## The Three Valued Semantics of Phenesthe+

### Manolis Pitsikalis

May 2023

### 1 Three valued semantics

In this report, we define the three valued semantics of Phenesthe+, the extension of Phenesthe [2]. While the original version of Phenesthe, allowed future formulae—i.e., their evaluation at an instant t depends on information after t—for dynamic temporal phenomena, it didn't allowed future formulae, for events and states. Phenesthe+ allows the definition of future formulae for all phenomena categories. A stream, at any instant t can be represented by the finite model  $\mathcal{M}_t = \langle T_t, I_t, <, V^{\bullet}, V^{-}, V^{-} \rangle$  where  $T_t = \{0, 1, \cdots, t\}, I_t = T_t \times T_t \cup \{[ts, \infty) : ts \in T_t\}, \text{ and } V^{\bullet} : \Phi_o^{\bullet} \to 2_t^T, V^{-} : \Phi_o^{-} \to 2_t^T, V^{-} : \Phi_o^{-} \to 2_t^T \text{ are valuations of atomic formulae.}$ A stream processor, in symbols  $\mathcal{SP}_t$ , is defined by the triplet  $\langle \Lambda_t^{\bullet}, \Lambda_t^{-}, \Lambda_t^{-} \rangle$  where  $t \in T$ ,  $\Lambda_t^{\bullet} : \Phi^{\bullet} \times T_t \to T_t$ 

A stream processor, in symbols  $\mathcal{SP}_t$ , is defined by the triplet  $\langle \Lambda_t^{\star}, \Lambda_t^{-}, \Lambda_t^{\pm} \rangle$  where  $t \in T$ ,  $\Lambda_t^{\star} : \Phi^{\star} \times T_t \to \{\top, \bot, ?\}$ ,  $\Lambda_t^{-} : \Phi^{-} \times (I_t^c \cup I_t^+) \to \{\top, \bot, ?\}$ , and  $\Lambda_t^{\pm} : \Phi^{-} \times I_t^c \cup I_t^+) \to \{\top, \bot, ?\}$ , are formulae valuation functions assigning truth values on formulae-instants/intervals pairs and  $I_t^c = T_t \times T_t$  and  $I_t^+ = \{[ts, t+] : ts, t \in T_t\}$ . Intervals of  $I_t^c$  ([ts,te]) denote that a phenomenon started at ts and ended at te, while intervals of  $I_t^+$  ([ts,t+]) denote that a phenomenon started at ts, and continues to be true/unknown at t but does not end at t. We assume that all input phenomena are true and ordered. Where appropriate we will use the connectives  $\wedge^u, \vee^u$  and  $\neg^u$  of Kleene's strong logic of indeterminacy [1].

### 1.1 Formulae of $\Phi$

The semantics for formulae of  $\Phi^{\bullet}$  using  $\Lambda_{t_a}^{\bullet}: \Phi^{\bullet} \times T \to \top, \bot$ ,? are as follows:

$$\bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(P(a_1,...,a_n),t) = \begin{cases} \top & t \in V^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(P(a_1,...,a_n)) \\ \bot & t \notin V^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(P(a_1,...,a_n)) \\ ? & \text{never.} \end{cases}$$

where P is an n-ary event predicate symbol.

- $\Lambda_{t_a}^{\bullet}(\neg \phi, t) = \neg^u \Lambda_{t_a}^{\bullet}(\phi, t)$  where  $\phi \in \Phi^{\bullet}$ .
- $\Lambda_{t_q}^{\centerdot}(\phi \wedge \psi, t) = \Lambda_{t_q}^{\centerdot}(\phi, t) \wedge^u \Lambda_{t_q}^{\centerdot}(\psi, t)$ , where  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi^{\centerdot}$ .
- $\Lambda_{t_a}^{\centerdot}(\phi \lor \psi, t) = \Lambda_{t_a}^{\centerdot}(\phi, t) \lor^u \Lambda_{t_a}^{\centerdot}(\psi, t)$ , where  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi^{\centerdot}$ .

