

**Generic marking criteria for Exercise 4**

<b>Marks</b>	<b>Language (Writing)</b>
5–6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Points are well organised and coherent. Cohesive devices are used appropriately.</li><li>• Good use of own words.</li><li>• Good level of accuracy of vocabulary and simple grammatical structures.</li></ul>
3–4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Points are partly organised and reasonably coherent. Cohesive devices are used generally appropriately.</li><li>• Some attempt to use own words, with some reliance on language from the text.</li><li>• Reasonable level of accuracy of vocabulary and simple grammatical structures.</li></ul>
1–2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Little or no attempt to organise points. Cohesive devices are either not used or are not used appropriately.</li><li>• Heavy reliance on language from the text.</li><li>• Limited control of vocabulary and grammatical structures.</li></ul>
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• No response worthy of credit.</li></ul>

**Generic marking criteria for Exercises 5 and 6**

The following general instructions, and table of marking criteria, apply to both Exercises 5 and 6.

- You should decide on a mark for Content and Language **separately**.
- **Content** covers the **relevance** (i.e. whether the piece fulfils the task and the awareness of purpose/audience/register) and the **development of ideas** (i.e. the detail/explanation provided).
- **Language** covers **range** (i.e. complexity of vocabulary and sentence structure) **and accuracy** (of grammar, spelling, punctuation and text **organisation**).
- When deciding on a mark for Content or Language, first of all decide which band is most appropriate. There will not necessarily be an exact fit. Then decide between the lower or the higher mark. Use the lower mark if it fulfils some of the requirements of the band and the higher mark if it fulfils all the requirements of the band.
- When deciding on a mark for **Content**, look at both **relevance** and **development of ideas**. First ask yourself whether the writing fulfils the task, in terms of points to be covered and the required length. If the task is generally fulfilled it will be awarded **at least** 3–4 marks.
- When deciding on a mark for **Language**, look at both the **range** and the **accuracy** of the language. A useful starting point would be first to gain an impression of the level, then to focus on the level descriptors in order to decide on the best fit.
- If the writing is **considerably shorter** than the stated word length, i.e. below 70 words, it should be given a maximum of 2 marks for Content.
- If the writing is only **partly relevant** and therefore can be awarded only 1–2 Content marks, the full range of marks for Language is still available.
- If the writing is **totally irrelevant** and has nothing to do with the question asked, it should be given 0 marks for **Content** and **Language**.

Question	Answer	Marks
12	Award up to a total of 12 marks. <b>Up to 6 marks</b> to be awarded for <b>Content</b> Plus <b>up to 6 marks</b> to be awarded for <b>Language</b> . See generic marking criteria for Exercises 5 and 6.	<b>12</b>

Question	Answer	Marks
13	Award up to a total of 12 marks. <b>Up to 6 marks</b> to be awarded for <b>Content</b> Plus up to 6 marks to be awarded for <b>Language</b> . See generic marking criteria for Exercises 5 and 6.	<b>12</b>

Marks	Content (maximum 6 marks)	Marks	Language (maximum 6 marks)
5–6	<p><u>Relevance</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Task is fulfilled.</li> <li>Generally appropriate style and register for the text type.</li> <li>Generally good sense of purpose and audience.</li> </ul> <p><u>Development of ideas</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Content is developed, at appropriate length.</li> <li>Content is generally communicated clearly.</li> </ul>	5–6	<p><u>Range and accuracy</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Uses a good range of common vocabulary appropriately, and attempts to use some less common vocabulary.</li> <li>Uses a good range of simple structures appropriately, and attempts to use some more complex structures.</li> <li>Good level of accuracy of language throughout. Errors do not generally impede communication.</li> <li>Errors are generally related to less common vocabulary or more complex structures.</li> </ul> <p><u>Organisation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Well organised and sequenced.</li> <li>Uses a good range of linking words and other cohesive devices, appropriately.</li> </ul>
3–4	<p><u>Relevance</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Task is generally fulfilled.</li> <li>Reasonably appropriate style and register for the text type, although this may not be consistent.</li> <li>Some sense of purpose and audience.</li> </ul> <p><u>Development of ideas</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Some development of content, although in places it may be incomplete or repetitive.</li> <li>Content is communicated, but may lack clarity in places.</li> </ul>	3–4	<p><u>Range and accuracy</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Uses mainly common vocabulary, reasonably appropriately.</li> <li>Uses mainly simple structures, reasonably successfully.</li> <li>Reasonable level of accuracy of language. Errors may impede communication in places.</li> <li>Errors may occur when using common vocabulary or simple structures.</li> </ul> <p><u>Organisation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Reasonably well organised and sequenced.</li> <li>Uses some linking words and other cohesive devices, reasonably appropriately.</li> </ul>

Marks	Content (maximum 6 marks)	Marks	Language (maximum 6 marks)
1–2	<p><u>Relevance</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Task may only be partially fulfilled.</li> <li>Style and register for the text type may be inappropriate.</li> <li>Insufficient sense of purpose and audience.</li> </ul> <p><u>Development of ideas</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited attempt to develop content, although there may be gaps, irrelevance and/or repetition.</li> <li>Limited attempt to communicate content, but it lacks clarity in places.</li> </ul>	1–2	<p><u>Range and accuracy</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Limited use of vocabulary.</li> <li>Limited use of structures.</li> <li>Lack of control of language. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meaning is often unclear.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Errors occur when using common vocabulary and simple structures.</li> </ul> <p><u>Organisation</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Organisation lacks sequencing.</li> <li>Limited attempt to use linking words and other cohesive devices.</li> </ul>
0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No response worthy of credit.</li> </ul>	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No response worthy of credit.</li> </ul>