

Master ASEP  
Manuel Pichardo Marcano

MUSE integral field unit observations of the compact objects in the globular cluster  
NGC 6397



Advisor : Dr. Natalie Webb, IRAP.



## Abstract

Globular clusters are very old groups of stars. Due to their age and the gravitational interactions dominating the dynamics of the clusters, they are home to a significant fraction of compact binaries. The formation and evolution of these kinds of binaries is still not completely understood. Of special interest is the globular cluster NGC 6397 as it is the closest core collapsed cluster and has therefore been extensively studied with instruments like Chandra, Hubble Space Telescope, and more recently in the optical with the Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE), installed on the Very Large Telescope (VLT). Integral field spectrographs, like MUSE, have many advantages compared to traditional long slit spectroscopy, as spectra are obtained for every pixel and thus every object in the large field of view ( $1' \times 1'$ ). Here we present analysis of the compact binary population in NGC 6397 taken with MUSE. The goal is to further understand the characteristics of the proposed bimodal population of cataclysmic variables in the cluster, which have been suggested to be of primordial and dynamically formed origin. In this work we were able to spectroscopically confirmed two new CV candidates as well as retrieve the spectra of three previously identified CVs. Spectral analysis on the extracted spectra allow us to estimate the mass ratio for a sample of the identified CVs. We also were able to compare the magnitude in the R band with previous observation of NGC 6397. From the spectral emission and absorption lines were were also able to estimate the type of the possible companion and infer the magnetic nature of the CVs. We specifically searched for Helium II lines as signature of magnetism, and Titanium Oxide lines from a M type companion. None of these features were found in the spectra. In conclusion, we proved the capability of MUSE as a good tool to study the population of compact objects in globular clusters. The large field of view of MUSE and short exposure times needed to obtained good quality spectra can help to study the short term variability and solve the problem of lack of data about CVs and other compact objects in globular clusters.



# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>6</b>
1.1	Location, Location, Location . . . . .	6
1.2	Compact Objects or Stellar remnants . . . . .	6
1.2.1	White Dwarfs . . . . .	6
1.2.2	Neutron Stars . . . . .	7
1.2.3	Black Holes . . . . .	7
1.3	Compact Binaries . . . . .	8
1.3.1	The Gravitational Potential . . . . .	8
1.3.2	Binary Evolution . . . . .	10
1.3.3	Accretion . . . . .	11
1.3.4	Cataclysmic Variables (CVs) . . . . .	11
1.3.4.1	Classical Novae (CN) . . . . .	12
1.3.4.2	Dwarf Novae (DN) . . . . .	12
1.3.4.3	Novae-like (NL) . . . . .	13
1.3.4.4	Polars . . . . .	13
1.3.4.5	Intermediate Polars (IPs) . . . . .	13
1.3.5	X-Ray binaries . . . . .	13
1.3.5.1	Low-mass X-Ray Binaries . . . . .	13
1.3.5.2	High-mass X-Ray Binaries . . . . .	14
1.3.6	The secondary stars . . . . .	14
1.4	Globular Clusters . . . . .	14
1.4.1	CVs in Globular clusters . . . . .	14
1.4.2	NGC 6397 . . . . .	15
<b>2</b>	<b>Observation and data reduction</b>	<b>16</b>
2.1	VLT/MUSE . . . . .	16
2.2	Processed and Raw data . . . . .	16
2.2.1	Data Reduction . . . . .	17
2.2.2	Spectra extraction and analysis . . . . .	19
<b>3</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>20</b>
3.1	Cataclysmic Variables . . . . .	20
3.1.1	Variability . . . . .	21
3.1.2	Mass ratio . . . . .	22
3.1.3	Radial Velocity . . . . .	23
3.2	Low-mass X-ray Binary . . . . .	23
<b>4</b>	<b>Discussion and Conclusions</b>	<b>25</b>
4.1	Primordial CVs . . . . .	25
4.2	Periods and dwarf novae . . . . .	25
4.3	Magnetism and dwarf novae . . . . .	25

<b>5</b>	<b>Future Work</b>	<b>27</b>
5.1	Follow up Observation . . . . .	27
5.2	Data analysis . . . . .	27
5.3	Reproducibility . . . . .	27

# Chapter 1: Introduction

## 1.1 Location, Location, Location

Important in real estate, but also seemingly an important factor to take into account when studying compact objects in binary systems. It seems that, like with people, where you were born plays a role on your evolution. This seems to be true for cataclysmic variables (CVs), the kind of compact binary system that we will explore in more detail in the present work. Our goal is to try to understand the formation of these kind of systems when they are formed in a crowded and high density environment (like in a cluster of stars), and when you give them enough time to evolve and interact with other stars (like in a globular cluster).

Now that we have defined our broad goal let's take a step back and explore in more detail what are compact objects, their different types, and the different ways they can interact with each other and other types of stars (Sec. 1.2). That section will lead us to the discussion of where and how we expect to find them, and what can we learn by studying them in the different environment where they form (sections 1.4).

## 1.2 Compact Objects or Stellar remnants

Compact objects, as their name suggest, are very massive and dense objects formed from the remains of a dying stars; hence their other name, 'stellar remnants'. They come in three main flavors, each following a different formation mechanism that is mainly determined by the mass of the progenitor star (ref.). The different types are neutron stars (NS), black holes (BH), and white dwarfs (WD) (see sections 1.2.1, 1.2.2 and 1.2.3). Besides these three, other possible exotic types of stars have been proposed; including quark stars, boson stars, and Thorne-Zytkow objects. These will not be discussed in this work as there is still a lack of observational evidence concerning their existence. The reader is referred to Madsen (1999) to discover more about these particular kind of proposed stars.

Of the three confirmed compact objects (neutron stars, white dwarfs and black holes), we will focus on the first two (NS and WD). They belong to a class of objects called "degenerate objects". These are object for which the supporting force comes from the degeneracy pressure of fermions<sup>1</sup>. In the case of a white dwarf the pressure is provided by the degenerate electron gas (Fowler, 1926), and for a neutron stars, clearly, the neutrons cause the repulsive pressure (Oppenheimer & Volkoff, 1939).

The next subsection will list some of the characteristics of NS, and WD (both when they are found in isolation (sec 1.2.1 and 1.2.2) or in a binary system, Sec. 1.3). Black holes will be briefly discussed for the sake of completeness.

### 1.2.1 White Dwarfs

White dwarfs are the most common end product in the evolution of stars. Around 90% of stars will evolve to become WDs (Koester & Weidemann, 1980). This includes all main sequence stars <sup>2</sup> (MS)

<sup>1</sup>Fermions are particles with half-integer spin. They follow the Fermi-Dirac statistics, thus obey the Pauli exclusion principle. The consequence of the exclusion principle is that two fermions cannot occupy the same quantum state. This is the origin of the degeneracy pressure.

<sup>2</sup>Main sequence stars are those that are burning hydrogen in their cores.

with a mass between  $\sim 0.6$  and  $\sim 8 M_{\odot}$  (Koester & Chanmugam, 1990). The resulting white dwarf will have a mass between  $\sim 0.3$  and  $\sim 1.4 M_{\odot}$  (Prada Moroni & Straniero, 2009; Chandrasekhar, 1931), the average mass being  $\sim 0.7 M_{\odot}$  (Koester & Chanmugam, 1990). All this mass is contained in a radius of about  $\sim 0.01 R_{\odot}$  (Kepler & Bradley, 1995). These are average values, but the mass-radius relation for a white dwarf is plotted in fig 1.2. If we take the mean values mentioned before this gives a mean density of  $10^9 \text{ kg/m}^3$ . This mass-radius relation will be composition dependent and it depends, for example, on the element dominating the atmosphere composition (Hamada & Salpeter, 1961). About 80% of all white dwarfs have hydrogen-dominated atmospheres (spectral type DA), but there exists a second class where helium dominates the atmosphere composition (spectral types D0, DB, DC, DZ and DQ)(Wickramasinghe & Ferrario, 2000; Koester & Chanmugam, 1990). White dwarfs are also known to be magnetic. Surface magnetism ranges from about  $10^5$  to  $10^9$  G (Suh & Mathews, 2000). Isolated magnetic white dwarfs represent  $\sim 5\%$  of all WDs. See Wickramasinghe & Ferrario (2000) for a review on magnetism in WDs and for more details on the physics of white dwarfs the reader is referred to Koester & Chanmugam (1990) and Kepler & Bradley (1995).