$$\bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{\centerdot}(\mathsf{start}(\phi),t) = \begin{cases} \top, \bot & \exists te. \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\phi,[t,te]) = \top, \bot \\ ? & \exists_{\leq t} ts. \exists^{>t} te. \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\phi,[ts,te]) = ? \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi \in \Phi^-$  and  $\Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, [t, te])$  denotes denotes the valuation of formula  $\phi \in \Phi^-$  at an interval [t, te] as defined below.

$$\bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\operatorname{end}(\phi),t) = \begin{cases} \top,\bot & \exists ts.\Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi,[ts,t]) = \top,\bot \\ ? & \exists_{< t}ts.\exists^{\geq t}te.\ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi,[ts,te]) = ? \end{cases}$$
 where  $\phi \in \Phi^-.$ 

•  $\Lambda_{t_a}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi \in \psi, t) = \exists^{\leq t} ts. \exists^{\geq t} te. \Lambda_{t_a}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, t) \wedge^u \Lambda_{t_a}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi, [ts, te]).$ 

#### 1.2 Formulae of $\phi \in \Phi^-$

The semantics for formulae  $\phi \in \Phi^-$  given by means of the valuation function  $\Lambda_{t_q}^{\centerdot}: \Phi^{\centerdot} \times I \to \{\top, \bot, ?\}$  are defined as follows:

• 
$$\Lambda_{t_q}^-(P(a_1,...,a_n),[ts,te]) = \begin{cases} \top & [ts,te] \in V^-(P(a_1,...,a_n)) \\ \bot & [ts,te] \notin V^-(P(a_1,...,a_n)) \\ ? & \text{never.} \end{cases}$$

where P is an n-ary state predicate symbol.

$$\bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\phi \rightarrowtail \psi, [ts, te]) = \begin{cases} \top & \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, ts) = \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, te) = \top \wedge \\ \forall^{< te}_{> ts} t. \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, t) = \bot \wedge \\ \forall^{< te}_{> ts}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(h_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, ts') \neq \bot \rightarrow \exists^{< te}_{> ts'}, te'. \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, te') = \top \end{bmatrix} \\ \bot \quad \text{otherwise.} \\ ? \quad \left[ \begin{bmatrix} [\Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, ts) = ? \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, te) = \top ] \\ \vee [\Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, ts) = \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, te) = \top \\ \wedge \exists^{< te}_{> ts'} t. \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, t) = ? \end{bmatrix} \right] \wedge \left[ \forall^{< te}_{> ts} t. \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, te) \neq \top \right] \\ \wedge \forall^{< te}_{> ts'} ts'. [\Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, t') \neq \bot \rightarrow \exists^{< te}_{> ts'}, te'. \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, te) = \top \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi^-$ . Essentially,  $\phi \mapsto \psi$  holds for the disjoint maximal intervals that start at the earliest instant ts where  $\phi$  is true (conditions 1,3) and end at the earliest instant te, te > ts where  $\psi$  is true and  $\phi$  is false.

$$\bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi \rightarrowtail \psi, [ts, tq+]) = \begin{cases} \top & \Lambda_{t_q}^+(\phi, ts) = \top \land \forall_{>ts}t \ \Lambda_{t_q}^+(\psi \land \neg \phi, t) = \bot \land \\ & \forall^{ts'}^{\leq ts}te'. \Lambda_{t_q}^+(\psi \land \neg \phi, te) = \top] \\ \bot & \text{otherwise.} \\ ? & \left[\Lambda_{t_q}^+(\phi, ts) = ? \lor \left[\Lambda_{t_q}^+(\phi, ts) = \top \right) \land \exists_{>ts}t. \ \Lambda_{t_q}^+(\psi \land \neg \phi, t) = ?\right] \right] \\ & \land \forall_{>ts}t. \ \Lambda_{t_q}^+(\psi \land \neg \phi, t) \neq \top \land \\ & \forall^{ts'}^{\leq ts}te'. \Lambda_{t_q}^+(\psi \land \neg \phi, te') = \top] \end{cases}$$

