

Mommy Fishues

---

A Thesis  
Presented to  
The Established Interdisciplinary Committee for Neuroscience  
Reed College

---

In Partial Fulfillment  
of the Requirements for the Degree  
Bachelor of Arts

---

Marissa Breanne Borrego

May 2019



Approved for the Committee  
(Neuroscience)

---

Suzy C. P. Renn



# Acknowledgements

Any situation in which some individuals prevent others from engaging in the process of inquiry is one of violence.

---

*Paulo Freire*  
*Pedagogy of the Oppressed*

I have been so very privileged to have the experience of attending college and writing a thesis. I would like to thank:

Suzy for pushing me to take the thesis process into my own hands and not giving up on me. Also to the rest of the Renn lab for helping me learn the ways of fish research.

Paul for mentoring me throughout my undergraduate experience and giving me so many opportunities to learn.

Larry for supporting me in my research and giving me so much encouragement and so many resources.

My parents for taking me to libraries, parks, museums, concerts, and the like. Not bad for a couple of eloped, new wave-loving kids.

Presence for listening to all of my complaints and fears; for letting me curl up in a ball on the floor; for being a friend and mentor; for encouraging me to challenge the systems I am a part of; for so much more.

Pax, Pixie, and Noah, for accompanying me on weird adventures through Eastern Oregon and through exploring my identity.

James for inspiring me to study neuroscience and for giving me endless love and support.

Matt for being a constant source of positivity and a great listener.

Langston for ensuring that I made it out of this year alive and for supporting my curiosities in math, programming, communicative relationships, softness, pretentious Portland stereotypes, etc.

Sarah, Sage, and Cody for never questioning why I was always in your house.

Duncan for opening your arms after every time I ignored your advice and then regretted doing so. You are such a strong being.

Cam for being a great friend, an absent roommate, an incredible scientist, and perhaps most importantly, a truly interesting person.



# Preface

Science has a history as an oppressive institution. That being said, I think that science also has the ability to liberate individuals. We must strive to understand how the spaces we create impact one another and to interrogate the ways in which we judge people's ability to control their actions. I hope that at the least this thesis makes one think of how plastic we are to our day-to-day experiences.





# List of Abbreviations

<b>11<math>\beta</math>-HSD2</b>	11 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2
<b>ACTH</b>	Adrenocorticotropin hormone
<b>CRH</b>	Corticotropin-releasing hormone
<b>CPP</b>	Conditioned place preference
<b>C<sub>q</sub></b>	Cycle quantification
<b>GR</b>	Glucocorticoid receptor
<b>GRE</b>	Glucocorticoid response element
<b>HLG</b>	High licking & grooming
<b>HPA</b>	Hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal
<b>LLG</b>	Low licking & grooming
<b>PCR</b>	Polymerase chain reaction
<b>PVN</b>	Pareventricular nucleus
<b>qPCR</b>	Quantitative polymerase chain reaction
<b>SQ</b>	Starting quantity



# Table of Contents

<b>Chapter 1: Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 A Brief History of Nature <i>vs</i> Nurture	1
1.2 The Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis	3
1.2.1 Activation of the HPA Axis	3
1.2.2 Glucocorticoid Receptors	5
1.2.3 Regulation of the HPA Axis	6
1.3 Stress and Development	8
1.3.1 Protection Against Early Life Stress	8
1.3.2 The Effects of Prenatal Stress on Development	9
1.3.3 Maternal Care and Stress in Rats	10
1.3.4 Early Social Environment and Stress in Cichlids	12
1.3.5 <i>Astatotilapia burtoni</i>	13
1.3.6 Current Investigation	14
<b>Chapter 2: Methods</b>	<b>17</b>
2.1 The Fish	17
2.2 Behavioral Tests	18
2.3 Gene Expression Assay	20
<b>Chapter 3: Results</b>	<b>23</b>
3.1 Size	23
3.2 Boldness	23
3.3 Aggression	26
3.4 Glucocorticoid Receptor Expression	27
<b>Chapter 4: Discussion</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>33</b>



# List of Tables

1.1	Summary of Meaney's work comparing high licking and grooming and low licking and grooming pup phenotypes . . . . .	12
1.2	Summary of Taborsky's work comparing <i>N. pulcher</i> fry raised in the presence and absence of adults and adolescents . . . . .	13
2.1	Genes and corresponding primer sequences used for qPCR . . . . .	21



# List of Figures

1.1	Signaling cascade of the HPA axis . . . . .	4
1.2	Glucocorticoid receptor mechanism of action . . . . .	7
2.1	Experimental conditions . . . . .	18
2.2	Timeline of experiment . . . . .	19
2.3	Behavioral paradigms . . . . .	20
2.4	Primer design . . . . .	21
2.5	The central dogma of molecular biology including reverse transcription	22
3.1	Comparison of fry size . . . . .	24
3.2	Effect of maternal separation on boldness . . . . .	25
3.3	Comparison of tank aggression between groups . . . . .	26
3.4	GR expression profile for experimental conditions . . . . .	27





# Abstract

The ability of a developing organisms to adjust to its early life environment is an important adaptation. That being said, when the adult environment does not match the early environment, these adjustments can be harmful. Exposure to early life stress is known to be correlated with a number of neuropathologies and developmental disorders later in life. Early life stress is able to reprogram the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis of organisms, leading to an alteration in the stress response. In rats, poor maternal care is associated with an increase in corticosterone and anxiety-like behavior in stressful situations. This change in responsiveness is also accompanied by a decrease in inhibitory feedback of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, as measured by decreased glucocorticoid receptors. The present study aimed to model this mammalian study in the mouthbrooding cichlid species *A. burtoni*. Mouthbrooding females were placed into one of two conditions: maternal care or maternal separation. In the maternal care condition, fry were allowed to stay with their mothers for the first two weeks post-fertilization. In the maternal separation condition, fry were removed from their mothers' buccal cavities shortly after fertilization. Stress-like behavior was measured through exploratory and aggression assays while stress response was measured through glucocorticoid receptor expression.



# Dedication

And in our hearts  
How beautiful the flames that will  
flare up in a ring

---

Chika Sigawa  
“Mountain Range”

For Langston.



# Chapter 1

## Introduction

### 1.1 A Brief History of Nature *vs* Nurture

A defining feature of living organisms is that they are able to respond to stimuli in their environment. In other words, they behave. Each behavior requires a stimulus, or multiple stimuli, that triggers a chain reaction of internal responses, changing how an organism exists in its environment. In understanding why an animal responds to a stimulus in the way that it does, there are two places to start. One can look to the organism's genotype: was this behavior inherited genetically from its parents? Or one can look to the organism's upbringing: was this behavior learned in response to the environment? Traditionally, these two possibilities have been thought of as separate and exclusive, as in the phrase “nature *vs* nurture”.

The dichotomy of nature and nurture as we know it today has its unfortunate beginnings in the field of eugenics. The phrase was popularized by the father of eugenics, Francis Galton, in the late 19th century in an effort to understand if human “ability” was heritable. He defined nature as “all that a man brings with himself into the world” and nurture as “every influence from without that affects him after his birth” (Galton, 1874). While there was not yet a concept of DNA, both Darwin's theory of evolution and Mendel's inheritance experiments were in circulation. The interest in nature *vs* nurture remained within developmental psychology until late in

the 20th century when behavioral and developmental neurosciences were popularized.

