Linear Regression for Prediction & the predict Function

Machine Learning - Sections 3.1.1 & 3.1.2

Marc Omar Haddad

Published: 9 February, 2020

Updated: 12 February, 2020

Linear Regression can be considered to be a form of Machine Learning. Although it is too rigid to be useful in general, it can be very effective in certain cases. It is also a baseline approach to Machine Learning in that it is often used if more complex methods are impractical.

Linking Linear Regression and Machine Learning

We can use Galton's data set to exhibit the link between Linear Regression and Machine Learning.

```
library(HistData)

galton_heights = GaltonFamilies %>%
  filter(childNum == 1 & gender == "male") %>%
  select(father, childHeight) %>%
  rename(son = childHeight)
```

Our task is to build a Machine Learning algorithm that predicts the son's height Y using the father's height X.

First, we generate our test_set and train_set.

```
y = galton_heights$son
test_index = createDataPartition(y, times = 1, p = 0.5, list = FALSE)

train_set = galton_heights %>% slice(-test_index)
test_set = galton_heights %>% slice(test_index)
```

To see if our eventual algorithm performs better than merely guessing, we create an algorithm that estimates son by simply finding the average of all son heights in our train_set, and calculating for R^2 Loss.

```
avg = mean(train_set$son)
avg
```

```
## [1] 70
```

```
mean((avg - test_set$son)^2) # R^2 Loss
```

[1] 6.2

Our goal is to construct an algorithm that is better than the one above.

We know from our earlier lesson on Linear Regression that if both our variables (X, Y) follow a **bivariate** normal distribution, the Conditional Expectation is equal to the Regression Line.

$$f(x) = \mathrm{E}(Y \mid X = x) = \beta_0 \ + \ \beta_1 x$$

In R, we can calculate the values β_0 and β_1 with the following simple formula:

```
fit = lm(son ~ father, data = train_set)
fit$coef
```

```
## (Intercept) father
## 31.43 0.57
```

This gives us an estimate of the Conditional Expectation:

$$\hat{f}(x) = 31.43 + 0.57 x$$

With x being equal to father height.

Now we assess our function to see if we've improved upon our initial "estimate" function.

```
y_hat = fit$coef[1] + fit$coef[2] * test_set$father
mean((y_hat - test_set$son)^2)
```

[1] 5

As we can see, our algorithm does indeed perform better than simply estimating the son's height as evidenced by our lower \mathbb{R}^2 Loss value.