### 1.2.2 Neutron Stars

Neutron stars are produced from the gravitational collapse of a massive star ( $> 8 M_{\odot}$ )(ref for mass range) at the end of its life. The type II supernova produced by this collapse, leaves behind a dense and massive core ( $\sim 12$  kilometers in radius (ref)), but up to  $\sim 2 M_{\odot}$  (this limit being model dependent, see (Lattimer & Prakash, 2007)). For comparison with white dwarfs a sample mass-radius relation for a NS (red) is plotted along with that of a white dwarf (blue) in Fig 1.2. Like WDs, neutron stars are also known to show magnetism. The range in their magnetic field being from  $\sim 10^7 - 10^{13}$  G. There also exist some neutron stars with unusually high strong magnetic fields ( $B \sim 10^{14} - 10^{15}$  G) and are called "magnetars" (Duncan & Thompson, 1992). For a review on magnetic fields in neutron stars see Reisenegger (2005).


Neutron stars are mainly composed of neutrons and a thin atmosphere of a few cm of hydrogen or helium (Zavlin et al., 1996). We have come a long way since the first proposition of their existence, but there is still a lot of uncertainty concerning their interiors and a lot of existing conflicting models (Lattimer & Prakash, 2007). Since we have had observational evidence on their existence (Hewish et al., 1968) efforts have been made to constrain the different models. Figure 1.1 shows a visual summary of some of the different models proposed. There are many ways that we can observationally constrain these models, spectroscopy being one of them.

### 1.2.3 Black Holes

Black holes are the fate of collapsing matter when no force, including the degeneracy pressure of neutrons, is enough to repel gravitational attraction. Black holes, like neutron stars and white dwarfs, can be the result of the collapse of a single main sequence star. Stars with an initial mass  $\gtrsim 20$  can end up as a black hole (Heger et al., 2003), but the initial mass is not the only factor that comes into play. For example, the formation of the black hole will depend also on the metallicity of the star as well as the initial mass. See Heger et al. (2003) and (Brown et al., 2000) for details on the evolution of high mass stars and the different formation path leading to a black hole from a single collapse star.

To compare the physical characteristics of a black hole with other compact objects we can define the gravitational radius or Schwarzschild radius of a black hole. This is the radius to which a given spherical and non-rotating mass needs to be reduced to get a escape velocity equal to the speed of





**Figure 1.1:** The plot shows non-rotating mass versus physical radius for several typical equation of states. Blue, nucleons; pink, nucleons plus exotic matter; green, strange quark matter from (doi:10.1038/nature09466)

light. This translates to:

$$r = \frac{2MG}{c^2} \quad (1.1)$$


An estimate of the lowest mass of a black hole is the maximum possible mass for a neutron star, this is  $\sim 3M_{\odot}$  (Rhoades & Ruffini, 1974). With the formula above we can get a rough estimate on the size of a stellar mass black hole. A mass of  $3 M_{\odot}$  and the formula above gives an equivalent Schwarzschild radius of about 9 km.

### 1.3 Compact Binaries

Compact binaries are those binaries where at least one of their components is a compact objects (WD, NS or BH). In this section we will start by discussing some of the basic concepts of binary evolution, follow by a discussion on mass exchange between binary components, and finished by looking in more detail at some specific examples of compact binaries that are relevant to this study.

#### 1.3.1 The Gravitational Potential

The total potential of a binary system is the sum of the gravitational and the rotational potential. To get an analytical solution we can assume a model in which the resulting disturbing potential is due to the presence of two point masses,  $M_1$  (or the primary) and  $M_2$  (also called the secondary). Moreover, we assume a co-rotating Cartesian reference frame  $(x,y,z)$  with origin at the primary  $M_1$ ; whose x-axis is in the direction joining the two point masses; and the z-axis is perpendicular to the orbital plane. The total potential,  $\Psi$  at an arbitrary point  $P(x,y,z)$  then reads:



**Figure 1.2:** Mass-radius relation for different objects (de Boer & Seggewiss, 2008).

$$\Psi = -G \frac{M_1}{\sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}} - G \frac{M_2}{\sqrt{(R-x)^2 + y^2 + z^2}} - \frac{\omega^2}{2} [(x - \mu R)^2 + y^2] \quad (1.2)$$

where  $G$  is the gravitational constant,  $R$  represents the separation between the point masses, and  $\mu = M_2/(M_1 + M_2)$ . We further assume that the binary orbit is Keplerian, thus the orbital frequency is given by:

$$\omega^2 = G \frac{M_1 + M_2}{R^3} \quad (1.3)$$


Taking into the account the assumptions the surfaces generated by eq 1.2 are called *Roche Equipotential*<sup>3</sup>. Fig 1.4 show such equipotential surfaces ( $x, y$  plane). Of special interest are two regions on the graph:

- The inner Lagrangian point  $L_1$ . This is where all the forces cancel out.
- Critical or **Roche lobe**. The surface that have the potential equal to the  $L_1$  potential.

The Roche lobe has the property that inside the lobe of an object, any material will be gravitationally bound to that object. With this knowledge we can classify binary systems into three groups:

1. **Detached systems**. If the volumes of both components are significantly smaller than their Roche lobe.
2. **Semi-detached systems**. Where one of the components fills its Roche lobe.
3. **Contact systems**. Where both components appear to fill their respective Roche lobes.

<sup>3</sup>We are neglecting here the radiation pressure from the stars. For more details on Roche Potentials Including Radiation Effects see Schuerman (1972)



**Figure 1.3:** Geometry of the Roche surfaces. The Roche lobe is marked in bold lines (Kopal, 1959).

This classification scheme was first suggested by (Kopal, 1955) and developed in detail in a comprehensive monograph in 1959 (Kopal, 1959).

### 1.3.2 Binary Evolution

In this work we are mostly interested in the formation of semi-detached compact binary systems. In this section we briefly explore a possible scenario for its formation.

These kind of systems can be formed from two previously detached MS stars binaries that evolve in different timescales due to their different mass. This can be seen noticing that the luminosity,  $L$ , indicates the rate of consumption of nuclear fuel; and the nuclear fuel repository is proportional to the mass,  $M$ . This gives us a rough estimates of the nuclear timescale of a star given by:

$$\tau \propto \frac{M 6 \times 10^{18} \text{ergs g}^{-1}}{L} \quad (1.4)$$

Where  $L$  is the luminosity,  $M$  is the mass, and  $6 \times 10^{18} \text{ergs g}^{-1}$  is the energy release fusing a gram of hydrogen to helium. Moreover, with the mass-luminosity relation  $L/L_{\odot} = (M/M_{\odot})^{\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha \gtrsim 3$  (ref. missing), we can conclude that in a system starting with two detached main sequence stars, the more massive one will leave the main sequence faster. As it expands after it leaves the main sequence in the process of becoming a compact object (depending on its mass), a common envelope around both stars will be formed. This allows the two stars to get close enough to interact. The envelope is then expelled, leaving behind a binary system with a compact object and an evolved main sequence star. The old main sequence star in the binary as it continues to evolve will expand and fill its Roche lobe, allowing for accretion into the compact object to happen. The process is more complex and, among other things, depends on the initial mass of both stars and initial binary separation. For example, a binary system starting with a  $2 M_{\odot}$  and a  $1 M_{\odot}$  star can produce a white dwarf accreting from a late-type main sequence star (Kippenhahn et al., 1967; De Loore & Doom, 1992). A system starting with a  $15 M_{\odot}$  and  $2 M_{\odot}$  will become a neutron star accreting from a low mass main sequence star (Heuvel & J, 1976). In the case of starting masses of  $20 M_{\odot} + 8 M_{\odot}$  this can produce a neutron star (or black hole) accreting from a high mass main sequence star (Heuvel & J, 1976). The details on the evolution of close binaries can be found in Postnov & Yungelson (2014).and (de Boer & Seggewiss, 2008)

In the next section we will see in some detail how the accretion can take place once the compact binary is formed due to stellar evolution of their constituents.

