

UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL

January 2022

Faculty of Engineering

**Examination for the Degrees
of
Bachelor of Science
Master of Engineering
Master of Science**

**COMS30021(J)
Cryptology**

**TIME ALLOWED:
3 Hours**

**This paper contains 6 questions over 11 pages.
Answer all the questions.
The maximum for this paper is 100 marks.**

Other Instructions

- 1. This is an open book exam.**
- 2. Automated and programmable computing devices are permitted.**
- 3. After completion of this exam, you will have 30 minutes to upload your submission to Blackboard.**

Preamble

This exam is composed of 6 questions, *all* of which you must answer:

- 2 regarding *symmetric cryptography*;

Question	Points
Symmetric Cryptography — MAQs	30
Message Authentication Codes	10
Total:	40

- 1 at the interface between symmetric and asymmetric cryptography; and

Question	Points
Security Notions for Digital Signatures	10
Total:	10

- 3 regarding *asymmetric cryptography*.

Question	Points
Asymmetric Cryptography — MAQs	12
Key-exchange and digital signatures	21
Cryptanalysis of the Discrete Logarithm Problem	17
Total:	50

Use of calculators. You are free to use a computer or calculator throughout, but *must* show your working where specified. Wolfram Alpha¹ is sufficient for most of the questions in this exam (at least those that are made easier by having access to a calculator), but feel free to use other tools.

Open Book and Referencing. This is an open book exam conducted online. If you reference external material (material that we did not provide during the course of the unit), you *must* include clear references, in line with the University's academic integrity policy.

¹<https://www.wolframalpha.com/>

Marking MAQs. Multiple Answer Questions (in Questions **1** and **4**) may have 0 to 4 correct answers. For each proposed answer, mark whether it is True or False, and provide a short justification (max 1 sentence). Marking for each question starts with full marks, and two marks are removed for each incorrect classification (each invalid answer selected, and each valid answer missed), down to a minimum of 0 marks.

Marking Scale. Partial marks will be given for answers that demonstrate general understanding but get details wrong (or forget them). In general (and where possible without fractional marks), getting 50% of the way to a full answer should net you roughly 70% of the marks. Effort beyond that will offer diminishing returns, so plan your work accordingly, and give yourself space and time to iterate on complex questions.

Q1 — Symmetric Cryptography — MAQs [30 marks]

Please recall the rules for marking MAQs stated in the preamble.

[6 marks] **1.a)** Alice and Bob share a 256 bit key known only to them. They have never used it in the past. Alice wants to encrypt and authenticate a single file to send to Bob. The file contains 2.4 Terabytes of recorded lecture material.

- A. Alice can securely send the entire file by encrypting it using AES in ECB mode and computing a MAC over the ciphertext and nonce.
- B. Alice can securely send the entire file in one message by encrypting it using AES in CTR mode, and computing a MAC over the ciphertext and nonce.
- C. Alice can use the shared key as a One-Time Pad to encrypt the data, and as a MAC key to authenticate it.
- D. Alice can use an integrated Authenticated Encryption algorithm, as long as it supports long messages.

[6 marks] **1.b)** Your friend Bozo designed a cool new blockcipher. It uses 128-bit keys, which are split into two 64-bit subkeys. For a (128-bit) key $k = (k_1, k_2)$ (where k_1 and k_2 are the subkeys) and a message m , the blockcipher computes its output as follows $\text{Enc}_k(m) = \text{Enc}'_{k_1}(\text{Enc}'_{k_2}(m))$, where Enc' is a blockcipher with 64-bit keys.

Assume that the best chosen plaintext attack against Enc' recovers the key in 2^{64} encryptions.

- A. A brute-force key recovery attack on Enc takes 2^{128} operations on average.
- B. Enc is a lot more secure than Enc' .
- C. The best attack on Enc takes 2^{128} operations.
- D. The best attack on Enc takes 2^{65} operations.

























+				
				
				
				
				

Figure 1: Definition for the $+$ operator.

[6 marks]

1.c) Consider the set $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \blacksquare \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}, \blacksquare \right\}$ and the operator $+$ $\in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ defined by the table in Figure 1. Which of the following statements hold?

- A. $\Pr\left[b \leftarrow_{\$} \mathcal{B} : b \in \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \blacksquare \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix} \right\}\right] = \frac{1}{2}$.
- B. $\forall b \in \mathcal{B}, b + b = \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$.
- C. $+$ with a fresh uniformly random key has perfect secrecy.
- D. $\Pr\left[b_1 \leftarrow_{\$} \mathcal{B}; b_2 \leftarrow_{\$} \mathcal{B} : b_1 = b_2 = \begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \square \end{smallmatrix}\right] = \frac{1}{4}$

Mary Poppins keeps lots of things in her handbag. She can summon objects out of her bag using the image of their name by some (possibly keyed) function f . The next two choices correspond to this scenario. e