In the early and mid 20th century, the fields of animal behavior and genetics were being revolutionized in ways that would ultimately contribute to the modern debate of nature and nurture (Krubitzer and Kahn, 2003). In the 1930's a pioneering behavioral scientist by the name of Nikolaas Tinbergen began studying behaviors holistically, as a product of individual experience and evolution. He was interested in creating a scientifically rigorous way by which to observe and comment on behavior. What emerged was the modern field of ethology and a set of four categories to study a behavior through: causation (mechanism), survival value (adaptation), ontogeny, and evolution (Tinbergen, 2005). Tinbergen's four questions were important in examining a single behavior as a product of an individual's experiences and that individual's lineage. That being said, there was still not that much known about molecular biology and its role in behavior.

Abstract concepts of DNA and RNA as a heritable molecule had been proposed by the early 20th century in response to heritability studies (Koltzoff, 1934; Hershey and Chase, 1952), but it wasn't until Francis Crick and James Watson published a study in 1953 on the structure of DNA (notably, the study relied heavily on prior work by Rosalind Franklin) that the field of modern genetics really began (Watson and Crick, 1953). Using information about base pairs and amino acids published by other labs at the time, Crick proposed the central dogma of genetics in 1955. This crucial concept states that DNA is translated into RNA, which is then transcribed into amino acids that are linked together to form proteins (see Figure 2.4).

The last big step in getting to our current concept of nature and nurture was the popularization of epigenetics. Epigenetics in short refers to the factors that change the ability of DNA to be transcribed, contributing to changes in gene expression. Much of modern behavioral sciences is aimed at understanding how the environment influences an organism's epigenome.

Because we now understand gene expression is often altered by the environment, our notion of nature *vs* nurture becomes rather arbitrary. Behaviors can instead be thought of as an intertwining of nature *and* nurture (Sasaki and Kim, 2017; Meaney, 2006). Rather than understanding the ratio of environmental to genetic influence on a behavior, we can instead examine how certain genotypes make an organism more vulnerable to environmental influences or how the environment influences the ways in which the genome is utilized. This research provides a framework for which to examine any biological process, and it is through this lense that this thesis is written.

## 1.2 The Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis

### 1.2.1 Activation of the HPA Axis

If you have made it this far in life, you have at some point felt *stressed*. Stress can be defined as the body's *response to* and *recovery from* a threat that disrupts homeostatis (van Bodegom et al., 2017). An important aspect of the stress response is the production and mobilization of energy. This is made possible through the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, which functions to produce glucocorticoids. As the name suggests, glucocorticoids play a role in the metabolism of glucose, the body's main source of energy.

The activation of the HPA axis begins with stimulation of the hypothalamus by other brain areas. In the presence of an immediate stressor, brain regions associated with maintaining homeostasis trigger the axis. Take for example the response to a painful stimulus. Pain is sensed by nociceptors in the peripheral nervous system and cause afferent signaling to norepinephrinergic neurons in the hind brain. These hindbrain neurons can in turn stimulate the hypothalamic neurons involved in the HPA axis. It is also possible to activate the HPA axis as an anticipatory response. If an animal has been conditioned to associate a given smell with a predator, then




Figure 1.1: Signaling cascade of the HPA axis.

As a response to stress, forebrain or hindbrain projections to the hypothalamus can begin the HPA axis signalling cascade. The paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus secretes corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) and vasopressin into the anterior pituitary. This causes the anterior pituitary to release adrenocorticotropin hormone (ACTH) into the bloodstream. ACTH reaches the adrenal cortices of the adrenal glands, leading to the production of steroid hormones including corticosteroids. While corticosteroids have many targets and regulatory effects, they can also have positive feedback effects (dashed arrowhead) and negative feedback effects (dashed open circle) for the HPA axis itself.

in the presence of that smell alone the animal may trigger the HPA axis in anticipation of the danger. This requires polysynaptic signaling from limbic structures involved in learning and fear such as the hippocampus (homologous to telencephalic pallium in teleosts) and amygdala (homologous to the medial pallium in teleosts) (Salas et al., 2006). The hippocampus excites the axis through glutamatergic interneurons, whereas it is hypothesized that much of the excitatory amygdalar signaling works through disinhibition. In both the immediate and anticipated cases, the activation of neurons within the hypothalamus leads to a stereotyped cascade of signaling.

The hypothalamus is a region of the midbrain known for its role in maintaining allostasis through its involvement in stress, appetite, circadian rhythms, and sexual behavior. In response to a stressor, the paraventricular nucleus (PVN) of the hypothalamus secretes corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) and vasopressin, which bind to receptors in the pituitary gland. The pituitary gland is directly ventral to the



hypothalamus and is a main regulator of hormone release. The binding of CRH to CRF<sub>1</sub> receptors in the anterior pituitary leads to the secretion of adrenocorticotropin hormone (ACTH). This excitatory interaction can be potentiated by vasopressin, though vasopressin alone is not enough to produce an effect. ACTH enters the blood stream and travels to the adrenal cortices, which are the dorsal regions of the adrenal glands. In teleosts, the interrenal cells are homologous to the dorsal region as there is not a clear division between their kidneys and their adrenal glands (Pijanowski et al., 2015). ACTH binds to melanocortin 2 receptors, which increases the synthesis of cholesterol. Cholesterol is then transported to the outer mitochondrial matrix where the steroidogenic pathway begins. A major end product of this pathway and thus of the HPA axis is corticosteroids.

### 1.2.2 Glucocorticoid Receptors

Corticosteroids are hormone peptides that can bind to glucocorticoid receptors (GRs) and mineralocorticoid receptors. The term glucocorticoid refers to corticosteroids that are able to bind to GRs. After being released by the adrenal glands, glucocorticoids travel through the blood stream, pass through the blood-brain-barrier, and freely diffuse into the cytoplasm of neurons. Unbound GRs reside in the cytoplasm as part of a larger protein complex. When a GR is bound to it goes through a conformational change and sheds the protein complex. It is then transported to the nucleus where it dimerizes with another GR. The homodimer can then interact with other proteins, ultimately leading to the binding of the complex to a glucocorticoid response element (GRE) on the genome. These GREs are often found in the promotor region of their target genes and recruit transcription factors to suppress or enhance transcription of the target gene (Busada and Cidlowski, 2017; Herman et al., 2005). Interestingly, GRs can also localize to the mitochondria, where they can alter transcriptional elements of mitochondrial DNA. Due to mitochondria's role in steroid and energy production

(Lapp et al., 2019), this finding suggests an important long-term regulatory role of glucocorticoids. Ligand binding to GRs can also have nongenomic consequences such as kinase activation, though these pathways are not well understood (Samarasinghe et al., 2011).

In mammals, there are eight known transcriptional isoforms of the GR gene (Saif et al., 2015) and thirteen different post-translational modification sites of the GR protein (Oakley and Cidlowski, 2013). These differences in protein structure alter the cellular function of the GR subtypes (Lu et al., 2007). A genome duplication event happened in the evolution of teleosts, causing them to have two GR paralogues: GR1 and GR2. The genetic sequences are highly similar to each other as well as to the GR genes of other species (Greenwood et al., 2003). Both GR1 and GR2 are expressed in corticosteroid responsive regions, suggesting that they both maintain signaling functionality.

### 1.2.3 Regulation of the HPA Axis

Activation of the HPA axis leads to situationally different levels and duration of glucocorticoid release. Inflammatory stressors often lead to prolonged stress responses, as the injury requires sustained energy to repair. Psychological stressors, in contrast, tend to lead to acute responses because there is no tissue repair or immune response required (Herman et al., 2016). Because the stress response is energy intensive, it is important that an organism responds appropriately to threatening situations.

