Formal Verification of Deed Contract in Ethereum Name Service

Yoichi Hirai*

November 1, 2016

Contents

1	Intr	oduction 3
	1.1	What this Document is
	1.2	Which Smart Contract this Document is about
	1.3	What is Proven
	1.4	What can Go Wrong
	1.5	Verifying Other Contracts
	1.6	Links
2	Som	ne Data Types for EVM 5
3	\mathbf{EVI}	M Instructions 7
	3.1	Bit Operations
	3.2	Signed Arithmetics
	3.3	Unsigned Arithmetics
	3.4	Informational Instructions
	3.5	Duplicating Stack Elements
	3.6	Memory Operations
	3.7	Storage Operations
	3.8	Program-Counter Instructions
	3.9	Stack Instructions
	3.10	Logging Instructions
	3.11	Miscellaneous Instructions
	3.12	Annotation Instruction
	3.13	The Whole Instruction Set

^{*}i@yoichihirai.com

4	\mathbf{A} \mathbf{C}	Contract Centric View of the EVM	15
	4.1	Utility Functions	15
	4.2	The Interaction between the Contract and the World	16
		4.2.1 The World's Moves	16
		4.2.2 The Contract's Moves	17
	4.3	Program Representation	18
	4.4	Translating an Instruction List into a Program	18
		4.4.1 Integers can be compared	18
		4.4.2 Storing the immediate values in the AVL tree	19
		4.4.3 Storing a program in the AVL tree	19
		4.4.4 Storing annotations in a program in a mapping	20
		4.4.5 Translating a list of instructions into a program	20
	4.5	Program as a Byte Sequence	20
	4.6	Execution Environments	21
	4.7	The Result of an Instruction	21
	4.8	Useful Functions for Defining EVM Operations	22
	4.9	Definition of EVM Operations	25
		Programs' Answer to the World	38
		Account's State	40
		Environment Construction before EVM Execution	40
	4.13	Account State Update after EVM Execution	44
	4.14	Controlling the Isabelle Simplifier	45
5	Wha	at can Happen during a Contract Invocation	48
	5.1	Some Possible Changes on Our Account State	49
	5.2	A Round of the Game	51
	5.3	Repetitions of rounds	53
	5.4	How to State an Invariant	54
	5.5	How to State a Pre-Post Condition Pair	55
6	Veri	ification of the Deed Contract	57
	6.1	The Code under Verification	57
	6.2	The Invariant	68
	6.3	Proof that the Invariant is Kept	69
	6.4	Proof about the Case when the Caller is Not the Registrar	74

1 Introduction

1.1 What this Document is

This document describes a formal verification result about one contract in an Ethereum Name Service implementation. This document was generated by the Isabelle proof assistant¹. Isabelle/HOL checked all lemmata to be correct (though it cannot check the definitions against reality).

The verified contract is relatively small, but this is the first "real" contract that I have analyzed in a theorem proving environment.

The verification is result far from perfect. I am still finding more problems in the verification setup than in the verified contracts. The EVM (Ethereum Virtual Machine) implementation is not tested against others!

I am making this public already because this project makes a good example on the amount of work (and the level of detail) required to verify a smart contract using the machine-assisted logical inference. At this point already, if I were to implement a smart contract that holds more than 100k dollars, and if I am in charge of the schedule, I would consider this kind of development (the other option is to try the contract with smaller values first).

Acknowledgment. Most of the work has been carried out during my working hours in Ethereum DEV UG. I would like to thank Sami Mäkelä for suggestions and corrections of my mistakes.

1.2 Which Smart Contract this Document is about

The target of the verification is the Deed contract³, which is a part of the Ethereum Name Service. The current development uses the bytecode obtained from the Solidity compiler version https://github.com/ethereum/solidity/tree/2d9109ba453d49547778c39a506b0ed492305c16.

Most parts of this document are generic to all Ethereum smart contracts. Only the last section is specific to the Deed contract.

1.3 What is Proven

The proven property is about one invocation of the Deed contract. In short, "only the registrar can decrease the balance." The invocation can be deep

¹However, that is not the guarantee of truth. Check the notion of "Pollack inconsistency".

²The word "real" means it is aimed for production.

 $^{^3} https://github.com/Arachnid/ens/blob/f3334337083728728da56824a5d0a30a8712b60c/HashRegistrarSimplified.sol#L25$

into the nested reentrancy calls, but the property holds for any one of these. I can list the assumptions and the implied conclusions.

Assumptions at the invocation:

- the account has the bytecode of the Deed contract or the account has no code;
- the caller's address it not the one stored at index 0 of the account's storage (i.e. the caller is not the registrar)⁴;
- the 21st least byte in storage index 2 is not zero (i.e. the contract is still active)⁵;
- the account's balance and the sent value added together do not overflow the range of 256-bit unsigned integers;
- the account is not marked as killed.

Conclusions when the invocation finishes (i.e. when the contract returns or fails back to the same depth in the callstack):

- the account's balance after the call is not smaller than the account's balance before the call;
- the account is not marked as killed after the call; and
- the 21st least byte in storage index 2 is still not zero (the account is still active);
- the storage content at index 0 (the registrar) is not changed.

1.4 What can Go Wrong

The property above is only a safety property; it states something bad is not going to happen. This does not mean anything good happens. While it is feasible to prove that something good happens, at least I need to model the gas mechanism in Isabelle/HOL before I claim anything works. In any case, when you have a sequence of events in mind, you can test that. Theorem proving excels at uncovering unknown possibilities.

The analysis cuts corners but it is reasonably equipped for safety properties. The analysis considers reentrancy, the account erasure after execution of the SUICIDE opcode, the byte level organization of EVM memory and storage,

⁴I'm guessing that the storage index 0 contains the registrar's address. To do this properly I need the help of the Solidity compiler.

⁵I didn't know this condition until Isabelle/HOL complained. This shows the possibility of using theorem provers to find vulnerabilities.

and the fact that the balance of an account can increase even when the code of the account is not invoked. The analysis is not aware of out-of-gas failures and the stack depth failures, but the analysis does not miss any kind of account state changes because of that. The verification is given up at the moment DELEGATE or CALLCODE instruction is used.

The hex output from Solidity is parsed by a new parser, which might produce incorrect results.

The biggest pitfall currently is the untested EVM implementation. Although this is a new EVM implementation, it is not tested against the standard EVM tests! This is already wrong. So, after getting this document in shape, the next thing I try is to test the new EVM implementation against the standard EVM tests (but before this I need to implement the gas). There is one good thing about the new EVM implementation. It allows us to reason about all possible executions!

1.5 Verifying Other Contracts

Most parts of the development can be reused for verifying other contracts. The amount of work is different for every smart contract. It would be relatively straightforward to do something similar for contracts without loops. For contracts with loops, either lots of manual work or some more sophisticated machinery is necessary.

This development so far does not use sophisticated techniques. An appropriate description would be "a brute-force approach based on a bare machine model." Apart from the tool Isabelle/HOL itself, I used no verification techniques from the 21st century yet.

1.6 Links

- This document is produced from the code available at https://github.com/pirapira/eth-isabelle/tree/deed.
- To get updates on this project and similar ones, follow http://gitter.im/ethereum/formal-methods.

2 Some Data Types for EVM

This development depends on Isabelle/HOL's machine word library. The machine word library is one of the biggest reasons for choosing Isabelle/HOL for this development. The Ethereum Virtual Machine depends on 8-bit bytes and 256-bit machine words.

 ${\bf theory}\ {\it ContractEnv}$

```
imports Main ~~/src/HOL/Word/Word
```

begin

The frequently used machine word types are named here. For example, address is the type of 160-bit machine words. The type w256 is the type of EVM machine words.

```
type-synonym w256 = 256 \ word — 256 bit words type-synonym address = 160 \ word — 160 bit addresses type-synonym byte = 8 \ word — 8 bit bytes
```

In EVM, the memory contains one byte for each machine word (offset). The storage contains one machine word for each machine word (index). As we will see, the memory is cleared for every invocation of smart contracts. The storage is persistent for an account.

```
type-synonym memory = w256 \Rightarrow byte
type-synonym storage = w256 \Rightarrow w256
```

The storage is modelled as a function. For example, the empty storage is a function that returns zero for every index. Initially all accounts come with the empty storage.

```
definition empty-storage :: storage where empty-storage = (\lambda -. \theta)
```

During proofs, the definition of *empty-storage* is expanded automatically.

```
declare empty-storage-def [simp]
```

The empty memory is very similar.

```
definition empty-memory :: memory where empty-memory = (\lambda -. 0)
```

```
declare empty-memory-def [simp]
```

The following record lists the information available for bytecode-inline assertions. These assertions will be proved in Isabelle/HOL.

```
aenv-balance-at-call:: address \Rightarrow w256
— the balance of all accounts at the time of the invocation
aenv-this:: address — the address of this contract under verification
aenv-origin:: address — the external account that started the transaction.
```

aenv-balance field keeps track of the balance of all accounts because the contract under verification can send some Eth to other accounts. To capture the effect of this, I chose to keep track of the balances of the other contracts.

aenv-storage-at-call and aenv-balance-at-call fields remember the states at the time of the contract invocation. These are used for rolling back the state after a failure. Failures happen for example when the contract under verification jumps to a wrong destination, or it runs out of gas.

aenv-origin might be the same as but might be different from aenv-caller. An Ethereum transaction is started by an external account (that is, an account which does not have codes but owned by somebody with a secret key). aenv-origin denotes this external account. During a transaction, the origin first sends a message to an account, the receiver can in turn call other accounts as well. When the calls nest, aenv-caller points to the immediate caller of the current invocation.

I'm going to add more fields in the *aenv* record in the near future because it does not contain all the information available at the execution time.

end

3 EVM Instructions

This section lists the EVM instructions and their byte representations. I also introduce an assertion instruction, whose byte representation is empty. The assertion instruction is a statement about the state of the EVM at that position of the program.

In Isabelle/HOL, it is expensive to define a single inductive type that contains all instructions. When I do it, Isabelle/HOL automatically proves every instruction is different from any other instruction, but this process has the computational complexity of the square of the number of instructions. Instead, I define multiple smaller inductive types and unify them at the end.

theory Instructions

 $\mathbf{imports}\ \mathit{Main}\ ^{\sim\sim}/\mathit{src}/\mathit{HOL}/\mathit{Word}/\mathit{Word}\ ./\mathit{ContractEnv}$

begin

3.1 Bit Operations

The following clause defines a type called *bits_inst*. The type has five elements. It is automatically understood that nothing else belongs to this type. It is also understood that every one of these five elements is different from any of the other four.

Some instructions have *inst*₋ in front because names like AND, OR and XOR are taken by the machine word library.

The instructions have different arities. They might consume some elements on the stack, and produce some elements on the stack. However, the arity of the instructions are not specified in this section.

```
datatype bits-inst
= inst-AND — bitwise AND
| inst-OR — bitwise OR
| inst-XOR — bitwise exclusive or
| inst-NOT — bitwise negation
| BYTE — taking one byte out of a word
```

These instructions are represented by the following bytes. Most opcodes are a single byte.

```
fun bits-inst-code :: bits-inst \Rightarrow byte where
bits-inst-code inst-AND = 0x16
| bits-inst-code inst-OR = 0x17
| bits-inst-code inst-XOR = 0x18
| bits-inst-code inst-NOT = 0x19
| bits-inst-code BYTE = 0x1a
```

 $extbf{declare}$ bits-inst-code.simps [simp]

3.2 Signed Arithmetics

More similar definitions follow. Below are instructions for signed arithmetics. The operations common to signed and unsigned are listed further below in the Unsigned Arithmetics section.

```
datatype sarith-inst
= SDIV — signed division
| SMOD — signed modulo
| SGT — signed greater-than
| SLT — signed less-than
| SIGNEXTEND — extend the size of a signed number
fun sarith-inst-code :: sarith-inst => byte
where
    sarith-inst-code SDIV = 0x05
```

```
\mid sarith\text{-}inst\text{-}code\ SMOD = 0x07
\mid sarith\text{-}inst\text{-}code\ SGT = 0x13
\mid sarith\text{-}inst\text{-}code\ SLT = 0x12
\mid sarith\text{-}inst\text{-}code\ SIGNEXTEND = 0x0b
```

declare sarith-inst-code.simps [simp]

3.3 Unsigned Arithmetics

The names GT, EQ and LT are taken in the Cmp library (which will be used for AVL trees).

```
datatype arith-inst
= ADD — addition
 MUL — multiplication
 SUB — subtraction
 DIV — unsigned division
 MOD — unsigned modulo
 ADDMOD — addition under modulo
 MULMOD — multiplication under modulo
 EXP — exponentiation
 inst-GT — unsigned greater-than
 inst-EQ — equality
 inst-LT — unsigned less-than
 \mathit{ISZERO} — if zero, returns one
 SHA3 — Keccak 256, dispite the name
fun arith-inst-code :: arith-inst <math>\Rightarrow byte
where
 arith-inst-code\ ADD\ =\ 0x01
 arith-inst-code MUL = 0x02
 arith-inst-code SUB = 0x03
 arith-inst-code DIV = 0x04
 arith-inst-code MOD = 0x06
 arith-inst-code\ ADDMOD=\ 0x08
 arith-inst-code MULMOD = 0x09
 arith-inst-code\ EXP\ =\ \theta x \theta a
 arith-inst-code inst-GT = 0x11
 arith-inst-code inst-LT = 0x10
 arith-inst-code inst-EQ = 0x14
 arith-inst-code ISZERO = 0x15
 arith-inst-code SHA3 = 0x20
```

declare arith-inst-code.simps [simp]

3.4 Informational Instructions

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{datatype} \ \textit{info-inst} = \\ ADDRESS - \text{the address of the account currently running} \\ \mid BALANCE - \text{the Eth balance of the specified account} \end{array}
```

```
| ORIGIN — the address of the external account that started the transaction | CALLER — the immediate caller of this invocation | CALLVALUE — the Eth amount sent along this invocation | CALLDATASIZE — The number of bytes sent along this invocation | CODESIZE — the number of bytes in the currently running code | GASPRICE — the current gas price | EXTCODESIZE — the size of the code on the specified account | BLOCKHASH — the block hash of a specified block among the recent blocks | COINBASE — the address of the miner that validates the current block | TIMESTAMP — the date and time of the block | NUMBER — the block number | DIFFICULTY — the current difficulty | GASLIMIT — the current block gas limit | GAS — the remaining gas for the current execution.
```

```
fun info-inst-code :: info-inst \Rightarrow byte where
```

```
info-inst-code \ ADDRESS = 0x30
info-inst-code\ BALANCE=0x31
info-inst-code\ ORIGIN = 0x32
info\text{-}inst\text{-}code\ CALLVALUE = 0x34
info-inst-code\ CALLDATASIZE=0x36
info-inst-code\ CALLER=0x33
info-inst-code\ CODESIZE=0x38
info-inst-code\ GASPRICE=0x3a
info-inst-code\ EXTCODESIZE=0x3b
info-inst-code\ BLOCKHASH = 0x40
info-inst-code\ COINBASE=0x41
info-inst-code\ TIMESTAMP = 0x42
info-inst-code\ NUMBER=0x43
info-inst-code\ DIFFICULTY=0x44
info-inst-code\ GASLIMIT=0x45
info-inst-code\ GAS=\ 0x5a
```

declare info-inst-code.simps [simp]

3.5 Duplicating Stack Elements

There are sixteen instructions for duplicating a stack element. These instructions take a stack element and duplicate it on top of the stack.

```
type-synonym dup-inst = nat
```

```
abbreviation dup-inst-code :: dup-inst \Rightarrow byte where dup-inst-code n \equiv (if \ n < 1 \ then \ undefined \ (* \ There \ is \ no \ DUP0 \ instruction. *) else (if \ n > 16 \ then \ undefined \ (* \ There \ are \ no \ DUP16 \ instruction \ and \ on. *) else (word\text{-}of\text{-}int \ (int \ n)) + \theta x 7 f))
— 0x80 \ stands for DUP1 until 0x9f for DUP16.
```

3.6 Memory Operations

```
datatype memory-inst =
    MLOAD — reading one word from the memory from the specified offset
| MSTORE — writing one machine word to the memory
| MSTORE8 — writing one byte to the memory
| CALLDATACOPY — copying the caller's data to the memory
| CODECOPY — copying a part of the currently running code to the memory
| EXTCODECOPY — copying a part of the code of the specified account
| MSIZE — the size of the currently used region of the memory.
```

```
where memory-inst-code\ MLOAD = 0x51 | memory-inst-code\ MSTORE = 0x52 | memory-inst-code\ MSTORE8 = 0x53 | memory-inst-code\ CALLDATACOPY = 0x37 | memory-inst-code\ CODECOPY = 0x39 | memory-inst-code\ EXTCODECOPY = 0x3c | memory-inst-code\ MSIZE = 0x59
```

fun $memory-inst-code :: memory-inst <math>\Rightarrow byte$

declare memory-inst-code.simps [simp]

3.7 Storage Operations

```
datatype storage\text{-}inst = SLOAD — reading one word from the storage \mid SSTORE — writing one word to the storage. 

fun storage\text{-}inst\text{-}code :: storage\text{-}inst \Rightarrow byte where storage\text{-}inst\text{-}code SLOAD = 0x54 \mid storage\text{-}inst\text{-}code SSTORE = 0x55
```

 $\mathbf{declare}\ storage\text{-}inst\text{-}code.simps\ [simp]$

3.8 Program-Counter Instructions

```
datatype pc\text{-}inst = JUMP - \text{jumping} to the specified location in the code \mid JUMPI - \text{jumping} to the specified location in the code if a condition is met \mid PC - \text{the current location} in the code \mid JUMPDEST - \text{a no-op instruction located to indicate jump destinations}.
```

If a jump occurs to a location where JUMPDEST is not found, the execution fails.