### 1.3.3 Accretion

As mentioned before if one of the binaries fills its Roche lobe, material can flow via the  $L_1$  point to the other star. This is what constitutes a semi-detached binary system. Here we look in more detail the nature of the accretion in such a system where a compact object (primary) accretes from a main sequence star via Roche lobe overflow.

In the Roche overflow scenario we have incoming gas from the secondary star. After it passes through the  $L_1$  point we assume a ballistic behavior completely governed by the gravitational potential of the compact object. This is justified by the fact showed by (Lubow & Shu, 1975) that the stream is supersonic and we can ignore pressure. We can also assume that the incoming speed must be small. This is safe to assume if the accretion is due solely to overflow and thus the velocity is on the order of the sound speed in the atmosphere of the secondary star. This speed ( $\sim 10\text{km/s}$  reference missing) is much slower than the orbital speed of the binaries, and lower than the velocities acquire during the fall. This simplification means that we can treat the Roche lobe as a zero velocity surface. Meaning that the motion of the gas can be approximated as the trajectory of a test particle release from rest with an initial angular momentum from  $L_1$ . This creates an elliptical orbit of the stream around the primary star (fig 1.4 a). As the gas flow continues it will impact itself. This causes the flow to modify its orbit to that of the lowest energy at an specific angular momentum (we assume angular momentum is conserve). Of course the orbit of lowest energy at a given angular momentum is a circular one (see fig 1.4 b). This creates a ring around the compact object. We can estimate the radius of this ring by again invoking the assumption that no angular momentum is lost in the process. The angular momentum at  $L_1$  would be given by  $R_{L1} V_{orbit}$  (where  $R_{L1}$  is the distance from the secondary to  $L_1$ ). Knowing that  $\omega = (2\pi)/\text{Period}$  and equating the angular momentum at  $L_1$  to the angular momentum of a Keplerian orbit at  $R_{ring}$  we get:


$$\frac{R_{ring}}{R} = \left( \frac{R_{L1}}{R} \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} (1 + q) \quad (1.5)$$

where I used eq 1.3 to simplify the answer by canceling some constants. This is called the *circularization radius*. After a ring is formed (fig 1.4 b), as first indicated in Lynden-Bell & Pringle (1974), any viscous processes will cause the ring to spreads to conserve angular momentum (fig 1.4 d) The nature of these viscous torque won't be discussed here. For a review on the topic see Frank et al. (2002) and Verbunt (1982). Roche lobe overflow is not the only type of accretion, for example wind accretion. In this work, unless otherwise stated, accretion will mean accretion by Roche lobe overflow. See the references cited above for more detail on other type of accretion.

Now that we studied briefly accretion and see how it can happen in semi-attached binaries, in the next section we will discuss two specific examples of this happening. One where the accretion is onto a white dwarf (Cataclysmic Variable), and the other where the accretion is onto a neutron star or a black hole (X-Ray binaries).

### 1.3.4 Cataclysmic Variables (CVs)

Cataclysmic variables are semi-detached binary systems comprised of a white dwarf (primary star) and typically a low mass main sequence star. CVs are generally classified into two groups. Magnetic CVs and nonmagnetic CVs ( $B < 0.01 \text{ MG}$ ). Magnetic CVs constitute about 25% of the known CV population (Balman, 2012). CVs have typical period in the range of 1-10 hrs. In this period range the



**Figure 1.4:** Schematic illustration of the formation of an accretion disk around a compact binary (Verbunt, 1982).

distribution is not uniform. In fact, there is a well defined region ( $2.3 \lesssim P_{orb}(h) \lesssim 2.8$ ) where there is a deficiency of non-magnetic CVs. This is called the 'period gap'. CVs above the period gap are assumed to lose angular momentum via magnetic braking, and CVs below the period gap lose angular momentum purely by gravitational radiation (Warner, 2003).

CVs can be observed in many wavelengths. This includes radio observation of jets (Körding et al., 2008); (Coppejans et al., 2015)), optical and UV observation of the accretion disks (Kinney, 1994), and X-rays ( $\sim 0.5 - 2.5$  eV) from the infalling plasma onto the white dwarfs (Kuulkers et al., 2006). As their name suggests these are very variable systems (specially the nonmagnetic CVs). These variabilities are due either to instabilities in the accretion disk, referred to as dwarf novae (Osaki, 1974), or unstable burning of hydrogen at their surface, called nova (Starrfield et al., 2016). We will discuss the outburst caused by these instabilities, and the nature of the magnetic CVs by presenting the classification of CVs and exploring the taxonomy of these objects.

#### 1.3.4.1 Classical Novae (CN)

When the surface of an accreting white dwarf becomes hot enough ( $\sim 10^8$  K, e.g. Starrfield et al. (2016)), nuclear fusion can take place and a thermonuclear runaway happens. This creates a violent explosion capable of ejecting material (mean mass of  $\sim 2 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$ ) at high velocities ( $\sim 10^2 - 10^3$  km s $^{-1}$ ) (Gehrz et al., 1998; Shara, 1989). These outburst are fairly easy to detect since they cause a substantial increase in brightness (typically  $\sim 12$  magnitudes in optical, (Shara, 1989)). A CV observed erupting in such a way is classified as a *classical nova (CN)*. Classical novae are seen to erupt only once. If a previously recognized CN erupts again as a CN they are called recurrent novae.

#### 1.3.4.2 Dwarf Novae (DN)

A dwarf nova outburst is caused by instabilities in the accretion disk. This is predicted to happen in non-magnetic CVs with low accretion rates (Osaki, 1974). CVs that show these outbursts are classified

as dwarf nova. The outburst from a dwarf nova is not as violent as the one from a classical novae. The magnitude change is only of about 2-5 , and no material is ejected. They also, unlike classical novae, are periodic in nature on times scales of weeks to years depending mainly on the accretion rate (Shara, 1989). Probably the best known example of a dwarf nova is the variable star SS Cygni (Cannizzo & Mattei, 1998).

#### 1.3.4.3 Novae-like (NL)

Another classification of white dwarfs is the novae-like. They are CVs that seem to have stable accretion, thus not undergoing dwarf novae outburst and having a bright stable disk. They represent the 'non-eruptive' CVs.

#### 1.3.4.4 Polars

Polars are CVs with a strong magnetic field. The value of the magnetic field is usually between 20 MG to 230 MG (Balman, 2012). The field in polars is so strong that it couples to the field of the donor and forces the WD to corotate with the companion. The presence of the strong magnetic field also disrupt the accretion disk. In the case of Polars the accretion flow is redirected so it takes place at the magnetic pole guided by the magnetic field lines. This causes X-ray radiation and strongly polarized cyclotron radiation from IR to UV wavelengths (Cropper, 1990). This polarized emission is the reason for the name Polars (Krzeminski & Serkowski, 1977). The polarization was the first clue on the magnetic nature of these type of systems. It was first discovered for AM Herculis (AM Her), now the prototype polar CV (Tapia (1977)). Polar systems are often referred to as AM Her-like system. This kind of systems represent 63% of the magnetic CV population (Balman, 2012).

#### 1.3.4.5 Intermediate Polars (IPs)

Intermediate polars are the second kind of magnetic CVs. In this type the magnetic field is weaker ( $\sim 1 - 20MG$ ). The weaker strength of the magnetic fields means that the accretion disk is not entirely dominated by the magnetic field, and the system is asynchronous, so the WD does not corotate with the binary. This kind of systems represent 37% of the known magnetic CV population (Balman, 2012). An extensively studied member of this class is DQ Her. DQ Her is sometimes refer as a subclass of IPs (IPs with period  $\lesssim 120$  s), or even as a synonym for IP (Patterson, 1994; Warner, 2003).