The HPA axis has built in negative feedback loops to ensure tight regulation. GR's play an inhibitory role in the activation of the HPA axis. They are abundantly expressed within the PVN. Upon activation, they cause endocannabinoid synthesis and release that are able to inhibit glucocorticoid receptors that target CRH neurons. Long term exposure to corticosteroids has also been shown to reduce pituitary ACTH release (Keller-Wood, 2015). In addition to regulation within the axis, GRs



Figure 1.2: Glucocorticoid receptor mechanism of action.

Unbound glucocorticoid receptors (green) reside in the cytoplasm of cells as a part of a larger protein complex (blue). Corticosteroids (red) can freely diffuse through the cell membrane and bind to glucocorticoid receptors. Glucocorticoid receptors then undergo a conformational change, shedding the protein complex, and move into the nucleus. There they form homodimers and bind to glucocorticoid response elements (pink) on the genome. These elements are downstream of promoter regions (yellow) and can alter the transcription of nearby genes.

in the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex are able to inhibit HPA axis activity via GABAergic interneurons.

The HPA axis has the ability to adjust to chronic stress. There is an important distinction to be made by the body between long-term stressors that continuously pose a threat to an organism and long-term stressors that don't actually pose a threat to an organism. Take for example two deer that live in environments coinhabited by humans. The first lives in an area that is frequented by hunters. This deer has to induce a full stress response every time it encounters a human, else the animal will quickly be killed. Now take for example a deer that lives in a zoo. It would surely be a waste of this deer's energy if it were to enter a stressed state every time it encountered a human. While it is adaptive for the former deer to develop a heightened responsiveness to humans, it is adaptive for the latter deer to become desensitized to humans.

A long-term change to the physiology of the HPA axis can occur via epigenetic modification. In other words, the genes encoding proteins necessary for the HPA axis can be made more or less likely to be transcribed as a result of chemical changes to structural elements of the DNA. Epigenetic changes are heritable from parent to daughter cell even though they do not alter the actual DNA sequence, making them an important aspect of early development when cells are rapidly proliferating.

## 1.3 Stress and Development

### 1.3.1 Protection Against Early Life Stress

The fact that chronic stress is often unhealthy is quite intuitive. When an organism is forced to expend energy on immediate survival, it must forego less pressing, but very important processes like eating, sleeping, reproducing, and learning. Teleosts and mammals share a highly-conserved protection mechanism against early-life stress. In

both cases, mothers secrete 11 $\beta$ -hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 (11 $\beta$ -HSD2) into the prenatal environment. This hormone rapidly deactivates corticosteroids, effectively inhibiting the stress response of embryos (van Bodegom et al., 2017; Faught et al., 2016). As newborns, there is a stress hyporesponsiveness period characterized by a decrease in circulating ACTH and corticosteroids, as well as an overall decrease in responsiveness to stressors (van Bodegom et al., 2017; Barry et al., 1995).

Importantly, both of these defenses can be altered by a highly stressful environment. Repeated maternal exposure to stress decreases 11 $\beta$ -HSD2, increasing prenatal corticosteroid exposure. Additionally, maternal separation is associated with a shortened stress hyporesponsiveness period in mammals (no similar study has been done in fish). These findings suggest that the stress response is plastic to early life experience. This is evolutionarily favorable in that it allows the animal to adapt to its environment. The match/mismatch hypothesis suggests that organisms experiencing adversity early in life will most likely face similar levels of adversity later in life and should adjust their stress response to reflect that. With this hypothesis comes the idea that a mismatch in early environment and adult environment would have adverse consequences for the organism (Gluckman et al., 2007).

### 1.3.2 The Effects of Prenatal Stress on Development

Glucocorticoid receptors play an important role in fetal development. While insufficient levels of glucocorticoids are sometimes fatal, causing undeveloped organs, excess circulating glucocorticoids can cause potentially maladaptive developmental reprogramming (Busada and Cidlowski, 2017). The offspring of pregnant mice treated with synthetic glucocorticoids have delayed maturation of neurons and glia as well as delayed vascularization of the brain (Gravanis and Mellon, 2011). Further, prenatal stress exposure is correlated with decreased dendritic spine density in the cingulate gyrus and orbitofrontal cortex (Murmu et al., 2006). These data suggest that prenatal

stress alters the physiology and connectome of the developing brain.

The ability of offspring to learn and form memories is altered by prenatal stress exposure. Compared to controls, offspring of stressed mothers have decreased fear learning in a passive avoidance behavioral paradigm (Sofiabadi et al., 2018). Older rats have impaired spatial memory in the Y-maze and working memory in the radial arm maze when they were exposed to prenatal stress (Vallée et al., 1999). Rats also display a corresponding decrease in CAMKII and CREB mRNA expression in the hippocampus under these conditions (Sun et al., 2017).

Animals exposed to prenatal stress are also more susceptible to addictive behavior. Rats with mothers exposed to stress have increased nicotine conditioned place preference (CPP) as well as increased dopamine D<sub>2</sub> receptor gene expression in nucleus accumbens (Said et al., 2015). Prenatal stress has also been shown to increase CPP in response to benzodiazepines (Lakehayli et al., 2015), cocaine (Pastor et al., 2018), and morphine (Vey et al., 2016) just to name a few.

Prenatal stress is a predictor of psychiatric disease in adults. Rodents exposed to prenatal stress show increased anxiety, depressive, and schizophrenic-like behavior compared to offspring of non-stressed dams (Weinstock, 2017).

### 1.3.3 Maternal Care and Stress in Rats

Stress in the postnatal environment can also be influential to an organism's development. The neuroscientist Michael Meaney has done years of groundbreaking work on how maternal care alters the stress response in rats. Rat mothers exhibit consistent differences in the time spent licking and grooming their young during their first week of life (Meaney et al., 1996). This difference takes place during a critical period of the rats' neural development. As a result, pups reared by high licking and grooming (HLG) mothers and low licking and grooming (LLG) mothers have distinct phenotypes and epigenomes (Weaver et al., 2004).

In 1997, Meaney's lab examined how circulating stress hormones differed in pups reared by HLG and LLG mothers (Liu et al., 2000). HLG pups had reduced circulating levels of ACTH and corticosterone in response to restraint stress. Additionally, HLG pups appeared to have enhanced regulatory feedback in stressful situations, as they suppressed ACTH to a greater extent after being pre-treated with corticosterone (the murine equivalent of cortisol). HLG pups also developed higher GR expression in the hippocampus as adults, a brain region associated with HPA-axis inhibition. In a complementary study, the lab found a distinct behavioral phenotype between the two groups (Caldji et al., 1998). Rats reared by HLG dams exhibited more exploratory behavior, as measured by an open field paradigm, compared to those reared by LLG dams. Additionally, LLG pups exhibited a longer latency to start eating when placed in a novel environment compared to HLG pups. Meaney cross-fostered pups from HLG and LLG mothers. As a result, pups born to LLG dams, but reared by HLG dams had a similar phenotype to those born to and reared by HLG dams (Francis et al., 1999). These findings indicated that maternal care can influence offspring's responses to stress as adults.

In 2004, Meaney published a paper on the epigenetics of the above discoveries (Weaver et al., 2004). He found that the epigenetic state of the GR promoter gene was altered by maternal licking and grooming. This difference in methylation state was contingent on the rearing, not the biological, mother. GR receptor gene methylation was decreased and acetylation increased in HLG rats, consistent with earlier studies. Taken together, Meaney's work demonstrates that rats reared by LLG dams have a hypersensitive stress response, characterized by increased circulating stress hormones, decreased hippocampal GR receptors, and decreased exploratory behavior.

