

# Math 1152 Lecture Notes

July 25, 2022

## 1 Polar Coordinates

- What requirements should an alternate coordinate system meet?
- What reasons do we even have for introducing an alternate coordinate system?

When talking about parametric equations, we saw that we could parametrize the unit circle by considering

$$(\cos \theta, \sin \theta).$$

And if we want to work with a bigger or smaller circle, we can change the radius by multiplying through by an  $r$ :

$$(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta).$$

We now have two parameters,  $r$  and  $\theta$ , which give us a description of a 2-dimensional space.

What space is it?

### Casting Polar Coordinates to Cartesian

$$\text{polar}(r, \theta) = \text{cartesian}(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta).$$

**Exercise 1.** Graph the point  $\text{polar}(2, \frac{5\pi}{4})$ .

It is traditional to think of polar coordinates as describing the Euclidean plane, but with two defects:

1. The origin point  $\text{cartesian}(0, 0)$  is either not represented, or is represented by infinitely many polar coordinates: all coordinates of the form

$$\text{polar}(0, \theta).$$

2. Polar descriptions of cartesian points are non-unique in two ways:

- (a)  $\text{polar}(r, \theta) = \text{polar}(r, \theta + 2k\pi)$
- (b)  $\text{polar}(r, \theta) = \text{polar}(-r, \theta + \pi)$ .

The usual solution to (2a) is to require that all angles be in  $[0, 2\pi)$  - but then, there is a third, more subtle defect which pops up as we push the other one down:

- (c) If we apply the usual rule of giving all angles as  $\theta \bmod 2\pi$ , then there is a discontinuity between the coordinates  $\text{polar}(r, 2\pi - \epsilon)$  versus  $\text{polar}(r, \epsilon)$  even though the “physical” points are close together. (In fact, if we allow  $r = 0$ , then there is a similar discontinuity as we pass through the origin).

There are two solutions to these defects: one is to say that we aren’t actually giving coordinates for the cartesian plane, but some other space which then can be projected onto the cartesian plane; the second is to just sort of deal with it, trying to remember caveats as they come up.

**Remark 1.** Notice the symmetries in (2a) and (2b). We could consider them as stating that two different transformations on polar coordinates leave the underlying points fixed, and imagine taking a whole “plane” of  $(r, \theta)$  and gluing them together according to these transformations.

### Converting Between Polar Coordinates to Cartesian

$$x = r \cos \theta,$$

$$y = r \sin \theta$$

$$r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}.$$

**Question 1.** How do we find  $\theta$  above?

**Exercise 2.** Convert the point polar  $(2, \frac{5\pi}{4})$  to cartesian coordinates. Convert the point  $(-2, 4)$  to polar coordinates.

## 2 Polar Curves

In cartesian coordinates, the coordinate lines are  $x = \text{constant}$  and  $y = \text{constant}$ . These give horizontal and vertical lines.

In polar coordinates, the coordinate curves are  $r = \text{constant}$  and  $\theta = \text{constant}$ . What shapes do these represent?

Generally, curves in polar coordinates will take the form

$$r = f(\theta).$$

**Exercise 3.** Graph  $r = 2 \cos \theta$ . Convert  $r = 2 \cos \theta$  to cartesian coordinates.  
Convert  $r = 2 \cos \theta$  to a cartesian parametric equation.

**Exercise 4.** Graph  $r = 1 + \sin(\theta)$  in polar coordinates.

**Exercise 5.** Graph  $r = 3 \sin(2\theta)$ .

### 3 Common Polar Curves

1. **Line:**  $\theta = k$
2. **Circle:**  $r = a \cos \theta + b \sin \theta$
3. **Spiral:**  $r = a + b\theta$
4. **Cardioid:**  $r = a(1 \pm \sin \theta)$
5. **Cardioid:**  $r = a(1 \pm \cos \theta)$
6. **Limacon:**  $r = a \cos \theta + b$
7. **Limacon:**  $r = a \sin \theta + b$
8. **Rose:**  $r = a \cos(b\theta)$
9. **Rose:**  $r = a \sin(b\theta)$