In this case, the maximal range operator holds for an interval with a known start but unknown end. For true valuations, this means that a state has started being true, and will continue to be true up to at least tq. For a valuation with unknown status it means that the formula might hold for an interval starting in the range [ts,tq+].

$$\bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\phi \hookrightarrow \psi, [ts, te]) = \begin{cases} \top & \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, ts) = \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, te) = \top \\ & \wedge \ \forall^{< te}_{> ts} t. \left[\Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, t) = \bot \ \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, t) = \bot \ \right] \\ \bot & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$? \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, ts) = \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, te) = \top \\ & \wedge \ \forall^{< te}_{> ts} t. \left[\Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, t) \neq \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, t) \neq \top \right] \right] \\ & \vee \left[\Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, ts) = ? \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, te) = \top \\ & \wedge \ \forall^{< te}_{> ts} t \left[\Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, t) \neq \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, t) \neq \top \right] \\ & \wedge \ \forall^{< ts} t. \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, t) \neq \top \rightarrow \exists^{< ts}_{> t} t' \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, t') = \top \right] \end{cases}$$

$$\bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi \hookrightarrow \psi, [ts, tq+]) = \begin{cases} \top & \text{never.} \\ \bot & \text{otherwise.} \\ ? & \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, ts) = \top \wedge \ \forall_{>ts} t. \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, t) \neq \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, t) \neq \top \right] \right] \\ & \vee \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, ts) = ? \wedge \forall_{>ts} t. \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\phi, t) \neq \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{\boldsymbol{\cdot}}(\psi \wedge \neg \phi, t) \neq \top \right] \\ & \wedge \forall^{t}^{$$

• For the semantics of temporal union first we define sequences of overlapping intervals. A sequence of k (k>0) overlapping intervals  $i_i^o = [ts_i^o, te_i^o]$  is denoted by formulae  $EI_o^k(...)$  which are defined as follows:

$$EI_{o}^{k} = \exists i_{1}^{o} \dots \exists i_{k}^{o} . \left[ \forall_{\geq 1}^{< k} j. \ te_{j}^{o} \in i_{j+1}^{o} \wedge ts_{j}^{o} < ts_{j+1}^{o} \wedge te_{j}^{o} < te_{j+1}^{o} \wedge (\dots) \right]$$

$$EI_{o}^{k} = \exists i_{1}^{o} . (\dots)$$

$$(k > 1)$$

$$(k = 1)$$

Below we define the three valued semantics of temporal union for k > 0.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A first order definition of the semantics is possible, but the formula is not intuitive and lengthy.

$$\begin{split} & \begin{cases} \top & EI_o^k \left[ ts_1^o = ts \wedge te_k^o = te \wedge \forall_{>0}^{\leq k} j. \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, i_j^o) = \top \right. \\ & \vee \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, i_j^o) = \top \right] \right] \wedge \forall^{\leq ts} ts'. \forall_{\geq te} te'. \left[ [ts, te] \neq [ts', te'] \rightarrow \right. \\ & \left. \neg \left[ EI_\mu^z. \left[ ts_1^\mu = ts' \wedge te_\mu^\mu = te' \wedge \forall_{>1}^{\leq z} j. \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, i_j^\mu) \neq \bot \right. \right. \right. \right] \right] \\ & \left. \neg \left[ EI_\mu^z. \left[ ts_1^\mu = ts' \wedge te_\mu^\mu = te' \wedge \forall_{>1}^{\leq z} j. \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, i_j^o) \neq \bot \right. \right. \right. \\ & \left. \vee \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, i_j^o) \neq \bot \right] \right] \right] \\ & \bot \quad \text{otherwise.} \\ ? \quad EI_o^k \left[ ts_1^o = ts \wedge te_k^o = te \wedge \forall i \in I_o^b. \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, i^o) \neq \bot \right. \right. \\ & \left. \vee \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, i^o) \neq \bot \right] \wedge \exists_{>0}^{\leq k} j. \left[ \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, i^o) = ? \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, i^o) = \bot \right] \right. \\ & \left. \vee \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, i^o) = \bot \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, i^o) = \bot \right] \right] \wedge \forall^{\leq ts} ts'. \forall_{\geq te} te'. \\ & \left[ [ts, te] \neq [ts', te'] \rightarrow \neg \left[ EI_\mu^z \left[ ts_1^\mu = ts' \wedge te_\mu^\mu = te' \wedge \right. \right. \\ & \forall_{>1}^{\leq z} j. \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, i_j) \neq \bot \vee \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, i_j) \neq \bot \right] \right] \right] \end{split}$$
 where  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  are formulae of  $\Phi^-$ . In simple terms, the temporal union  $\phi \sqcup \psi$  holds true for the bold true. The temporal union  $\phi \sqcup \psi$  holds for an interval.

