AMCS 394E: Contemp. Topics in Computational Science. Computing with the finite element method

David I. Ketcheson and Manuel Quezada de Luna



Our goal is to learn the basics of the finite element (FE) method and its practical implementation.

Our goal is to learn the basics of the finite element (FE) method and its practical implementation.

Objectives

Our goal is to learn the basics of the finite element (FE) method and its practical implementation.

Objectives

* Learn the basics of the theory behind the FE method.

Our goal is to learn the basics of the finite element (FE) method and its practical implementation.

Objectives

- * Learn the basics of the theory behind the FE method.
- * Learn how to implement the FE method from scratch.

Our goal is to learn the basics of the finite element (FE) method and its practical implementation.

Objectives

- * Learn the basics of the theory behind the FE method.
- * Learn how to implement the FE method from scratch.
- Learn to use a FE library to solve real applications.

Our goal is to learn the basics of the finite element (FE) method and its practical implementation.

Objectives

- * Learn the basics of the theory behind the FE method.
- * Learn how to implement the FE method from scratch.
- * Learn to use a FE library to solve real applications.

Prerequisites

Our goal is to learn the basics of the finite element (FE) method and its practical implementation.

Objectives

- * Learn the basics of the theory behind the FE method.
- * Learn how to implement the FE method from scratch.
- * Learn to use a FE library to solve real applications.

Prerequisites

* Theoretical side: have exposure to PDEs and numerical methods.

Our goal is to learn the basics of the finite element (FE) method and its practical implementation.

Objectives

- * Learn the basics of the theory behind the FE method.
- * Learn how to implement the FE method from scratch.
- * Learn to use a FE library to solve real applications.

Prerequisites

- * Theoretical side: have exposure to PDEs and numerical methods.
- * Computational side: have exposure to Python or Matlab and C++.

Homeworks (40%)

* Programming assignments with a short report.

Homeworks (40%)

* Programming assignments with a short report.

Final project (60%)

Homeworks (40%)

* Programming assignments with a short report.

Final project (60%)

* Objective: solve a practical application.

Homeworks (40%)

* Programming assignments with a short report.

Final project (60%)

- * Objective: solve a practical application.
- * Examples:
 - Solve the Euler equations in multiple dimensions.
 - Test different h-adaptivity criteria during the sol. of the shallow water equations.
 - Solve a problem of a floating cube via ALE.
 - Implement and test a numerical scheme from some publication.
 - Solve a equation or test a method from your own research.

Homeworks (40%)

* Programming assignments with a short report.

Final project (60%)

- * Objective: solve a practical application.
- * Examples:
 - Solve the Euler equations in multiple dimensions.
 - Test different h-adaptivity criteria during the sol. of the shallow water equations.
 - Solve a problem of a floating cube via ALE.
 - Implement and test a numerical scheme from some publication.
 - Solve a equation or test a method from your own research.
- * Format: report (around 10 pages) with a description of the problem, equations, numerical methods, details of the implementation and numerical results.

A PDE is an equation involving multiple independent variables and their derivatives; e.g., consider

$$u = u(x, y, t),$$
 $F(u, u_t, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots, u_v, u_{vv}, \dots, x, y, t) = 0.$

A PDE is an equation involving multiple independent variables and their derivatives; e.g., consider

$$u = u(x, y, t),$$
 $F(u, u_t, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots, u_y, u_{yy}, \dots, x, y, t) = 0.$

"Since Newton, mankind has come to realize that the laws of physics are always expressed in the language of differential equations." Steven Strogatz

A PDE is an equation involving multiple independent variables and their derivatives; e.g., consider

$$u = u(x, y, t),$$
 $F(u, u_t, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots, u_y, u_{yy}, \dots, x, y, t) = 0.$

"Since Newton, mankind has come to realize that the laws of physics are always expressed in the language of differential equations." Steven Strogatz

(0:45-2:04) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=p_di4Zn4wz4 (0:11-1:36, 3:32-5:58) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ly4S0oi3Yz8

A PDE is an equation involving multiple independent variables and their derivatives; e.g., consider

$$u = u(x, y, t),$$
 $F(u, u_t, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots, u_y, u_{yy}, \dots, x, y, t) = 0.$

PDEs model the bahavior of functions of multiple variables. The applications are vast:

- * Weather forecast
- * Electromagnetism
- * Combustion
- * Distribution of stress in a structure
- * Finance
- * Nuclear physics
- * Blood flow
- * Elasticity

- * Fluid flows
- Multiphase flows
- * Floating objects (ships, etc)
- * Propagation of a tsunami
- * Quantum mechanics
- * Spacetime and matter relation
- * Heat distribution and evolution
- * Etcetera

Some important concepts:

Some important concepts:

* Order of a PDE.

