## Numerical Optimization Solution to exercise sheet

review on 15.01.2024 during the exercise class

## 1. (Active set method)

The active set method is an optimization method for quadratic problems (QP) with (affine) linear equality and inequality constraints of the form

$$\begin{cases}
\min_{x} f(x) := \frac{1}{2}x^{T}Ax + a^{T}x \\
\text{s.t. } Bx = b, \ Cx \le c,
\end{cases}$$
(1)

where A is symmetric positive definite on ker B and B has full rank. The idea is to reduce the problem to a sequence of QPs with only equality constraints. At an iterate  $x^{(k)} \in \mathcal{F}$  not being the solution  $x^*$ , we seek a feasible descent direction  $d^{(k)}$  by solving (see (3.6.19))

$$\begin{cases} \min_{d^{(k)}} f(x^{(k)} + d^{(k)}) \\ \text{s.t. } Bd^{(k)} = 0, \ C_j d^{(k)} = 0, \ j \in \mathcal{A}(x^{(k)}) \end{cases}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \min_{d^{(k)}} \frac{1}{2} (d^{(k)})^T A d^{(k)} + (d^{(k)})^T (A x^{(k)} + a) \\ \text{s.t. } D^{(k)} d^{(k)} = 0. \end{cases}$$
 (2)

We know from the lecture, that there can now arise three possibilities.

Case 1:  $d^{(k)} = 0, \, \mu^{(k)} \ge 0$ 

Case 2:  $d^{(k)} = 0$ ,  $\mu_j^{(k)} < 0$  for at least on  $j \in \mathcal{A}(x^{(k)})$ 

Case 3:  $d^{(k)} \neq 0$  is a feasible direction

- a) Derive the KKT system for (1) and (2).
- b) Let (1) be convex and let  $x^{(k)} \in \mathcal{F}$  be the current iterate. Show that, if for the solution  $d^{(k)}$  and the Lagrange multiplier  $\mu^{(k)}$  of (2) holds Case 1, then  $x^{(k)}$  is a solution of (1).
- c) Assume that we are in Case 2 and the Inactivation step has been performed, i.e. we have  $d^{(k)}=0$  and  $\mu_j^{(k)}<0$  for at least one  $j\in\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ . Further, we have  $\tilde{d}^{(k)}$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda}^{(k)}$  and  $\tilde{\mu}^{(k)}$  as the solution of problem (2) w.r.t. the set  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(k)}:=\mathcal{A}^{(k)}\setminus\{j\}$  as described in the lecture notes. Show that  $\tilde{d}^{(k)}$  is a feasible direction at  $x^{(k)}$  by showing  $\tilde{d}^{(k)}\in\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F},x^{(k)})$ .

**Hint:** Exploit the optimality conditions for  $d^{(k)}$  and  $\tilde{d}^{(k)}$ .

d) Assume everything from c) holds and further assume that  $D^{(k)}$  has full rank for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Show that  $\tilde{d}^{(k)} \neq 0$ .

$$(2+4+6+4=16 \text{ Points})$$

Solution:

a) The KKT system for (1) is given by:

$$x^{T}A + a^{T} + \lambda^{T}B + \mu^{T}C = 0$$
 
$$Bx - b = 0$$
 
$$\mu \ge 0$$
 
$$Cx - c \le 0$$
 
$$\mu^{T}(Cx - c) = 0$$

and for (2) we obtain

$$(d^{(k)})^T A + (x^{(k)})^T A + a^T + (\lambda^{(k)})^T B + (\mu^{(k)})^T C(x^{(k)}) = 0$$
$$Bd^{(k)} = 0$$
$$C(x^{(k)})d^{(k)} = 0$$

b) We assume now that Case 1 holds, i.e.  $d^{(k)} = 0$  and  $\mu^{(k)} \ge 0$ . We know from the KKT-Theorem for convex problems that if  $x^{(k)}$  fulfills the KKT conditions it follows that  $x^{(k)}$  is a global solution of (1). Since  $d^{(k)} = 0$  is a solution of (2), the KKT system holds for some Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda^{(k)}$  and  $\mu^{(k)}$ . From that and by setting

$$\tilde{\mu}_j^{(k)} := \begin{cases} \mu_j^{(k)}, & j \in \mathcal{A}(x^{(k)}), \\ 0, & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