where  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  are formulae of  $\Phi^-$ . In simple terms, the temporal union  $\phi \sqcup \psi$  holds true for the intervals where at least one of  $\phi$  or  $\psi$  hold true. The temporal union  $\phi \sqcup \psi$  holds for an interval with unknown truth value if in there is at least one interval in  $I_a^k$  at which  $\phi$  or  $\psi$  exclusively holds unknown.

$$\begin{array}{c} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \top & \forall \overset{\leq}{\geq} ts \ t \ \text{east one interval in } I_o \ \text{at which } \phi \ \text{or } \psi \ \text{exclusively} \\ \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \top & \forall \overset{\leq}{\geq} ts \ t \ \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} tt s'_\phi, \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} tt s'_\phi, \exists \overset{\leq}{\geq} tt e'_\phi, \\ \\ \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, [ts'_\phi, te'_\phi]) = \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, [ts'_\psi, te'_\psi]) = \top \right] \\ \\ \wedge \neg \left[ \exists \overset{\leq ts}{\leq} ts' . \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} te' . [ts', te'] \neq [ts, te] \\ \\ \wedge \left[ \forall \overset{\leq}{\geq} ts' . \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} tt s''_\phi, \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} tt s''_\psi, \exists \overset{\leq}{\geq} tt e''_\phi. \\ \\ \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} ts' . \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} tt s'_\phi, \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} tt s'_\phi, \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} tt e'_\phi. \\ \\ \left[ \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, [ts''_\phi, te''_\phi]) \neq \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, [ts''_\psi, te'_\psi]) = ? \right] \\ \\ \vee \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, [ts'_\phi, te'_\phi]) = ? \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, [ts'_\psi, te'_\psi]) = ? \right] \\ \\ \vee \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, [ts'_\phi, te'_\phi]) = ? \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, [ts'_\psi, te'_\psi]) = ? \right] \\ \\ \wedge \left[ \exists \overset{\leq ts}{\leq} ts' . \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} tet e' . [ts', te'] \neq [ts, te] \\ \\ \wedge \left[ \forall \overset{\leq te'}{\geq} ts' . \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} tt s''_\phi. \exists \overset{\leq}{\leq} tt s''_\phi. \exists \overset{\leq}{\geq} tt e''_\phi. \\ \\ \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi, [ts''_\phi, te''_\phi]) = ? \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\psi, [ts''_\psi, te''_\psi]) \neq \top \right] \right] \\ \\ \text{where } \phi, \psi \in \Phi^- \quad \text{In other words, the temporal intersection of two formulae.} \end{array}$$

where  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi^-$ . In other words, the temporal intersection of two formulae of  $\Phi^-$  holds true for the maximal sub-intervals of the intervals where both formulae hold true. The temporal intersection holds unknown for an interval if both formulae hold for intervals with true or unknown status, or one holds for an interval with unknown status and the other holds true.