```
fun pc\text{-}inst\text{-}code :: pc\text{-}inst \Rightarrow byte

where

pc\text{-}inst\text{-}code \ JUMP = 0x56

| pc\text{-}inst\text{-}code \ JUMPI = 0x57
```

```
\mid pc\text{-}inst\text{-}code\ PC = 0x58
\mid pc\text{-}inst\text{-}code\ JUMPDEST = 0x5b
```

declare pc-inst-code.simps [simp]

3.9 Stack Instructions

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{datatype} \ stack\text{-}inst = \\ POP \ -- \ \text{throwing away the topmost element of the stack} \\ \mid PUSH\text{-}N \ 8 \ word \ list \ -- \ \text{pushing an element to the stack} \\ \mid CALLDATALOAD \ -- \ \text{pushing a word to the stack, taken from the caller's data.} \\ \end{array}
```

The PUSH instructions have longer byte representations than the other instructions because they contain immediate values. Here the immediate value is represented by a list of bytes. Depending on the length of the list, the PUSH operation takes different opcodes.

```
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{stack-inst-code} \ :: \ \mathit{stack-inst} \ \Rightarrow \ \mathit{byte} \ \mathit{list}
where
  stack-inst-code POP = [0x50]
 stack-inst-code (PUSH-N lst) =
  (if (size lst) < 1 then undefined (* there is no PUSH0 instruction *)
   else (if (size lst) > 32 then undefined (* there are no PUSH33 and so on *)
    else word-of-int (int (size lst)) + 0x5f)) # lst
| stack-inst-code \ CALLDATALOAD = [0x35]
declare stack-inst-code.simps [simp]
type-synonym swap-inst = nat
abbreviation swap-inst-code :: swap-inst <math>\Rightarrow byte
where
swap-inst-code n \equiv
  (if n < 1 then undefined else (* there is no SWAP0 *)
  (if n > 16 then undefined else (* there are no SWAP17 and on *)
  word-of-int (int \ n) + \theta x8f))
```

3.10 Logging Instructions

There are instructions for logging events with different number of arguments.

```
\mathbf{datatype}\ log	ext{-}inst = LOG0 \mid LOG1 \mid LOG2 \mid LOG3 \mid LOG4
```

```
fun log-inst-code :: log-inst \Rightarrow byte
where
log-inst-code \ LOG0 = 0xa0
| \ log-inst-code \ LOG1 = 0xa1
| \ log-inst-code \ LOG2 = 0xa2
| \ log-inst-code \ LOG3 = 0xa3
| \ log-inst-code \ LOG4 = 0xa4
```

3.11 Miscellaneous Instructions

This section contains the instructions that alter the account-wise control flow. In other words, they cause communication between accounts (or at least interaction with other accounts' code).

datatype misc-inst

```
= STOP — finishing the execution normally, with the empty return data | CREATE — deploying some code in an account | CALL — calling (i.e. sending a message to) an account | CALLCODE — calling into the current account with some other account's code
```

| DELEGATECALL

— calling into this account, the executed code can be some other account's but the sent value and the sent data are unchanged.

```
| RETURN — finishing the execution normally with data | SUICIDE
```

— send all remaining Eth balance to the specified account, finishing the execution normally, and flagging the current account for deletion.

```
fun misc-inst-code :: misc-inst \Rightarrow byte
where
misc-inst-code \ STOP = 0x00
| misc-inst-code \ CREATE = 0xf0
| misc-inst-code \ CALL = 0xf1
| misc-inst-code \ CALLCODE = 0xf2
| misc-inst-code \ RETURN = 0xf3
| misc-inst-code \ DELEGATECALL = 0xf4
| misc-inst-code \ SUICIDE = 0xff
```

declare misc-inst-code.simps [simp]

3.12 Annotation Instruction

The annotation instruction is just a predicate over *aenv*. A predicate is modelled as a function returning a boolean.

type-synonym $annotation = aenv \Rightarrow bool$

3.13 The Whole Instruction Set

The small inductive sets above are here combined into a single type.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{datatype} \ inst = \\ Unknown \ byte \\ | \ Bits \ bits-inst \\ | \ Sarith \ sarith-inst \end{array}
```

```
| Arith arith-inst
| Info info-inst
| Dup dup-inst
| Memory memory-inst
| Storage storage-inst
| Pc pc-inst
| Stack stack-inst
| Swap swap-inst
| Log log-inst
| Misc misc-inst
| Annotation annotation
```

And the byte representation of these instructions are defined.

```
fun inst\text{-}code :: inst \Rightarrow byte \ list

where

inst\text{-}code \ (Unknown \ byte) = \lceil byte \rceil
```

```
|inst-code| (Chishown byle) = [byle] \\ |inst-code| (Bits|b) = [bits-inst-code|b] \\ |inst-code| (Sarith|s) = [sarith-inst-code|s] \\ |inst-code| (Arith|a) = [arith-inst-code|a] \\ |inst-code| (Info|i) = [info-inst-code|i] \\ |inst-code| (Dup|d) = [dup-inst-code|d] \\ |inst-code| (Memory|m) = [memory-inst-code|m] \\ |inst-code| (Storage|s) = [storage-inst-code|s] \\ |inst-code| (Stack|s) = stack-inst-code|s \\ |inst-code| (Swap|s) = [swap-inst-code|s] \\ |inst-code| (Log|l) = [log-inst-code|l] \\ |inst-code| (Misc|m) = [misc-inst-code|m] \\ |inst-code| (Annotation|-) = []
```

declare inst-code.simps [simp]

The size of an opcode is useful for parsing a hex representation of an EVM code.

```
abbreviation inst-size :: inst \Rightarrow int where inst-size i \equiv int (length (inst-code i))
```

This can also be used to find jump destinations from a sequence of opcodes.

```
fun drop-bytes :: inst list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow inst list where
drop-bytes prg 0 = prg
| drop-bytes (Stack (PUSH-N v) # rest) bytes =
drop-bytes rest (bytes - 1 - length v)
| drop-bytes (Annotation - # rest) bytes = drop-bytes rest bytes
| drop-bytes (- # rest) bytes = drop-bytes rest (bytes - 1)
| drop-bytes [] (Suc v) = []
```

declare drop-bytes.simps [simp]

Also it is possible to compute the size of a program as the number of bytes,

```
fun program-size :: inst list ⇒ nat

where

program-size (Stack (PUSH-N v) # rest) = length v + 1 + program-size rest

— I was using inst-size here, but that contributed to performance problems.

| program-size (Annotation - # rest) = program-size rest
| program-size (- # rest) = 1 + program-size rest
| program-size [] = 0

declare program-size.simps [simp]

as well as computing the byte representation of the program.

fun program-code :: inst list ⇒ byte list

where

program-code [] = []
| program-code (inst # rest) = inst-code inst @ program-code rest

declare program-code.simps [simp]
```

4 A Contract Centric View of the EVM

Here is a presentation of the Ethereum Virtual Machine (EVM) in a form suitable for formal verification of a single account.

theory ContractSem

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{imports} \ \textit{Main} \ ^{\sim}/\textit{src}/\textit{HOL}/\textit{Word}/\textit{Word} \ ^{\sim}/\textit{src}/\textit{HOL}/\textit{Data-Structures}/\textit{AVL-Map} \\ ./\textit{ContractEnv} \ ./\textit{Instructions} \ ./\textit{KEC} \end{array}$

begin

end

4.1 Utility Functions

The following function is an if-sentence, but with some strict control over the evaluation order. Neither the then-clause nor the else-clause is simplified during proofs. This prevents the automatic simplifier from computing the results of both the then-clause and the else-clause.

```
definition strict-if :: bool \Rightarrow (bool \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow (bool \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a where strict-if b x y = (if b then x True else y True)
```

When the if-condition is known to be True, the simplifier can proceed into the then-clause. The simp attribute encourages the simplifier to use this equation from left to right whenever applicable.

lemma strict-if-True [simp]:

```
strict-if True\ a\ b = a\ True

apply(simp\ add:\ strict-if-def)

done
```

When the if-condition is known to be False, the simplifier can proceed into the else-clause.

```
lemma strict-if-False [simp]: strict-if False a b = b True apply(simp add: strict-if-def) done
```

When the if-condition is not known to be either True or False, the simplifier is allowed to perform computation on the if-condition. The *cong* attribute tells the simplifier to try to rewrite the left hand side of the conclusion, using the assumption.

```
lemma strict-if-cong [cong]: b0 = b1 \Longrightarrow strict-if b0 \ x \ y = strict-if b1 \ x \ y apply(auto) done
```

4.2 The Interaction between the Contract and the World

In this development, the EVM execution is seen as an interaction between a single contract invocation and the rest of the world. The world can call into the contract. The contract can reply by just finishing or failing, but it can also call an account⁶. When our contract execution calls an account, this is seen as an action towards the world, because the world then has to decide the result of this call. The world can say that the call finished successfully or exceptionally. The world can also say that the call resulted in a reentrancy. In other words, the world can call the contract again and change the storage and the balance of our contract. The whole process is captured as a game between the world and the contract.

4.2.1 The World's Moves

The world can call into our contract. Then the world provides our ⁷ contract with the following information.

```
record call-env = callenv-gaslimit :: w256 — the current block's gas limit callenv-value :: w256 — the amount of Eth sent along callenv-data :: byte list — the data sent along
```

 $^{^6}$ This might be the same account as our invocation, but still the deeper calls is part of the world.

⁷ The contract's behavior is controlled by a concrete code, but the world's behavior is unrestricted. So when I get emotional I call the contract "our" contract.

```
callenv-caller :: address — the caller's address callenv-timestamp :: w256 — the timestamp of the current block callenv-blocknum :: w256 — the block number of the current block callenv-balance :: address \Rightarrow w256 — the balances of all accounts.
```

After our contract calls accounts, the world can make those accounts return into our contracts. The return value is not under control of our current contract, so it is the world's move. In that case, the world provides the following information.

```
record return-result = 

return-data :: byte list — the returned data 

return-balance :: address \Rightarrow w256 — the balance of all accounts at the moment of the return
```

Even our account's balance (and its storage) might have changed at this moment. return-result type is also used when our contract returns, as we will see.

With these definitions now we can define the world's actions. In addition to call and return, there is another clause for failing back to the account. This happens when our contract calls an account but the called account fails.

```
datatype world-action =
  WorldCall call-env — the world calls into the account
| WorldRet return-result — the world returns back to the account
| WorldFail — the world fails back to the account.
```

4.2.2 The Contract's Moves

After being invoked, the contract can respond by calling an account, creating (or deploying) a smart contract, destroying itself, returning, or failing. When the contract calls an account, the contract provides the following information.

```
record call-arguments = callarg-gas :: w256 — the portion of the remaining gas that the callee is allowed to use callarg-code :: address — the code that executes during the call callarg-recipient :: address — the recipient of the call, whose balance and the storage are modified.

callarg-value :: w256 — the amount of Eth sent along callarg-data :: byte list — the data sent along callarg-output-begin :: w256 — the beginning of the memory region where the output data should be written.

callarg-output-size :: w256 — the size of the memory regions where the output data should be written.
```

When our contract deploys a smart contract, our contract should provide the following information.

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{record} \ \ create-arguments = \\ \ \ createarg\text{-}value :: w256 \ -- \ \text{the value sent to the account} \\ \ \ \ createarg\text{-}code :: byte \ list \ -- \ \text{the code that deploys the runtime code.} \end{array}
```

The contract's moves are summarized as follows.

```
datatype contract-action =
   ContractCall call-arguments — calling an account
| ContractCreate create-arguments — deploying a smart contract
| ContractFail — failing back to the caller
| ContractSuicide — destroying itself and returning back to the caller
| ContractReturn byte list — normally returning back to the caller
```

4.3 Program Representation

For performance reasons, the instructions are stored in an AVL tree that allows looking up instructions from the program counters.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{record} \ \textit{program} = \\ \textit{program-content} :: (int \times inst) \ \textit{avl-tree} \\ -- \ \text{a binary search tree that allows looking up instructions from positions} \\ \textit{program-length} :: int -- \text{ the length of the program in bytes} \\ \textit{program-annotation} :: int \Rightarrow \textit{annotation list} \\ -- \ \text{a mapping from positions to annotations} \\ \end{array}
```

The empty program is easy to define.

```
abbreviation empty-program :: program where empty-program \equiv (| program-content = \langle \rangle , program-length = 0 , program-annotation = (\lambda -. []) |)
```

4.4 Translating an Instruction List into a Program

4.4.1 Integers can be compared

The AVL library requires the keys to be comparable. We represent program positions by integers. So we have to prove that integers belong to the type class *cmp* with the usual comparison operators.

```
instantiation int :: cmp
begin
definition cmp\text{-}int :: int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow Cmp\text{-}cmp
where
cmp\text{-}int\text{-}def : cmp\text{-}int \ x \ y =
(if \ x < y \ then \ Cmp\text{-}LT \ else \ (if \ x = y \ then \ Cmp\text{-}EQ \ else \ Cmp\text{-}GT))
instance proof
fix x \ y :: int \ show \ (cmp \ x \ y = cmp\text{-}LT) = (x < y)
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ cmp\text{-}int\text{-}def)\\ \mathbf{done}\\ \mathbf{fix}\ x\ y::\ int\ \mathbf{show}\ (cmp\ x\ y=cmp.EQ)=(x=y)\\ \mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ cmp\text{-}int\text{-}def)\\ \mathbf{done}\\ \mathbf{fix}\ x\ y::\ int\ \mathbf{show}\ (cmp\ x\ y=cmp.GT)=(x>y)\\ \mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ cmp\text{-}int\text{-}def)\\ \mathbf{done}\\ \mathbf{qed}\\ \mathbf{end} \end{array}
```

4.4.2 Storing the immediate values in the AVL tree

The data region of PUSH_N instructions are encoded as Unknown instructions. Here is a utility function that inserts a byte sequence after a specified index in the AVL tree.

```
fun store-byte-list-in-program ::
    int (* initial position in the AVL *) \Rightarrow byte list (* the data *) \Rightarrow
    (int * inst) avl-tree (* original AVL *) \Rightarrow
    (int * inst) avl-tree (* result *)

where
    store-byte-list-in-program - [] orig = orig
| store-byte-list-in-program pos (h # t) orig =
    store-byte-list-in-program (pos + 1) t (update pos (Unknown h) orig)

declare store-byte-list-in-program.simps [simp]
```

4.4.3 Storing a program in the AVL tree

Here is a function that stores a list of instructions in the AVL tree. The initial key is specified. The following keys are computed using the sizes of instructions being inserted.

```
fun program-content-of-lst ::
  int (* initial position in the AVL *) \Rightarrow inst list (* instructions *)
  \Rightarrow (int * inst) avl-tree (* result *)
where
  program-content-of-lst - [] = Leaf
  — the empty program is translated into the empty tree.
| program-content-of-lst pos (Stack (PUSH-N bytes) # rest) =
  store-byte-list-in-program (pos + 1) bytes
  (update pos (Stack (PUSH-N bytes))
         (program-content-of-lst\ (pos+1+(int\ (length\ bytes)))\ rest))
  — The PUSH instruction is translated together with the immediate value.
\mid program\text{-}content\text{-}of\text{-}lst\ pos\ (Annotation\ -\ \#\ rest) =
   program-content-of-lst pos rest
   - Annotations are skipped because they do not belong in this AVL tree.
\mid program\text{-}content\text{-}of\text{-}lst\ pos\ (i\ \#\ rest) =
   update\ pos\ i\ (program-content-of-lst\ (pos\ +\ 1)\ rest)
```

— The other instructions are simply inserted into the AVL tree.

4.4.4 Storing annotations in a program in a mapping

Annotations are stored in a mapping that maps positions into lists of annotations. The rationale for this data structure is that a single position might contain multiple annotations. Here is a function that inserts an annotation at a specified position.

```
abbreviation prepend-annotation :: int \Rightarrow annotation \Rightarrow (int \Rightarrow annotation \ list)
\Rightarrow (int \Rightarrow annotation \ list)
where
prepend-annotation pos annot orig \equiv orig(pos := annot \# orig \ pos)
```

Currently annotations are inserted into a mapping with Isabelle/HOL's mapping updates. When this causes performance problems, I need to switch to AVL trees again.

```
fun program-annotation-of-lst :: int \Rightarrow inst \ list \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow annotation \ list where program-annotation-of-lst - [] = (\lambda -. []) | program-annotation-of-lst \ pos \ (Annotation \ annot \ \# \ rest) = prepend-annotation \ pos \ annot \ (program-annotation-of-lst \ pos \ (i \ \# \ rest) = (program-annotation-of-lst \ (pos + inst-size \ i) \ rest) — Ordinary instructions are skipped.
```

declare program-annotation-of-lst.simps [simp]

4.4.5 Translating a list of instructions into a program

The results of the above translations are packed together in a record.

