

# LINEAR ALGEBRA

## Class Assignment - (1a)

NAME: NAGA  
MANDHAR  
Roll: 2021101128

- ① Why study Linear Algebra? Mention your motivation (or) potential applications etc,

Answer

Linear Algebra has many real world Applications.

① For solving any Real world Science/Math problem, we end up getting sum "unknowns" and some relation between them.  
Thus they form a set of linear equations with Number of unknowns = Number of variables.  
Linear Algebra can be used in solving them more efficiently

② In computers and programming say we define a new Abstract Data Type "complex" denoting complex numbers of the form  $(a+ib)$  so that all operations on them can be defined and the New Data Type can be used without errors. Here this Group/Field structures will be linked to vector spaces thus linking to Linear Algebra.

③ In game Development as we need the "shadow, speed, angle, location" etc., for a player we must use vector spaces to see the view in Multi-dimensions and solving all the sets of linear equations to calculate the exact value of each parameter.

Thus Linear Algebra is widely used everywhere in Real life for solving etc,

ed  
etc., for a  
to see the  
olving all  
calculate the  
d everywhere

② Prove that the set of all complex numbers  
of the form  $x+y\sqrt{2}$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are  
rational, is a sub-field of  $(\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$ .

Answer

Given

a Field  $F = (\mathbb{C}, +, \cdot)$

$\mathbb{C}$  = set of complex  
Numbers

Let

$$S = \{ k \mid k = x + y\sqrt{2}, \forall x, y \in \text{Rational Numbers} \} \quad (\mathbb{Q})$$

RTP if 'S' is a sub-field of F

i.e.  $\textcircled{1} S, +$  is Field  $(S, +)$

$\textcircled{2} S, \cdot$

$\textcircled{1} (S, +, \cdot)$  is a Field

$\textcircled{1}$  Addition (+)

(i) Closure =

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Let } k_1 = x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2} \in S \\ & k_2 = x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2} \text{ where } \\ & = k_1 + k_2 = x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2} + x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2} \\ & = (x_1 + x_2) + (y_1 + y_2)\sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (x_1 + x_2), (y_1 + y_2) \in \mathbb{Q}$$

$$\therefore k_3 = (x_1 + x_2) + (y_1 + y_2)\sqrt{2} \in S$$

$\textcircled{ii} \text{ Commutative}$

$$k_1 + k_2 = x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2} + x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}$$

$$= x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2} + x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}$$

$$= k_2 + k_1$$

$\therefore k_1 + k_2 = k_2 + k_1$ , Hence commutative  
under (+)

### (iii) Associativity:

$$(k_1 + k_2) + k_3 =$$

$$= ((x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}) + (x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2})) + (x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2})$$

$$= (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2} + x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}) + (x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2})$$

$$= x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2} + x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2} + x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2}$$

$$= x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2} + (x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2} + x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2})$$

$$= k_1 + (k_2 + k_3)$$

$$\therefore (k_1 + k_2) + k_3 = k_1 + (k_2 + k_3).$$

lit  
another  
 $k_3 = x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2} \in S$

where  
 $x_3, y_3 \in \mathbb{Q}$

(i) closure  
 $K_1 \cdot K_2$   
 $= (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2})(x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2})$   
 $= x_1 x_2 + x_1 y_2\sqrt{2} + x_2 y_1\sqrt{2} + y_1 y_2 \cdot 2$   
 $= (x_1 x_2 + 2y_1 y_2) + (x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)\sqrt{2}$

Hence  
 $= x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}$

(ii) com.

$K_1 \cdot K_2$

### (iv) Existence of Identity under (+)

$\exists$  unique element (0)  $\in S$  s.t.

$$(s.t. k = x + y\sqrt{2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} k+0 &= x + y\sqrt{2} + 0 \\ &= 0 + x + y\sqrt{2} \\ &= x + y\sqrt{2} \\ &= 0 + k \\ &= k \end{aligned}$$

### (v) Existence of Inverse under (+)

$\forall k \in S \quad \exists$  a unique element  $-k \in S$

$$s.t. k + (-k) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  for some  $k = x + y\sqrt{2}$   
 $-k = -(x + y\sqrt{2})$  is the