### 1.3.5 X-Ray binaries

X-Ray binaries are a subclass of compact binaries where the accretor is either a neutron star or a black hole. They can be classified into two regimes depending on the type of the donor star. If the donor or secondary is a late-type star it is called, Low-mass X-ray binary; if it is an early-type star they are called high-mass X-ray binaries.

#### 1.3.5.1 Low-mass X-Ray Binaries

Low-mass X-ray binaries (LXMBs) are Roche-lobe overflow binary stars consisting of a neutron star or a black holes accreting from a low-mass ( $\lesssim 1.5M_{\odot}$ ) donor. The donor can be a main sequence star or even a white dwarf (Tauris & van den Heuvel, 2006).

In the case of a LMXB, since the accretor (NS or BH) has a higher mass than the white dwarf in a CV, the energy release in the accretion process is higher. This means that we get more powerful X-ray

radiation from LMXB (up to  $\sim 10$  keV) (Tauris & van den Heuvel, 2006). The period can range from 11 minutes to 17 days, and like CVs they can show magnetism ( $\sim 10^9 \sim 10^{11}$  G) (Tauris & van den Heuvel, 2006).

### 1.3.5.2 High-mass X-Ray Binaries

High-mass X-ray binaries (HMXB) are the second class of X-ray binaries. In the case of an HMXB the donor star is a young early-type main sequence star. This usually means a O or B spectral type with a mass  $> 10M_{\odot}$  (e.g. Tauris & van den Heuvel, 2006). Contrary to the LMXB the accretion is not entirely due to Roche overflow, it can be due to the high velocity winds produced by the donor star. And also unlike the LMXB this systems tend to show stronger magnetic fields and stronger X-ray radiation (ibid.)

### 1.3.6 The secondary stars

## 1.4 Globular Clusters

Globular clusters (GCs) are very old and dense gravitationally bound groups of stars. Their age is generally around 10 Gyr (Meylan & Heggie, 1997) and typically contain  $\sim 10^6$  stars (Knigge, 2012). Due to their age we expect to find compact objects, and the high density environment is ideal for the formation of compact binaries. The search for these compact binaries have been fructiferous leading to the detection of X-ray binaries and Cataclysmic Variables in several globular clusters (e.g. Maccarone & Knigge, 2007). But still the formation and evolution of these kinds of binaries is still not completely understood, and many uncertainties remain. Of special interest for this project are the cataclysmic variables in globular clusters. In the next subsection we will make a brief overview on the current knowledge on the subject and state the current open questions that we mean to address in this project.

### 1.4.1 CVs in Globular clusters

Cataclysmic Variables are tracers of the dynamical evolution in globular clusters. Their number and spatial distribution can give us a clue on the past of the globular cluster, and help us constrain models of stellar and dynamical evolution. CVs are expected to be the most abundant compact binary based on the fact that the white dwarf is the most common fate of stars. Theoretical modeling predicts  $\sim 100$  CVs in a given GC (varying a bit with the cluster metallicity and stellar density, see Ivanova et al. (2006)). They are expected to form two distinctive groups based on their formation mechanism, primordial CVs and dynamically formed CVs (e.g. Hut et al., 1992). Primordial are those CVs that formed from primordial binaries that didn't get destroyed through a physical collision in the cluster. The dynamically formed CVs are those formed via dynamical encounters with other members in the cluster. This includes tidal capture, exchange interactions and collision events. For example a dynamically formed CV can form through the tidal capture of an MS by a WD, or by a system resulting from the collisions between a red giant and a MS star (Ivanova et al., 2006).

The problem with the theoretical picture described above is that hitherto there is no observational evidence of two distinct CV population in globular clusters. The lack of detection of these two predicted population raise the question **Where are all the primordial CVs?**. The number can be theoretically predicted ( $\sim 37\%$  of all CVs in a GC (Ivanova et al., 2006)), but we need observational evidence to constraint the theoretical models. The dense environment in which they form and the possibility that

CVs are formed through dynamical interaction can result in a differentiation of the binary population from the galactic field population. For example, the result of these dynamical processes is that in the dense cores of GCs, binaries are strongly depleted and their period distribution is expected to be different from that of a field population as in the field almost all are primordial and not dynamically formed CVs (Ivanova et al., 2005). So the questions becomes, **What is the period distribution of CVs in Globular Clusters.** In the Galactic field the period distribution has been well studied. The period of CVs in the field is governed by magnetic braking ( $P_{orb} \gtrsim 3h$ ), and gravitational radiation ( $P_{orb} \lesssim 2h$ ) (Robinson, 1983). The period distribution in GC is still not well understood mainly due to lack of observational data. There are only 15 CVs with know periods from a small sample of 5 globular clusters (Knigge, 2012). Another difference between fields and GC CVs that have been proposed is that CVs in GCs tend to be primarily magnetic in nature (Grindlay, 1999). This will explain the lack of observed dwarf novae outburst in CVs (ref) and the high X-ray luminosity of GC CVs, compared to fields CVs (Verbunt et al., 1997). However data is scarce to support that argument and the questions remain: **Are globular clusters in CVs mainly magnetic in nature and where are all the dwarf novae?**

With the questions mentioned above in mind, in this project we studied the population of Cataclysmic Variables in a nearby globular cluster, NGC 6397. The next section describes the most important characteristic of NGC 6397 and the previous studies done regarding its compact binary population.

### 1.4.2 NGC 6397

NGC 6397 is the closest (2.4 kpc) core collapse<sup>4</sup> globular cluster (Harris, 1996; McLaughlin & van der Marel, 2005). The center of the cluster is located at RA(J2000):  $17^h 40^m 42.09^s$  and Dec(J2000):  $-53^\circ 40' 27.6''$  (Harris, 1996). Due to its proximity NGC 6397 have been extensively studied in different wavelengths. The observation by Cool et al. (1993) with the ROSAT instrument was the first one to detect X-rays sources in NGC 6397. This was followed by a photometric study with the Hubble Space Telescope wide field and planetary camera confirming the first three CVs candidates in NGC 6397 (Cool et al., 1995). Since then follow up observations with Chandra (Grindlay et al., 2001; Bogdanov et al., 2010) and with Hubble (Taylor et al., 2001; Grindlay, 2006), both with the faint object spectrograph and with the advanced camera for surveys, have found a total of 15 CVs candidates (Cohn et al., 2010). From these current known 15 candidates only 4 have been spectroscopically confirmed (Grindlay et al., 1995; Edmonds et al., 1999), and the period is know for only two of them (Kaluzny & Thompson, 2003; Kaluzny et al., 2006).

In this work our goal is to exploit new data available from NGC 6397 and increase our understanding of CVs in globular clusters. We particularly try to extent the sample size of spectroscopically confirmed CVs and study their properties (e.g. period, mass and variability). In the next chapter we will discuss the nature of the observations and data used for the analysis.

---

<sup>4</sup>Core collapse are clusters showing a power-law slope in their surface brightness profile near the center due to the gravothermal instability (Antonov, 1962; Lynden-Bell & Wood, 1968; Lynden-Bell & Eggleton, 1980). In contrast to other isothermal sphere models showing a more flatten brightness profile in the center (e.g. King (1966))



## Chapter 2: Observation and data reduction

### 2.1 VLT/MUSE

NGC 6397 was observed with the Multi Unit Spectroscopic Explorer (MUSE) at the Very Large Telescope (VLT) of the European Southern Observatory (ESO) at Paranal, Chile. MUSE is an integral field spectrograph (IFS). MUSE works by separating the full field of view ( $1' \times 1'$ ) into 24 sub-fields ( $2.5'' \times 60''$ ). Each of these 24 is then processed by 24 identical but independent integral field units (IFU). Each IFU consists of an image slicer, an spectrograph and a CCD. Each IFU illuminates a  $4k \times 4k$  CCD after slicing the light into 48 slit-like slices (with size  $\sim 15'' \times 0''.2$ ), and decomposing it via a volume phase holographic grating (Barden et al., 1998). The grating achieves a spectral resolution of 1750 at 4650 Å to 3750 at 9300 Å. The data from the 1152 slices is then reconstructed into a  $1' \times 1'$  datacube (two spatial and one wavelength axis) with a  $0''.2$  spatial resolution covering from 4750 Å to 9350 Å sampled at 1.25 Å (Bacon et al., 2010).