we deduce

$$(x^{(k)})^T A + a^T + (\lambda^{(k)})^T B + (\tilde{\mu}^{(k)})^T C = 0$$

which is the first equation of the KKT system for (1). Due to  $x^{(k)} \in \mathcal{F}$  we have also  $Bx^{(k)} - b = 0$  and  $Cx^{(k)} - c \leq 0$ . The definition of  $\tilde{\mu}^{(k)}$  and the assumption of Case 1 gives us  $\tilde{\mu}^{(k)} \geq 0$ . The last equation  $(\tilde{\mu}^{(k)})^T (Cx^{(k)} - c) = 0$  follows also from  $\tilde{\mu}_j^{(k)} = 0$  for  $j \notin \mathcal{A}(x^{(k)})$  and  $C_j x^{(k)} - c_j = 0$  for  $j \in \mathcal{A}(x^{(k)})$ .

c) We show that  $\tilde{d}^{(k)} \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{F}, x^{(k)})$ , i.e. we have to show  $B\tilde{d}^{(k)} = 0$  and  $C_j\tilde{d}^{(k)} \leq 0$  for  $j \in \mathcal{A}(x^{(k)})$ . Due to the constraints of problem (2) we have  $B\tilde{d}^{(k)} = 0$  and  $C_j\tilde{d}^{(k)} = 0$  for  $j \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(k)}$ . The only thing left to prove is  $C_{j^*}\tilde{d}^{(k)} \leq 0$  for  $j^* := \mathcal{A}^{(k)} \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(k)}$ . From the optimality conditions for  $d^{(k)}$  and  $\tilde{d}^{(k)}$  we deduce

$$(x^{(k)})^T A + a^T + (\lambda^{(k)})^T B + (\mu^{(k)})^T C^{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{and}$$
$$(\tilde{d}^{(k)})^T A + (x^{(k)})^T A + a^T + (\tilde{\lambda}^{(k)})^T B + (\tilde{\mu}^{(k)})^T \tilde{C}^{(k)} = 0.$$

We multiply the equation with  $\tilde{d}^{(k)}$  and subtract the resulting equations, from which we get due to the constraints of the QP (2)

$$0 < (\tilde{d}^{(k)})^T A \tilde{d}^{(k)} = \mu_{j^*}^{(k)} C_{j^*}^{(k)} \tilde{d}^{(k)}.$$

Therefore, with the assumption  $\mu_{j^*}^{(k)} < 0$  we must have  $C_{j^*}^{(k)} \tilde{d}^{(k)} \leq 0$ .

d) The derivation from c) holds only if  $\tilde{d}^{(k)} \neq 0$ . So we assume  $\tilde{d}^{(k)} = 0$  and again from the optimality conditions we get

$$(x^{(k)})^T A + a^T + (\lambda^{(k)})^T B + (\mu^{(k)})^T C^{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{and}$$
$$(x^{(k)})^T A + a^T + (\tilde{\lambda}^{(k)})^T B + (\tilde{\mu}^{(k)})^T \tilde{C}^{(k)} = 0,$$

which reduces to

$$(\lambda^{(k)})^T B + (\mu^{(k)})^T C^{(k)} = (\tilde{\lambda}^{(k)})^T B + (\tilde{\mu}^{(k)})^T \tilde{C}^{(k)}.$$

This can be rewritten as

$$(C_{j^*}^{(k)})^T = \frac{1}{\mu_{j^*}^{(k)}} \left[ B^T \left( \tilde{\lambda}^{(k)} - \lambda^{(k)} \right) + (\tilde{C}^{(k)})^T \left( \tilde{\mu}^{(k)} - \mu_{\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(k)}}^{(k)} \right) \right],$$

i.e.  $C_{j^*}^{(k)}$  is a linear combination of the rows of  $\tilde{D}^{(k)}$  which is a contradiction to the full rank of  $D^{(k)}$ .

2. (Active set method, Matlab)

In this exercise we want to visualize the iterations of the active set method.

