Improving the Return Value of Erase-Like Algorithms I: list/forward_list

Document #: D0646R1 Date: 2018-06-04

Project: Programming Language C++

Library Working Group

Reply-to: Marc Mutz <marc.mutz@kdab.com>

0 Change History

0.1 Changes from P0646R0

- 1. Removed changes to Library Fundamentals V2, as that is already released. Split the Library Fundamentals bits into a new paper to be released when LFv3 opens shop.
- 2. Changed the return type from size_t to container::size_type (as per LEWG request in Toronto).
- 3. Rebased on latest $C++2a \operatorname{draft} [N4750]$.

1 Introduction

We propose to change the return type of the remove(), remove_if() and unique() members of forward_list and list from void to container::size_type, returning the number of elements removed.

This restores consistency with long-established API, such as map/set::erase(key_type).

We show that C++17 compilers do not pessimise existing users that ignore the return value.

2 Motivation and Scope

2.1 [[nodiscard]] Useful Information

Alexander Stepanov, in his A9 courses[A9], teaches us not to throw away useful information, but instead return it from the algorithm.

With that in mind, look at the following example:

```
std::forward_list<std::shared_ptr<T>> fl = ...;
fl.remove(nullptr);
```

Did remove() remove anything? We don't know. The only way we can learn whether the algorithm removed something is to check the size of the list before and after the algorithm run. For most containers, that is a valid option, and fast. All size() methods of STL containers are O(1) these days.

```
But std::forward_list has no size()...
```

We therefore propose to make the algorithms return the number of removed elements. While it is only really necessary for forward_list, we believe that consistency here is more important than minimalism.

Returning the number of elements also enables convenient one-line checks:

```
if (fl.remove(nullptr)) {
    // removed some
}
```

2.2 Consistency

We note that the associative containers have returned the number of erased elements from their erase(key_type) member functions since at least [SGI STL]. This proposal therefore also restores lost consistency with existing practice.

3 Impact on the Standard

Minimal. We propose to change the return value of library functions from void to container::size_type. Existing users expecting no return value can continue to ignore it. In particular, this is one of the changes explicitly mentioned in [P0921R2].

4 Proposed Wording

4.1 Changes to [N4750]

In section [forwardlist.overview]:

• in paragraph 3, change the remove(), remove_if() and unique() return types from void to size_type (four instances).

In section [forwardlist.ops]:

- after paragraphs 12 and 16, change the remove(), remove_if() and unique() return types from void to size_type (four instances).
- after paragraphs 13 and 17, add new paragraph each:

Returns: The number of elements erased.

In section [list.overview]:

• in paragraph 2, change the remove(), remove_if() and unique() return types from void to size_type (four instances).

In section [list.ops]:

- after paragraphs 14 and 18, change the remove(), remove_if() and unique() return types from void to size_type (four instances).
- after paragraphs 15 and 19, add new paragraph each:

Returns: The number of elements erased.

