

(quick recap)

$\vec{z} = \phi(\underline{x})$

vector in the transformed space e.g. 3-D

vector function

vector in the original space e.g. 2-D

$\xrightarrow{\text{scalar}}$
 $k(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') \triangleq \underline{\phi}^T(\underline{x}) \underline{\phi}(\underline{x}')$
 two vectors in the original space
 first (original space) second (original space)
 $\underline{\phi}^T(\underline{x}) \quad \underline{\phi}(\underline{x}') = \underline{\phi}^T(\underline{x}') \quad \underline{\phi}(\underline{x})$
 symmetric

1) linear kernel $\phi(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}$ itself. \downarrow z

1) Linear kernel $\phi(\underline{x}) = \underline{x}$ itself.

e.g., linear decision boundary $\underline{w}^T \underline{x} + b = 0$

In the transformed domain

$\tilde{\underline{w}}^T \phi(\underline{x}) + b = 0$

linearity in the original space

appropriate dimension

linearity in the transformed space.

(for a linear kernel: the transformation is an identity transformation)

(2) Stationary Kernel $k(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') = k(\underline{x} - \underline{x}')$

invariant to translations in the input pattern space.

e.g., music information retrieval
male, female voice ('pitch transposition')

(2a) RBF $k(\underline{x}, \underline{x}') = k(\|\underline{x} - \underline{x}'\|)$

(Radial Basic Function)

Key point: 'Kernel Trick'

(*) Kernel function: **why?** Feature transformation -
decision boundary: linear in a
higher dimension, or at least the linear
decision boundary in the transformed
space could give a better separation
as compared to the original space.

(*) Computation: 'trick': make computations
in the lower dimensional space itself.

Dual Representations (Regression)

Earlier example: SVM: classification

(*) Many linear models for classification and regression: which can be reformulated in terms of a dual representation in which kernels arise naturally.

Regularised Linear Regression

$$J(\underline{w}) = \underbrace{\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ \underbrace{\underline{w}^T \Phi(\underline{x}_i)}_{\substack{\text{model (linear) space} \\ \text{difference b/w the target and the model}}} - \underbrace{t_i}_{\text{target value}} \}^2}_{\text{"fidelity" term}} + \underbrace{\left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{w}^T \underline{w} \right)}_{\text{Regulariser}}$$

"cosmetic purposes" (pointing to the $\frac{1}{2}$ in the first term)

function, to minimise (pointing to the $J(\underline{w})$)

Summation: for all training data points (pointing to the $\sum_{i=1}^N$)

are treated in the same way (pointing to the $\frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{w}^T \underline{w}$)

Regulariser \rightarrow drive the system to favour low weights, unless it is supported by the data (fidelity)

Recap $\frac{\partial (\underline{x}^T \underline{a})}{\partial \underline{x}} = \underline{a}$
 $\equiv \frac{\partial (\underline{a}^T \underline{x})}{\partial \underline{x}} = \underline{a}$

Optimum \rightarrow minimum

$$\frac{\partial J(\underline{w})}{\partial \underline{w}} = 0$$

$\lambda \geq 0$
Lagrange Multiplier

$$\frac{\partial J(\underline{w})}{\partial \underline{w}} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ \underline{w}^T \underline{\phi}(x_i) - t_i \} \underline{\phi}(x_i) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{w} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{z} = \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \sum_{i=1}^N \{ \underline{w}^T \underline{\phi}(x_i) - t_i \} \underline{\phi}(x_i)$$

$$a_i \triangleq \left(-\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \{ \underline{w}^T \underline{\phi}(x_i) - t_i \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{z} = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \underline{\phi}(x_i)$$

inner product representation

$$\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\phi}(x_1) & \underline{\phi}(x_2) & \dots & \underline{\phi}(x_N) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_N \end{bmatrix}$$

Diagram showing the matrix structure with dimensions N and M indicated by arrows.

Φ : transpose of the "Design Matrix" Φ^T

$$\Phi^T \text{ has } \begin{bmatrix} \underline{\phi}^T(x_1) \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\phi}^T(x_N) \end{bmatrix}$$

Diagram showing the matrix structure with dimensions N and M indicated by arrows.

$$\underline{z} = \Phi^T \underline{a}$$

Reformulate the problem in terms of \underline{a} , instead of \underline{w}

Substitute $\underline{w} = \Phi^T \underline{a}$ into the expression for $J(\underline{w})$ to try to eliminate \underline{w} altogether, and attempt to replace $J(\underline{w})$ with an expression which involves \underline{a} alone, at the optimum.

$$\begin{aligned}
 J(\underline{a}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ (\Phi^T \underline{a})^T \phi(\underline{x}_i) - t_i \}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} (\Phi^T \underline{a})^T (\Phi^T \underline{a}) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ (\underline{a}^T \Phi) \phi(\underline{x}_i) \}^2 - \frac{1}{2} 2 \sum_{i=1}^N (\underline{a}^T \Phi) \phi(\underline{x}_i) t_i \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N t_i^2 + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{a}^T \Phi \Phi^T \underline{a}}_{\text{fourth term, is in its final form (we will see this later!)}}
 \end{aligned}$$

fourth term, is in its final form (we will see this later!)