```
abbreviation program-of-lst :: inst list \Rightarrow program where program-of-lst lst \equiv (| program-content = program-content-of-lst 0 lst , program-length = int (length lst) , program-annotation = program-annotation-of-lst 0 lst |
```

4.5 Program as a Byte Sequence

For CODECOPY instruction, the program must be seen as a byte-indexed read-only memory.

Such a memory is here implemented by a lookup on an AVL tree.

```
abbreviation program-as-memory :: program \Rightarrow memory where program-as-memory p idx \equiv
```

```
(case lookup (program-content p) (uint idx) of

None \Rightarrow 0

| Some inst \Rightarrow inst-code inst! 0)
```

4.6 Execution Environments

I model an instruction as a function that takes environments and modifies some parts of them.

The execution of an EVM program happens in a block, and the following information about the block should be available.

```
record block-info = block-blockhash :: w256 \Rightarrow w256 — this captures the whole BLOCKHASH op block-coinbase :: address — the miner who validates the block block-timestamp :: w256 block-number :: w256 — the blocknumber of the block block-difficulty :: w256 block-gaslimit :: w256 — the block gas imit block-gasprice :: w256
```

The variable environment contains information that is relatively volatile.

```
record variable-env = venv-stack :: w256 \ list venv-memory :: memory venv-memory-usage :: int — the current memory usage venv-storage :: storage venv-pc :: int — the program counter venv-balance :: address <math>\Rightarrow w256 — balances of all accounts venv-caller :: address — the caller's address venv-value-sent :: w256 — the amount of Eth sent along the current invocation venv-data-sent :: byte list — the data sent along the current invocation venv-storage-at-call :: storage — the storage content at the invocation venv-balance-at-call :: address \Rightarrow w256 — the balances at the invocation venv-origin :: address — the external account that started the current transaction venv-ext-program :: address \Rightarrow program — the codes of all accounts venv-block :: block-info — the current block.
```

The constant environment contains information that is rather stable.

```
record constant-env = cenv-program :: program — the code in the account under verification cenv-this :: address — the address of the account under verification.
```

4.7 The Result of an Instruction

The result of program execution is microscopically defined by results of instruction executions. The execution of a single instruction can result in the following cases:

When the contract fails, the result of the instruction always looks like this:

abbreviation instruction-failure-result :: $variable-env \Rightarrow instruction-result$ where

When the contract returns, the result of the instruction always looks like this:

abbreviation instruction-return-result :: byte list \Rightarrow variable-env \Rightarrow instruction-result where

```
instruction-return-result x \ v \equiv Instruction To World \ (ContractReturn \ x, venv-storage \ v, venv-balance \ v, None)
```

4.8 Useful Functions for Defining EVM Operations

Currently the GAS instruction is modelled to return random numbers. The random number is not known to be of any value. However, the value is not unknown enough in this formalization because the value is only dependent on the variable environment (which does not keep track of the remaining gas). This is not a problem as long as we are analyzing a single invocation of a loopless contract, but gas accounting is a planned feature.

```
definition gas :: variable-env \Rightarrow w256 where gas -= undefined
```

This M function is defined at the end of H.1. in the yellow paper. This function is useful for updating the memory usage counter.

```
abbreviation M::

int \ (* \ original \ memory \ usage \ *) \Rightarrow w256 \ (* \ beginning \ of \ the \ used \ memory \ *)
\Rightarrow w256 \ (* \ used \ size \ *) \Rightarrow int \ (* \ the \ updated \ memory \ usage \ *)
where
M \ s \ f \ l \equiv
(if \ l = 0 \ then \ s \ else
max \ s \ ((uint \ f + uint \ l + 31) \ div \ 32))
```

```
Updating a balance of a single account:
{f abbreviation}\ update\mbox{-}balance::
  address (* the updated account*)
\Rightarrow (w256 \Rightarrow w256) (* the function that updates the balance *)
\Rightarrow (address \Rightarrow w256) (* the original balance *)
\Rightarrow (address \Rightarrow w256) (* the resulting balance *)
where
update-balance a f orig \equiv orig(a := f (orig a))
Popping stack elements:
abbreviation \ venv-pop-stack ::
nat (* how many elements to pop *) \Rightarrow variable-env \Rightarrow variable-env
where
venv-pop-stack n v \equiv
  v(|venv\text{-}stack|:=drop\ n\ (venv\text{-}stack\ v)\ )
Peeking the topmost element of the stack:
abbreviation venv-stack-top :: variable-env \Rightarrow w256 option
where
venv-stack-top v \equiv
 (case venv-stack v of h \# \rightarrow Some h \mid [] \Rightarrow None)
Updating the storage at an index:
{f abbreviation}\ venv	ext{-}update	ext{-}storage::
w256 \ (* index *) \Rightarrow w256 \ (* value *)
\Rightarrow variable-env (* the original variable environment *)
\Rightarrow variable-env (* the resulting variable environment *)
where
venv-update-storage idx \ val \ v \equiv
  v(venv-storage := (venv-storage \ v)(idx := val))
Peeking the next instruction:
abbreviation venv-next-instruction :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow inst option
where
venv\text{-}next\text{-}instruction\ v\ c\ \equiv
  lookup (program-content (cenv-program c)) (venv-pc v)
Advancing the program counter:
abbreviation venv-advance-pc :: constant-env \Rightarrow variable-env \Rightarrow variable-env
where
venv-advance-pc\ c\ v \equiv
  v(|venv-pc| = venv-pc|v + inst-size|(the|(venv-next-instruction|v|c))|)
No-op, which just advances the program counter:
abbreviation stack-\theta-\theta-op: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
stack-0-0-op\ v\ c \equiv InstructionContinue\ (venv-advance-pc\ c\ v)
```

A general pattern of operations that pushes one element onto the stack:

```
abbreviation stack-0-1-op ::
```

```
variable\text{-}env \Rightarrow constant\text{-}env \Rightarrow w256 \ (*\ the\ pushed\ word\ *) \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}result where stack\text{-}0\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c\ w \equiv InstructionContinue} (venv\text{-}advance\text{-}pc\ c\ v(|venv\text{-}stack\ :=\ w\ \#\ venv\text{-}stack\ v|))
```

A general pattern of operations that transforms the topmost element of the stack:

```
abbreviation stack-1-1-op :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow (w256 \Rightarrow w256) (* the function that transforms\ a\ word*) \Rightarrow instruction-result

where
stack-1-1-op v\ c\ f \equiv (case\ venv-stack v\ of
[] \Rightarrow instruction-failure-result v
|\ h\ \#\ t\ \Rightarrow InstructionContinue
(venv-advance-pc c\ v(venv-stack := f\ h\ \#\ t)))
```

A general pattern of operations that consume one word and produce two rwords:

```
abbreviation stack-1-2-op :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow (w256 \Rightarrow w256 * w256) <math>\Rightarrow instruction-result where stack-1-2-op v c f \equiv (case\ venv-stack v of [] \Rightarrow instruction-failure-result v |\ h \ \# \ t \Rightarrow (case\ f\ h\ of (new0,\ new1) \Rightarrow InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c v(venv-stack := new0 \ \# \ new1 \ \# \ t\ ))))
```

A general pattern of operations that take two words and produce one word:

```
abbreviation stack-2-1-op :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow (w256 \Rightarrow w256 \Rightarrow w256) \Rightarrow instruction-result where stack-2-1-op v c f \equiv
```

```
stack-2-1-op \ v \ c \ f \equiv
(case \ venv-stack \ v \ of \ operand 0 \ \# \ operand 1 \ \# \ rest \Rightarrow
Instruction Continue
(venv-advance-pc \ c \ v(|venv-stack| := f \ operand 0 \ operand 1 \ \# \ rest|))
|-\Rightarrow instruction-failure-result \ v)
```

A general pattern of operations that take three words and produce one word:

```
abbreviation stack-3-1-op::
variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow
(w256 \Rightarrow w256 \Rightarrow w256 \Rightarrow w256) \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
stack-3-1-op \ v \ c \ f \equiv
(case \ venv-stack \ v \ of
operand0 \ \# \ operand1 \ \# \ operand2 \ \# \ rest \Rightarrow
InstructionContinue
(venv-advance-pc \ c
v(venv-stack := f \ operand0 \ operand1 \ operand2 \ \# \ rest))
|-\Rightarrow instruction-failure-result \ v)
```

4.9 Definition of EVM Operations

SSTORE changes the storage so it does not fit into any of the patterns defined above.

```
abbreviation sstore :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result where sstore v c \equiv (case venv-stack v of addr \# val \# stack-tail \Rightarrow InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c (venv-update-storage addr val v(venv-stack := stack-tail))) |-\Rightarrow instruction-failure-result v)
```

For interpreting the annotations, I first need to construct the annotation environment out of the current execution environments. When I try to remove this step, I face some circular definitions of data types.

```
abbreviation build-aenv :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow aenv where
```

```
\begin{array}{l} build\text{-}aenv \ v \ c \equiv \\ \big(\big) \ aenv\text{-}stack = venv\text{-}stack \ v \\ , \ aenv\text{-}memory = venv\text{-}memory \ v \\ , \ aenv\text{-}storage = venv\text{-}storage \ v \\ , \ aenv\text{-}balance = venv\text{-}balance \ v \\ , \ aenv\text{-}caller = venv\text{-}caller \ v \\ , \ aenv\text{-}value\text{-}sent = venv\text{-}value\text{-}sent \ v \\ , \ aenv\text{-}data\text{-}sent = venv\text{-}data\text{-}sent \ v \\ , \ aenv\text{-}storage\text{-}at\text{-}call = venv\text{-}storage\text{-}at\text{-}call \ v \\ , \ aenv\text{-}balance\text{-}at\text{-}call = venv\text{-}balance\text{-}at\text{-}call \ v \\ , \ aenv\text{-}this = cenv\text{-}this \ c \\ , \ aenv\text{-}origin = venv\text{-}origin \ v \ \big) \end{array}
```

In reality, EVM programs do not contain annotations so annotations never cause failures. However, during the verification, I want to catch annotation failures. When the annotation evaluates to False, the execution stops and results in *InstructionAnnotationFailure*.

definition eval-annotation :: annotation \Rightarrow variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result where

```
eval-annotation anno v c = (if anno (build-aenv v c) then InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c v) <math>else InstructionAnnotationFailure)
```

The JUMP instruction has the following meaning. When it cannot find the JUMPDEST instruction at the destination, the execution fails.

abbreviation $jump :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result$ where

```
\begin{array}{l} \textit{jump } v \ c \equiv \\ & (\textit{case venv-stack-top } v \ of \\ & \textit{None} \ \Rightarrow \textit{instruction-failure-result } v \\ & | \ \textit{Some pos} \ \Rightarrow \\ & (\textit{let v-new} = (\textit{venv-pop-stack } (\textit{Suc 0}) \ v)(| \ \textit{venv-pc} := \textit{uint pos} \ |) \ \textit{in} \\ & (\textit{case venv-next-instruction } v\text{-new } c \ \textit{of} \\ & \ \textit{Some } (\textit{Pc JUMPDEST}) \ \Rightarrow \\ & \ \textit{InstructionContinue } v\text{-new} \\ & | \ \textit{Some} \ - \ \Rightarrow \textit{instruction-failure-result } v \\ & | \ \textit{None} \ \Rightarrow \textit{instruction-failure-result } v \ ))) \end{array}
```

This function is a reminiscent of my struggle with the Isabelle/HOL simplifier. The second argument has no meaning but to control the Isabelle/HOL simplifier.

definition $blockedInstructionContinue :: variable-env <math>\Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow instruction-result$ where

 $blockedInstructionContinue\ v\ ext{-} = InstructionContinue\ v$

When the second argument is already *True*, the simplification can continue. Otherwise, the Isabelle/HOL simplifier is not allowed to expand the definition of *blockedInstructionContinue*.

```
\label{lemma:equation:continue} \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{lemma:} unblockInstructionContinue\ [simp]: \\ blockedInstructionContinue\ v\ True = InstructionContinue\ v \\ \mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ blockedInstructionContinue-def) \\ \mathbf{done} \end{array}
```

This is another reminiscent of my struggle against the Isabelle/HOL simplifier. Again, the simplifier is not allowed to expand the definition unless the second argument is known to be True.

```
\textbf{definition} \ blocked\text{-}jump :: variable\text{-}env \Rightarrow constant\text{-}env \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}result \\ \textbf{where}
```

```
blocked-jump\ v\ c - = jump\ v\ c
```

lemma unblock-jump [simp]:

```
blocked-jump\ v\ c\ True = jump\ v\ c
apply(simp add: blocked-jump-def)
done
The JUMPI instruction is implemented using the JUMP instruction.
abbreviation jumpi :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
jumpi \ v \ c \equiv
 (case venv-stack v of
     pos \# cond \# rest \Rightarrow
       (strict-if (cond = 0))
          (blockedInstructionContinue
            (venv-advance-pc\ c\ (venv-pop-stack\ (Suc\ (Suc\ 0))\ v)))
          (\mathit{blocked-jump}\ (\mathit{v}(\mid \mathit{venv-stack} := \mathit{pos}\ \#\ \mathit{rest}\ |))\ \mathit{c}))
   | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
Looking up the call data size takes this work:
abbreviation datasize :: variable-env \Rightarrow w256
datasize \ v \equiv Word.word-of-int \ (int \ (length \ (venv-data-sent \ v)))
Looking up a word from a list of bytes:
abbreviation read-word-from-bytes :: nat \Rightarrow byte \ list \Rightarrow w256
where
read-word-from-bytes\ idx\ lst\ ==
   Word.word-rcat\ (take\ 32\ (drop\ idx\ lst))
Looking up a word from the call data:
abbreviation cut-data :: variable-env \Rightarrow w256 \Rightarrow w256
where
cut-data \ v \ idx \equiv
   read-word-from-bytes (Word.unat\ idx) (venv-data-sent\ v)
Looking up a number of bytes from the memory:
fun cut-memory :: w256 \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (w256 \Rightarrow byte) \Rightarrow byte list
where
cut-memory idx \ 0 \ memory = [] \ |
cut-memory idx (Suc n) memory =
 memory\ idx\ \#\ cut\text{-}memory\ (idx\ +\ 1)\ n\ memory
declare cut-memory.simps [simp]
CALL instruction results in ContractCall action when there are enough
stack elements (and gas, when we introduce the gas accounting).
definition call :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
call\ v\ c =
  (case venv-stack v of
```

```
e0 \# e1 \# e2 \# e3 \# e4 \# e5 \# e6 \# rest \Rightarrow
   (if \ venv-balance \ v \ (cenv-this \ c) < e2 \ then
      instruction\hbox{-} failure\hbox{-} result\ v
    else
      Instruction To World \ (Contract Call
        (() callarg-gas = e\theta
         , callarg\text{-}code = Word.ucast e1
         , callarg-recipient = Word.ucast\ e1
         , callarg-value = e2
         , callarg-data = cut-memory e3 (Word.unat e4) (venv-memory v)
         , callarg-output-begin = e5
         , callarg-output-size = e6 )),
       venv-storage v,
       update-balance (cenv-this c)
         (\lambda \ orig \Rightarrow orig - e2) \ (venv-balance \ v),
       Some (* saving the variable environment for timing *)
         ((venv-advance-pc\ c\ v)
          (|venv\text{-}stack|:=rest)
          , venv-balance :=
               update-balance (cenv-this c)
                 (\lambda \ orig \Rightarrow orig - e2) \ (venv-balance \ v)
          , \ venv\text{-}memory\text{-}usage :=
             M (M (venv-memory-usage v) e3 e4) e5 e6 (), uint <math>e5, uint e6)))
  | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
declare call-def [simp]
DELEGATECALL is slightly different.
definition delegatecall :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
delegate call\ v\ c =
  (case venv-stack v of
    e0 \# e1 \# e3 \# e4 \# e5 \# e6 \# rest \Rightarrow
   (if \ venv-balance \ v \ (cenv-this \ c) < venv-value-sent \ v \ then
      instruction-failure-result v
    else
      Instruction \, To \, World
        (ContractCall
          (() callarg-gas = e0,
             callarg\text{-}code = Word.ucast\ e1,
             callarg-recipient = cenv-this c,
             callarg-value = venv-value-sent v,
             callarg-data =
               cut-memory e3 (Word.unat e4) (venv-memory v),
             callarg-output-begin = e5,
             callarg-output-size = e6 (),
         venv-storage v, venv-balance v,
         Some (* save the variable environment for returns *)
           ((venv-advance-pc\ c\ v)
```

