

# Hazard Analysis Software Engineering

Team 21, Visionaries

Angela Zeng

Ann Shi

Ibrahim Sahi

Manan Sharma

Stanley Chen

Table 1: Revision History

<b>Date</b>	<b>Developer(s)</b>	<b>Change</b>
Date1	Name(s)	Description of changes
Date2	Name(s)	Description of changes
...	...	...

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Scope and Purpose of Hazard Analysis	1
3	System Boundaries and Components	1
4	Critical Assumptions	2
5	Failure Mode and Effect Analysis	2
6	Safety and Security Requirements	2
7	Roadmap	2

[You are free to modify this template. —SS]

## 1 Introduction

The following document contains an overview of the hazards highlighted in the Large-Group Eye-Tracking Capstone Project. For the purposes of this document, a hazard is (based on the work of Nancy Leveson) defined as any aspect or property of this project which causes harm, damage or loss in the environment the system inhabits. This document identifies key hazards involved, and uses the Failure Modes and Effects Analysis (FMEA) method to analyze them and their respective impacts on the system.

## 2 Scope and Purpose of Hazard Analysis

The purpose of this Hazard Analysis is identifying system properties which may cause harm to stakeholders. In order to narrow the scope of this assessment, the following potential losses have been highlighted:

- Privacy: unauthorized access, re-identification, misuse of gaze data
- Participant discomfort
- Data inaccuracy: invalid findings and conclusions
- Loss of stakeholder value: instructors receiving inaccurate or unusable real-time data
- Disrupting live classroom activities

## 3 System Boundaries and Components

The proposed system is a learning platform that integrates large-group eye tracking with classroom activities, allowing instructors to view aggregated gaze information in real time and after class. To perform a meaningful hazard analysis, the system is divided into the following components:

### **Data Collection (Eye Tracking)**

The core of this project is the data retrieved using the Eye Tracking hardware, specifically in a classroom setting. This first component includes both the tracking devices, as well as associated software used to record and store the data. A combination of the visual stimuli in the classroom (i.e. slideshows on a projected screen) and the eye movements of multiple students are used to return raw gaze data.

### **Supplementary Data (Student Survey)**

A self-report style survey will be used to gather supplementary data from participants, such as demographics, learning-related disabilities and behavioural traits. Student-entered responses to pre- and post-lecture questionnaires will

be converted to a structured supplementary dataset, linked to the central eye-tracking data.

#### **Data Analysis (Mapping Gaze Data)**

In this component, the raw gaze data is processed and mapped to the visual stimulus to determine attention patterns, creating quantitative results which can be visualized in real-time. When assessing this alongside the supplementary data, more specific correlations can be determined.

#### **Dashboards (Instructor Visualization Interfaces)**

This component can be broken up into two further components: visual outputs to instructors in real time (during class), and afterward (for review). The processed and analysed outputs are translated to interactive and easily interpretable visualizations.

## **4 Critical Assumptions**

This analysis assumes that the eye-tracking hardware functions reliably and that hardware-level failures are out of scope. It also assumes that the network and server infrastructure are stable and secure, with standard IT reliability already in place.

## **5 Failure Mode and Effect Analysis**

[Include your FMEA table here. This is the most important part of this document. —SS] [The safety requirements in the table do not have to have the prefix SR. The most important thing is to show traceability to your SRS. You might trace to requirements you have already written, or you might need to add new requirements. —SS] [If no safety requirement can be devised, other mitigation strategies can be entered in the table, including strategies involving providing additional documentation, and/or test cases. —SS]

## **6 Safety and Security Requirements**

[Newly discovered requirements. These should also be added to the SRS. (A rationale design process how and why to fake it.) —SS]

## **7 Roadmap**

[Which safety requirements will be implemented as part of the capstone timeline? Which requirements will be implemented in the future? —SS]

## Appendix — Reflection

[Not required for CAS 741 —SS]

The purpose of reflection questions is to give you a chance to assess your own learning and that of your group as a whole, and to find ways to improve in the future. Reflection is an important part of the learning process. Reflection is also an essential component of a successful software development process.

Reflections are most interesting and useful when they're honest, even if the stories they tell are imperfect. You will be marked based on your depth of thought and analysis, and not based on the content of the reflections themselves. Thus, for full marks we encourage you to answer openly and honestly and to avoid simply writing "what you think the evaluator wants to hear."

Please answer the following questions. Some questions can be answered on the team level, but where appropriate, each team member should write their own response:

1. What went well while writing this deliverable?
2. What pain points did you experience during this deliverable, and how did you resolve them?
3. Which of your listed risks had your team thought of before this deliverable, and which did you think of while doing this deliverable? For the latter ones (ones you thought of while doing the Hazard Analysis), how did they come about?
4. Other than the risk of physical harm (some projects may not have any appreciable risks of this form), list at least 2 other types of risk in software products. Why are they important to consider?