

MDL Assignment - 1

NAGA MANOHAR

2021/01/28

①

(a) The state is "wrong" as their could be situation \Rightarrow Raju is carrying an umbrella, but it didn't rain (since it's a sunny-day)

using Truth Tables

let P - the event of raining
 Q - the event of Raju carrying an umbrella.

Now statement 1 is $P \rightarrow Q$

and If both statements together are valid

$\Rightarrow P \rightarrow Q$ is true and Q is true thus P has to be true

$\Rightarrow P \rightarrow Q, Q \Rightarrow P$ - ①

Truth Tables

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

- ②

from ①, ②

$P \rightarrow Q, Q$ - is True

P - is False

\therefore The statement isn't valid

Thus, the 2 statements can't be valid simultaneously

$S \Rightarrow T$
then there should be no case when $S = \text{True}$ & $T = \text{False}$

(a) Thus, if Statement 1 is true, then Statement 2 need NOT be true.

(b) let

P - the event of weather is warm

Q - be the event of sky is clear

R - be the event of we go swimming

S - be the event of we go boating

st 1 If the weather is warm and the sky is clear, then either we go swimming or we go boating. i.e.

$$(P \wedge Q) \rightarrow (R \vee S) \text{ --- (1)}$$

st2: It is not the case that if we do not go swimming, then the sky is not clear i.e.,
 $\neg(\neg R \rightarrow \neg Q)$ — (2)

st3: Therefore, either the weather is warm or we go boating i.e.,
 $(P \vee Q)$ — (3)

Now for the st1, st2, st3 to be true simultaneously.

$$(1), (2) \Rightarrow (3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } (1) &\Rightarrow (P \wedge Q) \rightarrow (X \vee Y) \\ &\Rightarrow \neg(P \wedge Q) \vee (X \vee Y) \\ &\Rightarrow (\neg P \vee \neg Q) \vee (X \vee Y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (2) &\Rightarrow \neg(\neg(\neg R) \vee \neg Q) \\ &\Rightarrow \neg(R \vee \neg Q) \\ &\Rightarrow \neg R \wedge Q \end{aligned}$$

hence, in truth table, we should get if (1), (2) are true then (3) must be true.

st1, st2 — are premise (1, 2)
st3 — conclusion

$$(1) \rightarrow (2 \vee R) \wedge (\neg Q \wedge Q)$$

P	Q	R	S	<u>st1</u>	<u>st2</u>	<u>st3</u> (conclusion)
T	T	T	T	T	F	T
T	T	T	F	T	F	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	T	T
T	F	T	T	T	F	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	T
T	F	F	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	F
F	T	F	F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	T	F	F

For, $P=F, Q=T, R=F, S=T \Rightarrow st_1, st_2(T, T)$
 $\neg (P \vee Q) \vee (R \wedge S) \Rightarrow \neg (F \vee T) \vee (F \wedge T) \Rightarrow \neg (T) \vee (F) \Rightarrow F \vee F \Rightarrow F$
 But $st_3(F)$

Hence, ①, ②, ③
 Thus st_1, st_2, st_3 are invalid.
 Hence, the statements together are invalid.

Given

x - False
y - False

T - True
F - False

(a) $\sim(A \vee X) = \sim(T \vee F)$ ($\because T \vee F = T$)
 $= \sim(T)$ ($\because T \in R$)
 $= F = (\text{False})$

$$(b) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{AV}(X \wedge Y) &= \text{TV}(F \wedge F) & (\because F \wedge F = F) \\ &= \text{TV}(F) & \text{TV}(F) = F \\ &= F \end{aligned}$$

$$(c) A \wedge (X \vee (B \wedge Y)) = T \wedge (F \vee (T \wedge F))$$

$$= T \wedge (F \vee F)$$

$$= T \wedge F$$

$F \notin \text{False}$

$$\begin{aligned} (d) & ((A \wedge X) \vee \sim \frac{B}{F}) \wedge \sim ((A \wedge X) \vee \sim \frac{B}{T}) \\ & = ((T \wedge F) \vee F) \wedge \sim ((T \wedge F) \vee F) \end{aligned}$$

$$= (F \vee F) \wedge \sim (F \vee F)$$

$$= F \wedge \sim F$$

$$= \text{FAT}$$

$$= \text{false}$$

$$(c) (P \wedge Q) \wedge (\sim A \vee X)$$

$$= (P \wedge Q) \wedge (\sim T \vee F)$$

$$= (P \wedge Q) \wedge (F \vee F)$$

$$= (P \wedge Q) \wedge F$$

$$= F \text{ (False)}$$

$$(f) ((X \wedge Y) \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (X \rightarrow (Y \rightarrow A))$$

$$= (F \rightarrow T) \rightarrow (F \rightarrow (F \rightarrow T))$$

$$= (T) \rightarrow (F \rightarrow T)$$

$$= T \rightarrow T$$

$$= T \text{ (True)}$$

P	Q	$P \rightarrow Q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

3(a)

