

Notes 1: Introduction; Learning Models

Textbook: *An Introduction to Computational Learning Theory*, Michael J. Kearns and Umesh V. Vazirani

This course (and notes) will mostly follow Servedio's, Diakonikalas', and Kanade's

Theory course — homeworks & exams about **proofs**; no programming

Pre-requisite: Discrete Math, Probability, math maturity

1. INTRODUCTION

This course focuses on (binary) classification problem in supervised learning

Input: training samples $(x^1, y^1), \dots, (x^n, y^n)$

Output: hypothesis $h \subseteq X$

x^i : an instance/features; $y^i \in \{0, 1\}$: category (instance result)

e.g. x^i are emails; $y^i \in \{\text{spam}, \text{not spam}\}$

e.g. x^i are documents; $y^i \in \{\text{English}, \text{not English}\}$

samples x^i belong to **instance space** X (typically $X = \{0, 1\}^n$ or \mathbb{R}^n)

assume samples are classified according to unknown **concept** $c \subseteq X$ i.e. $y^i = \mathbb{1}(x^i \in c)$

c belongs to known **concept class** \mathcal{C} (some collection of subsets of X)

Want output hypothesis h to be close to unknown concept c

Will also think of c and h as $X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ (indicator functions)

2. EXAMPLES OF PROBLEMS (CONCEPT CLASSES)

2.1. k -DNF (disjunctive normal form) formulae.

boolean variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n

literals $x_1, \bar{x}_1, x_2, \bar{x}_2, \dots, x_n, \bar{x}_n$ (a variable or its negation)

k -DNF formula: disjunction of terms, each term being conjunction of at most k literals

3-DNF e.g. $(x_1 \wedge \bar{x}_5 \wedge \bar{x}_9) \vee (\bar{x}_4 \wedge x_7 \wedge x_8)$

1-DNF (also called **disjunction**) e.g. $x_1 \vee \bar{x}_8 \vee \bar{x}_4 \vee x_2$

2.2. k -term DNF formulae.

k -term DNF formula: disjunction of k terms, each being conjunction of (any number of) literals

2-term DNF e.g. $(x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \bar{x}_3 \wedge x_4) \vee (\bar{x}_2 \wedge x_6 \wedge \bar{x}_7)$

2.3. k -CNF (conjunctive normal form) formulae.

k -CNF formula: conjunction of terms, each term being disjunction of at most k literals

1-CNF (also called **conjunction**) e.g. $x_1 \wedge \bar{x}_8 \wedge \bar{x}_4 \wedge x_2$

3-CNF e.g. $(x_1 \vee \bar{x}_5 \vee \bar{x}_9) \wedge (\bar{x}_4 \vee x_7 \vee x_8)$

Every k -term DNF is equivalent to k -CNF, because \vee **distributes** over \wedge , i.e.

$$(u \wedge v) \vee (x \wedge y) = (u \vee x) \wedge (u \vee y) \wedge (v \vee x) \wedge (v \vee y)$$

But some k -CNF has no equivalent k -term DNF when $k \geq 2$

3. OVERVIEW OF SOME MODELS

3.1. Probably Approximately Correct (PAC) model.

Valiant'84 seminal paper "*A Theory of the Learnable*"

Assume instances x drawn from an unknown but fixed distribution D over X

Random instances, hence more realistic than worst case instances

3.2. PAC model with random noise.

Random classification noise: each sample's label y^i is corrupted independently with probability η , for some fixed $\eta > 0$

3.3. Online model.

Examples arrive online; classify each example before the next arrives

Sequence of examples may be worst case or random

3.4. Active learning.

Learning algorithm can choose example x and query $c(x)$

Questions we will ask:

- (1) Given concept class \mathcal{C} , how many samples suffice to learn $c \in \mathcal{C}$?

e.g. $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{conjunctions}\}$

- (2) How many samples are needed?

- (3) Given random samples, how to efficiently learn $c \in \mathcal{C}$?

Even with enough samples to information-theoretically learn $c \in \mathcal{C}$, there may not be efficient algorithm