Table 1.1: Summary of Meaney’s work comparing high licking and grooming and low licking and grooming pup phenotypes.

Phenotype	Pups Reared by HGL Dams	Pups Reared by LLG Dams
Circulating stress hormones	Low	High
Habituation to Corticosterone	High	Low
Hippocampal GR expression	High	Low
Anxiety-like behavior	Low	High
GR gene methylation	Low	High
GR gene acetylation	High	Low

### 1.3.4 Early Social Environment and Stress in Cichlids

Barbara Taborsky has examined how early social environment influences cichlid stress response. Most of Taborsky’s work is with *Neolamprologus pulcher*, a highly social cichlid species that lives in family units and collectively raises offspring. Immature fish help to keep eggs clean and well-oxygenated while adults defend the eggs against predators and conspecifics (Arnold and Taborsky, 2010).

Much of Taborsky’s work has focused on how early life social experience affects social behavior and stress response in adults. Fish were divided into three groups: those raised with adults and immature helpers, those raised with just helpers, and those raised with neither helpers nor adults. In the following studies, fry raised in the presence of just helpers and fry raised in the presence of helpers and adults had the same trends. Taborsky found that *N. pulcher* fry raised in the absence of adults and helpers had decreased social competency, showing energetically costly levels of aggression in territory disputes (Arnold and Taborsky, 2010). Fish raised with adults had decreased whole-brain GR1 expression and CRH compared to those raised in the presence of adults or helpers (Taborsky et al., 2012). This was complemented by a similar study by Taborsky in *Astatotilapia burtoni*. Fry raised in social groups (*i.e.*, naturalistic upbringing) had higher whole-brain GR mRNA expression compared to fry raised in pairs (Solomon-Lane and Hofmann, 2018). A follow-up study in *N.*



*pulcher* examining specific regions of the brain showed that fry raised in the presence of adults and helpers had increased in GR1 mRNA expression in the telencephalon. This difference in results suggests that changes in GR1 expression are brain region specific. Antagonizing GRs in fry reared without adults and helpers resulted in more appropriate levels of aggression, indicating that the social effects of different rearing environments are mediated in part by GR (Nyman et al., 2017). Lastly, fry raised in the absence of adults and helpers displayed more neophobia in behavioral tests, which is indicative of higher stress in new environments (Bannier et al., 2017).

Table 1.2: Summary of Taborsky’s work comparing *N. pulcher* fry raised in the presence and absence of adults and adolescents.

Phenotype	Fry Raised with Older Fish	Fry Raised without Older Fish
Social competency	High	Low
Circulating CRH	Low	High
Whole brain GR1 expression	Low	High
Telencephalic GR1 expression	High	Low
Anxiety-like behavior	Low	High

### 1.3.5 *Astatotilapia burtoni*

*A. burtoni*, also referred to as *Haplochromis burtoni*, is a highly social cichlid species known for its extremely plastic phenotypes related to dominance. There exists two distinct dominance phenotypes in males: those with territory that are reproductively active and those without territory that are reproductively suppressed (Fernald, 1977). Males with territory are dominant and have bright blue or yellow body coloration with a thick black stripe that passes over their eyes (Border et al., 2019). They have vertical black stripes along their body, a black spot on their gill cover, and a red splotch just caudal of that. Non-territorial males are subordinate and resemble females, with camouflage coloration. The difference in dominance is also correlated with a difference in stress and hormonal regulation (Renn et al., 2008). Dominant males have increased

testosterone and gonad size, while subordinate males have increased levels of cortisol and experience faster growth. Males are able to switch between these phenotypes throughout their lives in response to changes in their social interactions.

Female *A. burtoni* also exhibit social dominance, though unlike the males, both dominant and subordinate females are reproductively active. Females take part in a brood care strategy known as mouthbrooding. The female lays eggs and immediately picks them up, storing them in her buccal cavity. Shortly after this, the males fertilize the eggs. The mother keeps the offspring in her mouth for about two weeks as the eggs develop into fry. After the initial release, fry remain close to their mother and return to their mother's mouth in the presence of danger. Brooding mothers voluntarily starve themselves; however, in stressful situations they will eat their offspring. Mouthbrooding helps to protect the developing fry from predators and conspecifics, potentially reducing their exposure to stressful experiences.

### 1.3.6 Current Investigation

The work of Barbara Taborsky has demonstrated that social fish species are plastic to their early life experience, showing changes in behavior and stress hormone expression as a result of social environment. Taborsky's work, however, is focused on the effect that social environment has on social behavior. There is little known about how maternal separation affects mouthbrooding fish. It is unknown to what extent mouthbrooding influences the neurophysiological development of fry. By comparing the effects of this child-rearing strategy to what is known in mammals, we can better understand the evolution of neuronal plasticity in response to early life experience, especially as it relates to parental care.

A recent thesis by Destine Krenik examined the role that maternal separation in *A. burtoni* had on behavior and neural GR expression (Krenik, 2018). Offspring were either reared with their mothers or were separated as eggs and raised without any

adults. The thesis examined anxiety-like behavior and aggression in both conditions, but did not find any significant treatment-based differences. The brains of the fish were extracted and divided into forebrain, midbrain, and hindbrain for GR mRNA analysis. Krenik found significantly lower GR mRNA expression in the hindbrain of fry separated from mothers. The following research is conceptually based on this thesis with three major methodological changes. The first is that the paradigm used to measure anxiety-like behavior in this thesis takes place in a more naturalistic tank to encourage some exploratory behavior. The second change is that this thesis will examine whole-brain GR mRNA expression rather than section the brain into three regions. Lastly, the current research uses three different GR primers, as opposed to Krenik's use of a single primer, in order to quantify more splice variants. The rationale for these changes is to collect different data for the same overarching question in order to build a better understanding as to how maternal separation alters GRs.

I hypothesized that fish separated from their mothers would exhibit more anxiety like behavior and more aggression compared to those brooded by their mothers. This heightened stress response would be accompanied by a decrease in GR1a, GR1b, and GR2 receptor expression.



# Chapter 2

## Methods

### 2.1 The Fish

The parental generation of the focal juveniles originated from a wild-caught stock of *A. burtoni* collected from Lake Tanganyika in East Africa. Social groups containing males and females of the same generation were kept in 30 gallon aquaria at a temperature of 28°C and a pH of 8. Each aquarium's bottom was covered in gravel, and terra cotta pot pieces were placed in the tank to act as shelters and territory markers. Once a female fish began mouthbrooding, she was removed from the aquarium and randomly placed into one of two experimental conditions.

In the unseparated condition, mothers were removed from their home tank, weighed, and measured. They were then placed in small tanks containing gravel and a piece of terra cotta pot. Mothers continued to brood their young until the fry were old enough to regularly leave their mother's mouth (approximately 2 weeks post-fertilization), at which point the mother was removed from the tank to prevent her from eating the fry.

In the separated condition, mothers were weighed and measured and then the eggs were removed from their mouths by gently pulling down the bottom lips. The eggs




Figure 2.1: Experimental conditions.