NGC 6397 was observed during the third commissioning period (ESO Programme ID 60.A-9100(C) Bacon et al. (2014)). The observation were taking from July 26th to August 3rd, 2014. The observations covered the central part of NGC 6397 ( $\sim 3'.5$  from the cluster center see fig 2.1). The dataset consists of 23 different pointings of MUSE with short exposure times ranging from 25-60 seconds. In total they obtained 127 exposures of the 23 different  $1' \times 1'$  regions (see fig 2.1). This gives a total integration time of 95 minutes for all the observed part of the cluster.


### 2.2 Processed and Raw data

The primary goal of the MUSE observation of NGC 6397 was to create the first comprehensive Hertzsprung-Russell diagram with a sample of over 12 000 spectra (Husser et al., 2016). The large number of spectra obtained allow them to study the kinematics of the globular cluster with the goal to probe the presence of a central black hole in the cluster (Kamann et al., 2016). This data is publicly available through the *MUSE Science Web Service*<sup>1</sup>. The website contains advanced science products such as reduced datacubes, source catalogs and software tools. For NGC 6397 it can be found the release of the spectra of the globular cluster NGC 6397 as published in the studies mentioned above (Husser et al. (2016) and Kamann et al. (2016)). They provide all the obtained spectra with a signal-to-noise ratio of five or larger, i.e. 14271 spectra in total. For our goal to study the CVs in the globular clusters the data wasn't enough as it mainly covers the range from main sequence to the tip of the red giant branch<sup>2</sup>. Our approach in this project was to work with the raw science data. The science data can be obtained from the ESO Science Archive Facility. As stated in the ESO Data Access Policy<sup>3</sup> all science data is made publicly available through the science archive after the proprietary period (normally one year after the data have been made available to the principal investigator) and all calibration data are public immediately after the observations.

<sup>1</sup><http://muse-vlt.eu/science/>

<sup>2</sup>The red giant branch phase is the stage of stellar evolution that follows the main sequence for low to intermediate-mass stars. During this phase the stellar atmosphere expands and the helium core contracts. This phase precedes the Helium burning phase.

<sup>3</sup><http://archive.eso.org/cms/eso-data-access-policy.html>



**Figure 2.1:** White image mosaic of MUSE data cubes of NGC 6397.

### 2.2.1 Data Reduction

The data was reduced with version 1.2.1 of the MUSE Instrument Pipeline Recipes<sup>4</sup>(Weilbacher et al., 2012). The pipeline distribution kit includes several packages. The ones used for this work are the following:

- The Common Pipeline Library version 6.6 (McKay et al., 2004)
- The ESO Recipe Execution Tool (EsoRex)<sup>5</sup> version 3.12.

All the data reduction was done calling EsoRex to execute the MUSE DRS recipes from a bash (version 4.3.11) script<sup>6</sup> (alternative this can be done via the Python bindings (Streicher & Weilbacher, 2012)). We summarize the main steps to produce the fully reduced data cube from the raw science and calibration data download from the ESO Science Archive MUSE Query Form. The data reduction

<sup>4</sup>The MUSE pipeline can be found at <http://www.eso.org/sci/software/pipelines/muse/>

<sup>5</sup>EsoRex is written by the CPL group (Pipeline System Department) European Southern Observatory <http://www.eso.org/sci/software/cpl/esorex.html>

<sup>6</sup>All the configuration files for each of the called MUSE recipes used, the bash scripts, useful python (Python 2.7.6) scripts and other text files relevant for the data reduction can be found at <https://github.com/manuelpichardo22/muse2016>

steps can be divided into two categories, pre-processing and post-processing. The pre-processing part includes all the necessary calibration to remove the instrument signature on the exposures. In the post-processing then the resulting pixel table for each science observation is calibrated for flux and astrometry, and then resample into a data cube.

### 1. Pre-processing

- I **Bias subtraction:** Bias subtraction was done by combining 10 different bias images into one master bias file. Each bias is part of the calibration files taken by ESO every night. A bias frame is dark image with no exposure time taken to account for the read out noise. (Recipe called *muse\_bias*). For this an all subsequent steps we used a table of additional bad pixels of the CCDs created for the MUSE commission runs. This bad pixel table is distributed along with the MUSE pipeline files.
- II **Flat-fielding:** For the flat-field correction also 10 individual flat frames were combined into a master flat frame. The flat-field images are taking daily at the VLT as part of the standard calibration plan. The master flat contains the combined pixel values of the raw flat exposures. The purpose is to correct for uneven detector sensitivity. The recipe used was *muse\_flat*. Besides the master flat, the recipe also produces a *trace table* containing polynomials defining the location of the slices on the CCD.
- III **Wavelength calibration:** For the wavelength calibration 15 different arc lamp exposures were used. These is 3 per lamp (Ne, Xe, HgCd lamps). The recipe used is *muse\_wavecal*. It detects arc emission lines and determine the wavelength solution for each slice. The goal is to establish the pixel to wavelength equivalence with high precision.
- IV **Line Spread Function:** The line-spread function is calculated with the recipe *muse\_lsf*. The lines spread function describes the broadening of spectral lines on a CCD. The recipe calculates this wavelength dependent function from 15 arc lamp exposures, and the wavelength solution calculated in the step above.
- V **Geometrical calibration:** In this step the recipe *muse\_geometry* computes relative location of the slices within the field of view and measure the instrumental point spread function on the detectors. This creates a geometry table. A geometry table comes with the standard MUSE pipeline package as a static calibration files. The geometry table prepared for the third commissioning period was used in reducing the data.
- VI **Illumination Correction:** Flat-field with sky or twilight flats are taken weekly at the VLT. These are use to do large scale illumination correction. For the illumination correction also an special purpose illumination flat field called ILLUM can be used as an input to the recipe. This are taken throughout the observing night. We use the one taken closest in time to the science data. Both twilight flats and the ILLUM were used as input to the recipe *muse\_twilight*
- VII **Pixel table creation:** This step removes all the instrumental signatures on the science exposures and converts them from an image to a large table (called pixel-table). Calling the recipe *muse\_scibasic*, for each science frame is a pixel table is created from the calibration file produced above (master bias, master flat, geometry table, bad pixel table, twilight correction). These tables are the input frames in the subsequent post-processing phase.

### 2. Post-processing

- I **Flux calibration:** In this step a flux response curve from a standard star exposure is created. The end product of the *muse\_standard* are tables with the response curve as derived from standard star and the telluric absorption.
- II **Sky subtraction:** This step is only needed if the observed object fills the field of view. In the case of the NGC 6397 observation a reasonable sky spectrum can be obtained on the observation itself and use to subtract the sky.
- III **Astrometry:** An astrometry solution was done by the MUSE consortium for the third commissioning period. It ships with the muse pipeline and was the one used for the data astrometry correction.
- IV **Cube assembly:** In this last part a full data cube is created from a single exposure, the sky background is removed and the flux and the astrometric calibration are applied. In this step the cubes are sampled to a common value ( $0''.2 \times 0''.2 \times 1.25\text{\AA}$ ). Individual data cubes from single exposures can be merged into a single data cube. This was done for each of the 23 different regions of the cluster observed. For the center regions data cubes for the individual exposures were also created.