- [6 marks] **1.d)** Assume that f is a cryptographically secure pseudorandom function with 256-bit outputs, which Mary uses with a uniformly random key known only to herself. How many items can Mary store in her handbag before the probability that two items have the same tag becomes greater than $\frac{1}{2}$?
- A. 2^{128}
 - B. 2^{256}
 - C. It depends on the length of the objects' names.
 - D. 2^{64}

- [6 marks] **1.e)** Miss Poppins wants to use the mechanism for self-defence, and wants to hide from would-be muggers that she is summoning her pepper spray. She is particularly worried about repeat offenders hearing her summon her pepper spray once, and later recognizing the string she uses to summon it. Which of the following functions offer the right level of security in this scenario?
- A. A collision-resistant hash function.
 - B. A secure nonce-based encryption scheme.
 - C. A secure IV-based encryption scheme.
 - D. A secure deterministic MAC.

Now you know where “supercalifragilisticexpialidocious” comes from, and you know to run when you hear it.

Q2 — Message Authentication Codes**[10 marks]**

- 2.a)** We consider the security of MACs. Consider the following experiment, parameterized by a MAC scheme $M = (\text{Kg}, \text{Tag}, \text{Vfy})$.

$\text{Exp}_M^{\text{seuf-cma}}(\mathbb{A})$ <hr style="border: 0; border-top: 1px solid black; margin: 5px 0;"/> $K \leftarrow \$ \text{Kg}$ $(\hat{M}, \hat{T}) \leftarrow \$ \mathbb{A}^{\mathcal{T}(\cdot)}$ <div style="border: 1px dashed black; padding: 5px; margin: 5px 0;"> $\mathcal{T}(M)$ <hr style="border: 0; border-top: 1px solid black; margin: 5px 0;"/> $T \leftarrow \text{Tag}_K(M)$ $\text{return } T$ </div>

The *strong existential unforgeability under chosen message attack* advantage of some adversary \mathbb{A} against some MAC scheme M is defined as follows, where a message-tag pair (M, T) is said to be fresh if the tag T was not produced for message M by the CMA oracle.

$$\text{Adv}_M^{\text{seuf-cma}}(\mathbb{A}) = \Pr \left[\text{Exp}_M^{\text{seuf-cma}}(\mathbb{A}) : \begin{array}{l} \text{Vfy}_K(\hat{M}, \hat{T}) = \top \\ \wedge (\hat{M}, \hat{T}) \text{ is fresh} \end{array} \right]$$

[3 marks]

- i. Justify the adjective strong by proving that any sEUF-CMA secure MAC scheme is also EUF-CMA secure.

[2 marks]

- ii. Argue that the two notions are equivalent for deterministic MAC schemes.

- 2.b)** Consider the following MAC scheme (simplified to take in a list of blocks, to avoid having to pad), constructed over a pseudorandom permutation E .

$\text{Tag}_K(M[1] \parallel \dots \parallel M[n])$ <hr style="border: 0; border-top: 1px solid black; margin: 5px 0;"/> $X[1] \leftarrow K$ $\text{for } i \text{ in } \{2, \dots, n+1\}$ $X[i] \leftarrow E_{X[i-1]}(M[i-1])$ $\text{return } X[n+1]$

[3 marks]

- i. Show that the scheme is not EUF-CMA secure by describing a concrete forgery.

[2 marks]



- ii. Under what condition on the size of messages is the scheme UUF-CMA secure? Informally justify your answer. You can assume that E is a secure PRP.

Q3 – Security Notions for Digital Signatures [10 marks]

In this question, through some simple problems, we explore some of the security definitions for asymmetric cryptography, and how they relate to symmetric notions.

Syntax and Security of Signature Schemes A signature scheme is a triple of algorithms $S = (\text{Kg}, \text{Sign}, \text{Vfy})$, where Kg probabilistically produces a *key-pair* (split into a public key pk and a secret key sk), Sign probabilistically produces a *signature* given a secret key sk and a message m (we call this a signature on m under sk), and Vfy checks the validity of a signature given a public key, the signature and a message.

Consider the following experiments, parameterised by a signature scheme S and an adversary \mathbb{A} . In both cases, the adversary wins if the signature they output is both valid and fresh.



- [1 mark] **3.a)** Why is the adversary given the public key as input? Justify this decision based on principles of modern cryptography.
- [1 mark] **3.b)** Both experiments capture unforgeability notions. Which of Exp^1 and Exp^2 captures existential unforgeability? You may want to refer to similar symmetric notions for comparison.
- [4 marks] **3.c)** Referring to similar notions of unforgeability for symmetric MACs, define advantages for both experiments. In particular, clarify the notion of validity and freshness.
- [4 marks] **3.d)** Relate the two notions just defined by showing that one implies the other.

Q4 — Asymmetric Cryptography — MAQs [12 marks]

Please recall the rules for marking MAQs stated in the preamble.

[6 marks] **4.a)** Which of the following are valid key pairs? You may use a computer for this question, e.g. WolframAlpha will suffice (www.wolframalpha.com).

