

# Team Contributions: POC Software Engineering

Team 21, Visionaries  
Angela Zeng  
Ann Shi  
Ibrahim Sahi  
Manan Sharma  
Stanley Chen

This document summarizes the contributions of each team member up to the POC Demo. The time period of interest is the time between the beginning of the term and the POC demo.

## 1 Demo Plans

[What will you be demonstrating —SS]

## 2 Team Meeting Attendance

[For each team member how many team meetings have they attended over the time period of interest. This number should be determined from the meeting issues in the team's repo. The first entry in the table should be the total number of team meetings held by the team. —SS]

Student	Meetings
Total	Num
Name 1	Num
Name 2	Num
Name 3	Num
Name 4	Num
Name 5	Num

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

### 3 Supervisor/Stakeholder Meeting Attendance

[For each team member how many supervisor/stakeholder team meetings have they attended over the time period of interest. This number should be determined from the supervisor meeting issues in the team's repo. The first entry in the table should be the total number of supervisor and team meetings held by the team. If there is no supervisor, there will usually be meetings with stakeholders (potential users) that can serve a similar purpose. —SS]

**Supervisor's Name:** Dr. Irene Yuan, Dr. Lauren Fink

Student	Meetings
Total	5
Angela Zeng	4
Ann Shi	5
Ibrahim Sahi	4
Manan Sharma	4
Stanley Chen	4

### 4 Lecture Attendance

Student	Lectures
Total	13
Angela Zeng	7
Ann Shi	6
Ibrahim Sahi	6
Manan Sharma	5
Stanley Chen	6

### 5 TA Document Discussion Attendance

**TA's Name:** [Lucas Dutton]

Student	Lectures
Total	3
Angela Zeng	3
Ann Shi	2
Manan Sharma	3
Ibrahim Sahi	2
Stanley Chen	1

## 6 Commits

Student	Commits	Percent
Total	72	100%
Angela Zeng	18	25%
Ann Shi	17	24%
Manan Sharma	18	25%
Ibrahim Sahi	12	17%
Stanley Chen	7	10%

## 7 Issue Tracker

[For each team member how many issues have they authored (including open and closed issues (O+C)) and how many have they been assigned (only counting closed issues (C only)) over the time period of interest. —SS]

Student	Authored (O+C)	Assigned (C only)
Name 1	Num	Num
Name 2	Num	Num
Name 3	Num	Num
Name 4	Num	Num
Name 5	Num	Num

[If needed, an explanation for the counts can be provided here. —SS]

## 8 CICD

[Say how CICD will be used in your project —SS]

## 9 Team Charter Trigger Items

Our team's main triggers are based on punctuality, attendance, and accountability. We agreed on a 10-minute grace period for lateness, weekly meetings (Thursday tutorial and Saturday follow-up), and equal contribution to all assigned tasks. Respectful communication and preparedness for meetings were also identified as key behavioral expectations.

So far, the team has largely met the agreed-upon standards. Minor lateness occurred in a few cases but always within the grace period or with prior notice. And when members of team were going to be quite late, they would

always inform us ahead of time. There were no significant violations related to contribution quality, respect, or teamwork.

If punctuality or contribution issues become recurring, we will first discuss them as a group during the next meeting. Repeated issues would lead to redistributing workload temporarily and, if necessary, consulting the TA for mediation. We will also review whether any triggers (e.g., the grace period or workload expectations) should be adjusted to better match team circumstances

## 10 Additional Productivity Metrics

N/A