

**SYNERGY OF WIDE-FIELD INFRARED  
SURVEY EXPLORER (WISE) AND THE  
SLOAN DIGITAL SKY SURVEY IN STRIPE 82**

---

A Thesis presented to  
the Faculty of the Graduate School  
at the University of Missouri

---

In Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree  
Doctor of Philosophy

---

by  
MARAT MUSIN  
Dr.Haojing Yan, Thesis Supervisor  
MAY 2018

The undersigned, appointed by the Dean of the Graduate School, have examined the Dissertation entitled:

SYNERGY OF WIDE-FIELD INFRARED  
SURVEY EXPLORER (WISE) AND THE  
SLOAN DIGITAL SKY SURVEY IN STRIPE 82

presented by Marat Musin,  
a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy and hereby certify that, in their  
opinion, it is worthy of acceptance.

---

Dr. Haojing Yan

---

Dr. Adam Helfer

---

Dr. Angela Speck

---

Dr. Sergei Kopeikin

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Data</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 SDSS	5
2.1.1 Stripe 82	5
2.1.2 Structure of SDSS Stripe 82 files	7
2.2 WISE	7
2.2.1 unWISE	8
2.2.2 Structure of unWISE files	8
<b>3 Construction of the multiband catalog over Stripe 82</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1 Preparatory work with SDSS images and optical catalog	11
3.1.1 Region of overlapping unWISE images	12
3.1.2 SDSS PSF construction	12
3.1.3 Construction of the optical part of the catalog	18
3.1.4 Magnitude error correction	19
3.2 Preparatory work with unWISE files	21
3.2.1 unWISE PSF construction	21
3.2.2 Naming convention for the processed files	22
3.3 Template fitting with TPHOT	22

3.3.1	TPHOT output files . . . . .	25
3.4	Matching optical and IR catalogs . . . . .	26
<b>4</b>	<b>Mass and redshift estimation . . . . .</b>	<b>27</b>
4.1	SED fitting . . . . .	29
4.1.1	Choice of SED fitting codes . . . . .	29
4.1.2	EAZY and FAST . . . . .	30
4.1.3	Reliability of IR data . . . . .	33
4.2	Catalog post-processing . . . . .	34
4.2.1	Star-galaxy separation . . . . .	35
4.2.2	Sources treatment in overlapping regions and around bright stars	38
<b>5</b>	<b>Results . . . . .</b>	<b>42</b>
5.1	Binning in redshift . . . . .	42
5.2	GSMD and comparison to the results of other groups . . . . .	43
<b>6</b>	<b>Summary and concluding remarks . . . . .</b>	<b>46</b>
6.1	Conclusions . . . . .	46
6.2	Future work . . . . .	46
6.2.1	Optical dropouts in WISE . . . . .	47
<b>ACKNOWLEDGMENTS . . . . .</b>		<b>ii</b>
<b>APPENDIX . . . . .</b>		<b>iii</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Parameters for applied codes . . . . .</b>	<b>iii</b>
A.1	SExtractor parameters for x_matched_u catalogs . . . . .	iii
A.2	TPHOT parameters . . . . .	v
A.3	EAZY parameters . . . . .	vii
A.4	FAST parameters . . . . .	viii
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .</b>		<b>x</b>

VITA . . . . .	xviii
----------------	-------

# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Table of parameters for PSF construction (need to check scripts for exact values). . . . .	14
3.2	Table of parameters for IRAF/psf task. . . . .	15
5.1	Redshift binning of stellar mass . . . . .	42
5.2	Stellar mass density . . . . .	43

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 The camera used for imaging. It consists of 30 photometric CCDs, that are arranged in 6 columns of 5 each. Camera is drifted in the way that each column has permanent Declination during the run and all 5 filters in a column consequently go over the same field . . . . .	6
3.1 A set of PSFs for five bands for odd columns centered at RA=21:56:46. All PSFs are scaled to the unit flux . . . . .	16
3.2 An example of IRAF/ <code>psfmatch</code> for <i>griz</i> bands (top to bottom). Original images are to the left, PSF-matched - to the right. The difference is mostly observable for r- and i-bands, because their PSF is much better than that in u-band . . . . .	17
3.3 An example of the change in magnitude error for r-band photometry. Original errors are plotted against AB mag in red, magnitude errors <i>for the same source</i> after IRAF/ <code>psfmatch</code> is performed are in blue. . .	19
3.4 Correction coefficients for all 5 bands for two columns - col04 (top) and col12 (bottom). Note that coefficients for the u-band are less than one	20
3.5 unWISE PSFs for w1 (top row) and w2 bands (bottom row), as constructed in IRAF/ <code>psf</code> (left) and scaled by factor x7 to mimic pixel scale ration between SDSS and unWISE pixel scales (right) . . . . .	23