- A. RSA secret key (56, 154) with RSA public key (13, 154).
- B. Diffie-Hellman with group generator $g = 2 \pmod{59}$. Secret key 8 with public key 20. You may assume that 2 generates the whole group $\mathbb{Z}/59\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.
- C. Diffie-Hellman with group generator $g = 2 \pmod{59}$. Secret key 9 with public key 21. You may assume that 2 generates the whole group $\mathbb{Z}/59\mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$.
- D. RSA secret key (5, 91) with RSA public key (73, 91).

[6 marks] **4.b)** Which of the following statements are true:

- A. For small parameters (e.g., computing discrete logarithms in a cyclic group of about 20 bits), on average, baby-step-giant-step and Pollard-rho will perform similarly.
- B. For large parameters (e.g., computing discrete logarithms in a cyclic group of about 256 bits), on average, baby-step-giant-step and Pollard-rho will perform similarly.
- C. Index calculus is the most efficient algorithm to solve the discrete logarithm problem in a group of size 2^{100} .
- D. Index calculus can be used to solve the discrete logarithm problem in any group.

Q5 — Key-exchange and digital signatures [21 marks]

This question is about asymmetric primitives. Part (a) is about RSA, part (b) about ElGamal encryption, and part (c) is about ElGamal signatures.

- [4 marks] **5.a)** You want to send an encrypted message to a friend with RSA public key $(e, n) = (13, 77)$. Using square-and-multiply, encrypt the message $m \equiv 3 \pmod{77}$ with their public key. You do not need a computer or calculator for this question but you may use one if you wish. Show your working.
- 5.b)** Bob has sent you a message encrypted using ElGamal encryption with the integers mod $p = 103$. Your secret key is 59, Bob's public key is 94, your shared secret is 69, and the encrypted message is 86.
- [5 marks] i. Using Euclid's algorithm to compute the inverse of the shared secret, decrypt the message. You do not need a computer or calculator for this question but you may use one if you wish. Show your working.
- [5 marks] ii. You ask Bob to share the message with Alice, whose public key is $pk_A = 10$. You observe that Bob sends the ElGamal-encrypted message $(pk_B, enc_m) = (94, 70)$ to Alice. Encrypt the message $m = 4$ and send it to Bob as if you were Alice (using ElGamal encryption). You do not need a computer or calculator for this question but you may use one if you wish. Show your working.
- [7 marks] **5.c)** You send messages $m_1 = 100 \pmod{226}$ and $m_2 = 11 \pmod{226}$ to your friend and they sign them using the ElGamal signature algorithm. They return the signed messages $(r_1, sig_1) = (171, 154)$ and $(r_2, sig_2) = (171, 3)$. Compute your friend's secret key. You do not need a computer or calculator for this question but you may use one if you wish. Show your working. You may use the following identities:

$$3 \cdot 151 = 226 \cdot 2 + 1$$

and

$$-112/171 \equiv 76 \pmod{226}.$$

Q6 — Cryptanalysis of the Discrete Logarithm Problem [17 marks]

- [5 marks] **6.a)** i. Using Pollard-rho, find an integer a such that $3^a \equiv 14 \pmod{19}$. You do not need a computer or calculator for this question but you may use one if you wish. Show your working.
- [4 marks] ii. Consider the following discrete logarithm problem of finding an integer b such that $14^b \equiv 13 \pmod{19}$. By applying index calculus on the factor base $\{2, 3\}$, we find the three equations

$$14^2 \equiv 2 \cdot 3 \pmod{19},$$

$$14^9 \equiv 2 \cdot 3^2 \pmod{19},$$

$$14^4 \cdot 13 \equiv 2^2 \cdot 3 \pmod{19}.$$

Use these to compute b . Show your working.

- [2 marks] iii. Using your answers to parts (i) and (ii), calculate an integer c such that $3^c \equiv 13 \pmod{19}$.
- [1 mark] iv. Does there exist an integer d such that $9^d \equiv 14 \pmod{19}$? Justify your answer.

- [5 marks] **6.b)** Consider the finite field

$$\mathbb{F}_{3^2} = \{a + bx : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, x^2 + 2x + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\}.$$

The multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{3^2}^*$ has order 8 and is generated by $g = x$. Let $h = 2x + 2$. Using Pohlig-Hellman, compute an integer n such that $g^n = h$. You may use the following identities:

$$g^2 = x + 1, \quad h^2 = 2.$$

UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL

January 2021

Faculty of Engineering

**Examination for the Degrees
of
Bachelor of Engineering
Master of Engineering**

**COMS30021(J)
Cryptology**

**TIME ALLOWED:
2 Hours**

**This paper contains 6 questions over 16 pages.
Answer all the questions.
The maximum for this paper is 100 marks.**

Other Instructions

- 1. This is an open book exam.**
- 2. Automated and programmable computing devices are permitted.**
- 3. After completion of this exam, you will have 15 minutes to upload your submission to Blackboard.**

Preamble

This exam is composed of 6 questions, *all* of which you must answer:

- 2 regarding *symmetric cryptography*;

Question	Points
Symmetric Cryptography – MCQs	15
Hashing Passwords	20
Total:	35

- 1 at the interface between symmetric and asymmetric cryptography; and

Question	Points
Hybrid Constructions, Practical Cryptography	25
Total:	25

- 3 regarding *asymmetric cryptography*.

