Report

Part 1: Time series

We have performed a regression task on the Individual household electric power consumption dataset. This dataset contains 2075259 samples which are measurements gathered in a house located in Sceaux (7km of Paris, France) between December 2006 and November 2010 (47 months). We will try to predict the future values of the energy consumption and compare the predictions to the actual values.

As for the preprocessing steps:

* We had 2 different attributes for date and time, and we decided to combine them into a single attribute.
* We added an additional column named ‘Energy consumption’, which represents the active energy consumed every minute (in watt hour) in the household by electrical equipment not measured in sub-meterings 1, 2 and 3. This is how it’s calculated: (global\_active\_power\*1000/60 - sub\_metering\_1 - sub\_metering\_2 - sub\_metering\_3)
* There were some missing values which we replaced with 0.

After viewing some graphs which described the data samples, we noticed that the data is overall balanced. We also noticed that the global active power and the intensity have a similar impact on the energy consumption and seems to be of linear nature.

Before constructing a model, we normalized the data for each attribute by computing the mean and std.

We split the data into train and test sets; out of 47 months of samples (sample for each minute), we took 2.5 years for training, and left the rest (1.5 years) for the test set.

As for the model, we added an LSTM layer, and a Dense layer afterwards. We managed to get a result of val\_loss = 0.1052. The predictions seem to be close to the actual values for the Energy consumption.

We thought of a few ways to improve the model such as adding a dropout layer, adding more neurons to the LSTM layer, or resampling the data from minutes to hours. We tried implementing all of these. The best improvement we got as from adding 50 more neurons to the LSTM layer; we managed to get a result of val\_loss = 0.1027.

Then, we fitted a classical machine learning model to the data to get a better benchmark: Linear Regression Machine Learning algorithm. Here we received a score of 0.90. We saw that most of the values aspire to the diagonal, as we expected. From reviewing the accuracy of this model and the loss of the previous model.

Part 2: Category embeddings

TODO