```
(|venv\text{-}stack|:=rest)
            , venv-memory-usage :=
               M (M (venv-memory-usage v) e3 e4) e5 e6 ), uint <math>e5, uint e6 )))
 | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
declare delegatecall-def [simp]
CALLCODE is another variant.
abbreviation callcode :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
callcode\ v\ c \equiv
  (case venv-stack v of
    e0~\#~e1~\#~e2~\#~e3~\#~e4~\#~e5~\#~e6~\#~rest \Rightarrow
   (if \ venv-balance \ v \ (cenv-this \ c) < e2 \ then
      instruction-failure-result v
    else
      Instruction \, To \, World
        (ContractCall
          ( | callarg - gas = e\theta,
             callarg\text{-}code = ucast \ e1,
             callarg-recipient = cenv-this c,
             callarg-value = e2,
             callarg-data =
               cut-memory e3 (unat e4) (venv-memory v),
             callarg-output-begin = e5,
             callarg-output-size = e6 )),
         venv-storage v,
         update-balance (cenv-this c)
           (\lambda \ orig \Rightarrow orig - e2) \ (venv-balance \ v),
         Some (* saving the variable environment *)
           ((venv-advance-pc\ c\ v)
             (|venv\text{-}stack| := rest)
             , venv-memory-usage :=
                 M (M (venv-memory-usage v) e3 e4) e5 e6
             , venv-balance :=
                 update-balance (cenv-this c)
                   (\lambda \ orig \Rightarrow orig - e2) \ (venv-balance \ v) ), \ uint \ e5, \ uint \ e6
 | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
CREATE is also similar because the instruction causes execution on another
account.
abbreviation create ::
  variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
create\ v\ c \equiv
  (case venv-stack v of
   val \# code-start \# code-len \# rest \Rightarrow
     (if \ venv-balance \ v \ (cenv-this \ c) < val \ then
```

```
else
        let\ code =
           cut-memory code-start
             (unat\ code-len)\ (venv-memory\ v)\ in
        let\ new\mbox{-}balance =
           update-balance (cenv-this c)
             (\lambda \ orig. \ orig - val) \ (venv-balance \ v) \ in
        Instruction {\it ToWorld}
          (ContractCreate
            (( createarg-value = val)
             , createarg\text{-}code = code )),
           venv-storage v,
           update-balance (cenv-this c)
             (\lambda \ orig. \ orig - val) \ (venv-balance \ v),
           Some (* save the variable environment for returns *)
             ((venv-advance-pc\ c\ v)
              (|venv\text{-}stack| := rest)
              , venv-balance :=
                  update-balance (cenv-this c)
                    (\lambda \ orig. \ orig - val) \ (venv-balance \ v)
              , venv\text{-}memory\text{-}usage :=
                  M \ (venv\text{-}memory\text{-}usage\ v)\ code\text{-}start\ code\text{-}len\ [],\ \theta,\ \theta])))
  | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
For implementing RETURN, I need to cut a region from the memory ac-
cording to the stack elements:
definition
venv-returned-bytes v =
  (case venv-stack v of
    e0 \# e1 \# - \Rightarrow cut\text{-}memory \ e0 \ (Word.unat \ e1) \ (venv\text{-}memory \ v)
 | - \Rightarrow [])
RETURN is modeled like this:
abbreviation ret :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
ret \ v \ c \equiv
   (case venv-stack v of
     e0 \# e1 \# rest \Rightarrow
        let \ new-v = v(venv-memory-usage := M (venv-memory-usage v) \ e0 \ e1)
in
       Instruction To World ((ContractReturn (venv-returned-bytes new-v)),
                          venv-storage v, venv-balance v,
                        None (* No possibility of ever returning to this invocation. *))
  | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
STOP is simpler than RETURN:
abbreviation stop ::
variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
```

instruction-failure-result v

```
where
stop\ v\ c \equiv
 Instruction To World \ (Contract Return \ [], venv-storage \ v, venv-balance \ v, None)
POP removes the topmost element of the stack:
abbreviation pop ::
variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
pop \ v \ c \equiv InstructionContinue \ (venv-advance-pc \ c
            v(venv-stack := tl (venv-stack v)))
The DUP instructions:
abbreviation general-dup ::
nat \Rightarrow variable\text{-}env \Rightarrow constant\text{-}env \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}result
where
general-dup \ n \ v \ c \equiv
  (if n > length (venv-stack v) then instruction-failure-result v else
  (let \ duplicated = venv-stack \ v \ ! \ (n-1) \ in
  InstructionContinue\ (venv-advance-pc\ c\ v()\ venv-stack := duplicated\ \#\ venv-stack
A utility function for storing a list of bytes in the memory:
fun store-byte-list-memory :: w256 \Rightarrow byte \ list \Rightarrow memory \Rightarrow memory
where
  store-byte-list-memory - [] orig = orig
\mid store-byte-list-memory\ pos\ (h\ \#\ t)\ orig =
    store-byte-list-memory\ (pos + 1)\ t\ (orig(pos := h))
declare store-byte-list-memory.simps [simp]
Using the function above, it is straightforward to store a byte in the memory.
abbreviation store-word-memory :: w256 \Rightarrow w256 \Rightarrow memory \Rightarrow memory
where
store\text{-}word\text{-}memory\ pos\ val\ mem\ \equiv
  store-byte-list-memory pos (word-rsplit val) mem
MSTORE writes one word to the memory:
abbreviation mstore :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
mstore \ v \ c ==
  (case venv-stack v of
    [] \Rightarrow \textit{instruction-failure-result } v
   [-] \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v
  \mid pos \# val \# rest \Rightarrow
      let \ new-memory = store-word-memory \ pos \ val \ (venv-memory \ v) \ in
      InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c
        v(|venv\text{-}stack| := rest
```

```
, venv\text{-}memory := new\text{-}memory
         , venv-memory-usage := M (venv-memory-usage v) pos 32
         )))
MLOAD reads one word from the memory:
abbreviation mload :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
mload \ v \ c ==
 (case venv-stack v of
   pos \# rest \Rightarrow
     let \ value = word-rcat \ (cut-memory \ pos \ 32 \ (venv-memory \ v)) \ in
     InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c
       v \mid venv\text{-}stack := value \# rest
         , venv-memory-usage := M (venv-memory-usage v) pos 32
 | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
MSTORE8 writes one byte to the memory:
abbreviation mstore8 :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
mstore8\ v\ c \equiv
 (case venv-stack v of
    pos \# val \# rest \Rightarrow
       let \ new-memory = (venv-memory \ v)(pos := ucast \ val) \ in
       InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c
         v(|venv\text{-}stack| := rest
          , venv-memory-usage := M (venv-memory-usage v) pos 8
          , venv\text{-}memory := new\text{-}memory ))
  | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
For CALLDATACOPY, I need to look at the caller's data as memory.
abbreviation input-as-memory :: byte list \Rightarrow memory
where
input-as-memory lst\ idx \equiv
  (if length lst \leq unat idx then 0 else lst ! unat idx)
CALLDATACOPY:
abbreviation calldatacopy :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
calldatacopy \ v \ c \equiv
 (case venv-stack v of
    (dst\text{-}start :: w256) \# src\text{-}start \# len \# rest \Rightarrow
        cut-memory src-start (unat len) (input-as-memory (venv-data-sent v)) in
```

v(venv-stack) := rest, venv-memory := new-memory,

InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c

 $let\ new-memory = store-byte-list-memory\ dst-start\ data\ (venv-memory\ v)\ in$

```
venv-memory-usage := M (venv-memory-usage v) <math>dst-start len )))
```

CODECOPY copies a region of the currently running code to the memory:

```
abbreviation codecopy :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
codecopy \ v \ c \equiv
  (case venv-stack v of
    dst-start # src-start # len # rest <math>\Rightarrow
    let \ data = cut\text{-}memory \ src\text{-}start \ (unat \ len)
                (program-as-memory\ (cenv-program\ c))\ in
    let\ new-memory = store-byte-list-memory\ dst-start\ data\ (venv-memory\ v)\ in
    InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c
      v(venv-stack := rest, venv-memory := new-memory
      venv-memory-usage := M (venv-memory-usage v) dst-start len
  | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
EXTCODECOPY copies a region of the code of an arbitrary account.:
abbreviation extcodecopy :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
extcodecopy \ v \ c \equiv
  (case venv-stack v of
    addr \# dst-start \# src-start \# len \# rest \Rightarrow
    let data = cut\text{-}memory src\text{-}start (unat len)
                (program-as-memory
                  (venv-ext-program\ v\ (ucast\ addr)))\ in
    let\ new-memory\ =\ store-byte-list-memory\ dst-start\ data\ (venv-memory\ v)\ in
    InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c
      venv-memory-usage := M (venv-memory-usage v) <math>dst-start len
  | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
PC instruction could be implemented by stack-0-1-op:
abbreviation pc :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
pc \ v \ c \equiv
  InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c
    v(|venv\text{-}stack| := word\text{-}of\text{-}int (venv\text{-}pc \ v) \# venv\text{-}stack \ v ))
Logging is currently no-op, until some property about event logging is wanted.
definition log :: nat \Rightarrow variable\text{-}env \Rightarrow constant\text{-}env \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}result
where
log \ n \ v \ c =
  InstructionContinue (venv-advance-pc c
    (venv\text{-}pop\text{-}stack\ (Suc\ (Suc\ n))\ v))
declare log-def [simp]
```

```
For SWAP operations, I first define a swap operations on lists.
definition list-swap :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ option
where
list-swap n lst =
 (if length lst < n + 1 then None else
 Some (concat [[lst ! n], take (n-1) (drop 1 lst), [lst ! 0], drop (1+n) lst]))
declare list-swap-def [simp]
For testing, I prove some lemmata:
lemma list-swap 1 [0, 1] = Some [1, 0]
apply(auto)
done
lemma list-swap 2 [0, 1] = None
apply(auto)
done
lemma list-swap 2 [0, 1, 2] = Some [2, 1, 0]
apply(auto)
done
lemma list-swap 3 [0, 1, 2, 3] = Some [3, 1, 2, 0]
apply(auto)
done
lemmalist-swap 1 [0, 1, 2, 3] = Some [1, 0, 2, 3]
apply(auto)
done
Using this, I can specify the SWAP operations:
definition swap :: nat \Rightarrow variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
swap \ n \ v \ c = (* SWAP3 \ is \ modeled \ by \ swap \ 3 \ *)
  (case\ list-swap\ n\ (venv-stack\ v)\ of
     None \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result\ v
   \mid Some \ new\text{-}stack \Rightarrow
     InstructionContinue\ (venv-advance-pc\ c\ v(|venv-stack|))
declare swap-def [simp]
SHA3 instruction in the EVM is actually reaak 256. In this development,
Keccak256 computation is defined in KEC.thy.
definition sha3::variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
sha3\ v\ c \equiv
 (case venv-stack v of
   start \# len \# rest \Rightarrow
```

```
InstructionContinue (
       venv-advance-pc\ c\ v(|\ venv-stack:=keccack
                                       (cut\text{-}memory\ start\ (unat\ len)\ (venv\text{-}memory\ v))
                       , venv\text{-}memory\text{-}usage := M (venv\text{-}memory\text{-}usage v) start len
  | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
declare sha3-def [simp]
The SUICIDE instruction involves value transfer.
definition suicide :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
suicide \ v \ c =
  (case venv-stack v of
    dst \# - \Rightarrow
      let \ new-balance = (venv-balance \ v)(cenv-this \ c := 0,
        ucast \ dst := venv-balance \ v \ (cenv-this \ c) + (venv-balance \ v \ (ucast \ dst))) \ in
      Instruction To World (ContractSuicide, venv-storage v, new-balance, None)
   | - \Rightarrow instruction\text{-}failure\text{-}result v)
declare suicide-def [simp]
Finally, using the above definitions, I can define a function that operates an
instruction on the execution environments.
lemma Word.word-rcat [(0x01 :: byte), 0x02] = (0x0102 :: w256)
apply(simp add: word-reat-def)
apply(simp add: bin-reat-def)
apply(simp\ add:\ bin-cat-def)
done
fun instruction-sem :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow inst \Rightarrow instruction-result
where
instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Stack\ (PUSH\text{-}N\ lst)) =
    stack-0-1-op\ v\ c\ (Word.word-rcat\ lst)
 instruction-sem v c (Unknown -) = instruction-failure-result v
 instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Storage\ SLOAD) = stack\text{-}1\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c\ (venv\text{-}storage\ v)
 instruction-sem v c (Storage SSTORE) = sstore v c
 instruction-sem v c (Pc\ JUMPI) = jumpi\ v c
 instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Pc\ JUMP) = jump\ v\ c
 instruction-sem v c (Pc\ JUMPDEST) = stack-0-0-op\ v c
 instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Info\ CALLDATASIZE) = stack\text{-}0\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c\ (datasize\ v)
 instruction-sem v c (Stack\ CALLDATALOAD) = stack-1-1-op v c (cut-data v)
 instruction-sem v c (Info\ CALLER) = stack-0-1-op v c
    (Word.ucast\ (venv-caller\ v))
 instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Arith\ ADD) = stack\text{-}2\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c
    (\lambda \ a \ b. \ a + b)
| instruction-sem \ v \ c \ (Arith \ SUB) = stack-2-1-op \ v \ c
    (\lambda \ a \ b. \ a - b)
```

```
instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Arith\ ISZERO) = stack\text{-}1\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c
     (\lambda \ a. \ if \ a = 0 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)
  instruction-sem v c (Misc\ CALL) = call\ v c
  instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Misc\ RETURN) = ret\ v\ c
  instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Misc\ STOP) = stop\ v\ c
  instruction-sem v \ c \ (Dup \ n) = general-dup n \ v \ c
  instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Stack\ POP) = pop\ v\ c
  instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Info\ GASLIMIT) = stack\text{-}0\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c
     (block-gaslimit\ (venv-block\ v))
| instruction\text{-}sem \ v \ c \ (Arith \ inst\text{-}GT) = stack\text{-}2\text{-}1\text{-}op \ v \ c
     (\lambda \ a \ b. \ if \ a > b \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)
|instruction-sem\ v\ c\ (Arith\ inst-EQ) = stack-2-1-op\ v\ c
     (\lambda \ a \ b. \ if \ a = b \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)
 instruction-sem v c (Annotation a) = eval-annotation a v c
  instruction-sem v c (Bits inst-AND) = stack-2-1-op v c (\lambda a b. a AND b)
  instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Bits\ inst\text{-}OR) = stack\text{-}2\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c\ (\lambda\ a\ b.\ a\ OR\ b)
  instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Bits\ inst\text{-}XOR) = stack\text{-}2\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c\ (\lambda\ a\ b.\ a\ XOR\ b)
  instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Bits\ inst\text{-}NOT) = stack\text{-}1\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c\ (\lambda\ a.\ NOT\ a)
 instruction-sem v c (Bits\ BYTE) =
    stack-2-1-op v c (\lambda position w.
      if position < 32 then
         ucast ((word\text{-}rsplit \ w :: byte \ list) ! (unat \ position))
| instruction\text{-}sem \ v \ c \ (Sarith \ SDIV) = stack\text{-}2\text{-}1\text{-}op \ v \ c
     (\lambda \ n \ divisor. \ if \ divisor = 0 \ then \ 0 \ else
                          word-of-int ((sint \ n) \ div \ (sint \ divisor)))
|instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Sarith\ SMOD)| = stack-2-1-op\ v\ c
     (\lambda \ n \ divisor. \ if \ divisor = 0 \ then \ 0 \ else
                          word-of-int ((sint \ n) \ mod \ (sint \ divisor)))
| instruction\text{-}sem \ v \ c \ (Sarith \ SGT) = stack-2-1-op \ v \ c
     (\lambda \ elm0 \ elm1. \ if \ sint \ elm0 > sint \ elm1 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)
 instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Sarith\ SLT) = stack\text{-}2\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c
     (\lambda \ elm0 \ elm1. \ if \ sint \ elm0 < sint \ elm1 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)
| instruction\text{-}sem \ v \ c \ (Sarith \ SIGNEXTEND) = stack\text{-}2\text{-}1\text{-}op \ v \ c
     (\lambda len orig.
         of-bl (List.map (\lambda i.
           if i \le 256 - 8 * ((uint len) + 1)
           then test-bit orig (nat (256 - 8 * ((uint len) + 1)))
           else test-bit orig (nat i)
         (List.upto 0 256)))
| instruction-sem \ v \ c \ (Arith \ MUL) = stack-2-1-op \ v \ c
     (\lambda \ a \ b. \ a * b)
|instruction-sem\ v\ c\ (Arith\ DIV) = stack-2-1-op\ v\ c
     (\lambda \ a \ divisor. \ (if \ divisor = 0 \ then \ 0 \ else \ a \ div \ divisor))
| instruction-sem \ v \ c \ (Arith \ MOD) = stack-2-1-op \ v \ c
     (\lambda \ a \ divisor. \ (if \ divisor = 0 \ then \ 0 \ else \ a \ mod \ divisor))
|instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Arith\ ADDMOD)| = stack-3-1-op\ v\ c
     (\lambda \ a \ b \ divisor.
          (if\ divisor = 0\ then\ 0\ else\ (a+b)\ mod\ divisor))
```