$$\bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{\leq te}(\phi \setminus \psi, [ts', te']) = \begin{cases} \top & \forall_{\geq ts}^{\leq te}t. \left[ \exists^{\leq t}ts'. \exists_{\geq t}te'. \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\phi, [ts'_{\phi}, te'_{\phi}]) = \top \wedge \forall^{\leq t}ts'. \forall_{\geq t}te'. \\ \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\psi, [ts', te']) = \bot \right] \wedge \neg \left[ \exists^{\leq ts}ts'. \exists_{\geq te}te'. \left[ ts', te' \right] \neq [ts, te] \\ \wedge \forall_{\geq ts'}^{\leq te'}t. \left[ \exists^{\leq t}ts''. \exists_{\geq t}te''. \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\phi, [ts'', te'']) \neq \bot \\ \wedge \forall^{\leq t}ts''. \forall_{\geq t}te''. \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\psi, [ts'', te'']) \neq \top \right] \right] \\ \bot \quad \text{otherwise.} \\ ? \quad \forall_{\geq ts}^{\leq te}t. \left[ \left[ \exists^{\leq t}ts'. \exists_{\geq t}te'. \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\phi, [ts', te']) = ? \wedge \forall^{\leq t}ts'. \forall_{\geq t}te'. \\ \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\psi, [ts', te']) \neq \top \right] \vee \left[ \left[ \exists^{\leq t}ts'. \exists_{\geq t}te'. \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\phi, [ts', te']) = \top \wedge \forall^{\leq t}ts'. \exists_{\geq t}te'. \\ \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\psi, [ts', te']) = ? \right] \wedge \neg \left[ \exists^{\leq ts}ts'. \exists_{\geq t}te'. \left[ [ts', te'] \neq [ts, te] \wedge \forall^{\leq t}ts'. \forall_{\geq t}te'. \\ \Lambda_{t_q}^{-}(\psi, [ts'', te']) \neq \top \right] \right] \\ \text{where } \phi, \psi \in \Phi^-. \text{ In other words, the temporal intersection of two formulae of } \Phi^- \text{ holds for } \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi^-$ . In other words, the temporal intersection of two formulae of  $\Phi^-$  holds for the maximal intervals at which both formulae hold.

$$\begin{split} \bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi \ \text{filter}_{\{<,\geq,=\}} \ n, [ts,te]) = \begin{cases} \top & \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi,[ts,te]) = \top \wedge te - ts \ \{<,\geq,=\} \ n \\ \bot & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ ? \quad \text{if } \text{filter}_{\{<,\geq,=\}} : \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi,[ts,te]) = ? \\ \text{if } \text{filter}_{\{\geq,=\}} : \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi,[ts,te]) = ? \wedge te - ts \ \geq n \end{cases} \\ \bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi \ \text{filter}_{\{<,\geq,=\}} : \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi,[ts,tq+]) = \top \wedge tq - ts \ \geq n \\ \bot & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi \ \text{filter}_{\{<,\geq,=\}} : \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi,[ts,tq+]) = \top \wedge ts - tq \ < n \\ \text{if } \text{filter}_{\{\geq,=\}} : \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi,[ts,tq+]) = \top \wedge ts - tq \ < n \\ \text{if } \text{filter}_{\{\geq,=\}} : \Lambda_{t_q}^-(\phi,[ts,tq+]) = \top \wedge ts - tq \ \leq n \end{cases}$$

Given a model  $\mathcal{M}$ , the validity of a formula  $\phi \in \Phi^{=}$  at a time interval  $[ts, te] \in I$  (in symbols  $\mathcal{M}, [ts, te] \models \phi$ ) is defined as follows<sup>2</sup>:

• 
$$\Lambda_{t_q}^{=}(P(a_1,...,a_n),[ts,te]) = \begin{cases} \top & [ts,te] \in V^{=}(P(a_1,...,a_n)) \\ \bot & [ts,te] \notin V^{=}(P(a_1,...,a_n)) \\ ? & \text{never.} \end{cases}$$

where P is n-ary dynamic temporal phenomenon predicate symbol.

