

Master's Thesis

Vulnerabilities in Privacy-Preserving Record Linkage: The Threat of Dataset Extension Attacks

as part of the degree program Master of Science Business Informatics submitted
by

Marcel Mildenberger

Matriculation number 1979905

on February 12, 2025.

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Frederik Armknecht
PhD Student Jochen Schäfer

Abstract

The abstract should serve as an independent piece of information on your Thesis conveying a concise description of the main aspects and most important results. It should not be excessively long.

Write the abstract.

Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| Abstract | iii |
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 1.1. Motivation | 3 |
| 1.2. Related Work | 5 |
| 1.3. Contribution | 5 |
| 1.4. Organization of this Thesis | 6 |
| 2. Background | 7 |
| 2.1. Overview of PPRL | 7 |
| 2.2. Key encoding techniques | 7 |
| 2.2.1. Bloom Filters | 7 |
| 2.2.2. Tabulation MinHash | 7 |
| 2.2.3. Two-Step Hashing | 7 |
| 2.3. Graph Matching Attacks | 7 |
| 3. Methodology | 9 |
| 3.1. Conceptual framework for Dataset Extension | 9 |
| 3.2. Implementation | 9 |
| 4. Results | 11 |
| 4.1. Analysis | 11 |
| 4.2. Discussion | 11 |
| 5. Conclusion | 13 |
| 5.1. Summary | 13 |
| 5.2. Future Work | 13 |
| Bibliography | 15 |
| A. Auxiliary Information | 17 |
| Eidesstattliche Erklärung | 19 |

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Algorithms

List of Code Snippets

Acronyms

AI Artificial Intelligence

BF Bloom Filter

DEA Dataset Extension Attack

GMA Graph Matching Attack

ML Machine Learning

PII Personally Identifiable Information

PPRL Privacy-Preserving Record Linkage

TMH Tabulation MinHash Encoding

TSH Two-Step Hash Encoding

1. Introduction

Linking data and records is an important component of research, software development and software projects. The primary reason for integrating data from different sources is to gain richer, more comprehensive insights about the same entity. Initially, deterministic record linkage, which relies on exact matches between predefined identifiers such as unique IDs, was the main method used in early linkage techniques. However, deterministic approaches often fail in real-world scenarios where data may suffer from inconsistent formatting, typographical errors or missing values, making exact matches impossible [HSW07].

The introduction of a probabilistic framework for record linkage by Fellegi et al. in 1969 [FS69] marked a significant advance in overcoming these limitations. Their work, “A Theory for Record Linkage” [FS69], proposed a statistical method for linking records across datasets by calculating the probability that two records refer to the same entity, even when there are inconsistencies in the data. Their approach evaluates common attributes and assigns weights based on the likelihood of a match as opposed to a random similarity. By accounting for discrepancies in real-world data, the so-called Fellegi-Sunter model has become a fundamental methodology for data linkage, particularly in heterogeneous and distributed data environments where traditional deterministic methods fall short.

Such a probabilistic approach to record linkage is important in sectors such as healthcare and social sciences, where data is often distributed across multiple institutions or sources and lacks unique identifiers. In these fields, the ability to integrate datasets is essential for gaining insights and improving outcomes. In the United States, for example, the healthcare system is highly fragmented, consisting of numerous independent entities such as hospitals, clinics, insurance companies, public health agencies, and research institutions. Each of these organisations collects and stores patient data independently, often using different systems and, more importantly, different formats. This fragmentation creates significant challenges when trying to track patient outcomes, monitor disease outbreaks, or evaluate the effectiveness of treatments across populations. Effective data linkage can bridge these gaps by linking records that refer to the same individual across multiple datasets. This integration is critical for tasks such as epidemiological research, public health surveillance, personalised medicine and healthcare quality improvement [PSZ+24; VSCR17].