### 2.2.2 Spectra extraction and analysis

The spectra subtraction and analysis was carried out with a number of open-source scientific software. To visualize the data cubes and extract the spectra for analysis QFitsView<sup>7</sup> was used. This is the graphical front-end written QT library of the DPUSER language. Spectra analysis and fitting was done with IRAF (Tody, 1986) (mainly through the command language based on Python PyRAF<sup>8</sup>) and Astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al., 2013). To calculate magnitudes the package Astrolib PySynphot (pysynphot) from the Space Telescope Science Institute was used and for plotting we made use of the APLpy package, an open-source plotting package for Python hosted at <http://aplpy.github.com>


<sup>7</sup><http://www.mpe.mpg.de/~ott/QFitsView/>

<sup>8</sup>PyRAF is a product of the Space Telescope Science Institute operated for NASA by AURA


## Chapter 3: Results

### 3.1 Cataclysmic Variables


From the population of known CV candidates in NGC 6397 we were able to get the spectra of five of them (see fig. 3.1). In addition to recovering 3 of the previously detected cataclysmic variables, we have obtained the spectra for the first time of two CV candidates (U10 and U22 see figures 3.2 and 3.3). Their spectra confirms that these star are CVs, as suggested by their X-ray data (Grindlay et al., 2001). With the exception of U10, all the obtained spectra lie within a distance of  $11''$  from the cluster center. Their spectra is obtained from two observing night of the cluster center. The total exposure time is 340 seconds from a total of 8 different exposures ( $4 \times 25$  s and  $4 \times 60$  s). U10 lies at a distance of  $1.21'$  and was also observed during two different nights. The total exposure time on U10 is 265 seconds divided in 9 different short exposures ( $5 \times 25$  s and  $4 \times 35$  s).



**Figure 3.1:** Obtained spectra from CVs in NGC 6397. Three of them have been previously identified as CVs: U23, U21 and U17 (Grindlay et al., 1995; Edmonds et al., 1999). U22 and U10 are CV candidates that have been first confirmed with spectroscopy. All CVs show strong Balmer lines (6563 and 4861Å). The IDs are from (Bogdanov et al., 2010).



**Figure 3.2:** Spectrum of U23 with strong Hydrogen double peaked emission (characteristic of an accretion disk), and a strong Helium I lines.



**Figure 3.3:** Spectrum of U22 with strong  $H\alpha$  double peaked emission, absorption in the  $H\beta$  line, and Helium I lines.

### 3.1.1 Variability


From the extracted CV spectra we calculated the magnitudes in the R band (in the VEGA system), and compare it to the magnitude seen in 2010 by the Hubble space telescope as reported by Cohn et al. (2010). The results are summarized in table 3.1. The CVs show moderate amplitude variability between the two observing times. This suggest that the majority of the CVs were observed during quiescence and not during an outburst. For some CVs like U22 where the change magnitude is bigger the possibility of being observed during outburst is not ruled out. A dwarf nova eruption can result in moderate magnitude changes of  $\sim 2$ . This have been observed for U17 and U19. They have been reported to undergo dwarf nova eruptions with amplitudes of 1.8 and 2.7 magnitudes (Shara et al., 2005).

CV	R Magnitude (2014)	R (Cohn et al. 2010)
U17	20.12	18.52
U23	19.15	17.88
U10	20.7	19.14
U21	19.79	19.82
U22	18.54	20.15


**Table 3.1:** Magnitudes in the R band for the 5 CVs detected by MUSE in 2014 and the R magnitudes in 2010 studied by Cohn et al. (2010). Some CVs show small magnitude variability between the two epochs ( $\sim 1$  magnitude).

### 3.1.2 Mass ratio

As seen in figure 3.1 a common feature in all of the extracted spectra is the presence of the Balmer lines. These are a set of spectral line emissions of the hydrogen atom. In the MUSE spectral range the  $H\alpha$  (6562 Å) and  $H\beta$  (4861 Å) lines are detectable. We pay special attention to the  $H\alpha$  when it is double peaked. The double peak  $H\alpha$  line is characteristic of an accretion disc having a Keplerian velocity field. Doppler shifting of regions moving at different speeds create the line profile seen in figure 3.4. As show in Casares (2016) we can use the ratio of the double-peak separation (DP) to the full width half maximum (FWHM) of the  $H\alpha$  emission line to get the mass ratio of the companion star to the compact object,  $q$ . This was done for CVs U23, U21 and U10 and the results are shown in fig 3.5.



**Figure 3.4:** Zoom of the spectra around  $H\alpha$  for U23 (top), U21 (middle), and U10 (bottom)



**Figure 3.5:** Relation between the ratio of H $\alpha$  double peak separation to Full width at half maximum (FWHM) and the mass ratio (companion star over white dwarf mass) from Casares (2016). The value in parenthesis is the projected distance to the cluster center.  $q$  for U23 is 0.433, for U21 is 0.25 and 0.3 for U10.


### 3.1.3 Radial Velocity

With the 8 different exposures of the center region and the strong H $\alpha$  line emission the radial velocity evolution of the CV can be trace. This is done by employing the cross-correlation algorithms of Tonry & Davis (1979), as implemented in the IRAF Radial Velocity Analysis Package. This was done for U23, one of the brightest and one of the only two CVs in NGC 6397 for which the period have been measured (Kaluzny & Thompson, 2003). The resulting radial velocity evolution is plotted in figure 3.6. The first exposure of 25 second for the first night was used as the template to calculate radial velocity shift. From the radial velocities measures we were unable to determine the period of the CV. This is due to the very large errors bar. This suggest that longer integration time ( $> 25$  seconds) is needed to be able to determine the period of the CVS in NGC 6397 with the MUSE instrument.

## 3.2 Low-mass X-ray Binary

Besides the population of CVs in NGC 6397 we also studied a LMXB located near the center in NGC 6397. Using of the available observation of the pointings at the center, we estimated the flux in the H  $\alpha$  band to be  $8.2 \times 10^{-18}$  erg/s/cm<sup>2</sup>. This is a very faint object (R magnitude of  $\sim 26$  (Heinke et al., 2014)). More integration time is needed to be able to get a spectra with a good signal-to-noise ratio and study the spectra of the LMXB.





**Figure 3.6:** Radial velocity shift for U23. The radial velocity are measured using the first observing night as the reference template. With the available data we were unable to get a good estimate on the period of the CVs in NGC 6397, longer exposure time to obtained better quality spectra is needed.

## Chapter 4: Discussion and Conclusions

To finish we come back to the four open questions about CVs in globular clusters discussed in the introduction.

### 4.1 Primordial CVs

We have obtained the spectra of two new CVs, one of the spectra being the first one from a CV far from the cluster center. Increasing the sample size of CV spectra, and looking at the CVs in different locations in the cluster, increases the chances of detecting the predicted two distinct populations of CVs. Unfortunately, the data still remains scarce as the total number remains in single digits (6 known CV spectra out of a total 15 candidates). In the studied sample of spectra we did not find proof of detection of the two different populations. By studying the emission and absorption features in their spectra, no major difference was found between the CVs. The only exception might be U22 as it presents a clear  $H\alpha$  emission, but no  $H\beta$  emission. This might be due to contamination of a close star in the field of view as the sharp absorption around the  $H\alpha$  suggests.

Studying the companion stars of the CVs can also give us a clue about primordial CVs, as dynamically formed ones are expected to have a bias towards having a more massive companion. On none of the obtained spectra we observed signature of a M star (TiO lines e.g. (Marsh, 1990)). This suggests that the companion stars might possibly be a K type star ( $0.54 - 0.9M_{\odot}$  (Gray, 2005)). Knowing that the turnoff mass <sup>1</sup> is  $0.77M_{\odot}$  for NGC 6397 (De Marco et al., 2005). This gives us a range of  $\sim 0.5 - 0.7M_{\odot}$ .

### 4.2 Periods and dwarf novae

As mentioned before  $H\alpha$  emission line was used to detect radial velocity variations in the CVs. Since the period of U23 is known, we used it as a check if the period can be found from the short exposures taken by MUSE. However, since the method depends on the strength of the emission line (and the integration time required to detect it significantly), the short exposures available were not enough to be able to unambiguously determine the orbital parameters of the system.

