



# ThunderLoan Audit Report

Version 1.0

*Cryptic Defense*

January 28, 2024

# ThunderLoan Audit Report

Cryptic Defense

January 28th, 2024

Prepared by: Cryptic Defense Lead Security Researcher: - Cryptic Defense

## Table of Contents

- Table of Contents
- Protocol Summary
- Disclaimer
- Risk Classification
- Audit Details
  - Scope
  - Roles
- Executive Summary
  - Issues found
- Findings
  - High
    - \* [H-1] Mixing up variable location causes storage collisions in `ThunderLoan::s_flashLoanFee` and `ThunderLoan::s_currentlyFlashLoaning`
    - \* [H-2] Erroneous `ThunderLoan::updateExchangeRate` in the `deposit` function causes protocol to think it has more fees than it really does, which blocks redemption and incorrectly sets the exchange rate
    - \* [H-3] By calling a flashloan and then `ThunderLoan::deposit` instead of `ThunderLoan::repay` users can steal all funds from the protocol

- Medium
  - \* [M-1] Using TSwap as price oracle leads to price and oracle manipulation attacks
  - \* [M-2] Centralization risk for trusted owners

## Protocol Summary

The ThunderLoan protocol is meant to do the following:

1. Give users a way to create flash loans
2. Give liquidity providers a way to earn money off their capital

Liquidity providers can [deposit](#) assets into [ThunderLoan](#) and be given [AssetTokens](#) in return. These [AssetTokens](#) gain interest over time depending on how often people take out flash loans!

What is a flash loan?

A flash loan is a loan that exists for exactly 1 transaction. A user can borrow any amount of assets from the protocol as long as they pay it back in the same transaction. If they don't pay it back, the transaction reverts and the loan is cancelled.

Users additionally have to pay a small fee to the protocol depending on how much money they borrow. To calculate the fee, we're using the famous on-chain TSwap price oracle.

We are planning to upgrade from the current [ThunderLoan](#) contract to the [ThunderLoanUpgraded](#) contract. Please include this upgrade in scope of a security review.

## Disclaimer

The Cryptic Defense team makes all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but holds no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security audit by the team is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The audit was time-boxed and the review of the code was solely on the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

## Risk Classification

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
Likelihood	High	H	H/M	M
	Medium	H/M	M	M/L
	Low	M	M/L	L

We use the CodeHawks severity matrix to determine severity. See the documentation for more details.

## Audit Details

The findings described in this document correspond the following commit hash:

```
1 8803f851f6b37e99eab2e94b4690c8b70e26b3f6
```

## Scope

```
1  |-- interfaces
2  |   |-- IFlashLoanReceiver.sol
3  |   |-- IPoolFactory.sol
4  |   |-- ISwapPool.sol
5  |   |-- IThunderLoan.sol
6  |-- protocol
7  |   |-- AssetToken.sol
8  |   |-- OracleUpgradeable.sol
9  |   |-- ThunderLoan.sol
10 |-- upgradedProtocol
11 |   |-- ThunderLoanUpgraded.sol
```

## Roles

- Owner: The owner of the protocol who has the power to upgrade the implementation.
- Liquidity Provider: A user who deposits assets into the protocol to earn interest.
- User: A user who takes out flash loans from the protocol.

## Executive Summary

In this audit, Foundry was utilized to identify a total of five bugs.

### Issues found

Severity	Number of issues found
High	3
Medium	2

## Findings

### High

#### [H-1] Mixing up variable location causes storage collisions in ThunderLoan::s\_flashLoanFee and ThunderLoan::s\_currentlyFlashLoaning

**Description:** `ThunderLoan.sol` has two variables in the following order:

```
1    uint256 private s_feePrecision;  
2    uint256 private s_flashLoanFee;
```

However, the expected upgraded contract `ThunderLoanUpgraded.sol` has them in a different order.

```
1    uint256 private s_flashLoanFee;  
2    uint256 public constant FEE_PRECISION = 1e18;
```

Due to how Solidity storage works, after the upgrade, the `s_flashLoanFee` will have the value of `s_feePrecision`. You cannot adjust the positions of storage variables when working with upgradeable contracts.

**Impact:** After upgrade, the `s_flashLoanFee` will have the value of `s_feePrecision`. This means that users who take out flash loans right after an upgrade will be charged the wrong fee. Additionally, the `s_currentlyFlashLoaning` mapping will start on the wrong storage slot.

#### Proof of Code:

Code

Add the following code to the `ThunderLoanTest.t.sol` file.

```
1 // You'll need to import `ThunderLoanUpgraded` as well
2 import { ThunderLoanUpgraded } from "../../src/upgradedProtocol/
  ThunderLoanUpgraded.sol";
3
4 function testUpgradeBreaks() public {
5     uint256 feeBeforeUpgrade = thunderLoan.getFee();
6     vm.startPrank(thunderLoan.owner());
7     ThunderLoanUpgraded upgraded = new ThunderLoanUpgraded();
8     thunderLoan.upgradeTo(address(upgraded));
9     uint256 feeAfterUpgrade = thunderLoan.getFee();
10
11     assert(feeBeforeUpgrade != feeAfterUpgrade);
12 }
```

You can also see the storage layout difference by running `forge inspect ThunderLoan storage` and `forge inspect ThunderLoanUpgraded storage`

**Recommended Mitigation:** Do not switch the positions of the storage variables on upgrade, and leave a blank if you're going to replace a storage variable with a constant. In `ThunderLoanUpgraded.sol`:

```
1 - uint256 private s_flashLoanFee; // 0.3% ETH fee
2 - uint256 public constant FEE_PRECISION = 1e18;
3 + uint256 private s_blank;
4 + uint256 private s_flashLoanFee;
5 + uint256 public constant FEE_PRECISION = 1e18;
```

## [H-2] Erroneous `ThunderLoan::updateExchangeRate` in the `deposit` function causes protocol to think it has more fees than it really does, which blocks redemption and incorrectly sets the exchange rate

**Description:** In the ThunderLoan system, the `exchangeRate` is responsible for calculating the exchange rate between `assetTokens` and underlying tokens. In a way, it's responsible for keeping track of how many fees to give to liquidity providers.