For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, the inability to efficiently link data between testing centres, hospitals and vaccination sites limited timely tracking of infection rates and vaccination outcomes. Had more robust data linkage mechanisms been in place, public health officials could have responded more effectively to outbreaks and targeted interventions to specific populations. Data linkage thus plays a critical role in transforming fragmented data landscapes into unified and actionable insights, leading to more informed decision-making. In response to the COVID-19 pandemic, organisations such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Food and Drug Administration have launched projects to address these challenges and further develop linkage techniques [PSZ+24].

In scenarios such as the COVID-19 pandemic, data integration efforts often involve linking records on natural persons from multiple sources. For example, integrating data from differ-

ent healthcare providers, laboratories and public health agencies typically requires the use of pseudo-identifiers derived from Personally Identifiable Information (PII), such as names, dates of birth or other sensitive information. However, reliance on PII for linkage raises significant privacy concerns, as improper handling of such data can lead to re-identification of individuals, with potentially serious consequences such as data breaches, identity theft or unauthorised access to personal health information [PSZ+24; SBR09].

The increasing digitisation of personal data has already led to large-scale data breaches, demonstrating the risks of improperly secured data. Notable incidents such as the Cambridge Analytica scandal, in which personal data was misused for political profiling, highlight the ethical and regulatory challenges of data integration [IH18]. Similarly, healthcare data leaks have raised concerns about the implications of unauthorised access to medical histories, genetic data and insurance records. Leaks of personal health information can have serious consequences, including blackmail, discrimination, and fraud, which can cause significant personal harm. For example, individuals whose medical histories are exposed may face discrimination in employment or insurance, while others may become targets of scams that exploit their health conditions. The potential for such abuse underlines the critical importance of robust data protection measures by working with PII [Smi16].

To address these privacy risks, various techniques have been developed to protect PII during the linking process, primarily by encrypting the data prior to linking. However, the use of encrypted PII as pseudo-identifiers presents additional challenges. The key question is how to efficiently encrypt sensitive information while maintaining the ability to accurately match records [SBR09].

Therefore, Privacy-Preserving Record Linkage (PPRL) techniques are designed to facilitate data integration without exposing sensitive information, ensuring that datasets can be securely linked across different entities. To enable linkage while preserving privacy, similarity preserving encryption is applied to the PII. Without such similarity preserving encryption, matches between encrypted entities in different databases would not be possible [SBR09; VSCR17].

Over time, three main privacy-preserving encryption schemes have emerged as enablers for PPRL [SAH24; VCRS20].

Bloom Filter (BF) encoding is the most widely used technique in PPRL and is often considered the reference standard [SAH24]. Originally introduced by Burton Bloom in 1970 as a probabilistic data structure for efficient set membership testing [Blo70], BFs were later adapted for PPRL due to their simplicity and efficiency in both storing and computing set similarities. Their compact representation and probabilistic nature make them ideal for scalable PPRL systems, especially in environments dealing with large datasets [SBR09]. The seminal work of Schnell et al. demonstrated the use of BFs in PPRL, particularly in healthcare, highlighting their ability to perform secure record matching without exposing sensitive identifiers [SBR09]. However, BFs are not without limitations. Their vulnerability to graph-based attacks and pattern exploitation has driven research into improving their security. Techniques such as diffusion have been proposed to obscure recognisable patterns and increase security [AHS23; SAH24]. For example, Armknecht et al. [AHS23] explored methods to strengthen the security of BF by adding a linear diffusion layer to the BF-based PPRL approach, which complicates pattern mining attacks.

To address some of these weaknesses of BFs, Tabulation MinHash Encoding (TMH) has been introduced as a more secure alternative. MinHash, first developed by Broder in 1997 for estimating set similarities in large document collections [Bro97], has been adapted using tabulation-based hashing [Smi17]. Although less widely used than BFs, TMH offers distinct

advantages, including stronger security guarantees against re-identification attacks. However, these benefits come at the cost of increased computational complexity and memory usage, which may limit its applicability in resource-constrained environments [Smi17].

A further development in encryption techniques is the introduction of Two-Step Hash Encoding (TSH), which aims to combine the strengths of both BF and TMH while mitigating their respective weaknesses. As detailed by [RCS20], TSH employs a two-stage process: data is first encrypted using multiple BFs, followed by an additional hashing layer that transforms the encrypted data into a set of integers suitable for similarity comparison. This layered approach enhances privacy by adding an extra layer of obfuscation, making it more resistant to attack, while maintaining efficient similarity computations [RCS20; VCRS20].