Mouthbrooding fish were selected to be in either of two experimental groups. In the first condition (top) mothers were transferred to individual tanks containing gravel and a terra cotta piece. After about two weeks, when fry were able to leave their mothers' mouths, the mothers were moved back into their home aquaria. In the second condition (bottom), eggs were removed from the buccal cavity and placed in a flask within an individual tank. After about two weeks the fry were able to freely swim and the flask was removed.

were then placed in a 250 mL flask within a tank containing gravel. Once the eggs developed into freely moving fry (approximately weeks post-fertilization), the flask was removed from the tank and a piece of terra cotta was added.

## 2.2 Behavioral Tests

Behavioral testing began approximately 130 days after the brooding mothers were placed into experimental conditions and consisted of two assays: boldness and social. Both assays were performed on the same day. Directly after each fish took part in the boldness assay, the brood was observed in the social assay. Prior to the start of behavioral testing, the focal brood was moved in its home cage to the testing area. The fish were allowed to adjust to the change in lighting for 10 minutes. Experimental tanks had the back and sides covered with white paper to minimize external visual stimuli. A video camera was placed approximately two feet from the front side. No




Figure 2.2: Timeline of experiment.

Two to three days after fertilization of eggs occurred, the broods were placed into one of two conditions: maternal separation or no maternal separation. After approximately two weeks, the fry were old enough to freely swim around their tanks. At this point, depending on the condition, either the mother or the flask was removed from the tank. The fry were allowed to age for about 130 days, at which point they were exposed to the boldness and aggression assays. Immediately after the behavioral testing, the fish were decapitated and their brains were extracted. RNA extraction and qPCR analysis took place after a variable amount of time.

humans were present in the room during behavioral recordings.

### Boldness Assay

Boldness, or willingness to explore novel and open environments, is often used as a measure of stress. Animals that are stressed tend to freeze in place, seek cover, and avoid open spaces. Focal fish were individually placed in a novel aquarium containing gravel and a terra cotta shelter. A piece of red tape was horizontally placed on the outside of the tank, dividing it into top and bottom. The fish were allowed to acclimate for 10 minutes in the experimental tank before their behavior was scored for another 10 minutes. Boldness was measured on three axes: time spent in top half of tank, time spent in the bottom half of the tank, and time spent under the shelter. The fish was considered to be in a given region once its eyes crossed the border.

### Aggression Assay

Because *A. burtoni* are highly social fish, it is relevant to assess how maternal sep-




Figure 2.3: Behavioral paradigms.

Two paradigms were used to assess behavioral phenotypes of the fish. In the boldness assay (left) individual fish were placed in a novel tank containing gravel and a terra cotta shelter. After 10 minutes of habituation, time spent in the top half of the tank, in the bottom half of the tank, and behind the shelter was recorded for an additional 10 minutes. In the aggression assay (right) the whole brood was placed into a single, novel tank. As with the other assay, the fish were allowed to adjust to the change in environment for 10 minutes. The number of chases, charges, and bites between fish were recorded for 10 minutes.

aration affects their social behavior. Individual broods were transferred into a novel aquarium containing only water. The fish were allowed to acclimate to the new environment for 10 minutes before scoring began. The number of charges, bites, and chases between fish that occurred in 10 minutes were recorded. The total sum of aggressive behaviors was then divided by the number of fish in the brood to create a score. Broods that only had one fish in them were excluded from this paradigm.

## 2.3 Gene Expression Assay

Directly following behavioral testing, fish were measured for length and weight and quickly decapitated. The brains were then extracted and placed into 1 mL of RNALater and stored at 4 °C until needed for RNA isolation. RNA from each individual's whole brains was extracted using a Maxwell 16 LEV simplyRNA Tissue kit. RNA quality and concentration were confirmed using gel electrophoresis and nanodrop. For each sample, 100 ng of isolated RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA with an Invitrogen Reverse Transcription kit.






Figure 2.4: Primer design.

Primers sequences were taken from a study by Taborsky *et. al.* The locations of the primers were then found by comparing the sequences to *A. burtoni* transcriptional sequences using the NCBI BLAST database. The GR2 primer is designed to target the homologous duplicated GR receptor (*nr3c1*) gene. Primers that span introns are denoted by two arrows in the same direction.

Previously validated primers for GR1a, GR1b, and GR2 were used for qPCR (Solomon-Lane and Hofmann, 2018). The housekeeping gene *rpl32*, which is a ribosomal protein coding gene, was used as a reference for GR expression. Each reaction contained cDNA (1  $\mu$ L), 2x Immuno Mix (10  $\mu$ L), forward and reverse primers (1  $\mu$ L of 100 nM each), 50x SYBR Green (0.5  $\mu$ L), and nuclease-free water (6.5  $\mu$ L). The program used for the qPCR reaction involved a hot start (95°C, 5:00 min), 40 cycles that each ended with a fluorescent plate read (94°C, 0:45 min; 60.9°C, 1:00 min; 72°C, 0:30 min), 72°C for 2:00 min, and a melt curve analysis from 65°C to 95°C that measured fluorescence at 0.5°C intervals every five seconds.

Table 2.1: Genes and corresponding primer sequences used for qPCR

Target	Forward Primer (5' to 3')	Reverse Primer(5' to 3')
gr2	TGC CTC TGT CAC TGC CAC CGT AG	AGT CGT CTG CGT CTG AAG TAA CTG
gr1a	TCA TAA GAT CTG TTT GGT GTG CTC	GTA GTT GTG CTG GCC TTC AAC
gr1b	TGT TGG CTT CTC CGG TTC ATC AC	GTT GTG CTG GCC ATC TGT GTT T
rfl32	TGC TGA TGC CCA ACA TCG GTT	TCT TGG AGG AGA CAT TGT GGG

## Troubleshooting

Prior to working with experimental fish, an age-matched brood was used to troubleshoot behavioral testing. Following that, the brood was euthanized using MS-222




Figure 2.5: The central dogma of molecular biology including reverse transcription.

The central dogma of molecular biology explains how DNA informs protein structure. DNA is transcribed into pre-mRNA. The introns (red), or non-coding regions, of the pre-mRNA are then spliced out, leaving only the exons (green). The spliced mRNA is then translated into a sequence of amino acids that together form a protein. Reverse transcription is the process of making mRNA into a double stranded nucleic acid known as cDNA or rtDNA. Unlike DNA, cDNA does not contain the sequences of introns.

to practice brain extractions. Two of the extracted brains were used for gene expression troubleshooting. RNA was extracted from the brains and reverse transcribed into cDNA. All of the primer sets were tested using PCR on a gradient of melting temperature using this cDNA. The most effective melting temperature was then selected for qPCR. The efficiency of individual primers at the selected melting temperature was calculated with qPCR using a dilution series of the cDNA.

# Chapter 3

## Results

### 3.1 Size

Because of the ability of glucocorticoids to alter development, each experimental groups' body compositions were assessed. Prior to euthanasia each fry's weight and length, from the nose to the beginning of the caudal fin, were measured. Body ratio was calculated to be the weight divided by body length. The conditions were compared using a student's t-test for independent means. There was no significant difference between fry kept with their mothers ( $M = 0.23$  g/cm,  $SD = 0.09$ ) and fry separated from their mothers ( $M = 0.20$  g/cm,  $SD = 0.11$ ),  $p = 0.25$ . There was also no significant difference between the length alone ( $M$  separated = 2.31 cm,  $M$  unseparated = 2.49 cm)  $p = 0.51$  and the weight alone ( $M$  separated = 0.52 g,  $M$  unseparated = 0.60 g)  $p = 0.65$ .