Some important concepts:

- * Order of a PDE.
- * Linear vs nonlinear PDEs.

Some important concepts:

- * Order of a PDE.
- * Linear vs nonlinear PDEs.
- * Constant or variable coefficient PDEs.

Some important concepts:

- * Order of a PDE.
- * Linear vs nonlinear PDEs.
- * Constant or variable coefficient PDEs.

Types of PDEs based on:

Some important concepts:

- * Order of a PDE.
- * Linear vs nonlinear PDEs.
- * Constant or variable coefficient PDEs.

Types of PDEs based on:

* Order: first-order, second-order, etc.

Some important concepts:

- Order of a PDE.
- Linear vs nonlinear PDEs.
- * Constant or variable coefficient PDEs.

Types of PDEs based on:

- Order: first-order, second-order, etc.
- * Depending on the linearity: linear, quasilinear or nonlinear.

Some important concepts:

- * Order of a PDE.
- Linear vs nonlinear PDEs.
- * Constant or variable coefficient PDEs.

Types of PDEs based on:

- Order: first-order, second-order, etc.
- * Depending on the linearity: linear, quasilinear or nonlinear.
- Depending on the force term: homogeneous or non-homogeneous.

Classification of second order PDEs:

Classification of second order PDEs:

* Hyperbolic

Classification of second order PDEs:

- * Hyperbolic
- * Elliptic

Classification of second order PDEs:

- * Hyperbolic
- * Elliptic
- * Parabolic

Most common numerical methods:

Most common numerical methods:

* Finite differences

Most common numerical methods:

- * Finite differences
- * Finite volumes

Most common numerical methods:

- * Finite differences
- * Finite volumes
- * Finite elements

Most common numerical methods:

- * Finite differences
- * Finite volumes
- * Finite elements

Method of lines:

Popular strategy to solve time dependent PDEs. The spatial derivatives are discretized directly which leads to system of (non)linear ordinary differential equations.

The system of ODEs is solved at different time intervals.

Finite difference method (FDM)

The FDM solves for point values at given nodes by approximating the derivatives through finite differences.

The FDM solves for point values at given nodes by approximating the derivatives through finite differences.

The FDM solves for point values at given nodes by approximating the derivatives through finite differences.

Some characteristics are:

* derivation and error analysis are done via Taylor series

The FDM solves for point values at given nodes by approximating the derivatives through finite differences.

- * derivation and error analysis are done via Taylor series
- * easy to implement for structured grids.

The FDM solves for point values at given nodes by approximating the derivatives through finite differences.

- * derivation and error analysis are done via Taylor series
- * easy to implement for structured grids.
- * fast and efficient

The FDM solves for point values at given nodes by approximating the derivatives through finite differences.

- * derivation and error analysis are done via Taylor series
- * easy to implement for structured grids.
- * fast and efficient
- * cumbersome for unstructured grids

Approximation of first- and second-order derivatives.

Approximation of first- and second-order derivatives.

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

Approximation of first- and second-order derivatives.

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

Approximation of first- and second-order derivatives.

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

Basic steps:

* Consider the spatial discretization for a given node *i*.

Approximation of first- and second-order derivatives.

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

- * Consider the spatial discretization for a given node *i*.
- * Consider a matrix form of the semi-discretization.

Approximation of first- and second-order derivatives.

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

- * Consider the spatial discretization for a given node *i*.
- * Consider a matrix form of the semi-discretization.
- * Discretize in time using e.g. BE, FE, RK methods, etc.

The FVM solves for cell averages.

The derivatives are commonly approximated via numerical fluxes.

The FVM solves for cell averages.

The derivatives are commonly approximated via numerical fluxes.

The FVM solves for cell averages.

The derivatives are commonly approximated via numerical fluxes.

Some characteristics are:

* easy to implement for structured grids

The FVM solves for cell averages.

The derivatives are commonly approximated via numerical fluxes.

- * easy to implement for structured grids
- * still relatively fast and efficient

The FVM solves for cell averages.

The derivatives are commonly approximated via numerical fluxes.

- * easy to implement for structured grids
- * still relatively fast and efficient
- * high-order approximations are cumbersome for unstructured grids

The FVM solves for cell averages.

The derivatives are commonly approximated via numerical fluxes.

- * easy to implement for structured grids
- * still relatively fast and efficient
- * high-order approximations are cumbersome for unstructured grids
- * widely used for hyperbolic equations

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

Basic steps:

* Integrate the PDE over cell K_i .

