Healthcare infrastructures in the United States have been debated for long time and were recently reformed to provide more affordable service to the public. The reform implemented scoring paradigms to evaluate hospital performances; namely, the readmission score. The government showed that one of the drivers of high healthcare premiums was high readmission rate in some hospitals. The high rate not only indicates that the initial treatment is inappropriate or insufficient, but also forces insurance companies to compensate for unexpected expenses. Consequently, the cost of healthcare premiums inevitably increases, making healthcare more expensive and unaffordable.

To investigate the readmission rate issue, I hypothesize that there may be a relationship among several financial factors and the readmission rate, i.e. low finance equals low hospital performance. The factors are: 1) annual payroll by all industries per county, 2) total number of business establishments per county, 3) healthcare expenditures by a county government, 4) median income by a household, 5) municipal healthcare expenditures, 6) poverty level per county, 7) general revenue of a county government, and 8) state where a county locates. An outcome of interest is hospital performance per county (outcome = *better*, *national average*, or *worse*) evaluated by the aggregated score of within-30-day readmission and death rates in four categories: heart attack, heart failure, pneumonia, and overall-readmission rate per hospital. All of the data was taken from *cencus.gov* and *medical.gov* and from the year of 2012.

The model based on financial factors was able to predict hospital performance per county with an accuracy of 80%. However, this analysis has a serious shortcoming – imbalanced numbers of the outcome. Approximately, 75 % of outcome was *national average*, 16 % was *better*, and 9% was *worse*. To mitigate the imbalance, I trained my models with downsampled *national average* data, while others were unmodified. Thus, the imbalance data and potentially inaccurate representation of *national average* data via downsampling are likely to induce distortion of results.

As a conclusion, a simple model based on financial factors can give us insights into ties between expected hospital performance and regional financial status. To improve the model and/or represent data with more authenticity, for example, ensemble prediction may be adequate, where predictions are made by several models and a final prediction is determined by averaging those predictions.