In practice, BF-based PPRL has become the dominant standard and is widely used in areas such as crime detection, fraud prevention and national security due to its balance of efficiency and ease of implementation. However, BF-based PPRL systems are not without limitations and vulnerabilities. Previous research has shown that there are several attacks targeting PPRL systems, with a focus on exploiting the weaknesses inherent in BF encodings. These attacks specifically target weaknesses in BF constructions, such as the weaknesses introduced by double hashing, structural flaws in filter design, and susceptibility to common pattern-mining techniques. Notably, no specific attacks have been developed for TMH or TSH encodings, suggesting that research has focused primarily on the more widely used BF scheme. [VCRS20]

However, a more recent and practical attack has emerged that exploits vulnerabilities common to all PPRL encryption schemes. The Graph Matching Attack (GMA) uses publicly available data, such as telephone directories, to re-identify encrypted individuals based on overlapping records between plaintext and encrypted databases [SAH24; VCRS20]. Unlike previous attacks that focus solely on the encryption scheme of BFs, the GMA works independently of the encryption scheme chosen. It therefore exploits the graph structure of encoded datasets to re-identify records. Given two datasets - a plaintext reference dataset and an encrypted dataset - an attacker can construct similarity graphs where nodes represent individuals and edges represent similarity scores. By solving a graph isomorphism problem, attackers can infer one-to-one mappings between encrypted and plaintext records, effectively breaking the privacy guarantees of PPRL. The effectiveness of GMAs depends on the overlap between the two sets of data; the greater the overlap, the higher the probability of successful re-identification. While GMAs can successfully re-identify individuals present in both the plaintext and encrypted datasets, their effectiveness is limited to the overlapping subset of the two databases [SAH24; VCRS20].

This work aims to go beyond traditional GMAs by re-identifying not only individuals present in the overlapping datasets, but as many individuals as possible from the encrypted PPRL data. To achieve this, the newly introduced Dataset Extension Attack (DEA) builds on the foundations laid by GMAs. The DEA uses a neural network trained on the subset of previously re-identified individuals to predict and decode the remaining encrypted records. In doing so, the DEA significantly expands the scope and effectiveness of the attack, enabling broader de-anonymisation of PPRL datasets beyond the limitations of existing graph-based methods.

1.1. Motivation

The increasing use of PPRL in highly sensitive areas such as healthcare, finance and national security requires research to validate existing techniques and ensure robust privacy [SBR09]. As

data-driven applications continue to evolve, the complexity and volume of data being collected and linked across multiple sources is growing rapidly. While PPRL systems are designed to facilitate secure data integration without compromising privacy, evolving cybersecurity threats and attack techniques highlight the urgent need to reassess the resilience of these systems [VSCR17].

Privacy has always been a critical concern in data management, but its importance has been intensified in the era of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML). These technologies increasingly rely on large data sets, often containing sensitive PII such as medical records, financial transactions or behavioural data for training. If compromised, the exposure of such data can lead to serious privacy violations, including identity theft, financial fraud and discrimination. The rise of data brokerage, where personal information is collected, aggregated and sold - often without explicit user consent - further exacerbates privacy concerns. This commoditisation of personal data has made PII an attractive target for malicious actors, increasing the risk of unauthorised data linking and re-identification attacks. As AI models become more advanced, the demand for rich, high-quality data continues to grow, making privacy an increasingly pressing issue [KM24; MK19].

In this context, the vulnerability of PPRL systems to emerging attack methods is of particular concern. While PPRL techniques such as BF are designed to hide sensitive identifiers during the data linkage process, recent research has shown that these systems are vulnerable to GMAs. GMAs exploit the similarity preserving properties of common encryption schemes to re-identify individuals by comparing patterns in encrypted records with those in publicly available plaintext records. This approach undermines the fundamental goal of PPRL: to protect sensitive data during the record linkage process. Although current GMAs are limited to re-identifying individuals present in both the encrypted and plaintext datasets, even partial data exposure in highly sensitive areas can have serious consequences [SAH24; VCRS20].