Inverse

## ② Multiplication in $(\cdot)$

(i) Closure:  $\forall k_1, k_2 \in S$   
 $k_1, k_2 \in S$

let

$$k_1 = x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}$$

$$k_2 = x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}$$

$$k_3 = x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2}$$

$$= k_1 \cdot k_2$$

$$= (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}) \cdot (x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2})$$

$$= x_1 x_2 + x_1 y_2 \sqrt{2} + x_2 y_1 \sqrt{2} + 2y_1 y_2$$

$$= \underbrace{(x_1 x_2 + 2y_1 y_2)}_{X_1} + \underbrace{(x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1)}_{Y_1} \cdot \sqrt{2}$$

$$X_1, Y_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$$

Hence

$$= x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2} \in S$$

(ii) commutativity of  $(\cdot)$   $\Rightarrow k_1 \cdot k_2 = k_2 \cdot k_1$

$$k_1 \cdot k_2 = (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}) \cdot (x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2})$$

$$= (x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}) \cdot (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2})$$

$$\boxed{k_1 \cdot k_2 = k_2 \cdot k_1}$$

(vi) Associativity of  $(\cdot)$   $(k_1 \cdot k_2) \cdot k_3 = k_1 \cdot (k_2 \cdot k_3)$

$$(k_1 \cdot k_2) \cdot k_3 = \boxed{(x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}) \cdot (x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2})} \cdot (x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2})$$

$$= (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}) \cdot (x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}) \cdot (x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2})$$

$$= (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}) \cdot [(x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}) \cdot (x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2})]$$

$$= k_1 \cdot (k_2 \cdot k_3)$$

### Nii) Existence of Identity under $(\cdot)$

$\exists$  a unique non-zero element '1' in  $S$

s/t  $k \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot k = k$

let

$$k = x + y\sqrt{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow (x + y\sqrt{2}) \cdot 1 = k, 1$$

$$= (x + y\sqrt{2}) = k$$

$$= 1 \cdot (x + y\sqrt{2}) = 1 \cdot k$$

$$= k$$

### Viii) Existence of Inverse under $(\cdot)$

$\exists$  a unique non-zero element  $\tilde{k}$  in  $S$

s/t  $k \cdot \tilde{k} = \tilde{k} \cdot k = 1$

let

$$k = x + y\sqrt{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{k} = \frac{1}{x + y\sqrt{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow k \cdot \tilde{k} = (x + y\sqrt{2}) \cdot \frac{1}{(x + y\sqrt{2})} = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{k} \cdot k = \frac{1}{(x + y\sqrt{2})} \cdot (x + y\sqrt{2}) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{k \cdot \tilde{k} = \tilde{k} \cdot k = 1}$$

(XII)  $\oplus$  ( $\cdot$ ) is Distributive over ( $\cdot$ )

Given for  $k_1 = x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}$   
 $x_1, y_1 \in S$   
 $x_2 = x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2} \in S$   
 $y_2 \in S$   
 $k_2 = x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}$

$k_1 \cdot (k_2 + k_3) = k_1 \cdot k_2 + k_1 \cdot k_3$

$= (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}) \cdot ((x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}) + (x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2}))$

$= x_1(x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}) + \underline{y_1\sqrt{2}} \cdot (x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}) + x_1(x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2})$

$+ y_1\sqrt{2} \cdot (x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2})$

$= (x_1x_2 + 2y_1y_2 + x_1x_3 + 2y_1y_3)$

$+ \sqrt{2}(x_1y_2 + x_2y_1 + x_1y_3 + x_3y_1)$

$= (x_1x_2 + x_1y_2\sqrt{2} + x_2y_1\sqrt{2} + 2y_1y_2)$

$+ (x_1x_3 + x_1y_3\sqrt{2} + x_3y_1\sqrt{2} + 2y_1y_3)$

$= (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}) \cdot (x_2 + y_2\sqrt{2}) + (x_1 + y_1\sqrt{2}) \cdot (x_3 + y_3\sqrt{2})$

$= k_1 \cdot k_2 + k_1 \cdot k_3$

$\therefore k_1 \cdot (k_2 + k_3) = k_1 \cdot k_2 + k_1 \cdot k_3$

Hence by (i)  $\rightarrow$  (XII) (1 - to - T)

Properties 'S' is a Field and

as

$$② S = \{k \mid k = x + y\sqrt{2}, \text{ where } x, y \in \mathbb{Q}\}$$

W.L.K.T The complex number set is the biggest set of numbers so every other set of numbers is a subset of it.