### 3.2 Boldness

The boldness assay is meant to assess the fry's anxiety-like behavior in a novel environment. The experimental tank was divided into three parts: the shelter, where fry could hide behind; the bottom of the tank, where fry were exposed, but could




Figure 3.1: Comparison of fry body ratio.

The body ratio of each fry was calculated as the body weight in grams divided by the length in centimeters. There was no statistically significant difference between the size of fry kept with their mothers ( $M = 0.23$ ,  $SD = 0.09$ ) and fry separated from their mothers ( $M = 0.20$ ,  $SD = 0.11$ ),  $t = 0.70$ ,  $p = 0.25$ .




Figure 3.2: Effect of maternal separation on boldness.

Fry were placed individually into a novel tank divided into three parts: top, bottom, and shelter. The percent of time spent in each region over the course of 10 minutes was recorded. Fry separated from their mothers spent significantly more time in the bottom of the tank compared to those that were unseparated ( $p < 0.05$ ). There was no significant difference between groups for time spent in shelter ( $p = 0.08$ ) and in top ( $p = 0.22$ )

blend in with the gravel; and the top of the tank, where fry were in completely open water. The data were non-normally distributed, so a Wilcoxon rank test was used to analyze them. Fry that were separated from their mothers spent a significantly greater percentage of their time in the the bottom of the tank ( $M = 43\%$ ) compared to those unseparated from their mothers ( $M = 20\%$ ),  $p < 0.05$ . There was no significant difference between conditions in the time spent at the top ( $M$  separated = 10%,  $M$  unseparated = 5%,  $p = 0.22$ ) and behind the shelter ( $M$  separated = 45%,  $M$  unseparated = 72%,  $p = 0.08$ )

### 3.3 Aggression




Figure 3.3: Comparison of tank aggression between groups.

Fry aggression was measured as the amount of charges, chases, and bites that occurred within 10 minutes, divided by the number of fry in the tank. Broods in which there was only one fry in the tank were not tested. As measured by a Wilcoxon rank-sum test, there was no difference between fry separated from their mothers (rank sum = 67) and fry kept with their mothers (rank sum = 69),  $z = 0.11$ ,  $p = 0.92$ .

To measure brood aggression, the total number of charges, chases, and bites were summed. This sum was then divided by the number of fry in the brood to calculate an average aggression score that accounted for brood size. The resulting data were not normally distributed, so to analyze the aggression, a Wilcoxon Ranked Sum test was used. There was no significant difference in the number of attacks per fish between the two groups ( $M$  separated = 4.12,  $M$  unseparated = 3.75,  $p = 0.92$ ).

### 3.4 Glucocorticoid Receptor Expression




Figure 3.4: GR expression profile for experimental conditions.

Relative expression for GR1a, GR1b, and GR2 were calculated by adjusting the  $C_q$  by primer efficiency and then by dividing this starting quantity by starting quantity of the control gene *rpl32*. There was no significant difference in the expression levels between groups (GR1a  $p = 0.38$ , GR1b  $p = 0.31$ , GR2  $p = 0.63$ ).

The starting quantity (SQ) for each sample was calculated by adjusting the cycle quantification ( $C_q$ ) value by the efficiency of the primer in order to correct for starting

concentration of cDNA. The mean SQ for each sample (samples were run in duplicate) was then divided by the mean SQ of *rpl32*. GR1a and GR1b expression between conditions were analyzed individually using an independent means t-test. The data for GR2 expression were not normally distributed, so Wilcoxon Ranked Sum tests were used for analysis.

There was no statistically significant gene expression between treatment groups for all three genes of interest (GR1a  $M$  separated = 1.02,  $M$  unseparated = 0.92,  $p$  = 0.38; GR1b  $M$  separated = 0.86,  $M$  unseparated = 1.00,  $p$  = 0.31; GR2  $M$  separated = 1.14,  $M$  unseparated = 1.12,  $p$  = 0.63)



# Chapter 4

## Discussion

### Interpretation of data

In the present study, not all variables that can influence GR expression were accounted for. For one, sex is known to influence the degree of HPA-axis regulation that occurs in response to early life stress. Female rats exhibit a larger change in HPA-axis function in response to early life stress compared to males. (McCormick et al., 1995). Social dominance is also known to influence GR expression profiles in *A. burtoni*, with dominant males having overall higher levels of GR1a and GR2 expression (Korzan et al., 2014). *A. burtoni* take on dominance and subordination phenotypes as they mature. While most of the focal fish were too young to establish distinct hierarchies, one brood (0-0) had a male fish with prominent dominance markings and behaviors, suggesting that dominance could have been established in other broods by 130 days.

Given that the work of Michael Meaney primarily models maternal care and not maternal separation, it may be worth altering the experimental conditions to better mirror the rat model. One potential measure of maternal care in *A. burtoni* is filial cannibalism. Lab stock mothers exhibited higher instances of cannibalizing their young compared to wild stock mothers, likely as a result of artificial separation of fry

and mothers within lab stock leading to decreased selective pressure for kin selection (Renn et al., 2009; Lonstein and Gammie, 2002). This measure is of course not useful in a study observing the phenotypes of fry; however, the distance between fry and mother after initial release is a measure correlated with filial cannibalism that could be used. A preliminary study by the Renn lab found that wild stock mothers were significantly closer in proximity to their fry compared lab stock mothers. This measure of maternal care would be useful if there is a notable variation within a single stock, since to use wild stock as the high maternal care group and lab stock as the low maternal care group would introduce a number of other variables associated with differences in genotype and phenotype that exist between the groups. This experimental paradigm would also be a natural occurring phenotype in contrast to the artificial induction of maternal separation that occurred in the focal experiment.

Another difference between the present study and Meaney's work is that the former examined whole brain GR expression, whereas the latter studied regional GR expression. Because GRs are widely expressed throughout the brain and can have both excitatory and inhibitory feedback roles depending on the brain region, it is possible that any regional changes in expression were muted by changes in the opposite direction within another brain region (Busada and Cidlowski, 2017; Herman et al., 2005). Unfortunately, the telencephalic pallium and medial pallium, regions expected to be responsive to early life stress, of *A. burtoni* are much smaller than the homologous structures in rats (hippocampus and amygdala respectively) and thus hard to isolate. Additionally, there is not a clear homologue of the mammalian prefrontal cortex, a region known to be heavily affected by fluctuations in glucocorticoids, in teleosts (Lupien et al., 2009; Yamamoto, 2009).

#### **Add in a stressor different ways of measuring changes in HPA axis**

The ability of organisms to alter their gene expression to better match their environment is a crucial adaptation. That being said, when organisms undergo epigenetic

changes in response to an early life environment that does not match their adult environment, the results can be detrimental. Given the large influence that early life stress exposure has on the developing brain and body, it is important to continue research that uncovers the mechanisms behind HPA axis reprogramming.