The introduction of DEAs poses an even greater threat to the integrity of PPRL systems. Unlike GMAs, DEAs aim to extend the scope of re-identification to as many individuals as possible within the encrypted database. Using neural networks trained on previously decoded data from GMAs, DEAs can predict and decode additional records, potentially leading to the complete de-anonymisation of entire encrypted datasets. This represents a paradigm shift, as it challenges the viability of widely used PPRL techniques, such as BF-based encryption, which have been considered secure.

The primary motivation for this research is to proactively investigate and demonstrate the consequences of such advanced attacks in order to prevent their realisation in real-world scenarios. By exposing the potential vulnerabilities of PPRL systems, this work aims to demonstrate how attackers could exploit decrypted data to compromise privacy on a large scale. A successful implementation of the DEA will provide empirical evidence that state-of-the-art methods are insufficiently secure, highlighting the urgent need for more robust privacy-preserving techniques.

Furthermore, there is a notable gap in current research regarding the extension of attack capabilities beyond the intersection of datasets. While significant efforts have been made to address the vulnerabilities exposed by GMAs, there is a lack of comprehensive studies exploring how ML can be used to generalise these attacks and compromise entire databases. This research aims to fill this gap by developing and evaluating the DEA, thereby contributing to a broader understanding of PPRL vulnerabilities.

By addressing this gap, this thesis aims to contribute to the body of knowledge on PPRL vulnerabilities and serve as a foundation for future research aimed at strengthening these

systems. The knowledge gained from this study will not only enable the development of more secure PPRL techniques, but will also influence best practices in privacy and security.

1.2. Related Work

The study by Vidanage et al. [VCRS20] represents a significant advance in the field of PPRL through the introduction of a new attack method known as GMA. Their work begins with a comprehensive overview of PPRL systems and the similarity preserving encryption techniques commonly used, such as BFs. The GMA exploits weaknesses in these encoding schemes by exploiting their ability to preserve partial similarity information even after encryption. By constructing similarity graphs from both encrypted and plaintext datasets, the GMA solves a graph isomorphism problem to align nodes and successfully re-identify individuals in the encrypted dataset using publicly available sources such as telephone directories. This method demonstrates the universal applicability of GMAs across different PPRL schemes, and highlights a critical weakness in systems previously thought to be more robust [VCRS20].

Building on this foundation, Schäfer et al. [SAH24] revisited and extended the work of Vidanage et al. Their contribution lies in a meticulous reproduction and replication of the original GMA, during which they identified a critical flaw: an undocumented pre-processing step in the provided codebase that inadvertently increased the effectiveness of the attack. While this step was originally intended to improve computational performance, it introduced errors into the proposed GMA. Schäfer et al. corrected this problem and further optimised the GMA, resulting in improved robustness and efficiency. Their improved implementation achieved higher re-identification rates compared to the original approach. This improvement not only validates the vulnerabilities highlighted by the GMA, but also highlights the potential for refining attack methodologies to expose even greater weaknesses in PPRL systems.

The work of Schäfer et al. is particularly relevant to this thesis, as their improved GMA implementation and accompanying codebase form the basis of the DEA proposed in this study. While the GMA is limited to re-identifying individuals present in both encrypted and plaintext datasets, the DEA seeks to extend the scope of re-identification beyond this intersection. Using neural networks trained on the re-identified individuals from the GMA, the DEA aims to predict and decode additional datasets, potentially leading to complete de-anonymisation of encrypted datasets.

To date, no existing research has proposed an approach comparable to the DEA. This thesis addresses this gap by developing and evaluating the DEA, thereby contributing to a broader understanding of PPRL vulnerabilities and highlighting the urgent need for more secure data linking techniques.