Thus  $S \subset F = \mathbb{C}$

$$S \subset F$$

$\therefore S'$  is a sub-field of  $F = \langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot \rangle$

Hence, the set of all numbers of the form  $x + y\sqrt{2}$ , where  $x, y$  are rational is a sub-field of  $\langle \mathbb{C}, +, \cdot \rangle$ .

③ prove that each sub-field of the field of complex numbers contains every rational number!

Answer :-

Given,  
a Field  $F = \text{set of complex numbers}$   
 $F = \langle \cdot, +, \cdot \rangle$

RTP let  $S$  be sub-field of  $F$ ,

then,  $S$  contains all rational numbers in it.

Rational numbers ( $\mathbb{Q}$ ) is in the form  $\frac{m}{n}$ ,  
 $n \neq 0, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Now

w.k.t  
as  $S$  is a sub-Field. It obeys  
all Field properties

$\Rightarrow \exists$  Additive Identity  $= 0 \in S$  (under +)

and  $\exists$  Multiplicative Identity  $= 1 \in S$  (under  $\cdot$ )

Now  $\langle S, +, \cdot \rangle$

Every characteristic sub-Field of  $F$  has  
a characteristic zero.

$\because S$  is a sub-Field

then

$1 \in S$

$n \cdot 1 = 0$  in  $S \Rightarrow n \cdot 1 = 0$  in  $F$

But  $n \cdot 1 = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}$

$\Rightarrow n = 0$

Hence the set of Natural numbers  $\in S$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{1, 2, 3, \dots \in S} \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Now all these numbers have

Additive inverses (under +)  $\Rightarrow \boxed{x + (-x) = 0}$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{-1, -2, -3, \dots \in S} \quad \text{as well --- (2)}$$

Now they also have multiplicative inverses

From (1) & (2) and 0  $\in S$

We have set of Integers  $\in S$   
i.e.,  $n \in S, \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$

Now for every  $n \in S$  we have multiplicative  
inverse

$$\Rightarrow \pm \frac{1}{n} \in S \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (n \neq 0)$$

Now consider some other  $m \in S, \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}$

Now

$$m \in S \text{ and } \pm \frac{1}{n} \in S \quad (n \neq 0)$$

By closure property of multiplication (.)

$$\Rightarrow \pm m \cdot \frac{1}{n} \in S$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\pm \frac{m}{n} \in S}$$

Thus  $\left\{ \frac{p}{q} \mid p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0 \right\}$

Hence,  $\mathbb{S}$  has every rational number it

Hence, proved

(ii) Prove that the inverse operation (function) of an elementary row operation exists, and is an elementary row operation of the same type

Type 2

Answer

Given, an elementary row operation  
RTP Inverse of it exists and it is of same type

We have 3 elementary row operations.  
we prove that these 3 will have inverses of same type

Type 1 swap two Rows

Let  $R_i, R_j$  be any two rows

then  $R_i \rightarrow R_j$  and  $R_j \rightarrow R_i$  ( $i \neq j$ )

Now the inverse of this is again of

same type

$\Rightarrow [R_j \rightarrow R_i \text{ and } R_i \rightarrow R_j]$

Thus we get back to original matrix  
and hence is an inverse of same-type

Type 2 or Multiplying a row by a scalar

$$\Rightarrow c(A)_{ij} = A_{ij} \text{ if } i \neq r, c(A)_{rj} = k \cdot A_{rj}$$

Now  $k \in \text{Field } F$  of Matrix A.

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{k} \in \text{Field } F$$

so applying elementary operation of same type on matrix we get

$$\Rightarrow c(A)_{ij} = A_{ij} \text{ if } i \neq r \quad c(A)_{rj} = \frac{1}{k} \cdot (k \cdot A_{rj})$$
$$c(A)_{rj} = A_{rj}$$

Thus we get back original matrix again

Type 3: Multiplying a row by a scalar and adding it to another row

$$R_i \rightarrow R_i + k \cdot R_j \quad \text{---(1)}$$

Now the inverse of this operation is

$$R_i \rightarrow R_i - k \cdot R_j$$

This is previous  $R_i$  that is changed by (1)

Now  $k \in \text{Field } F$

$$\Rightarrow ((R_i + k \cdot R_j) - k \cdot R_j)$$

$$\Rightarrow -k \in \text{Field } F$$

$$(-k \cdot R_i)$$

$$\text{Let } c = -k$$

$$\text{Inverse operation} \Rightarrow R_i \rightarrow R_i + c \cdot R_j$$

Thus it is also of same type

Hence, proved