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

- * Integrate the PDE over cell K_i .
- * Integrate by parts, use the divergence theorem, etc.

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

- * Integrate the PDE over cell K_i .
- * Integrate by parts, use the divergence theorem, etc.
- * Replace the fluxes through the boundary by numerical fluxes.

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

- * Integrate the PDE over cell K_i .
- * Integrate by parts, use the divergence theorem, etc.
- * Replace the fluxes through the boundary by numerical fluxes.
- * Consider a matrix form of the semi-discretization.

Example. Consider the following advection reaction diffusion equation:

$$u_t + u_x - \mu u_{xx} = r(x), \qquad \mu > 0$$

- * Integrate the PDE over cell K_i .
- * Integrate by parts, use the divergence theorem, etc.
- * Replace the fluxes through the boundary by numerical fluxes.
- * Consider a matrix form of the semi-discretization.
- * Discretize in time using e.g. BE, FE, RK methods, etc.

Finite differences:

* Easiest to implement and the most efficient

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- * Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

Finite differences:

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

Finite differences:

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

Finite volumes:

FVM is widely used and developed for hyperbolic equations

Finite differences:

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- * Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

- FVM is widely used and developed for hyperbolic equations
- * Easy to implement and more efficient than FEM

Finite differences:

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- * Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

- FVM is widely used and developed for hyperbolic equations
- * Easy to implement and more efficient than FEM
- Difficult to generalize to unstructured grids

Finite differences:

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- * Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

- * FVM is widely used and developed for hyperbolic equations
- Easy to implement and more efficient than FEM
- Difficult to generalize to unstructured grids
- * In some codes it is difficult to consider diffusive terms

Finite differences:

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- * Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

Finite volumes:

- * FVM is widely used and developed for hyperbolic equations
- * Easy to implement and more efficient than FEM
- * Difficult to generalize to unstructured grids
- * In some codes it is difficult to consider diffusive terms

Finite elements:

Finite differences:

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- * Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

Finite volumes:

- * FVM is widely used and developed for hyperbolic equations
- * Easy to implement and more efficient than FEM
- Difficult to generalize to unstructured grids
- * In some codes it is difficult to consider diffusive terms

Finite elements:

* FEM is the slowest and harder to understand and implement.

When to use each type of method?

Finite differences:

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- * Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

Finite volumes:

- * FVM is widely used and developed for hyperbolic equations
- Easy to implement and more efficient than FEM
- Difficult to generalize to unstructured grids
- * In some codes it is difficult to consider diffusive terms

Finite elements:

- * FEM is the slowest and harder to understand and implement.
- Easy to work with unstructured grids.

When to use each type of method?

Finite differences:

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

Finite volumes:

- * FVM is widely used and developed for hyperbolic equations
- * Easy to implement and more efficient than FEM
- Difficult to generalize to unstructured grids
- * In some codes it is difficult to consider diffusive terms

Finite elements:

- * FEM is the slowest and harder to understand and implement.
- Easy to work with unstructured grids.
- Easy to consider complex geometries.

When to use each type of method?

Finite differences:

- * Easiest to implement and the most efficient
- * Difficult to generalize for unstructured grids
- * Easy to consider different type of operators
- * Good to test different models with simple geometries

Finite volumes:

- * FVM is widely used and developed for hyperbolic equations
- Easy to implement and more efficient than FEM
- Difficult to generalize to unstructured grids
- * In some codes it is difficult to consider diffusive terms

Finite elements:

- * FEM is the slowest and harder to understand and implement.
- Easy to work with unstructured grids.
- * Easy to consider complex geometries.
- * Easy to consider different type of operators.

Finite element libraries versus personalized codes.

See https://www.math.colostate.edu/~bangerth/videos.676.1.html

Finite element libraries versus personalized codes.

See https://www.math.colostate.edu/~bangerth/videos.676.1.html

Finite element libraries versus personalized codes.

See https://www.math.colostate.edu/~bangerth/videos.676.1.html

Some things to consider:

* A personalized solver might be considerably faster.

Finite element libraries versus personalized codes.

See https://www.math.colostate.edu/~bangerth/videos.676.1.html

- * A personalized solver might be considerably faster.
- * Coding a fast solver from scratch requires considerable experience and knowledge.

Finite element libraries versus personalized codes.

See https://www.math.colostate.edu/~bangerth/videos.676.1.html

- * A personalized solver might be considerably faster.
- * Coding a fast solver from scratch requires considerable experience and knowledge.
- * Some FE libraries have been largely tested and many bugs have been found and fixed.