Question	Points
Asymmetric Cryptography – MCQs	6
Cryptography in Prime-Order Fields	12
Cryptography in Extension Fields	22
Total:	40

Use of calculators. You are free to use a computer or calculator throughout, but *must* show your working where specified. Wolfram Alpha¹ is sufficient for most of the questions in this exam (at least those that are made easier by having access to a calculator), but feel free to use other tools.

Open Book and Referencing. This is an open book exam conducted online. If you reference external material (material that we did not provide during the course of the unit), you *must* include clear references, in line with the University's academic integrity policy.

¹<https://www.wolframalpha.com/>

Marking MCQs. Multiple Choice Questions (in Questions 1 and 4) may have 0 to 4 correct answers. For each question, marking starts with full marks, and a mark is removed for each incorrect classification (each invalid answer selected, and each valid answer missed), down to a minimum of 0 marks. If you believe none of the proposed answers are valid, you *must* indicate so with “None” or some other way of noting that you have seen the question and made the conscious choice of not marking any answers as valid.

Marking Scale. Partial marks will be given for answers that demonstrate general understanding but get details wrong (or forget them). In general (and where possible without fractional marks), getting 50% of the way to a full answer should net you roughly 70% of the marks. Effort beyond that will offer diminishing returns, so plan your work accordingly, and give yourself space and time to iterate on complex questions.

Q1 — Symmetric Cryptography — MCQs [15 marks]

Please recall the rules for marking MCQs stated in the preamble.

- [3 marks] **1.a)** Alice and Bob share an AES key known only to them. They have never used it in the past. Alice wants to encrypt a single file to send to Bob. The file contains 2.4 Terabytes of Pokemon pictures.

- A. Alice can securely send the entire file in one message using AES in CTR mode.
- B. Alice can securely send the entire file using AES in ECB mode.
- C. Using CTR mode does not require a nonce in this scenario, if Alice does not want to keep communicating with Bob.
- D. The file is too large to send securely in a single message, regardless of which mode is used.

Solution: A single CTR mode message without a nonce can be 2^{128} blocks long, with each block containing 16 bytes. This (2^{132} bytes) is well over any amount of data we would count in Terabytes. (In fact, even with a 64-bit nonce, we could send the whole precious dataset in one go.)

ECB is insecure, and can't be used to send anything larger than a single block securely.

- 1.b)** Your favourite encryption scheme Enc (which uses 128-bit keys) is broken! It now takes only 2^{50} encryptions, given a plaintext-ciphertext pair, to retrieve the key. The next two choices refer to this scenario.

[3 marks]

- i. The attack is
 - A. A key recovery attack.
 - B. A known ciphertext attack.
 - C. An exhaustive search.
 - D. A preimage attack.

Solution: It is clearly a key recovery attack, since it recovers the key. It is not a known ciphertext attack, since it requires a plaintext-ciphertext pair; it is instead a known-plaintext attack. It is certainly not a preimage attack, which concerns hash functions. It cannot be an exhaustive search: such an attack would exhaustively cover all 2^{128} keys in the key space, and could not run in 2^{50} encryptions.

[3 marks]

- ii. You generate two keys k_1 and k_2 and encrypt the password p to your hard drive as $c = \text{Enc}_{k_2}(\text{Enc}_{k_1}(p))$. Your cat walks across your keyboard and deletes both k_1 and k_2 . Using the best known attack, how

long (approximately) would it take to retrieve them given c , p , and access to a machine that can perform 2^{32} encryptions per second.

- A. A day.
- B. A week.
- C. A year.
- D. More than a century.

Solution: The best attack here is a Meet-in-the-Middle attack using the 2^{50} operation attack mentioned in the question. This runs in 2^{51} encryptions on average, which runs in 2^{51-32} seconds on the given machine. That's roughly 6 days.

- [3 marks] 1.c) Santa keeps the naughty list in a database on a computer. The list is kept as a list of entries of the form (n, t) , where n is a name, and t some additional tag. A known naughty elf has unrestricted access to the computer, and Santa believes he can use the tag t to prevent the elf from removing his name from the naughty list. How can Santa use t to prevent the naughty elf from removing his name from the database?
- A. Compute t as an encryption of n with AES in CTR mode.
 - B. Compute t as a MAC tag for n , computed using CMAC.
 - C. Compute t as a digest of n , computed using SHA-256.
 - D. No purely cryptographic solution can be used.

