$\text{but as } \sum z_r \gamma_r \in W_2$$

$$\text{and } \sum x_i \alpha_i \in W_1 \text{ and } \sum y_j \beta_j \in W_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum z_r \gamma_r = \text{linear combination of } \alpha_i \text{ in } W_2 \\ = \sum c_i \alpha_i$$

an
dependent
set
and

Now,
 w_1, w_2 are finite-dimensional subspaces
 \Rightarrow the basis is independent set

$$\sum z_r \delta_r = \sum c_i \alpha_i$$

$$\text{and } -\sum z_r \delta_r = \sum x_i \alpha_i + \sum y_j \beta_j \quad \text{--- (a)}$$

Now as the set

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_n\} \text{ is}$$

independent set (w_2)

\Rightarrow each $x_i = 0$ and $z_r = 0$ then only

$$\sum x_i \alpha_i + \sum z_r \delta_r = 0$$

$$\text{but as } \boxed{\sum z_r \delta_r = \sum c_i \alpha_i}$$

\Rightarrow each $z_r = 0$ is a MUST! - for independent set.
--- (b)

$$\text{(a)} \Rightarrow \sum x_i \alpha_i + \sum y_j \beta_j = 0$$

Now the set

$$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \text{ is}$$

independent (w_1)

\Rightarrow each $x_i = 0$ and each $y_j = 0$ --- (c)

From (b) & (c) the set

$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$
is an independent set and [it spans
 $W_1 + W_2$ (we know)]

thus $W_1 + W_2$ has the basis

$\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n\}$

which is finite-dimensional. ($\dim(W_1 + W_2)$
 $= k + m + n$)

Now

$$\textcircled{2} \dim W_1 + \dim W_2 = (k+m) + (k+n)$$

$$= k + (m + k + n)$$

$$= \dim(W_1 \cap W_2) + \dim(W_1 + W_2)$$

$$\text{L.H.S} = \text{R.H.S.}$$

Hence, proved.