\$5.1 B+V: Lagrange Dual Function (P) mh f, (x) Assume non-empty domains f;(x) <0 ie(~] h;(x) =0 (a) €; let p# be the optimal value, p# = + 00 is possible (means infeasible) = Not assuming convexity = Def The Lagrangian of (P) is a fraction L L(x, ν, λ) = f(x) + Σ λ; f(x) + Σ ν; · h; (κ) primal lagrange mortipliers or "dual variables" 1) Note: you can keep some constraints in fo (ie., as indicator freshore). This will lead to a different dual problem (still valid), Sometimes helpful Ex: min f(x) + g(x) or ma f(x) + g(y) Def the dual function is $g(\lambda, \nu) := \inf_{x} Z(x, \lambda, \nu)$ (- p is possible)

Properties of 9 h(y) = sup f(y, x) is Recall: convex if f is convex in y (IL arbitrey) h(y) = inf f(y,x) is convex if fis jointly convex in 9 (y) = inf f (y, x) x and y, and D 13 convex is concave if f is concerne in y this is the form of our dual finetion Zis affine 12 2, 2, hence emcare, hence of is concare even if fisht convex. Def The dual problem is (D) d=max g(x,v) and this is a convex optimizentian problem! (even if (P) wasn't)

Why would you salve the dual?
Why would you solve the dual? Take any dual feasible points 2,2
(00) 0000 (000)
· (e. 270
(eg. the sptmal me!)
(eq. the sptmal one!)
$q(\lambda, \nu) := \inf_{x} \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu) \leq \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda, \nu)$
<u> </u>
=f3(x)+\(\frac{1}{2}\)\tag{\final}\tag{\final}
≤ o feasibility
ie. \forall feasible λ, ν
and \forall feasible x ,
$g(\lambda_{3}\nu) \leq f_{3}(x)$
.S
$\lambda_{\gamma} \nu feasible \Rightarrow g(\lambda_{\gamma} \nu) \leq p^{\prime\prime\prime}$
The dual problem is looking for the largest lower bound on px.
lower bound on p*.
We just proved the weak duality theorem: d = pt
<u>.</u>
Sometimes we call them fearible it 270 and
Sometimes we call them fearible (if $\lambda > 0$ and 1'th a useful bound, meaning $g(\lambda, \nu) \neq -\infty$
(1.00.00)
We can "Sandwich" p#: d# below (a convex problem), and for (x) for any feasible x
and for (x) for any feasible x
· J

Ex: Dral problem of a LP
(P) wh (c, $x > 0$) = $x > 0$ Ax= $0 = 0$ $x > 0$ $x > 0$ $x > 0$ $x > 0$
then the Cograngian 13
ス(x, λ,ν) = <e,x> + むからしいといいしい。</e,x>
= <e, x=""> - Z[]; x; + vT (Ax-6)</e,>
4
$q(\lambda, \nu) = -\nu^{T}b + \min < c + \Delta^{T}\nu - \lambda, \times \gamma$ $min = -\infty \text{ mins} $
min = - ∞ mless) is 0
$= -v^{T}5$ $w_{\ell} \text{ the constraint } C + A^{T}y - \lambda = 0$
so "dual feasible" means (1) 2 >0
(2) C+ATV-X=0
can eliminate y and just write C+ATy (=2)>0
<i>3</i>
(D) max $-(6, v)$ or $-min < 6, v>$ $v, \lambda = c + A^T v = \lambda$ Equivalently $v = A^T v + c > 0$
y 30
Also a CP!
DUAL OF A LP IS A LP!

```
Explicit example of duality
         3 x, + 2 x2 =: f(*)
       s.t. X,+2x2 35
I can prove p 4 = 7. How? Observe x=[1] is feasible
                               end f(x) = 7
   Can you do better? (How to prove you can or can't?)
  Obsen:
   f(x)= 3x,+2x2 = 2x, + (x,+2x2) > 5
    So P# 75 75 if feasible
Even better:
(x_1 + 2x_2 > 5) \times 3
\Rightarrow 3x_1 + 6x_2 > 15
                  x2 ≤2 )x-4
                                   3x, + 2x, 37
                        these are the
       So p + > 7
                        eptimal duel variables
   hence 7 < p = 7
                        50 p* = 7 and x=[2]
                                    1's optimal!
The dual is
(b) max
              5 y, +2y2
               s.t. y, = 3
       y250
                24, ty 2 $ 2
```

How to easily find dual of a LP
Standard form i's done for you? A is non
(P) Mex <c,x> (D) min <b,y>7</b,y></c,x>
(P) News <c,x> (D) News Cb,y7 xeren x 30 Ax=6 AT = C</c,x>
ATy =C
but what if you don't feel like putting it in standard form?
Arthur Benjamin's "SOB" method, SIAM Review 1995
Rules: Max -> mh
~ih -> mex
voribbles -> cashants
constraints -> variables constraints -> variables equations
of a live and their provider because
and moster "type" of constraint:
primal: direct constraints on variable
x; >0 S (sensible)
no constraint (odd)
X; ED B (pizare)
and other constraints
and other constraints $ \alpha_i \times \leq b_i 5 $ $ \alpha_i \times \leq b_i 5 $ Sushess motivation: $ \alpha_i \times = b_i 0 $ Bushess profit includes
$\alpha, \kappa = b, \qquad \beta \cup \beta$
$ \alpha_i^T \times = b_i $ $ \alpha_i^T \times \geq b_i $ Business months for the constructions Consequently constructed to the constructions (ordered to the constructions)
A; x ≥ b; B control constructions (order to construct of the dual, ("sus into constructions)
the dual constraint is the same (8-0-8) type
or bigger constructed and harmone
and chal variable is the same type as
prihal constraint

```
S-O-B example
  \frac{3x_1 + 5x_2 + 1x_3}{xex^3}
                                   n=3 dimensions
P) s.t. x, +x2 + x3 = 2 : 3, 0
                -3 x3 5 0 , A5
      x, >0 x230 x3 ER
       nox 2y, (+0.y2)
yer²
          s.t. y, +2y2 43
                                   7 ( 0 )
               J, 45 5
               \frac{9}{1}, -3\frac{9}{2} = 1
           J, € R, J2 € 0
 Back to earlier example
                       (D) max
  min 3x, + 2x2
(P) X1+2K2 > 5:5
             X2 5 . B
                                  2y,+y2 < 2 : 5
   x, 30 S
   x230 S
                                  y2 € 0 13
```