1.3. Contribution

The contribution of this thesis is divided into three main parts. First, a comprehensive analysis of PPRL systems is carried out, with particular emphasis on the three main encoding schemes: BF encoding, TSH encoding and TMH encoding. This analysis aims to highlight the basic principles, strengths and weaknesses of each encryption scheme, setting the stage for the subsequent investigation of their susceptibility to DEA.

Next, the current state of the art GMA is analysed and its limitations are discussed in detail. Although GMAs have proven effective in re-identifying individuals within overlapping

datasets, their applicability is limited to the intersection of plaintext and encrypted records. This inherent limitation highlights the need for more advanced attack strategies that can go beyond this.

The main focus of this thesis is the implementation and evaluation of the **DEA**, which attempts to outperform the capabilities of **GMA**s by decrypting a larger fraction of encrypted records. To achieve this, the thesis examines the conceptual foundations, theoretical underpinnings and technical requirements of the **DEA**. Building on the initial re-identifications made by the **GMA**, the **DEA** employs a supervised machine learning approach, specifically using neural networks trained on previously decoded data to predict and re-identify remaining encrypted records. This method significantly extends the scope of de-anonymisation in **PPRL** systems and provides a novel approach to current research.

The **DEA** is then evaluated against the three main **PPRL** encoding schemes. While the specific encoding scheme has minimal impact on the **GMA**, which is primarily based on solving a graph isomorphism problem, it plays a role in the **DEA**. This is due to the fact that the neural network has to be trained separately for each encoding scheme to account for the unique structural features and nuances of the encoding. However, the **DEA** is designed with adaptability in mind, ensuring that it can be effectively applied across different encoding schemes, thus increasing its generalisability and practical relevance.

Through this research, the thesis aims to answer critical questions about the robustness of **PPRL** systems. It investigates how effective supervised machine learning-based **DEAs** are at re-identifying the remaining entries that **GMA**s cannot decode. It also examines how different encoding schemes affect the performance and accuracy of the **DEA**, providing insight into which schemes are more susceptible to such attacks and why. By addressing these issues, the thesis contributes to a deeper understanding of the vulnerabilities inherent in **PPRL** systems and lays the groundwork for the development of more secure privacy preserving techniques.

1.4. Organization of this Thesis

This thesis is divided into four main sections: technical background, methodology, results, and conclusion.

First, an overview of **PPRL** systems is given, with particular emphasis on a thorough analysis of the most commonly used encoding techniques. Next, the existing **GMA** is introduced and explained in order to provide the basis for the study. In addition, an overview of neural networks is given to provide the necessary background knowledge.

Next, a detailed description of the attack model for the **DEA** is outlined, including how neural networks are used to enhance the attack. This is followed by an explanation of the actual implementation of the **DEA**, along with a discussion of the experiments conducted. The results of the **DEA** on different encryption schemes are then analysed and evaluated. Finally, the thesis concludes with a summary of the main contributions, a discussion of the broader implications, and suggestions for future research.

