# DSC210 HW1

### Mansi Sharma

#### October 2023

#### Question 1: Property of triangular matrices

Given:  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are two lower triangular matrices of size  $n \times n$ . Solution:

#### 1. Proof for $L_1L_2$ being a lower triangular matrix:

A matrix is said to be a lower triangular matrix if all its entries above the main diagonal are zero. Let's denote the entry in the *i*-th row and *j*-th column of a matrix M as  $M_{ij}$ .

Given that both  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  are lower triangular matrices, we have: For i < j:

$$L_{1_{ij}} = 0$$
 and  $L_{2_{ij}} = 0$ 

Now, for the product matrix  $L_1L_2$ , the entry at *i*-th row and *j*-th column is given by:

$$(L_1L_2)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n L_{1_{ik}} \times L_{2_{kj}}$$

For i < j, since  $L_{1ik}$  is zero for all  $k \ge i$  and  $L_{2kj}$  is zero for all  $k \le j$ , the sum becomes zero. Thus, all entries above the main diagonal in  $L_1L_2$  are zero, which makes  $L_1L_2$  a lower triangular matrix.

# 2. Proof by induction for the multiplication of m lower triangular matrices:

**Base Step:** We have already shown that the product of two lower triangular matrices  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  is a lower triangular matrix.

**Inductive Step:** Assume the statement is true for k matrices, i.e., the product of k lower triangular matrices is also a lower triangular matrix. We need to prove it for k+1 matrices.

Let the product of the first k matrices be denoted by L'. From the inductive hypothesis, L' is a lower triangular matrix. Now, the product of k+1 matrices is  $L' \times L_{k+1}$ . Using the result from the first part, the product of two lower triangular matrices is also a lower triangular matrix. Thus,  $L' \times L_{k+1}$  is also a lower triangular matrix.

This completes the induction, and we have shown that the multiplication of any  $m \ (m > 2)$  lower triangular matrices results in a lower triangular matrix.

#### Question 2: Matrix operations

Given: Let B be a  $4 \times 4$  matrix undergoing the specified operations to yield matrix D.

Solution:

- (a) Express each operation as a matrix and the final matrix  ${\cal D}$  as a product of 8 matrices.
  - (i) **Double column 1:** The elementary matrix for this operation is:

$$E_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(ii) Halve row 3: The elementary matrix for this operation is:

$$E_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(iii) Add row 3 to row 1: The elementary matrix for this operation is:

$$E_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(iv) Interchange columns 1 and 4: The elementary matrix for this operation is:

$$E_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(v) Subtract row 2 from each of the other rows: The elementary matrix for this operation is:

$$E_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(vi) **Replace column 4 by column 3:** The elementary matrix for this operation is:

$$E_6 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

(vii) **Delete column 1:** To represent this, we use an auxiliary  $4 \times 3$  matrix  $E_{7a}$ :

$$E_{7a} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

2

Thus, the matrix D is represented as:

$$D = E_{7a}E_{6}E_{5}E_{4}E_{3}E_{2}E_{1}B$$

#### (b) Write the final result again as a product of ABC

We group the matrices as:

$$A = E_{7a}$$

$$B = E_6 \times E_5 \times E_4$$

$$C = E_3 \times E_2 \times E_1$$

Thus, matrix D is represented as:

$$D = A \times B \times C$$

Where:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

## Question 3: Matrix properties

Given: A matrix A is both triangular and unitary.

#### Solution:

A matrix is said to be unitary if  $A^*A = I$ , where  $A^*$  is the conjugate transpose (or adjoint) of A and I is the identity matrix.

Given that A is both lower triangular and unitary, the matrix A can be represented as:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

The conjugate transpose  $A^*$  is:

$$A^* = \begin{bmatrix} \bar{a}_{11} & \bar{a}_{21} & \cdots & \bar{a}_{n1} \\ 0 & \bar{a}_{22} & \cdots & \bar{a}_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \bar{a}_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

Given  $A^*A = I$ , the (1,1)-entry of  $A^*A$  is:

$$\bar{a}_{11}a_{11} + 0 + \dots + 0 = |\bar{a}_{11}|^2$$

For the (i,j)-entry of  $A^*A$  where  $i \neq j$ , the product contains terms from non-diagonal elements of A multiplied by diagonal elements of  $A^*$  and vice-versa. As A is lower triangular, these terms are zero for i < j.

For  $A^*A$  to be the identity matrix I, all diagonal elements must be 1 and off-diagonal elements must be 0. This implies:

1. All diagonal elements of A have a magnitude of 1, i.e.,  $|a_{ii}| = 1$  for all i. 2. All off-diagonal elements of A are 0.

Thus, if A is both lower triangular and unitary, it must be a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries of magnitude 1.

#### Question 4: p-norm inequalities

Given x is a real m-vector, we have to verify the following inequalities:

(a) 
$$||x||_{\infty} \le ||x||_2$$
 (b)  $||x||_2 \le \sqrt{m} \cdot ||x||_{\infty}$ 

**Solution:** 

(a) **Proof of**  $||x||_{\infty} \le ||x||_2$ 

By definition, the infinity-norm is the maximum absolute value of the components of the vector:

$$||x||_{\infty} = \max_{i} |x_i|$$

The 2-norm of x is:

$$||x||_2 = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_m^2}$$

Since each term  $x_i^2$  is non-negative and  $|x_i| \leq ||x||_{\infty}$  for all i, we have:

$$x_i^2 \le \|x\|_{\infty}^2$$

Summing over all i:

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_m^2 \le m \cdot ||x||_{\infty}^2$$

Taking the square root of both sides:

$$\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \ldots + x_m^2} \le \sqrt{m} \cdot ||x||_{\infty}$$

However, since  $\sqrt{m} \ge 1$  (because m is positive),

$$||x||_2 \le ||x||_{\infty}$$

For equality to hold, all components of x must be equal. For example, consider x = [1, 1, ..., 1].

(b) **Proof of**  $||x||_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \cdot ||x||_{\infty}$ 

From the above proof, we already derived that:

$$||x||_2 \leq \sqrt{m} \cdot ||x||_{\infty}$$

For equality to hold, one component of x must be equal to  $||x||_{\infty}$  and all other components must be zero. For example, consider  $x = [0, 0, \dots, 0, ||x||_{\infty}, 0, \dots, 0]$ .