Formal Proof Method's

given,

$$P \rightarrow \sim Q, \sim Q \rightarrow R \Rightarrow P \rightarrow R$$

① $P \rightarrow \sim Q$ (Premise 1)

② $\sim Q \rightarrow R$ (Premise 2)

③ $P \rightarrow R$ (\because ①, ② \Rightarrow Hypothetical syllogism)
 $P \rightarrow Q, Q \rightarrow R \Rightarrow P \rightarrow R$

$\therefore P \rightarrow \sim Q, \sim Q \rightarrow R \Rightarrow P \rightarrow R$ is true

Resolution Method's

① Convert the premise's and goal to CNF
 (conjunction of disjunctions)

$$P \rightarrow \sim Q = (\sim P \vee \sim Q) = \sim P$$

$$\sim Q \rightarrow R = \sim(\sim Q) \vee R = Q \vee R = R$$

$$P \rightarrow R = \sim P \vee R = R$$

Now to prove that P follows from S ($S \Rightarrow P$)

using refutation,

start with S and $\sim P$ in clausal form &

derive a contradiction

and if empty clause derived $\Rightarrow S, \sim P$ are never true together

$\Rightarrow S$ are true, at least one clause of P is false

$\Rightarrow \sim P$ is false

$\Rightarrow P$ is true.

Thus consider
 $\neg(3)$

$$\neg(p \rightarrow \neg q) \quad \neg(p \rightarrow R) = \neg(\neg p \vee R)$$

$$\neg(\neg p \vee R) = p \wedge \neg R$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$p \vee (q \wedge \neg R) =$$

1. $\neg p \vee \neg q$

(premise)

2. $q \vee R$

(premise)

3. p

(from negation of conclusion (3))

4. $\neg R$

(")

(2, 4 resolution)

5. q

(1, 5 resolution)

6. $\neg p$

(3, 6 resolution)

7. Empty clause

$S, \neg p (S \Rightarrow \neg p) \Rightarrow$ empty class is derived

$\Rightarrow \neg p$ is false

$\Rightarrow p$ is true

Hence,

$$\neg p \vee \neg q, q \vee R \Rightarrow \neg p \vee R$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{p \rightarrow \neg q, \neg q \rightarrow R \Rightarrow p \rightarrow R}$$

(b)

consider

$$R.H.S = ((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow q$$

$$= ((p \wedge \neg p) \vee (q \wedge \neg p)) \rightarrow q$$

$$= (F \vee (q \wedge \neg p)) \rightarrow q$$

$$= (q \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow q$$

Here L.H.S is
Empty clause

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \neg (Q \wedge \neg P) \vee Q \\
 &= (\neg Q \vee \neg(\neg P)) \vee Q \\
 &= (\neg Q \vee P) \vee Q \\
 &= (Q \vee \neg Q) \vee P \\
 &= T \vee P \\
 &= T \text{ (True)} \\
 &= \text{R.H.S}
 \end{aligned}$$

Formal Proof Method

from the above observations
is always true (Tautology)

Thus,

$$\Rightarrow ((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow Q$$

and valid

Resolution method

again

in $S \Rightarrow P$ consider $\neg P$

and prove resolution of $S, \neg P$ empty clause

$$\text{R.H.S} = ((P \vee Q) \wedge \neg P) \rightarrow Q$$

conclusion (R.H.S)

$$(A \rightarrow B = \neg A \vee B)$$

$$1) (\neg p) \text{ (R.H.S.)}$$

$$2) \neg ((p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p)) \rightarrow q$$

$$\neq \neg (\neg((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p)) \vee q$$

$$\neq (\neg(p \vee q) \wedge p) \vee q$$

$$= ((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p) \wedge \neg q$$

\Rightarrow clausal form

$$\begin{array}{l} c_1: p \vee q \\ c_2: \neg p \\ c_3: \neg q \end{array} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{from negation of conclusion (R.H.S.)} \\ \text{"} \\ \text{"} \end{array} \right.$$

$$c_4: p \quad (c_1, c_3 \text{ resolution})$$

$$c_5: \text{empty clause} \quad (c_2, c_4 \text{ resolution})$$

$$\therefore S \rightarrow \neg p \Rightarrow \text{empty clause (resolution)}$$

$$\Rightarrow S \Rightarrow p \text{ is true}$$

$$\therefore \Rightarrow ((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p) \rightarrow q \text{ is true}$$

Hence, proved $\frac{1}{2}$