The third term = $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N t_i^2$ we write this as an inner product

$$= \frac{1}{2} [t_1 \ t_2 \ \dots \ t_N] \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_N \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2} \underline{t}^T \underline{t}$$

The second term = $-\sum_{i=1}^N \underline{a}^T \Phi \phi(\underline{x}_i) t_i$

$$= -(\underline{a}^T \Phi) \sum_{i=1}^N t_i \phi(\underline{x}_i)$$

$$= -(\underline{a}^T \Phi) \underbrace{[\phi(\underline{x}_1) \ \phi(\underline{x}_2) \ \dots \ \phi(\underline{x}_N)]}_{\Phi^T} \begin{bmatrix} t_1 \\ t_2 \\ \vdots \\ t_N \end{bmatrix} = -\underline{a}^T \Phi \Phi^T \underline{t}$$

①

Basic Philosophy

① In some cases, formulating an original (primal) problem completely in terms of other variables (dual) is possible. There is no guarantee that given a primal problem, it should be possible to formulate a dual one in terms of another variable.

② Even if one is able to formulate a dual problem, there is no guarantee that the dual problem may have a 'better' solution: better in terms of the computational complexity, attractiveness in terms of a kernel trick.

Recap:

$$J(\underline{w}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ \underline{w}^T \underline{\phi}(x_i) - t_i \}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{w}^T \underline{w}$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\underline{w})}{\partial \underline{w}} = 0 \xrightarrow{\text{gave}} \underline{w} = \underline{\Phi}^T \underline{a}, \quad a_i \triangleq \frac{1}{\lambda} [\underline{w}^T \underline{\phi}(x_i) - t_i]$$

Put in the original expression

$$J(\underline{a}) = \text{1st term} + \text{2nd term} + \text{3rd term} + \text{4th term}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ \underline{a}^T \underline{\Phi} \underline{\phi}(x_i) \}^2$$

$$-\underline{a}^T \underline{\Phi} \underline{\Phi}^T \underline{t}$$

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{a}^T \underline{\Phi} \underline{\Phi}^T \underline{a}$$

$$\frac{1}{2} \underline{t}^T \underline{t}$$

$s^T s$ or s^2 will not give a 'nice' expression

trick: the square of a scalar can be written as ss^T

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \{ \underline{a}^T \Phi \underline{\phi}(z_i) \} \{ \underline{a}^T \Phi \underline{\phi}(z_i) \}^T \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \underline{a}^T \Phi \underbrace{\underline{\phi}(z_i) \underline{\phi}^T(z_i)} \Phi^T \underline{a}
\end{aligned}$$

Take the parts not involved in the summation, outside

$$= \frac{1}{2} \underline{a}^T \Phi \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \underline{\phi}(z_i) \underline{\phi}^T(z_i) \right\} \Phi^T \underline{a}$$

consider this summation alone

write as an inner product in one of the two possible ways

$$\underbrace{[\underline{\phi}(z_1) \underline{\phi}(z_2) \dots \underline{\phi}(z_N)]}_{\Phi^T} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\phi}^T(z_1) \\ \underline{\phi}^T(z_2) \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\phi}^T(z_N) \end{bmatrix}}_{\Phi} \Phi$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{the first term} = \frac{1}{2} \underline{a}^T (\Phi \Phi^T) (\Phi \Phi^T) \underline{a}$$

the complete expression at the optimal value becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
J(\underline{a}) &= \frac{1}{2} \underline{a}^T (\Phi \Phi^T) (\Phi \Phi^T) \underline{a} - \underline{a}^T (\Phi \Phi^T) \underline{t} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \underline{t}^T \underline{t} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{a}^T (\Phi \Phi^T) \underline{a}
\end{aligned}$$

3

We define the **Gram Matrix** $K \triangleq \Phi \Phi^T$

What is this?

$$\underbrace{\Phi \Phi^T}_{N \times N} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \phi^T(z_1) \\ \phi^T(z_2) \\ \vdots \\ \phi^T(z_N) \end{bmatrix}}_{N \times M} \underbrace{[\phi(z_1) \phi(z_2) \dots \phi(z_N)]}_{M \times N}$$

Now, what is $K(i, j)$? i 'th row \times j 'th column

$$K(i, j) = \underbrace{\phi^T(z_i)}_{1 \times M} \underbrace{\phi(z_j)}_{M \times 1} = \boxed{\quad}$$

this is symmetric, scalar!
 $= k(z_i, z_j)$ the kernel function

$$K(i, j) = \phi^T(z_i) \phi(z_j) = k(z_i, z_j)$$

$$J(\underline{a}) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \underline{a}^T K K \underline{a}}_{\text{[dual]}} - \underbrace{\underline{a}^T K \underline{a}}_{\text{[dual]}} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\underline{t} \right)^T \left(\underline{t} \right) + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda}{2} \underline{a}^T K \underline{a}}_{\text{[dual]}}$$

optimisation theory $\rightarrow \frac{\partial J(\underline{a})}{\partial \underline{a}} = 0$

Use result: for a quadratic form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{a}} (\underline{a}^T K \underline{a}) = 2 K \underline{a}$$

$$\frac{\partial J(\underline{a})}{\partial \underline{a}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot 2 K K \underline{a} - K \underline{t} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \cdot 2 K \underline{a} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow K \underline{t} = K (K + \lambda I_N) \underline{a}$$

assume K to be invertible $\underline{a} = (K + \lambda I_N)^{-1} \underline{t}$

[Please go to p(4)]