# References

- Arnold, C. and Taborsky, B. (2010). Social experience in early ontogeny has lasting effects on social skills in cooperatively breeding cichlids. *Animal Behaviour*, 79(3):621–630.
- Bannier, F., Tebbich, S., and Taborsky, B. (2017). Early experience affects learning performance and neophobia in a cooperatively breeding cichlid. *Ethology*, 123(10):712–723.
- Barry, T. P., Malison, J. A., Held, J. A., and Parrish, J. J. (1995). Ontogeny of the cortisol stress response in larval rainbow trout. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 97(1):57–65.
- Border, S. E., Piefke, T. J., Fialkowski, R. J., Tryc, M. R., Funnell, T. R., DeOliveira, G. M., and Dijkstra, P. D. (2019). Color change and pigmentation in a color polymorphic cichlid fish. *Hydrobiologia*, 832(1):175–191.
- Busada, J. T. and Cidlowski, J. A. (2017). *Nuclear receptors in development and disease*. Current topics in developmental biology ; v. 125. Elsevier Academic Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Caldji, C., Hellstrom, I. C., Zhang, T.-Y., Diorio, J., and Meaney, M. J. (2011). Environmental regulation of the neural epigenome. *FEBS Letters*, 585(13):2049–2058.
- Caldji, C., Tannenbaum, B., Sharma, S., Francis, D., Plotsky, P. M., and Meaney, M. J. (1998). Maternal care during infancy regulates the development of neural systems mediating the expression of fearfulness in the rat. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 95(9):5335–5340.
- Crick, F. (1955). On Degenerate Templates and the Adaptor Hypothesis.
- Faught, E., Best, C., and Vijayan, M. M. (2016). Maternal stress-associated cortisol stimulation may protect embryos from cortisol excess in zebrafish. *Royal Society Open Science*, 3(2):160032.
- Fernald, R. D. (1977). Quantitative behavioural observations of *Haplochromis burtoni* under semi-natural conditions. *Animal Behaviour*, 25:643–653.

- Francis, D., Diorio, J., Liu, D., and Meaney, M. J. (1999). Nongenomic transmission across generations of maternal behavior and stress responses in the rat. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 286(5442):1155–1158.
- Galton, F. (1874). *English Men of Science: Their Nature and Nurture*. Macmillan & Co., London.
- Gluckman, P. D., Hanson, M. A., and Beedle, A. S. (2007). Early life events and their consequences for later disease: A life history and evolutionary perspective. *American Journal of Human Biology*, 19(1):1–19.
- Gravanis, A. G. and Mellon, S. H., editors (2011). *Hormones in neurodegeneration, neuroprotection, and neurogenesis*. Wiley-Blackwell, Weinheim, Germany. OCLC: ocn707710803.
- Greenwood, A. K., Butler, P. C., White, R. B., DeMarco, U., Pearce, D., and Fernald, R. D. (2003). Multiple Corticosteroid Receptors in a Teleost Fish: Distinct Sequences, Expression Patterns, and Transcriptional Activities. *Endocrinology*, 144(10):4226–4236.
- Herman, J. P., McKlveen, J. M., Ghosal, S., Kopp, B., Wulsin, A., Makinson, R., Scheimann, J., and Myers, B. (2016). Regulation of the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenocortical Stress Response. In Terjung, R., editor, *Comprehensive Physiology*, pages 603–621. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA.
- Herman, J. P., Ostrander, M. M., Mueller, N. K., and Figueiredo, H. (2005). Limbic system mechanisms of stress regulation: Hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical axis. *Progress in Neuro-Psychopharmacology and Biological Psychiatry*, 29(8):1201–1213.
- Hershey, A. D. and Chase, M. (1952). Independent functions of viral protein and nucleic acid in growth of bacteriophage. *The Journal of General Physiology*, 36(1):39–56.
- Jaenisch, R. and Bird, A. (2003a). Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: how the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. *Nature Genetics*, 33(S3):245–254.
- Jaenisch, R. and Bird, A. (2003b). Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: how the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals. *Nature Genetics*, 33(S3):245–254.
- Jawahar, M. C., Murgatroyd, C., Harrison, E. L., and Baune, B. T. (2015). Epigenetic alterations following early postnatal stress: a review on novel aetiological mechanisms of common psychiatric disorders. *Clinical Epigenetics*, 7(1).
- Jašarević, E., Rodgers, A. B., and Bale, T. L. (2015). A novel role for maternal stress and microbial transmission in early life programming and neurodevelopment. *Neurobiology of Stress*, 1:81–88.

- Keller-Wood, M. (2015). Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis-Feedback Control. In Terjung, R., editor, *Comprehensive Physiology*, pages 1161–1182. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA.
- Kim, E. J., Pellman, B., and Kim, J. J. (2015). Stress effects on the hippocampus: a critical review. *Learning & Memory*, 22(9):411–416.
- Klengel, T., Mehta, D., Anacker, C., Rex-Haffner, M., Pruessner, J. C., Pariante, C. M., Pace, T. W. W., Mercer, K. B., Mayberg, H. S., Bradley, B., Nemeroff, C. B., Holsboer, F., Heim, C. M., Ressler, K. J., Rein, T., and Binder, E. B. (2013). Allele-specific FKBP5 DNA demethylation mediates gene–childhood trauma interactions. *Nature Neuroscience*, 16(1):33–41.
- Koltzoff, N. (1934). THE STRUCTURE OF THE CHROMOSOMES IN THE SALIVARY GLANDS OF DROSOPHILA. *Science*, 80(2075):312–313.
- Korzan, W. J., Grone, B. P., and Fernald, R. D. (2014). Social regulation of cortisol receptor gene expression. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 217(18):3221–3228.
- Kouzarides, T. (2007). Chromatin Modifications and Their Function. *Cell*, 128(4):693–705.
- Krenik, D. (2018). The effects of maternal care on glucocorticoid receptor expression in the mouthbrooding cichlid *A. burtoni*.
- Krubitzer, L. and Kahn, D. M. (2003). Nature versus nurture revisited: an old idea with a new twist. *Progress in Neurobiology*, 70(1):33–52.
- Lakehayli, S., Said, N., Battas, O., Hakkou, F., and Tazi, A. (2015). Prenatal stress alters sensitivity to benzodiazepines in adult rats. *Neuroscience Letters*, 591:187–191g.
- Lapp, H. E., Bartlett, A. A., and Hunter, R. G. (2019). Stress and glucocorticoid receptor regulation of mitochondrial gene expression. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology*, pages R121–R128.
- Liu, D., Diorio, J., Day, J. C., Francis, D. D., and Meaney, M. J. (2000). Maternal care, hippocampal synaptogenesis and cognitive development in rats. *Nature Neuroscience*, 3(8):799–806.
- Liu, D., Diorio, J., Tannenbaum, B., Caldji, C., Francis, D., Freedman, A., Sharma, S., Pearson, D., Plotsky, P. M., and Meaney, M. J. (1997). Maternal care, hippocampal glucocorticoid receptors, and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to stress. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 277(5332):1659–1662.
- Lonstein, J. S. and Gammie, S. C. (2002). Sensory, hormonal, and neural control of maternal aggression in laboratory rodents. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 26(8):869–888.

