Numerical Optimization exercise sheet

review on 27.11.2024 during the exercise class

1. (Fun with cones and tangential cones)

- a) Let $S^1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set and let $x \in S$ be given. Show that the tangential cone $\mathcal{T}(S, x)$ is actually a cone and is a closed set.
- b) The conical hull of a set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ at $x \in \mathcal{S}$ is given by $\operatorname{cone}(\mathcal{S} x) := \{\alpha(y x) : y \in \mathcal{S}, \alpha > 0\}$. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be convex and let $x \in \mathcal{S}$ be given. Show that the tangential cone $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, x)$ is the closure of the conical hull of \mathcal{S} in x, i.e. $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{S}, x) = \overline{\operatorname{cone}(\mathcal{S} - x)}$.

$$(6 + 6 = 12 \text{ Points})$$

2. (More cone fun: tangential cone versus linearized tangential cone)
Consider the following cosntrained optimization problems

$$(I) \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & g_1(x) \le 0, \\ g_2(x) \le 0, \end{cases}$$

$$(II) \begin{cases} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^2} & f(x) \\ g_1(x) \le 0, \\ \text{s.t.} & g_2(x) \le 0, \\ g_3(x) \le 0, \end{cases}$$

with

$$f(x) := (x_1 - 2)^2 + x_2^2,$$
 $g_1(x) := (x_1 - 1)^3 + x_2,$ $g_2(x) := -x_2,$ $g_3(x) := x_1 - 1.$

Solve the following task for (I) and (II).

- (a) Determine the unique minimum $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Note: A proof is not necessary.
- (b) Draw the feasible region \mathcal{F} .
- (c) Determine the tangential cone $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, x^*)$ and the linearized tangential cone $\mathcal{T}_{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{F}, x^*)$ (it is enough to derive $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, x^*)$ for one of the problems and the other can be given without explanations).
- (d) Draw the tangential cone $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, x^*)$ and the linearized tangential cone $\mathcal{T}_{\text{lin}}(\mathcal{F}, x^*)$.
- (e) Check the necessary conditions for a minimum of Theorem 3.1.8, i.e.

$$x^* \in \mathcal{F}$$
 is a local solution $\Rightarrow \nabla f(x^*)^T d \ge 0 \quad \forall d \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, x^*).$

What do you observe if you replace $\mathcal{T}(\mathcal{F}, x^*)$ with $\mathcal{T}_{lin}(\mathcal{F}, x^*)$? Is this condition still necessary? How is this related to Abadie Constraint Qualification in Definition 3.1.11?

$$(2+2+6+2+2=14 \text{ Points})$$

¹We use this notation to emphasize that it does not have to be a cone.

3. (Constraint optimization)

Consider the objective function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$f(x,y) = 2x^2 + xy + 3y^2 - 4x - y.$$

How should the parameter $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ be selected, such that the point $x^* = (3, -2)^T \in \mathbb{R}$ is a minimum subject to the constrain h(x, y) = 0, where

$$h(x,y) := 3x + \alpha y - \beta?$$

(6 Points)