Solution: For all three cryptographic solutions, the elf could delete the entry containing his name. Even with a cryptographic solution that would stop this (for example, by chaining tags together to detect deletion of individual entries), two problems arise: the crypto only serve to detect the modification, not to prevent it; and the naughty elf could still simply delete the entire database.

- [3 marks] 1.d) Consider the set $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \square \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix} \right\}$ and the operator $+$ $\in \mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ defined by the table in Figure 1.

+	$\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \square \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$
$\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \square \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$
$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$
$\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \square \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \square & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \square \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$
$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$	$\begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}$

Figure 1: Definition for the $+$ operator.

Zero or more of the following statements hold. Which?

- A. $\Pr\left[b \leftarrow \$\mathcal{B} : b \in \left\{ \begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}, \begin{smallmatrix} \square & \blacksquare \\ \blacksquare & \square \end{smallmatrix} \right\}\right] = \frac{1}{2}$.
- B. $\forall b \in \mathcal{B}, b + b = \begin{smallmatrix} \square \\ \square \end{smallmatrix}$.
- C. $+$ with a fresh uniformly random key has perfect secrecy.
- D. $\Pr\left[b_1 \leftarrow \$\mathcal{B}; b_2 \leftarrow \$\mathcal{B} : b_1 = b_2 = \begin{smallmatrix} \blacksquare & \square \\ \square & \blacksquare \end{smallmatrix}\right] = \frac{1}{4}$

Solution: Sampling uniformly at random in \mathcal{B} yields a half-coloured box in 2 out of 4 cases, so with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. It is clear from its definition that $+$ is not nilpotent. It is also clear from its definition that $+$ is a

group operation (\mathcal{B} is in fact isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_4 , so it is invertible and can be used with uniformly sampled keys for perfectly secret enciphering. The probability of sampling twice the same element is $\frac{1}{4}$, but drops to $\frac{1}{8}$ once that element is fixed in advance, as is the case here.

Q2 — Hashing Passwords**[20 marks]**

This question explores the use of cryptography to protect passwords while at rest in server databases.

Consider the following system S , which is parameterized by a hash function H . When a user u registers with password p , S stores the tuple $(u, r, H(p||r))$ in its database, where r is some random *salt*. When an attempt is made to authenticate user u with a password p' , S retrieves the tuple $(u, r, H(p||r))$, computes $H(p'||r)$, and successfully authenticates the user u if $H(p||r) = H(p'||r)$. The hash function H is assumed to produce uniformly sampled outputs given uniformly sampled inputs. Assume the adversary does not learn H until the start of the attack.

- [2 marks] 2.a) Assume the distribution user u draws their password p from is completely unknown to the adversary. Denote with ℓ the length of digests output by the hash function H and with n the length of p . What is the probability that the adversary successfully authenticates as user u in one attempt?

Solution: Without any knowledge on the distribution, the best the adversary can do is guess an input p' and send it to S . Authentication will succeed if and only if $H(p||r) = H(p'||r)$, which occurs with probability $\frac{1}{2^\ell}$.

- 2.b) Assume now that the adversary has access to the complete database of tuples held by S . It contains entries for U users.

- [2 marks] i. What is an upper-bound on the probability that the adversary authenticates as user u in one attempt?

Solution: The adversary now has the ability to carry out a preimage search, since they have both r and $H(p||r)$. The probability that they will successfully authenticate as user u is therefore upper-bounded by their preimage resistance advantage $\text{Adv}_H^{\text{pr}}(\mathcal{A})$.

- [5 marks] ii. Assume the adversary has no knowledge of the distribution in which any of the users (not just u) sample their passwords. What can you say about the probability that the adversary authenticates as *any* user in one attempt? Consider, in particular, the effect of the random salt on the adversary's ability to search for multiple matches at once, and of the same salt being used for multiple users.

Solution: The use of random salts prevents the adversary from fully parallelising the search. If a number say R of users share the same value r , then the adversary can run a single preimage attack

with R different targets. Their advantage in that experiment is R times their normal preimage advantage.

2.c) A better hash function H' has been standardised and you want your users to benefit from it. You recompute the entire database for S , so that the tuples are now of the form $(u, r, H'(H(p||r)))$.

[2 marks]

i. Why would you not instead replace all entries in S 's database with $(u, r, H'(p||r))$?

Solution: Because this would require S knowing p , which it does not.

[2 marks]

ii. Describe how authentication attempts (with a user u and a password p') are processed.

Solution: S retrieves the entry $(u, r, H'(H(p||r)))$ for user u , computes $H'(H(p'||r))$, and successfully authenticates the user if the result equals $H'(H(p||r))$.

[7 marks]

iii. Assume H is now broken (so that it is easy to compute preimages for any of its outputs). Show that the hash function $H' \circ H$ is preimage-resistant if H' is preimage-resistant.

Solution: Let \mathcal{A} be a preimage adversary against the function $H' \circ H$. We construct an adversary \mathcal{B} that uses \mathcal{A} to compute preimages for H' .