- Lorenz, M. G. and Wackernagel, W. (1994). Bacterial gene transfer by natural genetic transformation in the environment. *Microbiological Reviews*, 58(3):563–602.
- Lu, N. Z., Collins, J. B., Grissom, S. F., and Cidlowski, J. A. (2007). Selective Regulation of Bone Cell Apoptosis by Translational Isoforms of the Glucocorticoid Receptor. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 27(20):7143–7160.
- Lupien, S. J., McEwen, B. S., Gunnar, M. R., and Heim, C. (2009). Effects of stress throughout the lifespan on the brain, behaviour and cognition. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 10(6):434–445.
- McCormick, C. M., Smythe, J. W., Sharma, S., and Meaney, M. J. (1995). Sex-specific effects of prenatal stress on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal responses to stress and brain glucocorticoid receptor density in adult rats. *Developmental Brain Research*, 84(1):55–61.
- McGowan, P. O., Sasaki, A., D’Alessio, A. C., Dymov, S., Labonté, B., Szyf, M., Turecki, G., and Meaney, M. J. (2009). Epigenetic regulation of the glucocorticoid receptor in human brain associates with childhood abuse. *Nature Neuroscience*, 12(3):342–348.
- Meaney, M. J. (2006). Nature, Nurture, and the Disunity of Knowledge. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 935(1):50–61.
- Meaney, M. J., Diorio, J., Francis, D., Widdowson, J., LaPlante, P., Caldji, C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J. R., and Plotsky, P. M. (1996). Early environmental regulation of forebrain glucocorticoid receptor gene expression: implications for adrenocortical responses to stress. *Developmental Neuroscience*, 18(1-2):49–72.
- Murmu, M. S., Salomon, S., Biala, Y., Weinstock, M., Braun, K., and Bock, J. (2006). Changes of spine density and dendritic complexity in the prefrontal cortex in offspring of mothers exposed to stress during pregnancy. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 24(5):1477–1487.
- Nyman, C., Fischer, S., Aubin-Horth, N., and Taborsky, B. (2017). Effect of the early social environment on behavioural and genomic responses to a social challenge in a cooperatively breeding vertebrate. *Molecular Ecology*, 26(12):3186–3203.
- Nyman, C., Fischer, S., Aubin-Horth, N., and Taborsky, B. (2018). Evolutionary conserved neural signature of early life stress affects animal social competence. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 285(1871):20172344.
- Oakley, R. H. and Cidlowski, J. A. (2013). The biology of the glucocorticoid receptor: New signaling mechanisms in health and disease. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 132(5):1033–1044.
- Pastor, V., Pallarés, M. E., and Antonelli, M. C. (2018). Prenatal stress increases adult vulnerability to cocaine reward without affecting pubertal anxiety or novelty response. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 339:186–194.



- Pijanowski, L., Jurecka, P., Irnazarow, I., Kepka, M., Szwejser, E., Verburg-van Kemenade, B. M. L., and Chadzinska, M. (2015). Activity of the hypothalamus–pituitary–interrenal axis (HPI axis) and immune response in carp lines with different susceptibility to disease. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 41(5):1261–1278.
- Renn, S. C. P., Aubin-Horth, N., and Hofmann, H. A. (2008). Fish and chips: functional genomics of social plasticity in an African cichlid fish. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 211(18):3041–3056.
- Renn, S. C. P., Carleton, J. B., Magee, H., Nguyen, M. L. T., and Tanner, A. C. W. (2009). Maternal care and altered social phenotype in a recently collected stock of *Astatotilapia burtoni* cichlid fish. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 49(6):660–673.
- Said, N., Lakehayli, S., El Khachibi, M., El Ouahli, M., Nadifi, S., Hakkou, F., and Tazi, A. (2015). Prenatal stress induces vulnerability to nicotine addiction and alters D2 receptors’ expression in the nucleus accumbens in adult rats. *Neuroscience*, 304:279–285.
- Saif, Z., Hodyl, N., Stark, M., Fuller, P., Cole, T., Lu, N., and Clifton, V. (2015). Expression of eight glucocorticoid receptor isoforms in the human preterm placenta vary with fetal sex and birthweight. *Placenta*, 36(7):723–730.
- Salas, C., Broglio, C., Durán, E., Gómez, A., Ocaña, F. M., Jiménez-Moya, F., and Rodríguez, F. (2006). Neuropsychology of Learning and Memory in Teleost Fish. *Zebrafish*, 3(2):157–171.
- Samarasinghe, R. A., Di Maio, R., Volonte, D., Galbiati, F., Lewis, M., Romero, G., and DeFranco, D. B. (2011). Nongenomic glucocorticoid receptor action regulates gap junction intercellular communication and neural progenitor cell proliferation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(40):16657–16662.
- Sapolsky, R. M., Romero, L. M., and Munck, A. U. (2000). How do glucocorticoids influence stress responses? Integrating permissive, suppressive, stimulatory, and preparative actions. *Endocrine Reviews*, 21(1):55–89.
- Sasaki, J. Y. and Kim, H. S. (2017). Nature, Nurture, and Their Interplay: A Review of Cultural Neuroscience. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 48(1):4–22.
- Sofiabadi, M., Esmaeili, M.-H., Haghdoost-Yazdi, H., Dezfulian, M., Afshari, Z. H., and Goodarzvand Chegini, K. (2018). Effects of Prenatal Combined Stress on Passive Avoidance Learning and Memory in Rats. *Neurophysiology*, 50(2):116–123.
- Solomon-Lane, T. K. and Hofmann, H. A. (2018). Early-life social environment alters juvenile behavior and neuroendocrine function in a highly social cichlid fish: *bioRxiv*.

- Spiers, J. G., Chen, H.-J. C., Sernia, C., and Lavidis, N. A. (2015). Activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal stress axis induces cellular oxidative stress. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 8.
- Sun, H., Wu, H., Liu, J., Wen, J., Zhu, Z., and Li, H. (2017). Prenatal Stress Impairs Spatial Learning and Memory Associated with Lower mRNA Level of the CAMKII and CREB in the Adult Female Rat Hippocampus. *Neurochemical Research*, 42(5):1496–1503.
- Taborsky, B., Tschirren, L., Meunier, C., and Aubin-Horth, N. (2012). Stable re-programming of brain transcription profiles by the early social environment in a cooperatively breeding fish. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 280(1753):20122605–20122605.
- Tinbergen, N. (2005). On aims and methods of Ethology. *Animal Biology*, 55(4):297–321.
- Turecki, G. and Meaney, M. J. (2016). Effects of the Social Environment and Stress on Glucocorticoid Receptor Gene Methylation: A Systematic Review. *Biological Psychiatry*, 79(2):87–96.
- Vallée, M., MacCari, S., Dellu, F., Simon, H., Le Moal, M., and Mayo, W. (1999). Long-term effects of prenatal stress and postnatal handling on age-related glucocorticoid secretion and cognitive performance: a longitudinal study in the rat. *The European Journal of Neuroscience*, 11(8):2906–2916.
- van Bodegom, M., Homberg, J. R., and Henckens, M. J. A. G. (2017). Modulation of the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal Axis by Early Life Stress Exposure. *Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience*, 11.
- Vey, L. T., Rosa, H. Z., Barcelos, R. C. S., Segat, H. J., Metz, V. G., Dias, V. T., Duarte, T., Duarte, M. M., and Burger, M. E. (2016). Stress during the gestational period modifies pups’ emotionality parameters and favors preference for morphine in adolescent rats. *Behavioural Brain Research*, 296:408–417.
- Watson, J. D. and Crick, F. H. (1953). Molecular structure of nucleic acids; a structure for deoxyribose nucleic acid. *Nature*, 171(4356):737–738.
- Weaver, I. C. G., Cervoni, N., Champagne, F. A., D’Alessio, A. C., Sharma, S., Seckl, J. R., Dymov, S., Szyf, M., and Meaney, M. J. (2004). Epigenetic programming by maternal behavior. *Nature Neuroscience*, 7(8):847–854.
- Weinstock, M. (2017). Prenatal stressors in rodents: Effects on behavior. *Neurobiology of Stress*, 6:3–13.
- Yamamoto, N. (2009). Studies on the teleost brain morphology in search of the origin of cognition: Teleost brain morphology. *Japanese Psychological Research*, 51(3):154–167.

- 
- Zhang, T.-Y. and Meaney, M. J. (2010). Epigenetics and the Environmental Regulation of the Genome and Its Function. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 61(1):439–466.