- a) Download the MATLAB function activeset.m from Moodle and adjust the method such that the nullspace\_method.m is used to solve the subproblems.
- b) Apply the active set method to the problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} f(x) = (x_1 - 1)^2 + (x_2 - 2.5)^2$$
s.t.  $x_1 - 2x_2 + 2 \ge 0$ 

$$-x_1 - 2x_2 + 6 \ge 0$$

$$-x_1 + 2x_2 + 2 \ge 0$$

$$x_1 \ge 0$$

$$x_2 \ge 0$$

with the initial value  $x_0 = (2,0)^T$  and the associated active set  $\mathcal{A}(x_0)$ .

c) Plot the contours of f with the constraints and the path of the iterations of the active set method.

$$(2+4+6=12 \text{ Points})$$

Solution:

a) The adjusted function could look like

```
function [x_opt,lambda_opt,mu_opt,fval,exitflag,its] = ...
                                           activeset_method(A,a,...
                                                            B, b, ...
                                                            C, c, ...
                                                             x0, tol, maxIter)
% acitve set method for quadratic problems
% Minimize: 0.5*x'*A*x + b'*x
% Subject to: B * x = q, C * x <= r
if nargin<9
   maxIter = 1000;
%-- No Inequality Constraints
if size(C,1) == 0
   [x_opt,lambda_opt] = nullspace_method(A,a,B,b);
                     = zeros(0,1);
   mu_opt
   return
end
```

```
%-- Initialization
        = x0;
x(:,1)
            = size(B,1);
m
lambda
           = zeros(m, 1);
           = zeros(size(C, 1), 1);
mu
activeSet = [3,5];
inactiveSet = [1, 2, 4];
% inactiveSet = 1:size(C, 1);
for iter = 1:maxIter
    %-- nullspace method for feasible descent direction
    ghelp = A * x (:, end) + a;
    Bhelp = [B; C(activeSet, :)];
    if isempty(Bhelp)
        d = -A \setminus ghelp;
    else
                     = zeros(size(Bhelp, 1),1);
        rhs
        [d,lambda_mu] = nullspace_method(A,ghelp,Bhelp,rhs);
        lambda = lambda_mu(1:m);
        if isempty(activeSet)
           mu = [];
           mu = lambda_mu(m+1:end);
    end
    if norm(d) < tol
        if all(mu >= 0) %--case 1
            exitflag = 1;
            break;
                        %-- case 2: inactivation
            [\sim, idx] = min(mu);
           activeSet(idx) = [];
            continue;
        end
    end
                         %-- case 3
                         %-- step size determination
    sigma = 1;
    for i = inactiveSet
        if C(i, :) * d > 1e-10
            sigma = min(sigma, (c(i) - C(i, :) * x(:,end)) / (C(i, :) * d));
    end
    x(:,end+1) = x(:,end) + sigma * d;
                = find(abs(C \star x(:,end) - c) < tol)';
    activeSet = unique([activeSet, idx]);
    inactiveSet = setdiff(1:size(C, 1), activeSet);
end
mu_opt
                = zeros(size(C, 1),1);
mu_opt(activeSet) = mu;
                = lambda;
lambda_opt
x_{opt} = x;
fval = 0.5 * x(:,end)' * A * x(:,end) + a' * x(:,end);
its = iter;
if iter == maxIter
    exitflag = 0;
end
```

## b) The script could look like

```
clear, close all
clc
% define the problem by defining the matrices
A = 2 * eye(2,2);
a = -[2; 5];
B = [];
b = [];
C = -[1, -2; -1, -2; -1, 2; 1, 0; 0, 1];
c = [2; 6; 2; 0; 0];
f_{opt} = @(x) x' *A*x + a' *x;
% solver options
tol = 1e-10;
maxIter = 50;
     = [2;0];
[x.opt,lambda,mu,fval,exitflag,its] = activeset_method(A,a,B,b,C,c,x0,tol,maxIter)
% print the function and the solution path
x = linspace(-0.5, 5, 96);
y = linspace(-0.5, 5, 96);
[xx,yy] = meshgrid(x,y);
ff = (xx-1).^2 + (yy-2.5).^2;
levels = -1:0.75:50;
figure(1)
contour(x,y,ff,levels,LineWidth=1.2),
colorbar
axis([-0.5 5 -0.5 5])
axis square
hold on
% plot constraints
plot([0, 2, 4, 2, 0, 0],[1, 2, 1, 0, 0, 1],'kx-', MarkerSize=10, LineWidth=2);
% plot iterations
plot(x_opt(1,:), x_opt(2,:), 'r-o', MarkerSize=12, LineWidth=2)
xlabel("$x_1$", Interpreter="latex")
ylabel("$x_2$", Interpreter="latex")
title("Iteration of the active set method", Interpreter="latex")
set(gca, "FontSize", 22, "TickLabelInterpreter", "latex")
hold off
```

## c) The Plot could look like