\mathcal{B} receives as input a digest $H'(x)$ and passes it directly to \mathcal{A} . If \mathcal{A} successfully returns a preimage y such that $H'(H(y)) = H'(x)$, then \mathcal{B} can simply return $H(y)$ as a preimage for $H'(x)$.

\mathcal{B} 's complexity is exactly that of \mathcal{A} plus one hash computation. The probability that \mathcal{B} finds a valid preimage for $H'(x)$ is exactly that of \mathcal{A} finding a valid preimage for $H'(H(y))$, because $H(y)$ is uniformly distributed.

1 mark for the reduction logic. 2 marks for the reduction. 1 mark for the complexity argument. 2 marks for the advantage reasoning + 1 for clearly observing the use of the uniform output assumption.

Q3 — Hybrid Constructions, Practical Cryptography[25 marks]

In this question, we consider constructions that combine symmetric and asymmetric cryptography. We focus on Key Encapsulation Mechanisms, and their use in constructing Hybrid Public Key Encryption.

In the rest of this question, we use a fixed cyclic group \mathbb{G} of prime order q ; we use g to denote some fixed generator of \mathbb{G} . In addition, we use a hash function H , which we will use as a Key Derivation Function; and a symmetric authenticated encryption scheme \mathcal{E} . All definitions below are specialised to this setting.

Key Encapsulation Mechanisms. A Key Encapsulation Mechanism (KEM) is a triple of algorithms $\mathcal{K} = (\text{KGen}, \text{Encap}, \text{Decap})$, where:

KGen: probabilistically generates a keypair in $\mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{G}$;

Encap: given a recipient's public key (in \mathbb{G}) and a sender's private key (in \mathbb{Z}_q), probabilistically generates a symmetric key (in some keyspace \mathbb{K} and its encapsulation (in some set \mathbb{E}); and

Decap: given a recipient's secret key (in \mathbb{G}) and an encapsulation, recovers a symmetric key.

We do not use KGen in the following, but note for completeness that both schemes we define use standard Diffie-Hellman key generation in \mathbb{G} .

An KEM \mathcal{K} is said to be correct if, for all $\text{sk}_R, \text{sk}_S \in \mathbb{Z}_q$, if $(k, c) \leftarrow \mathcal{K}.\text{Encap}_{\text{pk}_R}(\text{sk}_S)$ then $\mathcal{K}.\text{Decap}_{\text{sk}_R}(c) = k$. (Where $\text{pk}_R = g^{\text{sk}_R}$.)

Figures 2 and 3 show two KEMs, which we study in more detail below. Note that AKEM_0 uses $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{G}$, while AKEM_1 uses $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}$.

AKEM ₀	
Encap _{pk_R} (sk _S)	Decap _{sk_R} (pk _S)
dh \leftarrow pk _R ^{sk_S}	dh \leftarrow pk _S ^{sk_R}
k \leftarrow H(dh, pk _R)	k \leftarrow H(dh, g ^{sk_R})
return (k, g ^{sk_S})	return k

Figure 2: The AKEM₀ KEM.

AKEM ₁	
Encap _{pk_R} (sk _S)	Decap _{sk_R} (pk _E , pk _S)
sk _E \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q	dh \leftarrow pk _E ^{sk_R} pk _S ^{sk_R}
k \leftarrow H(pk _R ^{sk_E} pk _R ^{sk_S} , pk _R)	k \leftarrow H(dh, g ^{sk_R})
return (k, (g ^{sk_E} , g ^{sk_S}))	return k

Figure 3: The AKEM₁ KEM.

Hybrid Public Key Encryption. KEMs can be used, in combination with a Data Encapsulation Mechanism (DEM), to implement Hybrid Encryption.

Here, we focus on the construction of Hybrid Public Key Encryption (HPKE) by simply using the key generated by the KEM in the Authenticated Encryption scheme \mathcal{E} , used as a DEM.

Given a DH keypair (sk_S, pk_S) for the sender, a DH keypair (sk_R, pk_R) for the recipient, some nonce n , and some message m , encryption in HPKE based on KEM \mathcal{K} proceeds by:

1. using $\mathcal{K}.\text{Encap}$ to generate a symmetric key k and its encapsulation e ;
2. encrypting the message m with nonce n under key k with \mathcal{E} into a ciphertext c ; and
3. sending both c and e to the recipient.

This is described more formally in Figure 4.

```


$$\text{Enc}_{sk_S, pk_R}^n(m)$$



---


 $(k, e) \leftarrow \$\mathcal{K}.\text{Encap}_{pk_R}(sk_S)$ 
 $c \leftarrow \$\mathcal{E}.\text{Enc}_k^n(m)$ 
return  $(c, e)$ 

```

Figure 4: Hybrid Public Key Encryption

[3 marks] 3.a) Show that AKEM_1 is correct as a KEM.