5 Performance Considerations

Early reviewers of this proposal expressed concerns that the calculation of the return value might pessimise the algorithm over the version that returns void. Tests run on godbolt.org show, however, that the assembler instructions generated for the functions counting() and noncounting() in the following test were identical for GCC:

```
#include <vector>
#include <set>
#include <unordered_set>
#include <map>
#include <unordered_map>
#include <list>
#include <deque>
#include <algorithm>
#include <iterator>
#include <type_traits>
template <typename Container>
struct is_node_based : std::false_type {};
#define IS_NODE_BASED(C) \
    template <typename...Args> \
    struct is_node_based<std::C<Args...>> : std::true_type {}
IS_NODE_BASED(set);
IS_NODE_BASED(multiset);
IS_NODE_BASED(unordered_set);
IS_NODE_BASED(unordered_multiset);
IS_NODE_BASED(map);
IS_NODE_BASED(multimap);
IS_NODE_BASED(unordered_map);
IS_NODE_BASED(unordered_multimap);
IS_NODE_BASED(list);
extern bool do_check(int);
```

```
extern bool do_check(std::pair<int, long>);
const auto check = [](auto i) { return do_check(i); };
template <typename Container, typename Predicate>
void erase_if(Container &c, Predicate p)
{
    if constexpr (is_node_based < Container > ()) {
        const auto end = c.end();
        for (auto it = c.begin(); it != end; /*erasing*/) {
            if (p(*it)) {
                it = c.erase(it);
            } else {
                ++it;
           }
        }
    } else {
        const auto end = c.end();
        const auto it = std::remove_if(c.begin(), end, p);
        c.erase(it, end);
   }
}
template <typename Container, typename Predicate>
std::size_t erase_if_c(Container &c, Predicate p)
{
    if constexpr (is_node_based < Container > ()) {
        auto result = size_t{};
        const auto end = c.end();
        for (auto it = c.begin(); it != end; /*erasing*/) {
            if (p(*it)) {
                it = c.erase(it);
                ++result;
            } else {
                ++it;
        }
        return result;
    } else {
        const auto end = c.end();
        const auto it = std::remove_if(c.begin(), end, p);
        const auto numRemoved = size_t(std::distance(it, end));
        c.erase(it, end);
        return numRemoved;
    }
}
void counting(std::vector<int> &c)
                                                 { erase_if_c(c, check); }
                                                 { erase_if_c(c, check); }
void counting(std::deque<int> &c)
                                                 { erase_if_c(c, check); }
void counting(std::list<int> &c)
void counting(std::set<int> &c)
                                                 { erase_if_c(c, check); }
void counting(std::unordered_set<int> &c)
                                                 { erase_if_c(c, check); }
void counting(std::map<int, long> &c)
                                                 { erase_if_c(c, check); }
void counting(std::unordered_map<int, long> &c) { erase_if_c(c, check); }
void noncounting(std::vector<int> &c)
                                                    { erase_if(c, check); }
```

Container	GCC 7.1	Clang 4.0	MSVC 2017
vector	identical	identical	
deque	identical	identical	
list	identical	equivalent	
set	identical	equivalent	
$unordered_set$	identical	identical	
map	identical	equivalent	
$unordered_map$	identical	identical	

Table 1: Assembler Comparison @ -02 (MSVC does not support constexpr-if)

```
void noncounting(std::deque<int> &c) { erase_if(c, check); }
void noncounting(std::list<int> &c) { erase_if(c, check); }
void noncounting(std::set<int> &c) { erase_if(c, check); }
void noncounting(std::unordered_set<int> &c) { erase_if(c, check); }
void noncounting(std::map<int, long> &c) { erase_if(c, check); }
void noncounting(std::unordered_map<int, long> &c) { erase_if(c, check); }
```

Clang sometimes formats the code a little differently (same instructions, grouped differently), without a clear indication which of the two is better. In Table 1, this is called *equivalent*.

We think it is safe to say that the introduction of the return type does not pessimise callers that don't need it.

6 Acknowledgements

We thank the reviewers of draft versions of this proposal and the participants of the associated discussions on **std-proposals@isocpp.org** and LWG in Rapperswil for their input: Sean Parent, Arthur O'Dwyer, Nicol Bolas, Ville Voutilainen, Casey Carter, Milian Wolff, André Somers. All remaining errors are ours.

The authors would like to thank Titus Winters for championing revision 0 of this paper in Toronto.

7 References

```
[A9] Alexander Stepanov et al.
```

Four Algorithmic Journeys / Efficient Programming With Components / Programming Conversations

https://www.youtube.com/user/A9Videos/playlists?view=1

[SGI STL] Alexander Stepanov et al.

Associative Container

in: Standard Template Library Programmer's Guide

https://www.sgi.com/tech/stl/AssociativeContainer.html

```
[N4750] Richard Smith (editor)
    Working Draft, Standard for Programming Language C++
    http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2018/n4750.pdf
[P0921R2] Titus Winters
    Standard Library Compatibility
    http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/papers/2018/p0921r2.pdf
```