### 4.3 Magnetism and dwarf novae

As mentioned before it has been proposed that the majority of CVs in globular clusters are magnetic (Grindlay, 1999). For NGC 6397, remarkably, all 4 previously identified CVs show prominent Helium II lines. These lines are generally associated with magnetic and nova-like CVs (Echevarria, 1988). We did not detect any Helium II line in any of the two newly studied spectra (U10 and U22). This might suggest that they are not magnetic in nature. However, this is not conclusive as the strongest He II line is outside of the MUSE spectral range ( $4686\text{\AA}$ ). This means that the possibility that the two newly identified CVs are magnetic has not been completely ruled out.

---

<sup>1</sup>the turn off mass is the maximum mass on the main sequence. This can serve as a rough estimate of the maximum mass of main sequence stars in a globular cluster.

Regarding the dwarf novae, U22 show a moderate increase in magnitude compared to previous observation. This is not irrefutable evidence that U22 was observed during an outburst and photometric follow up to study the variability is needed for confirmation. If U22 is indeed a dwarf novae it would be the third one identify in NGC 6397 (Shara et al., 2005).

Altogether we have demonstrated how an IFS like MUSE can be used to study the population of compact objects in globular clusters. Although we were limited by the very short exposure times, we were able to obtained new spectra and infer some properties about the CVs. These observations took place during the commissioning period and so were constrained by the overall commission goals. MUSE is now in regular observation time and among its future and current targets there is a number of other galactic globular cluster. Also improvement in the instrument are expected soon, like for example the use of adaptive optic and the narrow field mode. This means a vast number of new data set that can be exploited to improve our understanding on the formation of compact objects in globular clusters.

## Chapter 5: Future Work

### 5.1 Follow up Observation

Future work

Dr. Guillot MUSE proposal for the qLMXB

### 5.2 Data analysis

- Optimal Spectra Extraction (Horne & Marsh, 1986)
- Study short term variability. Need to account for change in seeing.

### 5.3 Reproducibility

- Create a Docker container for the data reduction with necessary software and right version for the pipeline.
- Create an Amazon Machine Image to be able to run analysis with the Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud. Reducing of MUSE data needs to be done in a computer with large storage and RAM memory. Amazon's Elastic Compute Cloud provide on-demand access to large-scale computational resources. An example of something like this done in academia is the work of Ragan-Kelley et al. (2013)

## Bibliography

- Antonov, V. A. 1962, Solution of the problem of stability of stellar system Emden's density law and the spherical distribution of velocities
- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., Greenfield, P., Droettboom, M., Bray, E., Aldcroft, T., Davis, M., Ginsburg, A., Price-Whelan, A. M., Kerzendorf, W. E., Conley, A., Crighton, N., Barbary, K., Muna, D., Ferguson, H., Grollier, F., Parikh, M. M., Nair, P. H., Unther, H. M., Deil, C., Woillez, J., Conseil, S., Kramer, R., Turner, J. E. H., Singer, L., Fox, R., Weaver, B. A., Zabalza, V., Edwards, Z. I., Azalee Bostroem, K., Burke, D. J., Casey, A. R., Crawford, S. M., Dencheva, N., Ely, J., Jenness, T., Labrie, K., Lim, P. L., Pierfederici, F., Pontzen, A., Ptak, A., Refsdal, B., Servillat, M., & Streicher, O. 2013, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 558, A33
- Bacon, R., Accardo, M., Adjali, L., Anwand, H., Bauer, S., Biswas, I., Blaizot, J., Boudon, D., Brau-Nogue, S., Brinchmann, J., Caillier, P., Capoani, L., Carollo, C. M., Contini, T., Couderc, P., Daguisé, E., Deiries, S., Delabre, B., Dreizler, S., Dubois, J., Dupieux, M., Dupuy, C., Emsellem, E., Fechner, T., Fleischmann, A., François, M., Gallou, G., Gharsa, T., Glindemann, A., Gojak, D., Guiderdoni, B., Hansali, G., Hahn, T., Jarno, A., Kelz, A., Koehler, C., Kosmalski, J., Laurent, F., Le Floch, M., Lilly, S. J., Lizon, J.-L., Loupiau, M., Manescau, A., Monstein, C., Nicklas, H., Olaya, J.-C., Pares, L., Pasquini, L., Pécontal-Rousset, A., Pelló, R., Petit, C., Popow, E., Reiss, R., Remillieux, A., Renault, E., Roth, M., Rupprecht, G., Serre, D., Schaye, J., Soucail, G., Steinmetz, M., Streicher, O., Stuik, R., Valentin, H., Vernet, J., Weilbacher, P., Wisotzki, L., & Yerle, N. 2010, in *Proceedings of the SPIE*, Vol. 7735, *Ground-based and Airborne Instrumentation for Astronomy III*, 773508
- Bacon, R., Vernet, J., Borisova, E., Bouche, N., Brinchmann, J., Carollo, M., Carton, D., Caruana, J., Cerda, S., Contini, T., Franx, M., Girard, M., Guérou, A., Haddad, N., Hau, G., Herenz, C., Herrera, J. C., Husemann, B., Husser, T.-O., Jarno, A., Kamann, S., Krajnovic, D., Lilly, S., Mainieri, V., Martinsson, T., Palsa, R., Patricio, V., Pecontal, A., Pello, R., Piqueras, L., Richard, J., Sandin, C., Schroetter, I., Selman, F., Shirazi, M., Smette, A., Soto, K., Streicher, O., Urrutia, T., Weilbacher, P., Wisotzki, L., & Zins, G. 2014, *The Messenger*, 157, 13
- Balman, S. 2012, *Memorie della Societa Astronomica Italiana*, 83, 585
- Barden, S. C., Arns, J. A., & Colburn, W. S. 1998, in *Proceedings of the SPIE*, Vol. 3355, *Optical Astronomical Instrumentation*, ed. S. D'Odorico, 866–876
- Bogdanov, S., Berg, M. v. d., Heinke, C. O., Cohn, H. N., Lugger, P. M., & Grindlay, J. E. 2010, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 709, 241
- Brown, G., Lee, C.-H., Wijers, R., & Bethe, H. 2000, *Physics Reports*, 333-334, 471
- Cannizzo, J. K. & Mattei, J. A. 1998, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 505, 344
- Casares, J. 2016, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 822, 99
- Chandrasekhar, S. 1931, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 74, 81

- Cohn, H. N., Lugger, P. M., Couch, S. M., Anderson, J., Cool, A. M., van den Berg, M., Bogdanov, S., Heinke, C. O., & Grindlay, J. E. 2010, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 722, 20
- Cool, A. M., Grindlay, J. E., Cohn, H. N., Lugger, P. M., & Slavin, S. D. 1995, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 439, 695
- Cool, A. M., Grindlay, J. E., Krockenberger, M., & Bailyn, C. D. 1993, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 410, L103
- Coppejans, D. L., Koerding, E. G., Miller-Jones, J. C. A., Rupen, M. P., Knigge, C., Sivakoff, G. R., & Groot, P. J. 2015, arXiv:1506.00003 [astro-ph], arXiv: 1506.00003
- Cropper, M. 1990, *Space Science Reviews*, 54
- de Boer, K. & Seggewiss, W. 2008, *Stars and Stellar Evolution* (EDP Sciences)
- De Loore, C. W. H. & Doom, C. 1992, *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, Vol. 179, *Structure and Evolution of Single and Binary Stars* (Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands)
- De Marco, O., Shara, M. M., Zurek, D., Ouellette, J. A., Lanz, T., Saffer, R. A., & Sepinsky, J. F. 2005, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 632, 894
- Duncan, R. C. & Thompson, C. 1992, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 392, L9
- Echevarria, J. 1988, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 233, 513
- Edmonds, P. D., Grindlay, J. E., Cool, A., Cohn, H., Lugger, P., & Bailyn, C. 1999, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 516, 250
- Fowler, R. H. 1926, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 87, 114
- Frank, J., King, A., & Raine, D. J. 2002, *Accretion Power in Astrophysics: Third Edition* (Cambridge University Press)
- Gehrz, R. D., Truran, J. W., Williams, R. E., & Starrfield, S. 1998, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 110, 3
- Gray, D. 2005, *The Observation and Analysis of Stellar Photospheres* (Cambridge University Press)
- Grindlay, J. E. 1999, in , eprint: arXiv:astro-ph/9901356, 377
- Grindlay, J. E. 2006, *Advances in Space Research*, 38, 2923
- Grindlay, J. E., Cool, A. M., Callanan, P. J., Bailyn, C. D., Cohn, H. N., & Lugger, P. M. 1995, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 455, L47
- Grindlay, J. E., Heinke, C. O., Edmonds, P. D., Murray, S. S., & Cool, A. M. 2001, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 563, L53
- Hamada, T. & Salpeter, E. E. 1961, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 134, 683
- Harris, W. E. 1996, *The Astronomical Journal*, 112, 1487

