

TA 6: CONDITIONAL CHOICE PROBABILITY (CCP) ESTIMATION

Manuel V. Montesinos

Structural Econometrics for Labor Economics
and Industrial Organization

IDEA PhD Program
Winter 2023

Motivation

Full-solution techniques are **computationally challenging**.

CCP estimation: avoid solving the DP in each iteration of the estimation algorithm.

Advantages:

- ▶ Less efficient than full-solution methods, but faster. \Rightarrow Robustness checks.
- ▶ More transparent about the sources of variation in the data that identify the model parameters.
- ▶ Expand the set of problems that can be handled.

In a nutshell

This methods build on the seminal work of **Hotz and Miller (1993)**.

Main idea: individual choices (reflected in CCPs) contain rich information on expectations about future outcomes.

There exists a **mapping** between conditional value functions $v_{jt}(\mathbf{x}_t)$ and CCPs $\mathbf{p}_t(\mathbf{x}_t)$ that, in general, can be inverted:

$$\psi_j(\mathbf{p}_t(\mathbf{x}_t)) \equiv V_t(\mathbf{x}_t) - v_{jt}(\mathbf{x}_t) \Leftrightarrow V_t(\mathbf{x}_t) \equiv v_{jt}(\mathbf{x}_t) + \psi_j(\mathbf{p}_t(\mathbf{x}_t)).$$

Representing the mapping as a function of the CCPs + (nonparametric) **estimates** of the CCPs \Rightarrow **no need to solve** for the value functions.

Bike replacement problem

Model

- ▶ **Time is discrete:** $t = 1, \dots, \infty$.
- ▶ **Choice variable:** bikes can be either **maintained** or **replaced**,

$$d_t = \{j : j \in \mathcal{D} = \{0, 1\}\}$$

with $d_{jt} = \mathbb{1}\{d_t = j\}$ and $d_{0t} + d_{1t} = 1$.

- ▶ **State variable:**

$$a_{t+1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } d_t = 1, \\ a_t + 1 & \text{if } d_t = 0. \end{cases}$$

- ▶ If the bike is A years old, it is replaced for sure.

Bike replacement problem

Model

One-period utility function:

$$U(d_t, a_t, \varepsilon_t) = \begin{cases} -\theta_R + \varepsilon_{1t} & \text{if } d_t = 1, \\ -\theta_{M1}a_t - \theta_{M2}a_t^2 + \varepsilon_{0t} & \text{if } d_t = 0. \end{cases}$$

Conditional value functions:

$$v_{jt}(a_t) = u_{jt}(a_t) + \beta V_{t+1}(a_{t+1}).$$

Bike replacement problem

CCP representation

By the Type-I extreme value assumption, we can rewrite the conditional value functions:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{jt}(a_t) &= u_{jt}(a_t) + \beta \ln \left(\sum_{h \in \mathcal{D}} \exp(v_h(a)) \right) \\ &= u_{jt}(a_t) + \beta \ln \exp(v_1(a)) \left(\sum_{h \in \mathcal{D}} \exp(v_h(a) - v_1(a)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The **conditional choice probabilities (CCPs)** are

$$p_{jt}(a_t) = \frac{\exp(v_{jt}(a_t))}{\sum_{h \in \mathcal{D}} \exp(v_{ht}(a_t))}.$$

Bike replacement problem

CCP representation

Taking replacement ($j = 1$) as base category:

$$p_{1t}(a_t) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(v_{0t}(a_t) - v_{1t}(a_t))} \quad p_{0t}(a_t) = \frac{\exp(v_{0t}(a_t) - v_{1t}(a_t))}{1 + \exp(v_{0t}(a_t) - v_{1t}(a_t))}.$$

It is possible to **invert the mapping** between CCPs and conditional value functions:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln p_{1t}(a_t) &= -\ln(1 + \exp(v_{0t}(a_t) - v_{1t}(a_t))) \\ -\ln p_{1t}(a_t) &= \ln(1 + \exp(v_{0t}(a_t) - v_{1t}(a_t))) = \ln\left(\sum_{h \in \mathcal{D}} \exp(v_h(a_t) - v_1(a_t))\right). \end{aligned}$$