```
|instruction-sem\ v\ c\ (Arith\ MULMOD)| = stack-3-1-op\ v\ c
    (\lambda \ a \ b \ divisor.
        (if\ divisor = 0\ then\ 0\ else\ (a*b)\ mod\ divisor))
| instruction\text{-}sem \ v \ c \ (Arith \ EXP) = stack\text{-}2\text{-}1\text{-}op \ v \ c
    (\lambda \ a \ exponent. \ word-of-int \ ((uint \ a) \ \hat{} \ (unat \ exponent)))
| instruction\text{-}sem \ v \ c \ (Arith \ inst\text{-}LT) = stack\text{-}2\text{-}1\text{-}op \ v \ c
    (\lambda \ arg0 \ arg1. \ if \ arg0 < arg1 \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)
 instruction-sem v c (Arith SHA3) = sha3 v c
 instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Info\ ADDRESS) = stack\text{-}0\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c
    (ucast\ (cenv-this\ c))
| instruction\text{-}sem \ v \ c \ (Info \ BALANCE) = stack\text{-}1\text{-}1\text{-}op \ v \ c
     (\lambda \ addr. \ venv-balance \ v \ (ucast \ addr))
| instruction\text{-}sem \ v \ c \ (Info \ ORIGIN) = stack\text{-}0\text{-}1\text{-}op \ v \ c
    (ucast\ (venv-origin\ v))
 instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Info\ CALLVALUE) = stack\text{-}0\text{-}1\text{-}op\ v\ c
    (venv-value-sent \ v)
 instruction-sem v c (Info CODESIZE) = stack-0-1-op v c
    (word\text{-}of\text{-}int\ (program\text{-}length\ (cenv\text{-}program\ c)))
 instruction-sem v c (Info\ GASPRICE) = stack-0-1-op v c
    (block-gasprice\ (venv-block\ v))
| instruction-sem \ v \ c \ (Info \ EXTCODESIZE) = stack-1-1-op \ v \ c
    (\lambda \ arg. \ (word\text{-}of\text{-}int \ (program\text{-}length \ (venv\text{-}ext\text{-}program \ v \ (ucast \ arg)))))
| instruction-sem \ v \ c \ (Info \ BLOCKHASH) =
    stack-1-1-op\ v\ c\ (block-blockhash\ (venv-block\ v))
| instruction\text{-}sem \ v \ c \ (Info \ COINBASE) =
    stack-0-1-op v c (ucast (block-coinbase (venv-block <math>v)))
|instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Info\ TIMESTAMP)| =
    stack-0-1-op\ v\ c\ (block-timestamp\ (venv-block\ v))
| instruction\text{-}sem \ v \ c \ (Info \ NUMBER) =
    stack-0-1-op\ v\ c\ (block-number\ (venv-block\ v))
 instruction-sem v c (Info DIFFICULTY) =
    stack-0-1-op\ v\ c\ (block-difficulty\ (venv-block\ v))
 instruction-sem v c (Memory\ MLOAD) = mload\ v c
 instruction-sem v c (Memory MSTORE) = mstore v c
 instruction-sem v c (Memory MSTORE8) = mstore8 v c
 instruction-sem v c (Memory\ CALLDATACOPY) = calldatacopy\ v c
 instruction-sem v c (Memory\ CODECOPY) = codecopy\ v c
 instruction-sem v c (Memory\ EXTCODECOPY) = extcodecopy\ v c
 instruction-sem v \ c \ (Pc \ PC) = pc \ v \ c
 instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Log\ LOG0) = log\ 0\ v\ c
 instruction-sem v c (Log\ LOG1) = log\ 1 v c
 instruction-sem v \ c \ (Log \ LOG2) = log \ 2 \ v \ c
 instruction-sem v \ c \ (Log \ LOG3) = log \ 3 \ v \ c
 instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Log\ LOG4) = log\ 4\ v\ c
 instruction-sem v \ c \ (Swap \ n) = swap \ n \ v \ c
 instruction-sem v c (Misc\ CREATE) = create\ v c
 instruction\text{-}sem\ v\ c\ (Misc\ CALLCODE) = (*\ callcode\ v\ c\ *)
   Instruction Annotation Failure\\
    — Since I cannot guarantee anything about CALLCODE, I choose immediate
```

4.10 Programs' Answer to the World

Execution of a program is harder than that of instructions. The biggest difficulty is that the length of the execution is arbitrary. In Isabelle/HOL all functions must terminate, so I need to prove the termination of program execution. In priciple, I could have used gas, but I was lazy to model gas at that moment, so I introduced an artificial step counter. When I prove theorems about smart contracts, the theorems are of the form "for any value of the initial step counter, this and that never happen."

```
datatype program-result = ProgramStepRunOut — the artificial step counter has run out | ProgramToWorld contract-action \times storage \times (address => w256) \times (variable-env \times int \times int) option
```

- the program stopped execution because an instruction wants to talk to the world for example because the execution returned, failed, or called an account.
- $\mid ProgramInvalid$ an unknown instruction is found. Maybe this should just count as a failing execution
- | ProgramAnnotationFailure an annotation turned out to be false. This does not happen in reality, but this case exists for the sake of the verification.
- | ProgramInit call-env This clause does not denote results of program execution. This denotes a state of the program that expects a particular call. This artificial state is used to specify that the incoming call does not overflow the balance of the account. Probably there is a cleaner approach.

Since our program struct contains a list of annotations for each program position, I have a function that checks all annotations at a particular program position:

```
abbreviation check-annotations :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow bool where check-annotations v \in \mathbb{C} (let annots = program-annotation (cenv-program c) (venv-pc v) in List.list-all (\lambda annot. annot (build-aenv v \in \mathbb{C}) annots)
```

The program execution takes two counters. One counter is decremented for each instruction. The other counter is decremented when a backward-jump happens. This setup allows an easy termination proof. Also, during the

proofs, I can do case analysis on the number of backwad jumps rather than the number of instructions.

```
function (sequential) program-sem :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow nat
\Rightarrow program\text{-}result
and blocked-program-sem :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow
program-result
where
  program-sem - - - 0 = ProgramStepRunOut
| program-sem \ v \ c \ tiny-step \ (Suc \ remaining-steps) =
  (if tiny-step < 0 then
    Program To World (ContractFail,
      venv-storage-at-call v,
      venv-balance-at-call v, None) else
   (if \neg check-annotations \ v \ c \ then \ Program Annotation Failure \ else
   (case venv-next-instruction v c of
     None \Rightarrow ProgramStepRunOut
   \mid Some \ i \Rightarrow
       (case instruction-sem v c i of
         InstructionContinue\ new-v \Rightarrow
         (strict-if (venv-pc new-v > venv-pc v)
            (blocked-program-sem new-v c
              (tiny-step - 1) (Suc remaining-steps))
           (blocked-program-sem new-v c
              (program-length\ (cenv-program\ c))\ remaining-steps))
       | Instruction To World (a, st, bal, opt-pushed-v) \Rightarrow
         Program To World (a, st, bal, opt-pushed-v)
       | InstructionAnnotationFailure \Rightarrow ProgramAnnotationFailure))))
\mid blocked-program-sem v c l p - = program-sem v c l p
by pat-completeness auto
termination by lexicographic-order
declare program-sem.psimps [simp]
The following lemma is just for controlling the Isabelle/HOL simplifier.
lemma unblock-program-sem [simp]: blocked-program-sem v c l p True = program-sem
v c l p
apply(simp add: blocked-program-sem.psimps)
done
definition program-sem-blocked :: variable-env \Rightarrow constant-env \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow
bool \Rightarrow program\text{-}result
where
program-sem-blocked\ v\ c\ internal\ external\ -=\ program-sem\ v\ c\ internal\ external
\mathbf{lemma}\ program\text{-}sem\text{-}unblock:
program-sem-blocked v c internal external True = program-sem v c internal external
apply(simp add: program-sem-blocked-def)
done
```

4.11 Account's State

In the bigger picture, a contract invocation changes accounts' states. An account has a storage, a piece of code and a balance. Since I am interested in account states in the middle of a transaction, I also need to keep track of the ongoing executions of a single account. Also I need to keep track of a flag indicating if the account has already marked for erasure.

```
record account-state =
    account-address :: address
    account-storage :: storage
    account-code :: program
    account-balance :: w256
    account-ongoing-calls :: (variable-env × int × int) list
    — the variable environments that are executing on this account, but waiting for calls to finish
    account-killed :: bool
    — the boolean that indicates the account has executed SUICIDE in this transaction. The flag causes a destruction of the contract at the end of a transaction.
```

4.12 Environment Construction before EVM Execution

I need to connect the account state and the program execution environments. First I construct program execution environments from an account state.

Given an account state and a call from the world we can judge if a variable environment is possible or not. The block state is arbitrary. This means we verify properties that hold on whatever block numbers and whatever difficulties and so on. The origin of the transaction is also considered arbitrary.

inductive build-venv-called :: account-state \Rightarrow call-env \Rightarrow variable-env \Rightarrow bool where

```
venv-called:
bal (account-address a) =
  (* natural increase is taken care of in RelationalSem.thy *)
        account-balance a ⇒
build-venv-called a env
  ((* The stack is initialized for every invocation *)
        venv-stack = []

        (* The memory is also initialized for every invocation *)
        , venv-memory = empty-memory

        (* The memory usage is initialized. *)
        , venv-memory-usage = 0

        (* The storage is taken from the account state *)
        , venv-storage = account-storage a

        (* The program counter is initialized to zero *)
```

```
, venv-pc = 0
    (* The balance is arbitrary, except that the balance of this account *)
    (* is as specified in the account state plus the sent amount. *)
 , venv-balance = bal(account-address a := bal(account-address a) + callenv-value
env)
  (* the caller is specified by the world *)
  , venv-caller = callenv-caller env
  (* the sent value is specified by the world *)
  , venv-value-sent = callenv-value env
  (* the sent data is specified by the world *)
  , venv-data-sent = callenv-data env
  (* the snapshot of the storage is remembered in case of failure *)
  , venv\text{-}storage\text{-}at\text{-}call = account\text{-}storage a
  (* the snapshot of the balance is remembered in case of failure *)
  , venv-balance-at-call = bal
  (* the origin of the transaction is arbitrarily chosen *)
  , venv-origin = origin
  (* the codes of the external programs are arbitrary. *)
  , venv-ext-program = ext
  (* the block information is chosen arbitrarily. *)
  , venv-block = block
```

declare build-venv-called.simps [simp]

Similarly we can construct the constant environment. Construction of the constant environment is much simpler than that of a variable environment.

```
abbreviation build-cenv :: account-state \Rightarrow constant-env where build-cenv a \equiv \emptyset ( cenv-program = account-code a, cenv-this = account-address a )
```

Next we turn to the case where the world returns back to the account after the account has called an account. In this case, the account should contain one ongoing execution that is waiting for a call to return.

An instruction is "call-like" when it calls an account and waits for it to return.

```
abbreviation is-call-like :: inst option \Rightarrow bool where is-call-like i \equiv (i = Some \ (Misc \ CALL) \lor i = Some \ (Misc \ DELEGATECALL) \lor i = Some \ (Misc \ CREATE))
```

When an account returns to our contract, the variable environment is recovered from the stack of the ongoing calls. However, due to reentrancy, the balance and the storage of our contract might have changed. So the balance and the storage are taken from the account state provided. Moreover, the balance of our contract might increase because some other contracts might have destroyed themselves, transferring value to our contract.

```
function put-return-values :: memory \Rightarrow byte list \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow memory where s \leq 0 \Longrightarrow put-return-values orig [-s] = s = s put-return-values orig [-s] = s = s put-return-values orig [-s] = s = s put-return-values (orig(word-of-int s = s = s = s)) t (s + 1) (s - 1) apply(auto) apply(case-tac s = s = s = s) apply(case-tac s = s = s = s) apply(case-tac s = s = s = s) done
```

When the control flow comes back to an account state in the form of a return from an account, we build a variable environment as follows. The process is not deterministic because the balance of our contract might have arbitrarily increased.

```
\mathbf{inductive} \ \mathit{build-venv-returned} ::
account-state \Rightarrow return-result \Rightarrow variable-env \Rightarrow bool
where
venv-returned:
  is-call-like (lookup (program-content a-code) (v-pc -1)) \Longrightarrow
   new-bal \ge a-bal \Longrightarrow (* the balance might have increased *)
   build-venv-returned
     (* here is the first argument *)
     (|account\text{-}address = a\text{-}addr (* all elements are spelled out for performance *)
     , account\text{-}storage = a\text{-}storage
     , account\text{-}code = a\text{-}code
     , account-balance = a-bal
     , account-ongoing-calls =
         ((venv-stack = v-stack))
         , venv\text{-}memory = v\text{-}memory
         ,\ venv\text{-}memory\text{-}usage = v\text{-}memory\text{-}usage
         , venv-storage = v-storage
         , venv-pc = v-pc
         , venv-balance = v-balance
         , venv\text{-}caller = v\text{-}caller
         , venv-value-sent = v-value
```

```
, venv-data-sent = v-data
   , \ venv\text{-}storage\text{-}at\text{-}call = v\text{-}init\text{-}storage
   , venv-balance-at-call = v-init-balance
   , venv-origin = v-origin
   , venv-ext-program = v-ext-program
   , venv-block = v-block
   ), mem\text{-}start, mem\text{-}size) # -
, account-killed = -
(* here is the second argument *)
(* here is the third argument *)
(() venv-stack = 1 # v-stack (* 1 is pushed, indicating a return *)
 , venv-memory =
   put-return-values v-memory (return-data r) mem-start mem-size
 , venv-memory-usage = v-memory-usage
 , venv-storage = a-storage
 , venv-pc = v-pc
 , venv-balance = (update-balance a-addr
                    (\lambda - new-bal) (return-balance r))
  , venv-caller = v-caller
  , venv-value-sent = v-value
 , \ venv\text{-}data\text{-}sent = v\text{-}data
 , venv-storage-at-call = v-init-storage
 , venv-balance-at-call = v-init-balance
 , venv-origin = v-origin
  , venv-ext-program = v-ext-program
 , venv-block = v-block ))
```

declare build-venv-returned.simps [simp]

The situation is much simpler when an ongoing call has failed because anything meanwhile has no effects.

```
definition build-venv-failed :: account-state \Rightarrow variable-env option where build-venv-failed a = (case \ account-ongoing-calls \ a \ of \ ] <math>\Rightarrow None | (recovered, -, -) \# - \Rightarrow (if \ is-call-like \ (* \ check \ the \ previous \ instruction \ *) (lookup \ (program-content \ (account-code \ a)) (venv-pc \ recovered \ -1)) \ then Some \ (recovered \ (|venv-stack| := 0 \ (* \ indicating \ failure \ *) \# \ venv-stack \ recovered)) \ else \ None))
```

 ${\bf declare}\ \textit{build-venv-failed-def}\ [\textit{simp}]$

4.13 Account State Update after EVM Execution

Of course the other direction exists for constructing an account state after the program executes.

The first definition is about forgetting one ongoing call.

 $\textbf{abbreviation} \ \textit{account-state-pop-ongoing-call} :: \textit{account-state} \Rightarrow \textit{account-state}$ where

```
account-state-pop-ongoing-call orig \equiv orig(|account-ongoing-calls := tl (account-ongoing-calls orig)|
```

Second I define the empty account, which replaces an account that has destroyed itself.

```
abbreviation empty-account :: address \Rightarrow account-state where
```

```
\begin{array}{l} empty\text{-}account \ addr \equiv \\ (|\ account\text{-}address = addr\\ ,\ account\text{-}storage = empty\text{-}storage\\ ,\ account\text{-}code = empty\text{-}program\\ ,\ account\text{-}balance = 0\\ ,\ account\text{-}ongoing\text{-}calls = []\\ ,\ account\text{-}killed = False\\ () \end{array}
```

And after our contract makes a move, the account state is updated as follows.

```
definition update-account-state :: account-state \Rightarrow contract-action \Rightarrow storage \Rightarrow (address \Rightarrow w256) \Rightarrow (variable-env \times int \times int) option \Rightarrow account-state where update-account-state prev act st bal v-opt \equiv prev (
```

```
prev (|
account\text{-storage} := st,
account\text{-balance} :=
(case\ act\ of\ ContractFail \Rightarrow\ account\text{-balance}\ prev
|\ - \Rightarrow\ bal\ (account\text{-address}\ prev)),
account\text{-ongoing-calls} :=
(case\ v\text{-opt}\ of\ None \Rightarrow\ account\text{-ongoing-calls}\ prev
|\ Some\ pushed \Rightarrow\ pushed\ \#\ account\text{-ongoing-calls}\ prev),
account\text{-killed} :=
(case\ act\ of\ ContractSuicide \Rightarrow\ True
|\ - \Rightarrow\ account\text{-killed}\ prev))
```

The above definition should be expanded automatically only when the last argument is known to be None or Some _.

```
lemma update-account-state-None [simp]: update-account-state prev act st bal None = (prev \ ( account-storage := st,
```

```
account-balance :=
      (case\ act\ of\ ContractFail \Rightarrow account-balance\ prev
                | \rightarrow bal (account-address prev)),
    account-ongoing-calls := account-ongoing-calls prev,
    account-killed :=
      (\mathit{case}\ \mathit{act}\ \mathit{of}\ \mathit{ContractSuicide}\ \Rightarrow\ \mathit{True}
                 | - \Rightarrow account\text{-killed prev} | \rangle
apply(case-tac act; simp add: update-account-state-def)
done
lemma update-account-state-Some [simp] :
update-account-state prev act st bal (Some pushed) =
   (prev (
    account-storage := st,
    account-balance :=
      (case\ act\ of\ ContractFail \Rightarrow account-balance\ prev
                 | \rightarrow bal (account-address prev)),
    account-ongoing-calls := pushed # account-ongoing-calls prev,
    account-killed :=
      (case\ act\ of\ ContractSuicide \Rightarrow\ True
                | - \Rightarrow account\text{-}killed prev)|)
apply(case-tac act; simp add: update-account-state-def)
done
```

4.14 Controlling the Isabelle Simplifier

This subsection contains simplification rules for the Isabelle simplifier. The main purpose is to prevent the AVL tree implementation to compute both the left insertion and the right insertion when actually only one of these happens.