- Heger, A., Fryer, C. L., Woosley, S. E., Langer, N., & Hartmann, D. H. 2003, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 591, 288
- Heinke, C. O., Cohn, H. N., Lugger, P. M., Webb, N. A., Ho, W. C. G., Anderson, J., Campana, S., Bogdanov, S., Haggard, D., Cool, A. M., & Grindlay, J. E. 2014, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 444, 443
- Heuvel, V. D. & J, E. P. 1976, *Structure and Evolution of Close Binary Systems*, 73
- Hewish, A., Bell, S. J., Pilkington, J. D. H., Scott, P. F., & Collins, R. A. 1968, *Nature*, 217, 709
- Horne, K. & Marsh, T. R. 1986, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 218, 761
- Husser, T.-O., Kamann, S., Dreizler, S., Wendt, M., Wulff, N., Bacon, R., Wisotzki, L., Brinchmann, J., Weilbacher, P. M., Roth, M. M., & Monreal-Ibero, A. 2016, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 588, A148
- Hut, P., McMillan, S., Goodman, J., Mateo, M., Phinney, E. S., Pryor, C., Richer, H. B., Verbunt, F., & Weinberg, M. 1992, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 104, 981
- Ivanova, N., Belczynski, K., Fregeau, J. M., & Rasio, F. A. 2005, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 358, 572
- Ivanova, N., Heinke, C. O., Rasio, F. A., Taam, R. E., Belczynski, K., & Fregeau, J. 2006, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 372, 1043
- Kaluzny, J. & Thompson, I. B. 2003, *The Astronomical Journal*, 125, 2534
- Kaluzny, J., Thompson, I. B., Krzeminski, W., & Schwarzenberg-Czerny, A. 2006, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 365, 548
- Kamann, S., Husser, T.-O., Brinchmann, J., Emsellem, E., Weilbacher, P. M., Wisotzki, L., Wendt, M., Krajnović, D., Roth, M. M., Bacon, R., & Dreizler, S. 2016, *ArXiv e-prints*, 1602, arXiv:1602.01643
- Kepler, S. O. & Bradley, P. A. 1995, *Baltic Astronomy*, 4
- King, I. R. 1966, *The Astronomical Journal*, 71, 64
- Kinney, A. 1994, in *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, Vol. 54, *The Physics of Active Galaxies*, ed. G. V. Bicknell, M. A. Dopita, & P. J. Quinn, 61
- Kippenhahn, R., Kohl, K., & Weigert, A. 1967, *Zeitschrift fur Astrophysik*, 66
- Knigge, C. 2012, *Memorie della Societa Astronomica Italiana*, 83
- Koester, D. & Chanmugam, G. 1990, *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 53, 837
- Koester, D. & Weidemann, V. 1980, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 81
- Kopal, Z. 1955, *Annales d'Astrophysique*, 18
- . 1959, *Close binary systems* (New York: Wiley)

- Körding, E., Rupen, M., Knigge, C., Fender, R., Dhawan, V., Templeton, M., & Muxlow, T. 2008, *Science*, 320, 1318
- Krzeminski, W. & Serkowski, K. 1977, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 216, L45
- Kuulkers, E., Norton, A., Schwope, A., & Warner, B. 2006, in *Compact stellar X-ray sources*, 421–460
- Lattimer, J. M. & Prakash, M. 2007, *Physics Reports*, 442, 109
- Lubow, S. H. & Shu, F. H. 1975, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 198, 383
- Lynden-Bell, D. & Eggleton, P. P. 1980, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 191, 483
- Lynden-Bell, D. & Pringle, J. E. 1974, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 168, 603
- Lynden-Bell, D. & Wood, R. 1968, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 138, 495
- Maccarone, T. & Knigge, C. 2007, *Astronomy and Geophysics*, 48, 5.12
- Madsen, J. 1999, in *Hadrons in Dense Matter and Hadrosynthesis*, ed. J. Cleymans, H. B. Geyer, & F. G. Scholtz, Vol. 516 (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg), 162–203
- Marsh, T. R. 1990, *Astrophysical Journal*, 357, 621
- McKay, D. J., Ballester, P., Banse, K., Izzo, C., Jung, Y., Kiesgen, M., Kornweibel, N., Lundin, L. K., Modigliani, A., Palsa, R. M., & Sabet, C. 2004, in , 444–452
- McLaughlin, D. E. & van der Marel, R. P. 2005, *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 161, 304
- Meylan, G. & HEGGIE, D. C. 1997, *Astronomy and Astrophysics Reviews*, 8, 1
- Oppenheimer, J. R. & Volkoff, G. M. 1939, *Physical Review*, 55, 374
- Osaki, Y. 1974, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of Japan*, 26, 429
- Patterson, J. 1994, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 106, 209
- Postnov, K. A. & Yungelson, L. R. 2014, *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 17
- Prada Moroni, P. G. & Straniero, O. 2009, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 507, 1575
- Ragan-Kelley, B., Walters, W. A., McDonald, D., Riley, J., Granger, B. E., Gonzalez, A., Knight, R., Perez, F., & Caporaso, J. G. 2013, *The ISME Journal*, 7, 461
- Reisenegger, A. 2005, in (AIP), 263–273
- Rhoades, C. E. & Ruffini, R. 1974, *Physical Review Letters*, 32, 324
- Robinson, E. L. 1983, in *Astrophysics and Space Science Library*, Vol. 101, IAU Colloq. 72: Cataclysmic Variables and Related Objects, ed. M. Livio & G. Shaviv, 1–14
- Schuerman, D. W. 1972, *Astrophysics and Space Science*, 19, 351



- Shara, M. M. 1989, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 101, 5
- Shara, M. M., Hinkley, S., Zurek, D. R., Knigge, C., & Dieball, A. 2005, *The Astronomical Journal*, 130, 1829
- Starrfield, S., Iliadis, C., & Hix, W. R. 2016, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 128, 051001
- Streicher, O. & Weibacher, P. M. 2012, *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XXI*, 461
- Suh, I.-S. & Mathews, G. J. 2000, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 530, 949
- Tapia, S. 1977, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 212, L125
- Tauris, T. M. & van den Heuvel, E. P. J. 2006, in *Compact stellar X-ray sources*, 623–665
- Taylor, J. M., Grindlay, J. E., Edmonds, P. D., & Cool, A. M. 2001, *The Astrophysical Journal Letters*, 553, L169
- Tody, D. 1986, in *Proceedings of the SPIE*, Vol. 627, *Instrumentation in astronomy VI*, ed. D. L. Crawford, 733
- Tonry, J. & Davis, M. 1979, *Astronomical Journal*, 84, 1511
- Verbunt, F. 1982, *Space Science Reviews*, 32
- Verbunt, F., Bunk, W. H., Ritter, H., & Pfeiffermann, E. 1997, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 327
- Warner, B. 2003, *Cataclysmic Variable Stars* (Cambridge University Press)
- Weibacher, P. M., Streicher, O., Urrutia, T., Jarno, A., Pécontal-Rousset, A., Bacon, R., & Böhm, P. 2012, 84510B
- Wickramasinghe, D. T. & Ferrario, L. 2000, *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific*, 112, 873
- Zavlin, V. E., Pavlov, G. G., & Shibano, Y. A. 1996, *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 315, 141