Bike replacement problem

CCP representation

Then the conditional value functions are now

$$\begin{aligned}v_{jt}(a_t) &= u_{jt}(a_t) + \beta \ln \left(\sum_{h \in \mathcal{D}} \exp(v_h(a)) \right) \\&= u_{jt}(a_t) + \beta v_{1t+1} - \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(a_{t+1}).\end{aligned}$$

In particular:

$$\begin{aligned}v_{1t} &= -\theta_R + \beta v_{1t+1} - \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(1) \\v_{0t}(1) &= -\theta_{M1} - \theta_{M2} + \beta v_{1t+1} - \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(2). \\v_{0t}(2) &= -2\theta_{M1} - 4\theta_{M2} + \beta v_{1t+1} - \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(3). \\v_{0t}(3) &= -3\theta_{M1} - 9\theta_{M2} + \beta v_{1t+1} - \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(4). \\v_{0t}(4) &= -4\theta_{M1} - 16\theta_{M2} + \beta v_{1t+1} - \underbrace{\beta \ln p_{1t+1}(5)}_{=0}.\end{aligned}$$

Bike replacement problem

CCP representation

Payoff differences:

$$v_{0t}(1) - v_{1t} = \theta_R - \theta_{M1} - \theta_{M2} + \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(1) - \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(2).$$

$$v_{0t}(2) - v_{1t} = \theta_R - 2\theta_{M1} - 4\theta_{M2} + \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(1) - \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(3).$$

$$v_{0t}(3) - v_{1t} = \theta_R - 3\theta_{M1} - 9\theta_{M2} + \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(1) - \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(4).$$

$$v_{0t}(4) - v_{1t} = \theta_R - 4\theta_{M1} - 16\theta_{M2} + \beta \ln p_{1t+1}(1).$$

We can obtain (nonparametric) estimates of p_1 to compute the payoff differences and substitute them in the **log-likelihood** function:

$$\mathcal{L}_N(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \sum_{t=1}^T \sum_{i=1}^N d_{it} \ln \left(\frac{1}{1 + \exp(v_{0t}(a_t) - v_{1t})} \right) + (1 - d_{it}) \ln \left(\frac{\exp(v_{0t}(a_t) - v_{1t})}{1 + \exp(v_{0t}(a_t) - v_{1t})} \right).$$

Bike replacement problem

Hotz-Miller (CCP) Estimation

1. Formulate the **dynamic programming problem** (conditional value functions $v_{jt}(a_t)$ and CCPs $p_{jt}(a_t)$).
2. **Invert the mapping** between $v_{jt}(a_t)$ and $p_{jt}(a_t)$.
3. Obtain (**nonparametric**) **estimates** of $p_{jt}(a_t)$. A simple bin estimator is

$$\hat{p}(d_t = j | a_t = x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{1}\{d_{it} = j\} \mathbb{1}\{a_{it} = a\}}{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \mathbb{1}\{a_{it} = a\}}.$$

4. Substitute p_{jt} by \hat{p}_{jt} in the inverted mapping that goes into the **log-likelihood function**.
5. **Maximize the log-likelihood** to get $\hat{\theta}_{\text{CCP}}$.

NPL algorithm

Aguirregabiria and Mira (2002) propose a nested pseudo-likelihood (NPL) algorithm that swaps Rust's NFXP, using the CCP representation:

- ▶ **Inner loop:** Hotz-Miller estimation of the model parameters, starting from consistent estimates of the CCPs, and later using the CCPs from the outer loop as input. $\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{NPL}^{(0)} = \hat{\theta}_{CCP}$.
- ▶ **Outer loop:** with $\hat{\theta}_{NPL}^{(k)}$, update the CCPs.

Repeat K times or until reaching convergence in $\hat{\theta}$ and \hat{p} . The estimates $\hat{\theta}_{NPL}^{(k)}$ are consistent for any $k = 1, \dots, K$.

Table 1: Bike Replacement Problem - Estimation Results

	NFXP	CCP	NPL
θ_R	5.718 (0.596)	10.151 (0.438)	11.364 (0.421)
θ_{M1}	1.632 (0.385)	7.140 (0.340)	8.103 (0.329)
θ_{M2}	-0.374 (0.070)	-1.469 (0.060)	-1.649 (0.059)

Standard errors in parentheses.