where  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi^{\bullet} \cup \Phi^{-} \cup \Phi^{=}$ . In short, before holds true if between two intervals/points at which  $\phi$   $\psi$  are true are contiguous, and there is no interval/point starting ending between them at which  $\phi$  or  $\psi$  has unknown status.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>For simplicity we omit the cases of intervals of the form [ts,tq+]. Moreover in order to avoid much lengthier semantics we assume that if  $\Lambda_t^{\pm}([ts,te]) = \top \wedge \Lambda_t^{\pm}(\phi,[ts,te]) = ?$ , then  $\Lambda_t^{\pm}(\phi,[ts,te]) = \top$ 

$$\bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{=}(\phi \ \text{meets} \ \psi, [ts, te]) = \begin{cases} \top & \exists t' \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [ts, t']) = \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [t', te]) = \top \\ \bot & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ ? \quad \exists^{< te} te' . \exists^{\le te'} . \exists^{< te'} ts' . \left[ \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [ts, te']) = \top \wedge \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [ts', te]) = ? \right] \\ \vee \exists te' . \exists^{\le te'} . \exists^{< te'} . \exists^{$$

where  $\phi, \psi \in \Phi^- \cup \Phi^=$ 

$$\begin{split} \bullet \ \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{=}(\phi \ \text{contains} \ \psi, [ts, te]) = \begin{cases} \top & \exists_{>ts}ts'. \exists^{< te}te'. \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [ts, te]) = \top \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{\cdot/-/=}(\psi, [ts', te']) = \top \\ \bot & \text{otherwise.} \\ ? & \exists te'. \exists^{< te'}ts' \exists_{>ts}te'. \left[\Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [ts, te]) = \top \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{\cdot/-/=}(\psi, [ts', te']) = ?\right] \\ & \vee \exists_{>ts}ts'. \exists^{< te}te'. \left[\Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [ts, te]) = ? \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{\cdot/-/=}(\psi, [ts', te']) = ?\right] \\ & \vee \exists te'. \exists^{< te'}ts' \exists_{>ts}te'. \left[\Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [ts, te]) = ? \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{\cdot/-/=}(\psi, [ts', te']) = ?\right] \\ & \wedge te = \min(te', te'') \end{split}$$
 where  $\phi \in \Phi^{\bullet} \cup \Phi^{-} \cup \Phi^{=}$  and  $\psi \in \Phi^{-} \cup \Phi^{=}$ .

where  $\phi \in \Phi^{\bullet} \cup \Phi^{-} \cup \Phi^{=}$  and  $\psi \in \Phi^{-} \cup \Phi^{=}$ .

$$\bullet \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{=}(\phi \ \text{finishes} \ \psi, [ts, te]) = \begin{cases} \top & \exists_{>ts} ts'. \Lambda_{t_q}^{\bullet, /-/=}(\phi, [ts', te]) = \top \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\psi, [ts, te]) = \top \\ \bot & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \cdot \ \Lambda_{t_q}^{=}(\phi \ \text{finishes} \ \psi, [ts, te]) = \begin{cases} \exists_{\geq te} te'. \exists^{< te} ts'. [ts' < te' \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [ts', te']) =? \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [ts, te]) = \top ] \\ \exists t. [t = te \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{\bullet}(\phi, [t, t]) =? \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\psi, [ts, te]) = \top ] \\ \exists te'. \exists^{< te'} ts'. \exists_{\geq te'} te'' [\Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\phi, [ts', te'']) = \top \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\psi, [ts, te']) =? \\ \land te = min(te', te'')] \\ \lor \exists te'. \exists_{\leq te'} ts'. \exists_{\geq ts} te''. [ts' < te' \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{\bullet, /-/=}(\phi, [ts', te'']) =? \land \Lambda_{t_q}^{-/=}(\psi, [ts, te']) = \top \\ \land te = min(te'', te')] \end{cases}$$

where  $\phi \in \Phi^{\bullet} \cup \Phi^{-} \cup \Phi^{=}$  and  $\psi \in \Phi^{-} \cup \Phi^{=}$ .

where  $\phi \in \Phi^{\bullet} \cup \Phi^{-} \cup \Phi^{=}$  and  $\psi \in \Phi^{-} \cup \Phi^{=}$ .

# References

- [1] KLEENE, S. C. Introduction to Metamathematics. Princeton, NJ, USA: North Holland, 1952.
- [2] PITSIKALIS, M., LISITSA, A., AND LUO, S. Representation and processing of instantaneous and durative temporal phenomena. In *Logic-Based Program Synthesis and Transformation* (Cham, 2022), E. De Angelis and W. Vanhoof, Eds., Springer International Publishing, pp. 135–156.