```
\begin{array}{c} \textbf{declare} \ word\text{-}rcat\text{-}def \ [simp] \\ unat\text{-}def \ [simp] \\ bin\text{-}rcat\text{-}def \ [simp] \end{array}
```

I do not allow the AVL library to perform updates at arbitrary moments, because that causes exponentially expensive computation (as measured with the number of elements *)

```
declare update.simps [simp del] declare lookup.simps [simp del] Instead, I only allow the following operations to happen (from left to right). lemma updateL [simp]: update x y Leaf = Node 1 Leaf (x,y) Leaf apply(simp \ add: \ update.simps) done lemma updateN-EQ [simp]: cmp \ x \ a = EQ \Longrightarrow update \ x \ y \ (Node \ h \ l \ (a, \ b) \ r) = 0
```

```
lemma updateN-EQ [simp]: cmp x a = EQ \Longrightarrow update x y (Node h l (a, b) r) = Node h l (x, y) r apply(simp add: update.simps)
```

done

```
lemma updateN-GT [simp]: cmp \ x \ a = GT \Longrightarrow update \ x \ y \ (Node \ h \ l \ (a, \ b) \ r) =
balR \ l \ (a, \ b) \ (update \ x \ y \ r)
apply(simp add: update.simps)
done
lemma updateN-LT [simp]: cmp x = LT \Longrightarrow update x y (Node h l (a, b) r) =
balL (update x y l) (a, b) r
apply(simp add: update.simps)
done
lemma lookupN-EQ [simp]: cmp \ x \ a = EQ \Longrightarrow lookup \ (Node \ h \ l \ (a, \ b) \ r) \ x =
Some b
apply(simp add: lookup.simps)
done
lemma lookupN-GT [simp]: cmp x a = GT \Longrightarrow lookup (Node h l (a, b) r) x =
lookup \ r \ x
apply(simp add: lookup.simps)
done
lemma lookupN-LT [simp]: cmp x a = LT \Longrightarrow lookup (Node h l (a, b) r) x =
lookup \ l \ x
apply(simp add: lookup.simps)
done
lemma lookupL [simp]: lookup Leaf x = None
apply(simp add: lookup.simps)
done
lemma nodeLL [simp] : node Leaf a Leaf == Node 1 Leaf a Leaf
apply(simp add:node-def)
done
lemma nodeLN [simp]: node Leaf a (Node rsize rl rv rr) == Node (rsize + 1)
Leaf a (Node rsize rl rv rr)
apply(simp add:node-def)
done
lemma nodeNL [simp] : node \langle lsize, ll, lv, lr \rangle a \langle \rangle == Node (lsize + 1) (Node
lsize ll lv lr) a Leaf
apply(simp\ add:\ node-def)
done
lemma \ nodeNN \ [simp] : node \ (Node \ lsize \ ll \ lv \ lr) \ a \ (Node \ rsize \ rl \ rv \ rr) == Node
(max lsize rsize + 1) (Node lsize ll lv lr) a (Node rsize rl rv rr)
apply(simp add: node-def)
done
```

```
lemma balL-neq-NL [simp]:
 lh \neq Suc (Suc \ \theta) \Longrightarrow
  balL (Node lh ll b lr) a Leaf = node (Node lh ll b lr) a Leaf
apply(simp add: balL-def)
done
lemma balL-neg-Lr [simp]:
  balL \ Leaf \ a \ r = node \ Leaf \ a \ r
apply(simp add: balL-def)
done
lemma balL-neq-NN [simp]:
 lh \neq Suc (Suc \ rh) \Longrightarrow
  balL (Node lh ll lx lr) a (Node rh rl rx rr) = node (Node lh ll lx lr) a (Node rh
rl \ rx \ rr)
apply(simp add: balL-def)
done
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{balL-eq-heavy-r-rL}\ [\mathit{simp}] :
 ht \ bl < ch \Longrightarrow
  balL (Node (Suc (Suc 0)) bl b (Node ch cl c cr)) a Leaf = node (node bl b cl) c
(node cr a Leaf)
apply(simp add: balL-def)
done
lemma balL-eq-heavy-r-rN [simp]:
 hl = Suc (Suc \ rh) \Longrightarrow
  ht \ bl < ch \Longrightarrow
  balL (Node hl bl b (Node ch cl c cr)) a (Node rh rl rx rr) = node (node bl b cl)
c (node cr a (Node rh rl rx rr))
apply(simp add: balL-def)
done
lemma balL-eq-heavy-l [simp]:
 hl = ht \ r + 2 \Longrightarrow
  ht \ bl \geq ht \ br \Longrightarrow
  balL (Node \ hl \ bl \ b \ br) \ a \ r = node \ bl \ b \ (node \ br \ a \ r)
apply(simp add: balL-def)
done
lemma balR-neq-xL [simp]:
 balR\ l\ a\ Leaf=node\ l\ a\ Leaf
apply(simp add: balR-def)
done
lemma balR-neq-LN [simp]:
```

```
rh \neq Suc (Suc \ \theta) \Longrightarrow
   balR \ Leaf \ a \ (Node \ rh \ rl \ rx \ rr) = node \ Leaf \ a \ (Node \ rh \ rl \ rx \ rr)
apply(simp add: balR-def)
done
lemma balR-neg-NN [simp]:
  rh \neq Suc (Suc lh) \Longrightarrow
   balR (Node lh ll lx lr) a (Node rh rl rx rr) = node (Node lh ll lx lr) a (Node rh
\mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ balR\text{-}def)
done
lemma balR-eq-heavy-l [simp]:
  bh = ht \ l + 2 \Longrightarrow
  ch > ht \ br \Longrightarrow
   balR\ l\ a\ (Node\ bh\ (Node\ ch\ cl\ c\ cr)\ b\ br) =
   node (node l a cl) c (node cr b br)
apply(simp \ add: \ balR-def)
done
lemma balR-eq-heavy-r [simp]:
  bh = ht l + 2 \Longrightarrow
  ht \ bl \leq ht \ br \Longrightarrow
   balR\ l\ a\ (Node\ bh\ bl\ b\ br) = node\ (node\ l\ a\ bl)\ b\ br
apply(simp add: balR-def)
done
There is a common pattern for checking a predicate.
lemma iszero-iszero [simp] :
((if \ b \ then \ (1 :: 256 \ word) \ else \ 0) = 0) = (\neg \ b)
apply(auto)
done
end
```

5 What can Happen during a Contract Invocation

This section defines a set of sequence of account states that can appear during an invocation of a countract. The invocation can be a nested reentrancy, but we focus on one invocation. This means we do not look into details of further reentrancy, but just assume that the inner reentrancy keeps the invariant of the contract. Of course we need to prove that the invariant holds for the code, but when we do that we can assume that the inner nested calls keep the invariants (we can say we are doing mathematical induction on the depth of reentrancy)⁸.

 $^{^8}$ This poses troubles dealing with DELEGATECALL and CALLCODE instructions. Currently execution of these instructions causes an immediate annotation failure.

theory RelationalSem

imports Main ./ContractSem

begin

5.1 Some Possible Changes on Our Account State

The account state might change even when the account's code is not executing.

Between blocks, the account might gain balances because somebody mines Eth for the account. Even within a single block, the balance might increase also while other contracts execute because they might destroy themselves and send their balance to our account. When a transaction finishes, if our contract is marked as killed, it is destroyed. The following relation captures these possibilities.

 $\mathbf{inductive} \ \mathit{account\text{-}state\text{-}natural\text{-}change} :: \mathit{account\text{-}state} \Rightarrow \mathit{account\text{-}state} \Rightarrow \mathit{bool}$ \mathbf{where}

natural: — The balance of this account might increase whenever the code in our contract is not executing. Some other account might destroy itself and give its balance to our account.

```
 \begin{array}{l} old\text{-}bal \leq new\text{-}bal \Longrightarrow \\ account\text{-}state\text{-}natural\text{-}change \\ (|| account\text{-}address = addr \\ ||, account\text{-}storage = str \\ ||, account\text{-}code = code \\ ||, account\text{-}balance = old\text{-}bal \\ ||, account\text{-}ongoing\text{-}calls = going \\ ||, account\text{-}killed = killed \\ ||) \\ (|| account\text{-}address = addr \\ ||, account\text{-}storage = str \\ ||, account\text{-}code = code \\ ||, account\text{-}balance = new\text{-}bal \\ ||, account\text{-}ongoing\text{-}calls = going \\ ||, account\text{-}killed = killed \\ ||) \\ \end{array}
```

| cleaned: — This happens only at the end of a transaction, but we don't know the transaction boundaries. So this can happen at any moment when there are no ongoing calls.

```
 \begin{array}{l} account\text{-}state\text{-}natural\text{-}change\\ (|| account\text{-}address = addr\\ || , account\text{-}storage = str\\ || , account\text{-}code = code\\ || , account\text{-}balance = old\text{-}bal\\ || , account\text{-}ongoing\text{-}calls = []\\ || , account\text{-}killed = True\\ \end{array}
```

```
 \\ (empty\mbox{-}account\ addr)
```

declare account-state-natural-change.simps [simp]

When the execution comes back from an external call, the account state might have changed arbitrarily. Our strategy is to assume that an invariant is kept here; and later prove that the invariant actually holds (that is, for fewer depth of reentrancy). The whole argument can be seen as a mathematical induction over depths of reentrancy, though this idea has not been formalized yet.

```
\mathbf{inductive} \ \mathit{account-state-return-change} ::
(account\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow account\text{-}state \Rightarrow account\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool
where
account-return:
invariant
 (|account-address = addr
 , account\text{-}storage = new\text{-}str
 , account\text{-}code = code
 , account-balance = new-bal
 , account-ongoing-calls = ongoing
 , account-killed = killed
 account-state-return-change
 invariant
 (|account-address = addr
 , account\text{-}storage = old\text{-}str
 , account\text{-}code = code
 , account\mbox{-}balance = old\mbox{-}bal
 , account-ongoing-calls = ongoing
 , account-killed = killed
 (|account-address = addr
 , account\text{-}storage = new\text{-}str
 , account\text{-}code = code
 , account-balance = new-bal
 , account-ongoing-calls = ongoing
 , account-killed = killed
```

declare account-state-return-change.simps [simp]

Next we specify which program results might see a return.

```
fun returnable-result :: program-result \Rightarrow bool where returnable-result ProgramStepRunOut = False
```

```
 \mid returnable\text{-}result \; (ProgramToWorld \; (ContractCall \, -, \, -, \, -, \, -)) = True \\ \mid returnable\text{-}result \; (ProgramToWorld \; (ContractCreate \, -, \, -, \, -)) = True \\ \mid returnable\text{-}result \; (ProgramToWorld \; (ContractSuicide, \, -, \, -, \, -)) = False \\ \mid returnable\text{-}result \; (ProgramToWorld \; (ContractFail, \, -, \, -, \, -)) = False \\ \vdash because we are not modeling nested calls here, the effect of the nested calls are modeled in account_state_return_change \\ \mid returnable\text{-}result \; (ProgramToWorld \; (ContractReturn \, -, \, -, \, -, \, -)) = False \\ \mid returnable\text{-}result \; (ProgramInit \, -) = False \\ \mid returnable\text{-}result \; ProgramInvalid = False \\ \mid returnable\text{-}result \; ProgramAnnotationFailure = False
```

5.2 A Round of the Game

Now we are ready to specify the world's turn.

```
\mathbf{inductive} \ \mathit{world-turn} ::
(account\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool) (* The invariant of our contract*)
\Rightarrow (account\text{-}state * program\text{-}result)
  (* the account state before the world's move
     and the last thing our account did *)
\Rightarrow (account\text{-}state * variable\text{-}env)
  (* the account state after the world's move
     and the variable environment from which our contract must start. *)
\Rightarrow bool (* a boolean indicating if that is a possible world's move. *)
  world-call: — the world might call our contract. We only consider the initial
invocation here because the deeper reentrant invocations are considered as a part
of the adversarial world. The deeper reentrant invocations are performed without
the world replying to the contract.
  (* If a variable environment is built from the old account state *)
   (* and the call arguments, *)
  build-venv-called old-state callargs next-venv \Longrightarrow
  (* the world makes a move, showing the variable environment. *)
  world-turn I (old-state, ProgramInit callargs) (old-state, next-venv)
 world-return: — the world might return to our contract.
  (* If the account state can be changed during reentrancy,*)
  account-state-return-change I account-state-going-out account-state-back \Longrightarrow
  (* and a variable environment can be recovered from the changed account state.*)
   build-venv-returned account-state-back result new-v \Longrightarrow
  (* and the previous move of the contract was a call-like action, *)
   returnable-result program-r \Longrightarrow
   (* the world can make a move, telling the contract to continue with *)
   (* the variable environment. *)
   world-turn I (account-state-going-out, program-r)
              (account\text{-}state\text{-}pop\text{-}ongoing\text{-}call\ account\text{-}state\text{-}back,\ new\text{-}v)
```

```
| world-fail: — the world might fail from an account into our contract.
  (* If a variable environment can be recovered from the previous account state,*)
  build\text{-}venv\text{-}failed\ account\text{-}state\text{-}going\text{-}out = Some\ new\text{-}v \Longrightarrow
  (* and if the previous action from the contract was a call, *)
  returnable-result result = True \Longrightarrow
   (* the world can make a move, telling the contract to continue with *)
   (* the variable environment. *)
   world-turn I (account-state-going-out, result)
              (account-state-pop-ongoing-call account-state-going-out, new-v)
As a reply, our contract might make a move, or report an annotation failure.
inductive \ contract-turn ::
(account\text{-}state * variable\text{-}env) \Rightarrow (account\text{-}state * program\text{-}result) \Rightarrow bool
where
  contract\hbox{-} to\hbox{-} world\colon
  (* Under a constant environment built from the old account state, *)
   build\text{-}cenv \ old\text{-}account = cenv \Longrightarrow
  (* if the program behaves like this, *)
  program-sem old-venv cenv
     (program-length\ (cenv-program\ cenv))\ steps
     = Program To World (act, st, bal, opt-v) \Longrightarrow
   (* and if the account state is updated from the program's result, *)
   account-state-going-out
    = update-account-state old-account act st bal opt-v \Longrightarrow
  (* the contract makes a move and udates the account state. *)
   contract-turn (old-account, old-venv)
     (account-state-going-out, ProgramToWorld (act, st, bal, opt-v))
| contract-annotation-failure:
  (* If a constant environment is built from the old account state, *)
  build\text{-}cenv \ old\text{-}account = cenv \Longrightarrow
  (* and if the contract execution results in an annotation failure, *)
  program-sem old-venv cenv
     (program-length\ (cenv-program\ cenv))\ steps = ProgramAnnotationFailure \Longrightarrow
   (* the contract makes a move, indicating the annotation failure. *)
   contract-turn (old-account, old-venv) (old-account, ProgramAnnotationFailure)
When we combine the world's turn and the contract's turn, we get one
round. The round is a binary relation over a single set.
\mathbf{inductive} \ \mathit{one-round} ::
(account\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
(account\text{-}state * program\text{-}result) \Rightarrow
```

```
(account\text{-}state*program\text{-}result) \Rightarrow bool
where
round:
world\text{-}turn\ I\ a\ b \Longrightarrow contract\text{-}turn\ b\ c \Longrightarrow one\text{-}round\ I\ a\ c
```

5.3 Repetitions of rounds

So, we can repeat rounds and see where they bring us.

The definition is taken from the book Concrete Semantics, and then modified

```
inductive star :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool where refl: star \ r \ x \ x \mid step: \ r \ x \ y \Longrightarrow star \ r \ y \ z \Longrightarrow star \ r \ x \ z
```

The repetition of rounds is either zero-times or once and a repetition.

```
lemma star\text{-}case: star \ r \ a \ c \Longrightarrow (a = c \lor (\exists \ b. \ r \ a \ b \land star \ r \ b \ c))
```

apply(induction rule: star.induct; auto) **done**

The next lemma is purely for convenience. Actually the rounds can go nowhere after this invocation fails.