Solution: Note that $(g^{sk_R})^{sk_S} = (g^{sk_S})^{sk_R}$ (and with sk_E instead of sk_S also) and unroll the computation.

3.b) We like decrypting things.

[3 marks] i. Describe the decryption algorithm $\text{Dec}_{pk_S, sk_R}^n(m)$ for HPKE.

[5 marks] ii. Give a reasonable definition of correctness for HPKE, and prove your decryption algorithm correct, assuming the correctness of the underlying KEM \mathcal{K} and encryption scheme \mathcal{E} .

Solution:

```

 $\text{Dec}_{pk_S, sk_R}^n(c, e)$ 


---


 $k \leftarrow \$\mathcal{K}.\text{Decap}_{sk_R}(e)$ 
 $m \leftarrow \$\mathcal{E}.\text{Dec}_k^n(c)$ 
return  $m$ 

```

The proof is a simple unrolling of computation, noting where appropriate the use of correctness for underlying primitives. High marks as the student first needs to define correctness for HPKE. We will accept here

any reasonable definition, that is, a definition that ensures at least that plaintexts are recovered.

3.c) We now consider the property of Perfect Forward Secrecy. Consider a scenario where Alice (with keypair (sk_A, pk_A)) and Bob (with keypair (sk_B, pk_B)) have exchanged multiple ciphertexts encrypted using HPKE with $AKEM_0$, which Nadia has collected. Nadia nabs Bob off the street, and tickles him until he breaks and reveals sk_B .

[3 marks]

- i. Argue that Nadia can retrieve *all* plaintexts from the collected ciphertexts, including those sent by Bob to Alice.

Solution: By correctness, Nadia can clearly recover all messages sent by Alice to Bob. Further, given sk_B , Bob can also generate the (sole) symmetric key used when encrypting messages to Alice, as $H(pk_A^{sk_B}, pk_A)$.

[4 marks]

- ii. Argue (without proving) that Nadia would have been unable to retrieve *past* plaintexts from Bob to Alice had Alice and Bob used $AKEM_1$ instead.

Solution: The *ephemeral DH secret* sk_E is destroyed as soon as encapsulation finishes. Either the recipient's secret key, or the ephemeral secret is required to recover k .

3.d) We now study a couple of implementation considerations.

[2 marks]

- i. Give one example of a side-channel in this exam paper's text. (There is nothing in the binary, don't waste time there.) What does it tell you about the University of Bristol's Computer Science Department's exam preparation process, or about one of your examiners' hobbies?

Solution: Question 1.c seems to reveal that exams are prepared around the holiday period. (Also that one of your examiners is on the naughty list, but that wasn't asked for.)

Question 1.a seems to reveal that one of the examiners has a large collection of Pokemon pictures. This is in fact inaccurate. (They're Digimon, which is why they're on the naughty list.)

1 mark for a side-channel; 1 mark for what they learned. Other answers may—I guess—be valid. Exercise judgment.

[5 marks]

- ii. Consider an implementation of HPKE that uses a theoretically secure MAC-then-Encrypt construction as its DEM component, and a secure KEM. Describe the process through which decryption must

occur for the implementation to be as secure as the specification. Give details of the ordering of operation and any implementation specific measures needed.

Solution: Here, the main thing we want to see is that the student understands that care must be taken when manipulating unverified decrypted ciphertexts. MAC-then-Verify decryption must be implemented carefully so that the verification of the MAC tag on the decrypted ciphertext takes the same time whether the MAC or some earlier check fails. A correct discussion of this point would net 3 marks.

1 more mark for a decent discussion of the requirement for all operations that depend on secrets to run in time that is constant w.r.t. secret inputs and outputs. This include DH exponentiation/scalar multiplication in the KEM, the hash function computation, AE encryption and decryption, and—in the case of $AKEM_1$, DH key generation.

1 mark in the top range for anyone who reads up on KEM security and notes that decapsulation failures do not leak and can be branched on.

Q4 — Asymmetric Cryptography — MCQs [6 marks]

Please recall the rules for marking MCQs stated in the preamble.

[3 marks] **4.a)** Which of the following RSA key pairs are valid? You may use a computer for this question.

- A. $sk, pk = (5, 187), (3, 187)$.
- B. $sk, pk = (59, 4362), (781, 4362)$.
- C. $sk, pk = (916, 10379), (357, 7031)$.
- D. $sk, pk = (19, 77), (24, 77)$.

[3 marks] **4.b)** For which of the following choices of

$$f(x) = x^d + c_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \cdots + c_1x + c_0$$

with $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ does the set

$$\{a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_0 : a_i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, f(x) \equiv 0\}$$

define a finite field?

- A. $f(x) = x^2 + x + 1$.
- B. $f(x) = x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$.
- C. $f(x) = x^3 + x + 1$.
- D. $f(x) = x^4 + 1$.