Finite element libraries versus personalized codes.

See https://www.math.colostate.edu/~bangerth/videos.676.1.html

- * A personalized solver might be considerably faster.
- * Coding a fast solver from scratch requires considerable experience and knowledge.
- Some FE libraries have been largely tested and many bugs have been found and fixed.
- * Libraries have many (difficult to implement) tools like:
 - multigrid methods
 - parallelized solvers
 - h-adaptivity
 - moving meshes
 - etc

Finite element libraries versus personalized codes.

See https://www.math.colostate.edu/~bangerth/videos.676.1.html

- * A personalized solver might be considerably faster.
- * Coding a fast solver from scratch requires considerable experience and knowledge.
- * Some FE libraries have been largely tested and many bugs have been found and fixed.
- * Libraries have many (difficult to implement) tools like:
 - multigrid methods
 - parallelized solvers
 - h-adaptivity
 - moving meshes
 - etc
- * In general it might take years to code all the tools available in a good FE library.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

Common things between libraries:

* Finite element loop: elements, quad points, shape functions, etc.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

- Finite element loop: elements, quad points, shape functions, etc.
- * Finite element transformations.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

- Finite element loop: elements, quad points, shape functions, etc.
- * Finite element transformations.
- * Maps from local to global entries in the algebraic systems.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

- Finite element loop: elements, quad points, shape functions, etc.
- * Finite element transformations.
- * Maps from local to global entries in the algebraic systems.
- * Tools to perform quadratures, access data, etc.
- * etc.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

Common things between libraries:

- * Finite element loop: elements, quad points, shape functions, etc.
- * Finite element transformations.
- * Maps from local to global entries in the algebraic systems.
- * Tools to perform quadratures, access data, etc.
- etc.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

Common things between libraries:

- * Finite element loop: elements, quad points, shape functions, etc.
- * Finite element transformations.
- * Maps from local to global entries in the algebraic systems.
- * Tools to perform quadratures, access data, etc.
- * etc.

Main differences:

* Extra tools to mesh, solve the linear systems, visualization, etc.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

Common things between libraries:

- * Finite element loop: elements, quad points, shape functions, etc.
- * Finite element transformations.
- * Maps from local to global entries in the algebraic systems.
- * Tools to perform quadratures, access data, etc.
- * etc.

- * Extra tools to mesh, solve the linear systems, visualization, etc.
- * Pre-define functions to assemble common operators.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

Common things between libraries:

- Finite element loop: elements, quad points, shape functions, etc.
- * Finite element transformations.
- * Maps from local to global entries in the algebraic systems.
- * Tools to perform quadratures, access data, etc.
- * etc.

- * Extra tools to mesh, solve the linear systems, visualization, etc.
- * Pre-define functions to assemble common operators.
- * How exposed the indices, data structures, conectivity matrix, etc are.

Examples of finite element libraries:

deal.II, MFEM, FEniCS Project, FreeFEM, Proteus, etc.

Common things between libraries:

- * Finite element loop: elements, quad points, shape functions, etc.
- * Finite element transformations.
- * Maps from local to global entries in the algebraic systems.
- * Tools to perform quadratures, access data, etc.
- * etc.

- * Extra tools to mesh, solve the linear systems, visualization, etc.
- * Pre-define functions to assemble common operators.
- * How exposed the indices, data structures, conectivity matrix, etc are.
- * Tools to handle matrices in different forms (CSR, standard row-column indices, etc).
- etc.

What to look to choose a finite element library:

* programming language

- * programming language
- * software dependencies

- * programming language
- * software dependencies
- * parallelization

- * programming language
- * software dependencies
- * parallelization
- * standard versus non-standard implementations
- * etc

What to look to choose a finite element library:

- programming language
- * software dependencies
- * parallelization
- * standard versus non-standard implementations
- * etc

What to look to choose a finite element library:

- programming language
- * software dependencies
- * parallelization
- * standard versus non-standard implementations
- * etc

Other libraries to work with finite elements:

* meshing

What to look to choose a finite element library:

- programming language
- * software dependencies
- * parallelization
- * standard versus non-standard implementations
- * etc

- * meshing
- * partition of the domain

What to look to choose a finite element library:

- programming language
- * software dependencies
- * parallelization
- * standard versus non-standard implementations
- * etc

- * meshing
- * partition of the domain
- linear algebra

What to look to choose a finite element library:

- programming language
- * software dependencies
- * parallelization
- * standard versus non-standard implementations
- * etc

- * meshing
- * partition of the domain
- * linear algebra
- * visualization
- * etc