```
lemma no-entry-fail [dest!]: star (one-round I) (a, ProgramToWorld (ContractFail, st, bal, v-opt)) (b, c) \Longrightarrow b = a \land c = ProgramToWorld (ContractFail, st, bal, v-opt) apply(drule star-case; simp) apply(simp add: one-round.simps add: world-turn.simps) done
```

Similarly, the rounds can go nowhere after this invocation returns.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{lemma} \ no\text{-}entry\text{-}return \ [dest!]: \\ star \ (one\text{-}round \ I) \\ \qquad (a, ProgramToWorld \ (ContractReturn \ data, \ st, \ bal, \ v\text{-}opt)) \\ \qquad (b, \ c) \Longrightarrow b = a \land c = ProgramToWorld \ (ContractReturn \ data, \ st, \ bal, \ v\text{-}opt) \\ \textbf{apply}(drule \ star\text{-}case; \ simp) \\ \textbf{apply}(simp \ add: \ one\text{-}round.simps \ add: \ world\text{-}turn.simps) \\ \textbf{done} \\ \end{array}
```

Also similarly, the rounds can go nowhere after this invocation causes our contract to destroy itself.

```
lemma no-entry-suicide [dest!]:

star\ (one-round\ I)

(a, Program To World\ (Contract Suicide,\ st,\ bal,\ v-opt))

(b,\ c) \Longrightarrow b = a \land c = Program To World\ (Contract Suicide,\ st,\ bal,\ v-opt)

apply\ (drule\ star-case;\ simp)
```

```
\mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ one\text{-}round.simps\ add:\ world\text{-}turn.simps) done
```

And then the rounds can go nowhere after an annotation failure.

```
lemma no-entry-annotation-failure [dest!]: star (one-round I) (a, ProgramAnnotationFailure) (b, c) \Longrightarrow b = a \land c = ProgramAnnotationFailure apply(drule star-case; simp) apply(simp add: one-round.simps add: world-turn.simps) done
```

5.4 How to State an Invariant

For any invariant I over account states, now star (one-round I) relation shows all possibilities during one invocation⁹, assuming that the invariant is kept during external calls¹⁰. The following template traverses the states and states that the invariant is actually kept after every possible round. Also the template states that no annotation failures happen. When I state something, I will be then obliged to prove the statement. This happens in the next section.

I define the conjunction of the properties requested at the final states. The whole thing is more readable when I inline-expand *no-assertion-failure-post*, but if I do that, the *auto* tactic splits out a subgoal for each conjunct. This doubles the already massive number of subgoals.

```
definition no-assertion-failure-post :: (account\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow (account\text{-}state \times program\text{-}result) \Rightarrow bool where no-assertion-failure-post I fin = (I \text{ (fst fin)} \land (* \text{ The invariant holds. *}) snd fin \neq Program Annotation Failure) (* No annotations have failed. *)
```

no-assertion-failure is a template for statements. It takes a single argument I for the invariant. The invariant is assumed to hold at the initial state. The initial state is when the contract is called (this can be the first invocation of this contract in this transaction, or a reentrancy). The statement will request us to prove that the invariant also holds whenever the invocation loses the control flow. The invocation loses the control flow when the contract returns or fails from the invocation, and also when it calls an account. When the contract calls an account, the invocation does not finish so I need to verify

⁹More precisely, this transitive closure of rounds guides us through all the possible states when the contract loses the control flow.

¹⁰This assumption about deeper reentrancy should be considered as an induction hypothesis. There has to be a lemma actually perform such induction.

further final states against the postconditions, after the call finishes. The repetition is captured by the transitive closure star (one-round I).

We prove the invariant when our contract calls out, and we assume that reentrancy into this contract will keep the invariant. The whole argument can be seen as a mathematical induction over the depth of reentrancy. So we can assume that reentrancy of a fewer depth keeps the invariant. This idea comes from Christian Reitwiessner's treatment of reentrancy in Why ML. I have not justified the idea in Isabelle/HOL.

```
definition no-assertion-failure :: (account\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool where

no-assertion-failure (I :: account\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool) \equiv

(\forall \ addr \ str \ code \ bal \ ongoing \ killed \ callenv.

I \ () \ account\text{-}address = addr, \ account\text{-}storage = str, \ account\text{-}code = code, \ account\text{-}killed = killed \ () \longrightarrow

(\forall \ fin. \ star \ (one\text{-}round \ I) \ ()

() \ account\text{-}address = addr, \ account\text{-}storage = str, \ account\text{-}code = code, \ account\text{-}balance = bal, \ account\text{-}storage = str, \ account\text{-}code = code, \ account\text{-}balance = bal, \ account\text{-}ongoing\text{-}calls = ongoing, \ account\text{-}killed = killed \ ()

() \ ProgramInit \ callenv) \ fin \longrightarrow

() \ no-assertion\text{-}failure\text{-}post \ I \ fin))
```

5.5 How to State a Pre-Post Condition Pair

After proving a theorem of the above form, I might be interested in a more specific case (e.g. if the caller is not this particular account, nothing should change). For that purpose, here is another template statement. This contains everything above plus an assumption about the initial call, and a conclusion about the state after the invocation.

I pack everything that I want when the contract fails or returns. This definition reduces the number of goals that I need to prove. Without this definition, the *auto* tactic splits a goal $A \Longrightarrow B \land C$ into two subgoals $A \Longrightarrow B$ and $A \Longrightarrow C$. When I do complicated case analysis on A, the number of subgoals grow rapidly. So, I define *packed* to be $B \land C$ and prevent the *auto* tactic from noticing that it is a conjunction.

The following snippet says the invariant still holds in the observed final state¹¹ and the postconditions hold there.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{definition} \ postcondition\text{-}pack \\ \textbf{where} \\ postcondition\text{-}pack \ I \ postcondition \ fin\text{-}observed \ initial\text{-}account \ initial\text{-}call \ fin} \\ = \\ (I \ fin\text{-}observed \ \land \\ \end{array}
```

¹¹After the invocation finishes, some miner can credit Eth to the account under verification. The "observed" final state is an arbitrary state after such possible balance increases.

The whole template takes an invariant I, a precondition and a postcondition. The statement is about one invocation of the contract. This invocation can be a reentrancy. The initial state is when the contract is invoked, and the final states¹² are when this invocation makes a call to an account, returns or fails. We further consider natural balance increases¹³ and use the "observed final state" to evaluate the post condition. Of course, in between, there might be nesting reentrant invocations, that might alter the storage and the balance of the contract.

At the time of invocation, the invariant and the preconditions are assumed. During reentrant calls (that are deeper than the current invocation), the statement will request us to prove that the invariant holds at any moment when the contract loses the control flow (when the contract returns, fails or calls an account). Also we will be requested to prove that the postcondition holds on these occasions. The contract regains the control flow after a deeper call finishes, and the contract would lose the control flow again. All these requirements are captured by the transitive closure of *one-round* relation.

```
\mathbf{definition} pre-post-conditions ::
(account\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow (account\text{-}state \Rightarrow call\text{-}env \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow
 (account\text{-}state \Rightarrow call\text{-}env \Rightarrow (account\text{-}state \times program\text{-}result) \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool
pre-post-conditions
  (I :: account\text{-}state \Rightarrow bool)
  (precondition :: account\text{-}state \Rightarrow call\text{-}env \Rightarrow bool)
  (postcondition :: account-state \Rightarrow call-env \Rightarrow
                       (account\text{-}state \times program\text{-}result) \Rightarrow bool) \equiv
  (* for any initial call and initial account state that satisfy *)
  (* the invariant and the precondition, *)
  (\forall initial - account initial - call. \ I initial - account \longrightarrow
     precondition\ initial-account initial-call \longrightarrow
  (* for any final state that are reachable from these initial conditions, *)
  (\forall fin. star (one-round I) (initial-account, ProgramInit initial-call) fin \longrightarrow
  (* the annotations have not failed *)
  snd fin \neq Program Annotation Failure \land
  (* and for any observed final state after this final state, *)
  (\forall fin\text{-}observed. account\text{-}state\text{-}natural\text{-}change (fst fin) fin\text{-}observed} \longrightarrow
```

¹²Since I am considering all possible executions, there are multiple final states. Also, even when I concetrate on a single execution, every time the contract calls an account, I have to check the invariants. Otherwise, I have no knowledge about what happens during the following reentrancy.

¹³The balnace of an Ethereum account increases naturally when a contract destroys itself and sends its balance to our account, for instance.

```
(* the postcondition and the invariant holds. *)
postcondition-pack
I postcondition fin-observed initial-account initial-call fin)))
```

 \mathbf{end}

6 Verification of the Deed Contract

This section focuses on one particular smart contract called the "Deed" contract. The Deed contract is designed as a simple contract trusted with values. So the first aim of the verification is to show that most accounts cannot control the value.

theory Deed

imports Main ../RelationalSem

begin

6.1 The Code under Verification

The code under verification comes from these commits:

```
github.com/Arachnid/ens: f3334337083728728da56824a5d0a30a8712b60c github.com/ethereum/solidity: 2d9109ba453d49547778c39a506b0ed492305c16 and is produced with this command.
```

\$ solc/solc --bin-runtime HashRegistrarSimplified.sol

The hex code looks like this 6060604052361561006c5760e060020a6000350463...

I parsed this hex code in a Ruby parser¹⁴ and obtained the following list of instructions.

```
definition deed-insts :: inst list where deed-insts = Stack (PUSH-N [0x60]) \# Stack (PUSH-N [0x40]) \# Memory MSTORE \# Info CALLDATASIZE \# Arith ISZERO \# Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x6c]) \# Pc JUMPI \#
```

¹⁴Available in https://github.com/piraira/eth-isabelle

```
Stack (PUSH-N [0xe0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith EXP #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00]) \#
Stack\ CALLDATALOAD\ \#
Arith DIV #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x05, 0xb3, 0x44, 0x10]) #
Dup 2 #
Arith\ inst-EQ\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x6e]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Dup 1 #
Stack \ (PUSH-N \ [0x0b, 0x5a, 0xb3, 0xd5]) \ \#
Arith\ inst-EQ\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x7c]) #
Pc JUMPI #
Dup 1 #
Stack \ (PUSH-N \ [0x13, \ 0xaf, \ 0x40, \ 0x35]) \ \#
Arith\ inst-EQ\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x89]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Dup 1 #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x2b, 0x20, 0xe3, 0x97]) #
Arith\ inst-EQ\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0xaf]) #
Pc JUMPI #
Dup 1 #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x8d, 0xa5, 0xcb, 0x5b]) #
Arith\ inst-EQ\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0xc6]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Dup 1 #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xbb, 0xe4, 0x27, 0x71]) #
Arith\ inst-EQ\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0xdd]) #
Pc JUMPI #
Dup\ 1\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0xfa, 0xab, 0x9d, 0x39]) #
Arith\ inst-EQ\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01, 0x03]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Dup 1 #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xfb, 0x16, 0x69, 0xca]) #
Arith inst-EQ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01, 0x29]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Misc\ STOP\ \#
Pc JUMPDEST #
```

```
Info CALLVALUE #
Stack \ (PUSH-N \ [0x00\ ,\ 0x02]) \ \#
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01, 0x4a]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Storage SLOAD \#
Dup 2 #
Pc JUMP #
Pc JUMPDEST #
Info CALLVALUE \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x6c]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01, 0x89]) #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc JUMPDEST #
Info CALLVALUE #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x6c]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x04]) #
Stack\ CALLDATALOAD\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00]) #
Storage SLOAD \#
Info CALLER #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith EXP #
Arith SUB #
Swap 1 #
Dup 2 #
Bits\ inst{-}AND\ \#
Swap 2 \#
Bits inst-AND #
Arith inst-EQ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01, 0xf8]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Info CALLVALUE #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01, 0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00]) #
Storage~SLOAD~\#
Stack \ (PUSH-N \ [0x01]) \ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
```

```
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith~EXP~\#
Arith~SUB~\#
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Dup 2 #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Info CALLVALUE #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01, 0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Storage SLOAD \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith EXP #
Arith SUB #
Bits inst-AND #
Dup 2 #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Info CALLVALUE #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x6c]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x04]) #
Stack\ CALLDATALOAD\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00]) #
Storage SLOAD \#
Info CALLER #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith EXP #
Arith SUB #
Swap 1 #
Dup 2 #
Bits inst-AND #
Swap 2 \#
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Arith inst-EQ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02, 0x57]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Info CALLVALUE #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
```

```
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x6c]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x04]) #
Stack\ CALLDATALOAD\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00]) #
Storage SLOAD \#
Info CALLER #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack\ (PUSH-N\ [\theta xa\theta])\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith\ EXP\ \#
Arith SUB #
Swap 1 #
Dup 2 #
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Swap 2 #
Bits inst-AND #
Arith\ inst-EQ\ \#
Stack \ (PUSH-N \ [0x02, \ 0xc7]) \ \#
Pc JUMPI #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack\ (PUSH-N\ [0x00\ ,\ 0x6c])\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x04]) #
Stack\ CALLDATALOAD\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00]) #
Storage SLOAD #
Info CALLER #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith\ EXP\ \#
Arith SUB #
Swap 1 #
Dup 2 #
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Swap 2 #
Bits inst-AND #
Arith\ inst-EQ\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02, 0xe9]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc JUMP #
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x40]) #
Dup 1 #
Memory MLOAD #
Swap~2~\#
```

```
Dup 3 #
Memory\ MSTORE\ \#
Memory~MLOAD~\#
Swap 1 #
Dup 2 #
Swap 1 #
Arith SUB #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x20]) #
Arith ADD #
Swap 1 #
\mathit{Misc}\ \mathit{RETURN}\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x40]) #
Memory\ MLOAD\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0xbb, 0x2c, 0xe2, 0xf5, 0x18, 0x03, 0xbb, 0xa1, 0x6b, 0xc8, 0x52,
0x82, 0xb4, 0x7d, 0xee, 0xea, 0x9a, 0x5c, 0x62, 0x23, 0xea, 0xbe, 0xa1, 0x07, 0x7b,
0xe6, 0x96, 0xb3, 0xf2, 0x65, 0xcf, 0x13]) #
Swap 1 #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00]) #
Swap 1 #
Log LOG1 #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02, 0x54]) #
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Storage SLOAD \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) \#
Arith EXP #
Swap 1 #
Arith DIV #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xff]) #
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Arith ISZERO #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01, 0xbd]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x40]) #
Dup 1 #
Memory~MLOAD~\#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith EXP #
Arith SUB #
Swap 3 #
Swap 1 #
Swap \ 3 \ \#
```

```
Bits\ inst\text{-}AND\ \#
Dup~3~\#
Memory\ MSTORE\ \#
Memory~MLOAD~\#
Swap 1 #
Dup 2 #
Swap 1 #
Arith SUB #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x20]) #
Arith ADD #
Swap\ 1\ \#
Misc\ RETURN\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack\ (PUSH-N\ [0x40])\ \#
Memory\ MLOAD\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Storage~SLOAD~\#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith EXP \#
Arith SUB #
Swap 1 #
Dup 2 #
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Swap~2~\#
Info ADDRESS \#
Swap 1 #
Swap 2 \#
Bits\ inst\text{-}AND\ \#
Info BALANCE #
Dup 1 #
Arith~ISZERO~\#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x08, 0xfc]) #
Arith~MUL~\#
Swap 2 #
Stack~(\textit{PUSH-N}~[\textit{0x00}])~\#
Dup 2 #
Dup \ 2 \ \#
Dup 2 #
Dup~6~\#
Dup 9 #
Dup 9 #
\mathit{Misc}\ \mathit{CALL}\ \#
Swap \not 4 \not \#
Stack\ POP\ \#
Stack\ POP\ \#
Stack\ POP\ \#
Stack\ POP\ \#
```

```
Arith ISZERO #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01, 0xf3]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0xde, 0xad]) #
Misc\ SUICIDE\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Dup 1 #
Storage SLOAD #
Stack \ (\textit{PUSH-N} \ [\textit{0xff}, \textit{0xff}, \textit{0xff
Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff
Bits\ inst-NOT\ \#
Bits inst-AND #
Dup \ 3 \ \#
Bits inst-OR \#
Swap 1 #
Storage SSTORE #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x40]) #
Dup 1 #
Memory MLOAD #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith EXP #
Arith SUB #
Dup \not 4 #
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Dup 2 #
Memory\ MSTORE\ \#
Swap\ 1\ \#
Memory MLOAD #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa2, 0xea, 0x98, 0x83, 0xa3, 0x21, 0xa3, 0xe9, 0x7b, 0x82,
0x66, 0xc2, 0xb0, 0x78, 0xbf, 0xee, 0xc6, 0xd5, 0x0c, 0x71, 0x1e, 0xd7, 0x1f,
0x87, 0x4a, 0x90, 0xd5, 0x00, 0xae, 0x2e, 0xaf, 0x36]) #
Swap 2 #
Dup 2 #
Swap~1~\#
Arith SUB #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x20]) #
Arith ADD #
Swap 1 #
Log\ LOG1\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack\ POP\ \#
Pc JUMP #
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
```

```
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Storage SLOAD \#
Stack~(\textit{PUSH-N}~[\textit{0xa0}])~\#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith EXP #
Swap 1 #
Arith DIV #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xff]) #
Bits inst-AND #
Arith ISZERO #
Arith ISZERO #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02, 0x6f]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Dup 1 #
Storage SLOAD #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xff, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00, 0x00,
(0x00, 0x00, 0x00]) #
Bits inst-NOT \#
Bits inst-AND #
Swap 1 #
Storage\ SSTORE\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x40]) #
Memory MLOAD #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xde, 0xad]) #
Swap 1 #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x03, 0xe8]) #
Info ADDRESS #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith\ EXP\ \#
Arith SUB #
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Info BALANCE #
Dup 5 #
Dup 3 #
Arith SUB #
Arith MUL #
Arith DIV #
Dup 1 #
Arith ISZERO #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x08, 0xfc]) #
Arith MUL #
Swap 2 #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00]) #
```