3.6	From left to right: SDSS image in r-band, unWISE w1 image, residual image from TPHOT. SDSS sources that are fitted to the unWISE image for the flux estimation are denoted with red circles. These objects are cleaned out from the residual image . . . . .	25
4.1	Comparison of photo_z as calculated in EAZY to the spec_z from the SDSS BOSS spectroscopic survey. In spite of several observable trends the overall fit is satisfying with the standard deviation as low as $\sigma = 0.07$ .	32
4.2	Difference of photo_z and spec_z is plotted against spec_z. Data obtained with all 7 or just 5 optical filters are plotted in red and green respectively. . . . .	34
4.3	Color-color diagram for star-galaxy separation using "w1 - i vs i - z" colors. Galaxies are plotted in blue, stars - in red and QSO in green. It is impossible to create a clean data sample using only colors as a selection criteria. . . . .	36
4.4	A histogram of the CLASS_STAR values for r-band alone already shows efficiency of this method for star-galaxy separation. Galaxies and stars are selected from BOSS spectroscopic survey using parameter "CLASS". Galaxies are plotted in blue and tend to have smaller values of CLASS_STAR, stars are plotted in green and on opposite - mostly have CLASS_STAR value close to 1. . . . .	37
4.5	A histogram of the CLASS_STAR values for galaxies from the control data sample that are rejected from our data sample due to very large CLASS_STAR values (green). In red we plotted stars and QSO that are not excluded from our data sample - their CLASS_STAR values are too small in all three optical bands that we used for star-galaxy separation. . . . .	38



4.6	Duplicate sources (blue) are over-plotted with unique sources (red) in one unWISE frame that hosts 72 SDSS images (top image) and several adjacent unWISE frames (bottom image). Two white horizontal stripes between col07 and col02 (bottom) and coll11 and col06 (top) were also excluded from our project. . . . .	40
4.7	Residual image in w1 band with various regions plotted. All sources that were detected in r-band and supplied to TPHOT, but fall within the masked region (red) are excluded from the SED fitting. Blue circles 3 asec in radius show the position of objects, whose flux was subtracted from the unWISE image. Poorly subtracted objects (mostly stars) without blue circles around them were included into TPHOT input catalog, but later were excluded from ED fitting after star-galaxy separation . . . . .	41
5.1	Stellar mass density over photometric redshift. four reddshift bins are plotted in different colors: z1 - blue, z2 - green, z3 - grey, z4 - magenta. Red sources at $z > 0.759$ are not included into the sample due to their low number density. . . . .	44
5.2	Figure 11 from Madau&Dickinson. 2014 with our SMD data points plotted as low-limit with green dots with arrows. Data points inside the red rectangular demonstrate an odd "wiggle" upwards as if the SMD was higher in the earlier Universe . . . . .	45
6.1	An example of WoDrop. Shown from left to right are the <i>ugriz</i> and unWISE w1 and w2 images. The images are 33"33" in size, and the green circles indicate the location of the WoDrop. For this particular example is $SNR = 8$ and 5.4 for bands w1 and w2 respectively. . . . .	48

6.2	Illustration of the probable explanation to the nature of WoDrops. The photometry of this object in w1 and w2 are indicated by the two magenta points, and its non-detection in the Stripe 82 <i>ugriz</i> images is indicated by the $2\sigma$ upper limits shown in grey. . . . .	49
6.3	An example of two WoDrops that have been observed by near-IR im- ager WHIRC instrument at WIYN telescope. All images are 33''33'' in size, and the red circles indicate the location of the WoDrop. The nominal on-source exposures are 31 min, 43 min and 1.1 hrs in Ks, but as can be seen, one WoDrop is only detected in H and Ks band, while the second one is not detected (as well as other three WoDrops that were observed in this run) . . . . .	50

# ABSTRACT

In this dissertation we aim to study evolution of galaxies over last 6 Gyr by measuring the growth of the stellar mass density of the Universe. We perform extensive study over Stripe 82 region, a  $\sim 300 \text{ deg}^2$  field, with deep data from SDSS and WISE to compute photometric redshifts and stellar masses for the largest multi-wavelength sample that we know - 23 million objects in total, of which 9 million are galaxies. WISE data are essential in order to break the age-color-metallicity degeneracy and determine masses of galaxies in a more reliable way. The key feature of the project is the use of "template fitting" technique in which prior knowledge of morphology and position of a high resolution optical image are used to perform robust flux measurement of a low resolution IR image even in case of blending. Consistent photometric data from seven bands are supplied to SED-fitting codes and photometric redshifts and stellar masses are derived. We do not correct the sample of galaxies for incompleteness and present our results, that are generally consistent with data of other groups, as a low-limit on the stellar mass density in four redshift bins of equal volume up to  $z \sim 0.8$ .

In the era of advancing new generation IR facilities like JWST, WFIRST and surveys like DES, our estimates shall be used as a constraint on any model of the evolution of the stellar mass density at higher redshifts. We also present a discovery of several thousands of unusual sources that we call "WoDrops". Such sources yet very bright in near-IR are completely undetectable in much deeper optical. In the last part of the dissertation we hypothesize about the nature of such objects and describe our future work.