Q5 — Cryptography in Prime-Order Fields [12 marks]

You may use a computer for this question if you wish but you must show your working. Consider the finite field \mathbb{F}_{101} . The element $2 \in \mathbb{F}_{101}^*$ has order 100, so generates the group \mathbb{F}_{101}^* (you do not have to show this).

- [4 marks] **5.a)** Using the baby-step-giant-step algorithm, compute $a \pmod{100}$ such that $2^a \equiv 53 \pmod{101}$. You may use without proof that

$$2^{-10} \equiv 65 \pmod{101}.$$

Solution: Answer is $a = 23$. 1 point for correct baby step, 1 point for correct giant step, 1 point for matching tables to get the final answer, 1 point for correct answer. Correct method but messing up mod 100/101 gets half marks.

- [5 marks] **5.b)** i. Solving the same problem with Pollard- ρ yields a chain

$$(G_0, b_0, c_0) \dots (G_{22}, b_{22}, c_{22})$$

(where, for each i , we have $G_i = 2^{b_i} \cdot (2^a)^{c_i}$), for which $G_{22} = G_6$ and $G_i \neq G_j$ for all $6, 22 \neq i \neq j$. Which method was more efficient in this instance? Justify your answer.

Solution: 2 marks for demonstrating understanding of Pollard-rho. Baby-step-giant-step is 11 multiplications and one inversion. Pollard-rho is 22 multiplications and one inversion. 2 marks for choosing BSGS and justifying based on this. 3 marks for including argument on the expense of computing the inversion. 0 marks for choosing one with no justification.

- [3 marks] ii. How does the complexity of these examples compare to the asymptotic complexities of baby-step-giant-step and Pollard- ρ ?

Solution: One mark each for correctly quoting asymptotic complexities. One mark for any interesting and correct observations (e.g. asymptotics are useless for small numbers, the square root turns out to be pretty accurate for BSGS, the inversion dominates the cost for small numbers etc.)

Q6 — Cryptography in Extension Fields**[22 marks]****6.a)** Consider the finite field

$$\mathbb{F}_{3^2} = \{a + bx : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, x^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\}.$$

[3 marks]

- i. By ‘brute-force’, find an integer n for which $(1 + x)^n \equiv 2 + 2x$ in \mathbb{F}_{3^2} .

Solution: $n = 5$. One mark for (mostly) correct steps, one for method, one for answer.

[5 marks]

- ii. Name one element that generates $\mathbb{F}_{3^2}^*$ and two elements that don’t generate $\mathbb{F}_{3^2}^*$. Justify your answer.

Solution: Most natural choice for a generator is $1 + x$. Best justification: smallest n for which $(1 + x)^n = -1$ is $n = 4$ (by working of (i)), so smallest n for which $(1 + x)^n = 1$ is $n = 8$. Again by working of (i), obvious answers are -1 and $(1 + x)^2 = 2x$ which have orders 2 and 4 respectively. 1 point for each correct (non) generator, 1 point for correct justification, 1 point for optimal justification (as presented here).

[3 marks]

- iii. How many choices of generator are there for $\mathbb{F}_{3^2}^*$? Justify your answer.

Solution: 4: $(x + 1)^n$ for n odd (hence coprime to 8). 1 mark for correct answer, 1 mark for correct justification, 1 mark for efficient/optimal justification (as presented here).

[4 marks]

6.b) Consider the finite field

$$\mathbb{F}_{3^3} = \{a + bx + cx^2 : a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, x^3 + 2x + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}\}.$$

The multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{3^3}^*$ is generated by $g = x + 1$ (you do not have to show this). We will use this setup for a Diffie-Hellman key exchange: your secret key is $\text{sk}_D = 5$, and Hellman’s public key is $x^2 + 2 = \text{pk}_H = g^{\text{sk}_H}$. Using the square-and-multiply algorithm, compute your and Hellman’s shared secret key.

Solution: Shared secret is $2x^2 + 2$. 1 mark for doing the correct computation (i.e. $\text{pk}_H^{\text{sk}_D}$), 1 mark for correct answer, 1 mark for using square-and-multiply, 1 mark for correct ff arithmetic.

- 6.c)** You should use a computer for this question. Wolfram Alpha is sufficient for what you need to do, but use any programme of your choice. Do not submit any code.

[5 marks]

- i. Which *two* algorithms to compute discrete logarithms would be most efficient for the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{3^{54}}$? Justify your answer.

Solution: Index calculus (1), as it is as most subexponential (1) complexity for finite fields and is especially effective for fields of low characteristic (1). Pohlig-Hellman (1) as $3^{54} - 1$ has only very small prime factors (1).

[2 marks]

- ii. Are the algorithms you gave more or less efficient for solving discrete logarithms in $\mathbb{F}_{3^{53}}$? Justify your answer.

Solution: Pohlig-Hellman is less efficient as the prime factors are much larger for $3^{53} - 1$ (1). For index calculus this is less clear as it depends on the algorithm used. Any well-justified answer can get the final mark.