```
Dup 2 #
Dup~2~\#
Dup 2 #
Dup \ 6 \ \#
Dup 9 #
Dup 9 #
Misc\ CALL\ \#
Swap 4 #
Stack POP \#
Stack POP \#
Stack\ POP\ \#
Stack\ POP\ \#
Arith~ISZERO~\#
Arith ISZERO #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01, 0x5c]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc JUMPDEST #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00]) #
Dup~1~\#
Storage~SLOAD~\#
Stack (PUSH-N [Oxff, Oxff, Oxf
Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff, Oxff]) #
Bits\ inst-NOT\ \#
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Dup 3 #
Bits inst-OR #
Swap~1~\#
Storage\ SSTORE\ \#
Stack\ POP\ \#
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Storage SLOAD #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith EXP #
Swap 1 #
Arith DIV #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xff]) #
Bits inst-AND #
Arith ISZERO #
Arith ISZERO \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x03, 0x01]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
```

```
Dup 1 #
Info ADDRESS \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0xa0]) #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Arith~EXP~\#
Arith SUB #
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Info BALANCE #
Arith\ inst-LT\ \#
Arith ISZERO #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x03, 0x18]) #
Pc\ JUMPI\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc\ JUMP\ \#
Pc\ JUMPDEST\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02]) #
Storage\ SLOAD\ \#
Stack (PUSH-N [0x40]) #
Memory MLOAD #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x01]) #
Stack\ (PUSH-N\ [\theta xa\theta])\ \#
Stack \ (PUSH-N \ [0x02]) \ \#
Arith EXP #
Arith~SUB~\#
Swap~2~\#
Dup 3 #
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Swap 2 \#
Info ADDRESS \#
Bits\ inst-AND\ \#
Info BALANCE \#
Dup 4 #
Swap\ 1\ \#
Arith SUB \#
Dup 1 #
Arith ISZERO #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x08, 0xfc]) #
Arith MUL #
Swap 2 \#
Stack~(\textit{PUSH-N}~[\textit{0x00}])~\#
Dup 2 #
Dup 2 #
Dup~2~\#
Dup~6~\#
Dup 9 #
Dup 9 #
Misc\ CALL\ \#
Swap \not 4 \not \#
```

```
Stack POP #
Stack POP #
Stack POP #
Stack POP #
Arith ISZERO #
Arith ISZERO #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x02, 0x54]) #
Pc JUMPI #
Stack (PUSH-N [0x00, 0x02]) #
Pc JUMP #
[]
```

declare deed-insts-def [simp]

The next definition translates the list of instructions into an AVL tree. This single step takes around 10 minutes. So I will soon need a program that takes a hex code and produces a binary tree literal in Isabelle/HOL.

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \textbf{definition} \ content\text{-}compiled :: (int * inst, \ nat) \ tree \\ \textbf{where} \\ content\text{-}compiled\text{-}def \ [simplified] : content\text{-}compiled == \ program\text{-}content\text{-}of\text{-}lst \ 0 \\ deed\text{-}insts \\ \end{array}
```

The program that appears in the statements of the following lemmata is defined here.

```
 \begin{array}{lll} \textbf{definition} & deed\text{-}program & :: program \\ \textbf{where} \\ & deed\text{-}program\text{-}def : deed\text{-}program = \\ & (|program\text{-}content| = content\text{-}compiled \\ & (|program\text{-}length| = int (|length| deed\text{-}insts)) \\ & (|program\text{-}annotation| = program\text{-}annotation\text{-}of\text{-}lst| 0 |deed\text{-}insts|) \\ & (|program\text{-}annotation| = program\text{-}annotation| = program\text{-}annotation| 0 |deed\text{-}annotation| 0 |deed\text{-
```

6.2 The Invariant

The invariant is simple. The code of the account is either the one defined above or empty. We have to allow the empty case because this contract might destroy itself.

```
inductive deed-inv :: account-state \Rightarrow bool where alive: account-code a = deed-program \Longrightarrow deed-inv a \mid dead: account-code a = empty-program \Longrightarrow deed-inv a
The program length lookup is optimized.

lemma prolen [simp]: program-length deed-program = 500 apply(simp\ add: deed-program-def) done
```

The program annotation lookup is also optimized. There are no annotations in the program under verification.

```
lemma proanno [simp]: program-annotation deed-program n = [] apply(simp\ add:\ deed-program-def) done
```

Here, a term called x is defined. x is by definition equal to the binary tree containing the program, and its definition can be expanded automatically during the proofs.

```
declare content-compiled-def [simp]

definition x :: (int * inst, nat) tree

where x-def [simplified] :x \equiv content-compiled

declare content-compiled-def [simp del]

declare deed-program-def [simp del]
```

Whenever the content of the program is being looked up, the term x appears, allowing further expansion. Otherwise, $program-content\ deed-program$ stays as just two words. This makes sure that the intermediate goals do not contain the big binary tree as a literal.

```
lemma pro-content [simp]: lookup (program-content deed-program) n == lookup \ x n apply(simp add: deed-program-def add: x-def add: content-compiled-def) done declare deed-insts-def [simp del] declare bin-cat-def [simp] lemma strict-if-split: P \ (strict-if \ b \ A \ B) = (\neg \ (b \land \neg \ P \ (A \ True) \lor \neg \ b \land \neg \ P \ (B \ True))) apply(case-tac b; auto) done declare one-round.simps [simp]
```

6.3 Proof that the Invariant is Kept

The following lemma states that, if the account's code is either empty or the Deed contract's code, that is still the case after an invocation.

lemma deed-inv-deed-program [simp]:

world-turn.simps [simp] contract-turn.simps [simp]

x-def [simp]

```
(|account-address = addr
 , account-storage = str
 , account\text{-}code = deed\text{-}program
 , account-balance = bal
 , account-ongoing-calls = ongoing
   account-killed = k
apply(simp add: deed-inv.simps)
done
lemma deed-inv-empty [simp]:
deed	ext{-}inv
 (|account-address = addr
 , account-storage = str
 , account\text{-}code = empty\text{-}program
 , account-balance = bal
 , account-ongoing-calls = ongoing
 , account-killed = k
\mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ deed\text{-}inv.simps)
done
The following lemma proves that the code of the Deed contract stays the
same or becomes empty. It also proves that no annotations fail, but there
are no annotations anyway.
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{deed}\text{-}\mathit{keeps-invariant}:
no-assertion-failure deed-inv
— The proof is a brute-force case analysis. I believe this can be much shorter, but
my aim here was to practice the brute-force case analysis.
apply(simp only: no-assertion-failure-def)
apply(rule\ allI)
apply(rule\ allI)
apply(rule allI)
apply(rule allI)
apply(rule allI)
apply(rule\ allI)
apply(rule allI)
apply(rule\ impI)
apply(rule allI)
apply(rule\ impI)
apply(drule star-case; auto)
 apply(drule deed-inv.cases; auto)
  apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
 apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
apply(drule deed-inv.cases; auto)
 apply(case-tac steps; auto)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
```

deed-inv

```
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      \mathbf{apply}(simp\ add:\ no\text{-}assertion\text{-}failure\text{-}post\text{-}def)
     apply(split if-splits; auto?)
      apply(split if-splits; auto?)
      apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
      apply(drule star-case; auto simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
         apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
         apply(case-tac nat; auto)
         apply(case-tac\ nata;\ auto)
        apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
        apply(case-tac\ nat;\ auto)
        apply(case-tac nata; auto)
       apply(case-tac steps; auto)
       apply(case-tac nat; auto)
       apply(case-tac nata; auto)
       apply(case-tac steps; auto)
       apply(case-tac steps; auto)
      apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
      apply(split if-splits; auto?)
      apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
     apply(drule star-case; auto simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
         apply(case-tac steps; auto)
         apply(case-tac nat; auto)
         apply(case-tac nata; auto)
        apply(case-tac steps; auto)
        apply(case-tac nat; auto)
        apply(case-tac nata; auto)
       apply(case-tac steps; auto)
       \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{case\text{-}tac}\ \mathit{nat};\ \mathit{auto})
       apply(case-tac nata; auto)
       apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
      apply(case-tac steps; auto)
     apply(case-tac steps; auto)
     apply(case-tac\ nat;\ auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
```

```
apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
    apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
   apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
   apply(split if-splits; auto)
    apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
   apply(drule star-case; auto simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
       apply(case-tac steps; auto)
       apply(case-tac nat; auto)
       apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
       apply(split if-splits; auto)
        apply(drule\ star-case;\ auto)
        apply(case-tac steps; auto)
       apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
      apply(case-tac steps; auto)
      apply(case-tac nat; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split if-splits; auto)
      apply(drule star-case; auto)
         apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
        apply(case-tac steps; auto)
       apply(case-tac steps; auto)
      apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
      apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
      apply(case-tac nat; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split if-splits; auto)
     apply(case-tac steps; auto)
    apply(case-tac steps; auto)
   apply(case-tac steps; auto)
  apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
  \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{simp\ add:\ no\text{-}assertion\text{-}failure\text{-}post\text{-}def})
 apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
 apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
```

```
apply(case-tac nat; auto)
     apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
    apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
   \mathbf{apply}(split\ strict\text{-}if\text{-}split;\ auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
    apply(split if-splits; auto)
     apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
    apply(drule star-case; auto)
        \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{no-assertion-failure-post-def})
       apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
       apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
       apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
      apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
      apply(case-tac steps; auto)
     apply(case-tac steps; auto)
     apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
    apply(case-tac steps; auto)
    apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
   apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
  apply(simp\ add:\ no-assertion-failure-post-def)
 apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
apply(case-tac steps; auto)
apply(simp add: no-assertion-failure-post-def)
apply(drule deed-inv.cases; auto)
apply(case-tac steps; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split if-splits; auto?)
       apply(split if-splits; auto?)
        apply(split if-splits; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      \mathbf{apply}(\mathit{case-tac}\ \mathit{nat};\ \mathit{auto})
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
```

```
apply(split if-splits; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(case-tac nat; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split if-splits; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(case-tac steps; auto)
done
```

6.4 Proof about the Case when the Caller is Not the Registrar

I prove another property about the Deed contract. The intention is to prevent attacks. It is not straightforward to define what are attacks. In any case I cannot prevent off-chain attacks (such as bribing). Here I prove a property that most of accounts cannot change certain things in the account. They cannot decrease the balance of the account, and they cannot give themselves the authority to do so. In the current case, the only authorized account is the "registrar," which is remembered at the storage index 0 of the Deed account.

In concrete terms that Isabelle/HOL can understand, the claim can be written as follows:

If

- the caller is not equal to the address stored at index 0,
- the 21st least byte in storage index 2 is not zero,
- the sent value does not overflow the account's balance,
- the account is not marked for destruction at the time of invocation,
- and the invariant holds at the time of invocation,

then, after the invocation,

- the invariant is still kept,
- the balance of the acount is not smaller,
- the 21st least byte in storage index 2 is still not zero,
- the registrar of the account is not changed, and

• the account is still not marked for destruction.

I need some arithmetic preparations. The Solidity compiler and the word library of Isabelle/HOL have different ways of casting address into w256 and back. Some propositions are proved so that these differences disappear automatically.

When an address is converted into a 256-bit word, the represented integer does not change.

```
lemma \ address-cast-eq :
uint (ucast (a :: address) :: w256) = uint a
apply(rule uint-up-ucast)
apply(simp \ add: is-up)
done
The size of an address is 160 bits.
lemma address-size [simp]:
size (a :: address) = 160
apply(simp add: word-size)
done
All addresses are less than 2^{160}.
lemma address-small' [simplified]:
uint (a :: address) < 2 \hat{\ } size a
apply(simp only: uint-range-size)
done
All addresses cast to words are still small.
lemma address-small:
(ucast\ (a::address)::w256)<2\ ^160
apply(simp only: word-less-alt)
apply(simp add: address-cast-eq)
apply(rule address-small')
done
declare mask-def [simp]
When you cast an address to word, and take the least 160 bits, that's the
same thing as just casting the address.
lemma address-cast-and [simplified]:
(mask\ 160::w256)\ AND\ ucast\ (a::address) = (ucast\ (a::address)::w256)
apply(simp only: word-bool-alg.conj-commute)
apply(rule less-mask-eq)
apply(rule\ address-small)
done
```

declare address-cast-and [simp]

```
Casting a word to an address can be done after truncating to 160 bits.
```

```
lemma casting-and-truncation:
 word-of-int (bintrunc 160 (uint (w :: w256))) = (word-of-int (uint w) :: address)
apply(rule\ wi-bintr)
apply(auto)
done
When two numbers are equal as words, they are also equal as addresses.
lemma finer:
(word\text{-}of\text{-}int \ p :: w256) = word\text{-}of\text{-}int \ q \Longrightarrow
(word\text{-}of\text{-}int\ p::address) = word\text{-}of\text{-}int\ q
apply(simp only: word.abs-eq-iff)
apply(simp)
apply(simp add: Bit-Representation.bintrunc-mod2p)
apply(simp add: Divides.zmod-eq-dvd-iff)
\mathbf{apply}(\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{Rings.comm-monoid-mult-class.dvd-trans};\ \mathit{auto})
done
If a word is masked to 160-bits and compared with a casted address, the
word is compared against the address.
lemma addr-case-eq [simplified]:
(w :: w256) \ AND \ (mask \ 160 :: w256) = ucast(a :: address)
\implies ucast \ w = a
apply(simp\ only:\ and-mask-bintr)
apply(simp\ only:\ ucast-def)
apply(simp only: casting-and-truncation [symmetric])
apply(drule\ finer)
\mathbf{apply}(\mathit{simp})
done
declare addr-case-eq [dest]
declare mask-def [simp del]
Now we are ready to state and prove the lemma.
lemma deed-only-registrar-can-spend:
pre	ext{-}post	ext{-}conditions
(* The invariant which is assumed at the beginning of this invocation,
   assumed to be kept during reentrancy calls, and
   proven at the time of return or failure from this invocation. *)
deed-inv
(* The additional conditions that are assumed at the beginning of this invocation:
(\lambda init-state init-call.
```

(* the caller is not the regsitrar; *)

```
ucast\ (account\text{-}storage\ init\text{-}state\ 0) \neq callenv\text{-}caller\ init\text{-}call
  (* the Deed contract is still marked active; *)
\land (255 AND account-storage init-state 2 div 2 ^ 160 \neq 0)
  (* the call does not overflow the balance; *)
\land account-balance init-state + callenv-value init-call \ge account-balance init-state
  (* the account is not marked as destroyed. *)
\land \neg (account\text{-}killed init\text{-}state))
(* The additional conditions that are proven to
  * hold when this invocation returns or fails. *)
(\lambda init\text{-state} - (post\text{-state}, -).
  (* The balance has not decreased. *)
   account-balance init-state \leq account-balance post-state
  (* The account is still not marked for destruction
     (i.e. the account has not executed self-destruction). *)
\land \neg (account\text{-}killed\ post\text{-}state)
  (* The Deed contract is still marked as active. *)
\land (255 AND account-storage post-state 2 div 2 ^ 160 \neq 0)
  (* The registrar of the contract remains the same. *)
\land account-storage init-state \theta = account-storage post-state \theta)
— The proof is again a brute-force case analysis.
apply(simp add: pre-post-conditions-def)
apply(rule\ allI)
apply(rule\ impI)
apply(drule deed-inv.cases; auto)
    apply(drule star-case; auto)
     apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
       apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
        apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
         apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
          apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
          apply(split \ strict-if-split; \ auto)
           apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
           apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
           apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
           apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
          apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
          apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
```

```
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(case-tac steps; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(drule star-case; auto)
 apply(simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
       apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
       apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
       apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
       apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
      apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
```

```
apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
       apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
      apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
     apply(case-tac a: simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
     apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
    apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
    \mathbf{apply}(split\ strict\text{-}if\text{-}split;\ auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
    apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
   apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
   apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
  apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
 apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(drule\ star-case;\ auto)
apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
 apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
  apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
```

```
apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
       apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
        apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
         apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
         apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
         apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
         apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
        apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
         apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
         apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
        apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
       apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
        apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
        apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
       apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
       apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
        apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
       apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
      apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
      apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
      apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
     apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
      apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
     apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
    apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
   apply(split strict-if-split; auto)
    apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
   apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
   apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
  apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
 apply(drule\ star-case;\ auto)
  apply(case-tac steps; auto)
 apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
apply(drule\ star-case;\ auto)
  \mathbf{apply}(simp\ add\colon postcondition\text{-}pack\text{-}def\ add\colon deed\text{-}inv.simps)
 apply(case-tac steps; auto)
 apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
apply(case-tac steps; auto)
apply(drule\ star-case;\ auto)
apply(case-tac\ steps;\ auto)
apply(case-tac a; simp add: postcondition-pack-def add: deed-inv.simps)
done
```

It takes 45 minutes to compile this proof on my machine. Ten minutes are

spent translating the list of instructions into an AVL tree. Most of the rest is spent on following the proofs of the last two lemmata.

 \mathbf{end}