## Finding Gradients: parameterized functions

Monday, April 12, 2021 6:42 AM Stephen Becker, 2021 [Caveat: check the original references for all technical assumptions]

Max  $f(x) = \max_{z \in Z} \varphi(x, z), \quad \text{want} \quad \nabla f \text{ or subdifferential } \partial f \\ \chi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \text{define } Z(x) = \operatorname{argmax} \varphi(x, z)$ Theorem "Danskin" (ref.: Prop. 4.5.1 Bertsekas "Convex Analysis + Optimization" '03)

Theorem "Danskin" (ref.: Prop. 4.5.1 Bertsekas "Convex Analysis + Optimization" '03)

Let Z be compact,  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n * Z \to \mathbb{R}$  continuous, and  $\forall z \in Z, \ \varphi(\cdot, z): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex, then

i) I is convex and its directional derivative in direction d, D, is

and if Z(x) is a singleton, then f is differentiable at x

Ex  $f(x) = max {x, -x} = |x| = max { <math>y(x, \overline{z})$ }  $\varphi(x, \overline{z}) = \begin{cases} x & \overline{z} = 1 \\ -x & \overline{z} = -1 \end{cases}$ 

Theorem doesn't apply since Z is discrete so of can't be continuous in Z Then, use:

Min  $f(x) = \inf_{z} \varphi(x, z) \quad (allow a domain z \in Z \text{ by allowing } \varphi(x, z) = +\infty)$   $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, z \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \quad \text{Analogously to be for, define } Z(x) = \operatorname{orgmin}_{z} \varphi(x, z)$ 

Theorem (ref. Thm 10.13 Rockafellar and wets "Variational Analysis" '97)

Assume  $\varphi \in \Gamma_0(R^n \times IR^m)$  (i.e., jointly convex, Isc., proper)

and  $\varphi$  is LBLU (see below), then

i) f is convex  $Z = \partial \varphi(x) = \partial \varphi(x, z_x) \quad \text{for any } z_x \in Z(x)$ 

```
(A sufficient condition is if \varphi(x, z) = +\infty if z \notin C for
                                                                         Some bounded set C;
                                                               hence this is similar to the assumption
                                                                 in Danskin's Thm )
                 Details: |ev_{\pm \alpha}| f := \{x : f(x) = \alpha \} are sub-level sets.
                      Rockafeller and wets define a function of to be level bounded if
                       all lever f are bounded (i.e., take)
                             Note: via Bouschke, Combettes, f: \mathcal{O} \to \mathbb{R} coercive it
                                     lim fix) = 00, and this is equivalent to level-bounded
                                                                    ( Prop. 11.12 Bauschke, Combetter)
                                     In fact, if H finite dimensioned and fe 10 (H).
                                     it's sufficient to show \exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } | ev_{\pm \alpha} f \neq \emptyset is bounded
                                                 (Prop. 1).13)
                    Then
                      Def LBLU (def. 1.16 Rockafellar + Wets)
                           4:1R"×1R" → 1R is Level Bounded (in €) Locally Uniformly (in x)
                           if \forall x_o \in \mathbb{R}^n \ \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \ \exists \ \alpha \ neighborhood \ V \ of \ x_o \ and \ \alpha \ bounded
                            set B = IR " s.t. Y x e V, { Z: Y(x, z) = x } = B
(special case: Fenchel-Legendre conjugates)
                f^{*}(y) = \sup_{x} \langle x, y \rangle - f(x) but think of as negative infimm

Since want to exploit convexity of f

Unique minimizer guaranteed if f is strictly convex

(Prop. 18.9 Bausake + Combettes 177)
              Theorem (Thm. 18.15 Bauschke + C.)
                      f is differentiable and has a L-Lipschitz gradient
                                                               (and can swap f, f*)
                      f + is M = \frac{1}{L} Strongly convex
                                                                 cf. Goebel + Ruckafuller '07 for local "Local strong convexity of ... " for local results
```

LBLU = Level Bounded Locally Uniformly

 $f(x) = \int \varphi(x, z) dz$  (f. Kin Burder's notes for Ma 3 '20 at Caltech"Supplement 4: Differentiating under an integral sign" Counterexamples (when assumptions not met) in Gelbaum + Olmsted, p. 123 Ex. 9.15 variant  $f(x) = \int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \varphi(x, z) dz$ Theorem Leibniz Integral Rule (refs: Wikipedia)  $\times ER'$ ,  $z \in R'$ "Counterexamples in Analysis" 2003, 1965 Assume 4 and & 4 are jointly continuous in (x, 2) and a(x), b(x) continuously differentiable, then  $\frac{d}{dx} f(x) = \int_{a/\infty}^{b/x} \frac{d}{dx} \varphi(x, z) dz + f(x, b(x)) \cdot b'(x) - f(x, a(x)) \cdot a'(x)$ i.e., Fundamental Theorem of Calculus Generally, use Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Therem to prove these results

Kim Border's notes are cached here:

https://healy.econ.ohio-state.edu/kcb/Ma103/Notes/DifferentiatingAnIntegral.pdf

Bauschke and Combettes '17 is this book:

"Convex Analysis and Monotone Operator Theory in Hilbert Spaces" 2017, Springer