2. Background

2.1. Overview of PPRL

2.2. Key encoding techniques

2.2.1. Bloom Filters

2.2.2. Tabulation MinHash

2.2.3. Two-Step Hashing

2.3. Graph Matching Attacks

3. Methodology

3.1. Conceptual framework for Dataset Extension

3.2. Implementation

4. Results

4.1. Analysis

4.2. Discussion

5. Conclusion

5.1. Summary

5.2. Future Work

Bibliography

- [AHS23] Frederik Armknecht, Youzhe Heng, and Rainer Schnell. “Strengthening privacy-preserving record linkage using diffusion.” In: *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies* (2023).
- [Blo70] Burton H Bloom. “Space/time trade-offs in hash coding with allowable errors.” In: *Communications of the ACM* 13.7 (1970), pp. 422–426.
- [Bro97] Andrei Z Broder. “On the resemblance and containment of documents.” In: *Proceedings. Compression and Complexity of SEQUENCES 1997 (Cat. No. 97TB100171)*. IEEE. 1997, pp. 21–29.
- [FS69] Ivan P Fellegi and Alan B Sunter. “A theory for record linkage.” In: *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 64.328 (1969), pp. 1183–1210.
- [HSW07] Thomas N Herzog, Fritz J Scheuren, and William E Winkler. *Data quality and record linkage techniques*. Vol. 1. Springer, 2007.
- [IH18] Jim Isaak and Mina J Hanna. “User data privacy: Facebook, Cambridge Analytica, and privacy protection.” In: *Computer* 51.8 (2018), pp. 56–59.
- [KM24] Jennifer King and Caroline Meinhardt. *Rethinking Privacy in the AI Era: Policy Provocations for a Data-Centric World*. White Paper, Stanford University Institute for Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence (HAI). 2024. URL: <http://www.darkpatternstipline.org>.
- [MK19] Karl Mannheim and Lyric Kaplan. “Artificial intelligence: Risks to privacy and democracy.” In: *Yale JL & Tech*. 21 (2019), p. 106.
- [PSZ+24] Aditi Pathak, Laina Serrer, Daniela Zapata, Raymond King, Lisa B Mirel, Thomas Sukalac, Arunkumar Srinivasan, Patrick Baier, Meera Bhalla, Corinne David-Ferdon, et al. “Privacy preserving record linkage for public health action: opportunities and challenges.” In: *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association* 31.11 (2024), pp. 2605–2612.
- [RCS20] Thilina Ranbaduge, Peter Christen, and Rainer Schnell. “Secure and accurate two-step hash encoding for privacy-preserving record linkage.” In: *Advances in Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining: 24th Pacific-Asia Conference, PAKDD 2020, Singapore, May 11–14, 2020, Proceedings, Part II 24*. Springer. 2020, pp. 139–151.
- [SAH24] Jochen Schäfer, Frederik Armknecht, and Youzhe Heng. “R+R: Revisiting Graph Matching Attacks on Privacy-Preserving Record Linkage.” In: *Proceedings of [Conference Name, if available]*. Available at: <https://github.com/SchaeferJ/graphMatching>. University of Mannheim. 2024.
- [SBR09] Rainer Schnell, Tobias Bachteler, and Jörg Reiher. “Privacy-preserving record linkage using Bloom filters.” In: *BMC medical informatics and decision making* 9 (2009), pp. 1–11.

- [Smi16] Tanshanika T Smith. *Examining data privacy breaches in healthcare*. Walden University, 2016.
- [Smi17] Duncan Smith. “Secure pseudonymisation for privacy-preserving probabilistic record linkage.” In: *Journal of Information Security and Applications* 34 (2017), pp. 271–279.
- [VCRS20] Anushka Vidanage, Peter Christen, Thilina Ranbaduge, and Rainer Schnell. “A graph matching attack on privacy-preserving record linkage.” In: *Proceedings of the 29th ACM International Conference on Information & Knowledge Management*. 2020, pp. 1485–1494.
- [VSCR17] Dinusha Vatsalan, Ziad Sehili, Peter Christen, and Erhard Rahm. “Privacy-preserving record linkage for big data: Current approaches and research challenges.” In: *Handbook of big data technologies* (2017), pp. 851–895.

A. Auxiliary Information

Eidesstattliche Erklärung

Hiermit versichere ich, dass diese Abschlussarbeit von mir persönlich verfasst ist und dass ich keinerlei fremde Hilfe in Anspruch genommen habe. Ebenso versichere ich, dass diese Arbeit oder Teile daraus weder von mir selbst noch von anderen als Leistungsnachweise andernorts eingereicht wurden. Wörtliche oder sinngemäße Übernahmen aus anderen Schriften und Veröffentlichungen in gedruckter oder elektronischer Form sind gekennzeichnet. Sämtliche Sekundärliteratur und sonstige Quellen sind nachgewiesen und in der Bibliographie aufgeführt. Das Gleiche gilt für graphische Darstellungen und Bilder sowie für alle Internet-Quellen.

Ich bin ferner damit einverstanden, dass meine Arbeit zum Zwecke eines Plagiatsabgleichs in elektronischer Form anonymisiert versendet und gespeichert werden kann.

DATUM

MARCEL MILDENBERGER