Heat equation in 2D

Problem

Consider the following PDE:

$$u_t - \Delta u = f(\mathbf{x}, t) = 2\pi \left[4\pi \cos(2\pi t) - \sin(2\pi t) \right] \sin(2\pi x) \sin(2\pi y), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega = (0, 1)^2,$$
 (1a)

$$u(\mathbf{x},0) = u_0(\mathbf{x}) = \sin(2\pi x)\sin(2\pi y), \qquad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega = (0,1)^2, \tag{1b}$$

$$u(\mathbf{x},t) = 0 \qquad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \partial \Omega. \tag{1c}$$

Our objective is to obtain the solution at t=1. The exact solution for this problem is given by

$$u = \cos(2\pi t)\sin(2\pi x)\sin(2\pi y).$$

Due to the stiff nature of the PDE, we use backward Euler to integrate the equation in time. By doing this, however, the overall accuracy is limited to first-order.

Weak formulation

Recall that $\Delta u = \nabla \cdot \nabla u$. Then, the weak formulation is given by

$$\int_{\Omega} u_t \varphi d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi d\mathbf{x} + \underbrace{\int_{\partial \Omega} (\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}) \varphi d\mathbf{x}}_{=0} = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}, t) \varphi d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall \varphi \in V,$$
(2)

where $V = \{v \in H^1(\Omega) : v = 0 \text{ in } \partial \Omega\}.$

Time discretization via backward Euler

Considering a discrete counterpart of (2) and using backward Euler yields

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u_h^{n+1} - u_h^n}{\Delta t} \right) \varphi d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} \nabla u_h^{n+1} \cdot \nabla \varphi d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}, t^{n+1}) \varphi.$$

Using the fact that $u_h(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_j U_j \varphi(\mathbf{x})$, we get

$$\sum_{j} U_{j}^{n+1} \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \left(\varphi_{i} \varphi_{j} + \Delta t \nabla \varphi_{i} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{j} \right) d\mathbf{x}}_{= M_{ij} + \Delta t S_{ij}} = \underbrace{\int_{\Omega} \left[u_{h}^{n} + \Delta t f(\mathbf{x}, t^{n+1}) \right] \varphi_{i} d\mathbf{x}}_{= r_{i}^{n+1}}$$

Therefore, we get

$$(M + \Delta tS)U^{n+1} = R^{n+1}.$$

An alternative implementation

Let's try to improve the performance of the implementation by avoiding FE loops at every time step. In the left hand side, the matrix $M + \Delta t S$ does not change, assuming Δt is constant. Even if Δt changes, we can compute M and S independently and add them together appropriately. For the right hand side, we can first let

$$f_h(\mathbf{x}, t^{n+1}) = \sum_j F_j^{n+1} \varphi_j, \quad \text{with} \quad F_j^{n+1} = f(\mathbf{x}_j, t^{n+1}),$$

and then perform the following approximation:

$$\int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}, t^{n+1}) \varphi_i d\mathbf{x} \approx \int_{\Omega} f_h(\mathbf{x}, t^{n+1}) \varphi_i d\mathbf{x}.$$

Therefore, we get

$$r_i^{n+1} = \int_{\Omega} \left[u_h^n + \Delta t f(\mathbf{x}, t^{n+1}) \right] \varphi_i d\mathbf{x} \approx \int_{\Omega} \left[u_h^n + \Delta t f_h(\mathbf{x}, t^{n+1}) \right] \varphi_i d\mathbf{x}$$
$$= \sum_j \left(U_j^n + \Delta t F_j^{n+1} \right) \int_{\Omega} \varphi_i \varphi_j d\mathbf{x}.$$

Finally, we obtain

$$(M + \Delta tS)U^{n+1} \approx M(U^n + \Delta tF^{n+1}).$$

Remark: we can only do this alternative implementation if the basis functions are interpolatory. By doing this we commit an error of $\mathcal{O}(h^{p+1})$, where p is the degree of the polynomial.

Numerical results