However, the `deposit` function, updates this rate, without collecting any fees.

```
1 function deposit(IERC20 token, uint256 amount) external
  revertIfZero(amount) revertIfNotAllowedToken(token) {
2     AssetToken assetToken = s_tokenToAssetToken[token];
3     uint256 exchangeRate = assetToken.getExchangeRate();
4     uint256 mintAmount = (amount * assetToken.
      EXCHANGE_RATE_PRECISION()) / exchangeRate;
5     emit Deposit(msg.sender, token, amount);
6     assetToken.mint(msg.sender, mintAmount);
}
```

```
7  @>      uint256 calculatedFee = getCalculatedFee(token, amount);
8  @>      assetToken.updateExchangeRate(calculatedFee);
9          token.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(assetToken), amount)
          ;
10     }
```

**Impact:** There are several impacts to this bug.

1. The `redeem` function is blocked, because the protocol thinks the owed tokens is more than it has
2. Rewards are incorrectly calculated, leading to liquidity providers potentially getting way more or less than deserved

**Proof of Concept:**

1. LP deposits.
2. User takes out a flash loan.
3. It is now impossible for LP to reddeem.

**Proof of Code**

Place the following into `ThunderLoanTest.t.sol`

```
1      function testRedeemAfterLoan() public setAllowedToken hasDeposits()
2      {
3          uint256 amountToBorrow = AMOUNT * 10;
4          uint256 calculatedFee = thunderLoan.getCalculatedFee(tokenA,
5              amountToBorrow);
6          vm.startPrank(user);
7          tokenA.mint(address(mockFlashLoanReceiver), calculatedFee);
8          thunderLoan.flashloan(address(mockFlashLoanReceiver), tokenA,
9              amountToBorrow, "");
10         vm.stopPrank();
11
12         uint256 amountToRedeem = type(uint256).max;
13         vm.startPrank(liquidityProvider);
14         thunderLoan.redeem(tokenA, amountToRedeem);
15     }
```

**Recommended Mitigation:** Remove the incorrect updated exchange rate lines from `deposit`.

```
1      function deposit(IERC20 token, uint256 amount) external
2      revertIfZero(amount) revertIfNotAllowedToken(token) {
3          AssetToken assetToken = s_tokenToAssetToken[token];
4          uint256 exchangeRate = assetToken.getExchangeRate();
5          uint256 mintAmount = (amount * assetToken.
6              EXCHANGE_RATE_PRECISION()) / exchangeRate;
7          emit Deposit(msg.sender, token, amount);
8          assetToken.mint(msg.sender, mintAmount);
9      }
```

```
7 -      uint256 calculatedFee = getCalculatedFee(token, amount);
8 -      assetToken.updateExchangeRate(calculatedFee);
9       token.safeTransferFrom(msg.sender, address(assetToken), amount)
        ;
10    }
```

**[H-3] By calling a flashloan and then ThunderLoan::deposit instead of ThunderLoan::repay users can steal all funds from the protocol**

**Medium**

**[M-1] Using TSwap as price oracle leads to price and oracle manipulation attacks**

**Description:** The TSwap protocol is a constant formula based AMM (automated market maker). The price of a token is determined by how many reserves are on either side of the pool. Because of this, it is easy for malicious users to manipulate the price of a token by buying or selling a large amount of the token in the same transaction, essentially ignoring protocol fees.

**Impact:** Liquidity providers will drastically reduce fees for providing liquidity

**Proof of Concept:**

The following all happens in 1 transaction.

1. User takes a flash loan from ThunderLoan for 1000 tokenA. They are charged the original fee fee1. During the flash loan, they do the following:
  - i. User sells 1000 tokenA , tanking the price.
  - ii. Instead of repaying right away, the user takes out another flash loan for another 1000 tokenA.
    - a. Due to the fact that the way ThunderLoan calculates price based on the TSwapPool this second flash loan is substantially cheaper.

```
1      function getPriceInWeth(address token) public view returns (uint256
2          ) {
3          address swapPoolOfToken = IPoolFactory(s_poolFactory).getPool(
4              token);
3          return ITSwapPool(swapPoolOfToken).getPriceOfOnePoolTokenInWeth
              ();
4      }
```

3. The user then repays the first flash loan, and then repays the second flash loan.

**Recommended Mitigation:** Consider using a different price oracle mechanism, like a Chainlink price feed with a Uniswap TWAP fallback oracle.



**[M-2] Centralization risk for trusted owners****Description:**

**Impact:** Contracts have owners with privileged rights to perform admin tasks and need to be trusted to not perform malicious updates or drain funds.

Instances (2):

```
1      File: src/protocol/ThunderLoan.sol
2
3      223:      function setAllowedToken(IERC20 token, bool allowed)
              external onlyOwner returns (AssetToken) {
4
5      261:      function _authorizeUpgrade(address newImplementation)
              internal override onlyOwner { }
```