

Question 1

What is the optimal value of alpha for ridge and lasso regression? What will be the changes in the model if you choose double the value of alpha for both ridge and lasso? What will be the most important predictor variables after the change is implemented?

Ans:

1. Optimal value of alpha for Ridge is 2.
2. Optimal value of alpha Lasso it is 0.001.

After doubling the alpha values in the Ridge and Lasso, the prediction accuracy remains around 0.82 but there is a small change in the co-efficient values.

Model(Ridge)

| Ridge Co-Efficient | | Ridge Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient | |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Total_sqr_footage | 0.169122 | Total_sqr_footage | 0.149028 |
| GarageArea | 0.101585 | GarageArea | 0.091803 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.067348 | TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.068283 |
| OverallCond | 0.047652 | OverallCond | 0.043303 |
| LotArea | 0.043941 | LotArea | 0.038824 |
| CentralAir_Y | 0.032034 | Total_porch_sf | 0.033870 |
| LotFrontage | 0.031772 | CentralAir_Y | 0.031832 |
| Total_porch_sf | 0.031639 | LotFrontage | 0.027526 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.029093 | Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.026581 |
| Alley_Pave | 0.024270 | OpenPorchSF | 0.022713 |
| OpenPorchSF | 0.023148 | MSSubClass_70 | 0.022189 |
| MSSubClass_70 | 0.022995 | Alley_Pave | 0.021672 |
| RoofMatl_WdShngl | 0.022586 | Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.020098 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.022410 | BsmtQual_Ex | 0.019949 |
| SaleType_Con | 0.022293 | KitchenQual_Ex | 0.019787 |
| HouseStyle_2.5Unf | 0.021873 | HouseStyle_2.5Unf | 0.018952 |
| PavedDrive_P | 0.020160 | MasVnrType_Stone | 0.018388 |
| KitchenQual_Ex | 0.019378 | PavedDrive_P | 0.017973 |
| LandContour_HLS | 0.018595 | RoofMatl_WdShngl | 0.017856 |
| SaleType_Oth | 0.018123 | PavedDrive_Y | 0.016840 |

Model(Lasso)

| Lasso Co-Efficient | | Lasso Doubled Alpha Co-Efficient | |
|----------------------|----------|----------------------------------|----------|
| Total_sqr_footage | 0.202244 | Total_sqr_footage | 0.204642 |
| GarageArea | 0.110863 | GarageArea | 0.103822 |
| TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.063161 | TotRmsAbvGrd | 0.064902 |
| OverallCond | 0.046686 | OverallCond | 0.042168 |
| LotArea | 0.044597 | CentralAir_Y | 0.033113 |
| CentralAir_Y | 0.033294 | Total_porch_sf | 0.030659 |
| Total_porch_sf | 0.028923 | LotArea | 0.025909 |
| Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.023370 | BsmtQual_Ex | 0.018128 |
| Alley_Pave | 0.020848 | Neighborhood_StoneBr | 0.017152 |
| OpenPorchSF | 0.020776 | Alley_Pave | 0.016628 |
| MSSubClass_70 | 0.018898 | OpenPorchSF | 0.016490 |
| LandContour_HLS | 0.017279 | KitchenQual_Ex | 0.016359 |
| KitchenQual_Ex | 0.016795 | LandContour_HLS | 0.014793 |
| BsmtQual_Ex | 0.016710 | MSSubClass_70 | 0.014495 |
| Condition1_Norm | 0.015551 | MasVnrType_Stone | 0.013292 |
| Neighborhood_Veenker | 0.014707 | Condition1_Norm | 0.012674 |
| MasVnrType_Stone | 0.014389 | BsmtCond_TA | 0.011677 |
| PavedDrive_P | 0.013578 | SaleCondition_Partial | 0.011236 |
| LotFrontage | 0.013377 | LotConfig_CulDSac | 0.008776 |
| PavedDrive_Y | 0.012363 | PavedDrive_Y | 0.008685 |

Question 2

You have determined the optimal value of lambda for ridge and lasso regression during the assignment. Now, which one will you choose to apply and why?

- Optimum lambda value: Ridge – 2 and Lasso – 0.0001
- MSE: Ridge - 0.002 Lasso - 0.002
- Lasso, Because it helps in feature reduction.

Question 3

After building the model, you realised that the five most important predictor variables in the lasso model are not available in the incoming data. You will now have to create another model excluding the five most important predictor variables. Which are the five most important predictor variables now?

Ans: Five most important predictors(Lasso Model:

1. Total_sqr_footage
2. GarageArea
3. TotRmsAbvGrd
4. OverallCond
5. LotArea

Question 4

How can you make sure that a model is robust and generalisable? What are the implications of the same for the accuracy of the model and why?

As Per, Occam's Razor— given two models that show similar 'performance' in the finite training or test data, we should pick the one that makes fewer on the test data due to following reasons:-

- Simpler models are usually more 'generic'.
- Simpler models require fewer training samples as compare to complex.
- Simpler models are more robust.
 - Complex models tend to change wildly with changes in the training data set
 - Simple models have low variance, high bias and complex models have low bias, high variance
 - Simpler models make more errors in the training set. Complex models lead to overfitting — they work very well for the training samples, fail miserably when applied to other test samples

Hence one must make the model more robust and generalizable, make the model simple.

Also, Making a model simple leads to Bias-Variance Trade-off:

- A complex model will need to change for every little change in the dataset and hence is very unstable and extremely sensitive to any changes in the training data.
- A simpler model that abstracts out some pattern followed by the data points given is unlikely to change wildly even if more points are added or removed.

Thus accuracy of the model can be maintained by keeping the balance between Bias and Variance as it minimizes the total error.

