Feature readiness report for DEF2 board





JIRA Issue Summary:

key	summary	Missing_Columns
APS-1	TASK 1 for APS	value_statement, OKR, Acceptance_result, Due_date
APS-2	TASK 2 for APS	value_statement, OKR, Acceptance_result
APS-3	TASK 3 for APS	summary_result, summary_suggestion, value_statement, OKR, Acceptance_result
APS-4	TASK 4 for APS	value_statement, OKR, Acceptance_result

Acceptance Criteria suggestion Report

Summary Report

Feature Key: APS-1

Summary: TASK 1 for APS

Description: Description for feature 1 for APS

Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria for feature 1 for APS

Strengths:

None

Improvement Areas:

- Adhere to Given/When/Then format
- Improve clarity and specificity of conditions and outcomes
- Ensure each criterion is testable and addresses a specific scenario

Revised Version:

Given [Initial context/precondition], When [Specific action/event occurs], Then [Expected outcome/result]

Feature Key: APS-2

Summary: TASK 2 for APS

Summary Report

Description: Description for feature 2 for APS

Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria for feature 2 for APS

Strengths:

None

Improvement Areas:

- Missing Given/When/Then format
- Lack of specific scenarios
- Unclear success criteria

Revised Version:

Given a user is logged in to the APS system

When the user navigates to the 'Feature 2' section

Then the user should be able to [Specific Action] and see [Specific Result] indicating successful completion.

Feature Key: APS-3

Summary: TASK 3 for APS

Summary Report

Description: Description for feature 3 for APS

Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria for feature 3 for APS

Strengths:

None

Improvement Areas:

- Adhere to Given/When/Then format
- Ensure clarity and understandability for non-technical users
- Provide specific details about preconditions, actions, and expected outcomes

Revised Version:

Given [precondition/context], When [user action], Then [expected outcome/result]

Feature Key: APS-4

Summary: TASK 4 for APS

Description: Description for feature 4 for APS

Acceptance Criteria: Acceptance criteria for feature 4 for APS

Summary Report

Strengths:

None

Improvement Areas:

- The acceptance criteria are not provided, therefore it's impossible to assess the quality or provide a revised version.
- Missing Given/When/Then format.
- Lack of specific scenarios makes it impossible to determine if the criteria are well-defined and testable.

Revised Version:

Given [a specific initial context/precondition],

When [a specific action is performed],

Then [a specific outcome/result is observed].

Summary suggestion Report

Summary Report

Feature Key: APS-1

Summary: TASK 1 for APS

Summary Evaluation Result:

NEEDS IMPROVEMENT

Suggested Improved Summary:

Feature 1 for APS: [Briefly describe the feature's function]

Feature Key: APS-2

Summary: TASK 2 for APS

Summary Evaluation Result:

NEEDS IMPROVEMENT

Suggested Improved Summary:

Implement Feature 2 for APS

Summary Report

Feature Key: APS-3

Summary: TASK 3 for APS

Summary Evaluation Result:

nan

Suggested Improved Summary:

nan

Feature Key: APS-4

Summary: TASK 4 for APS

Summary Evaluation Result:

NEEDS IMPROVEMENT

Suggested Improved Summary:

Implement Feature 4 for APS