```
1) See endian.c
2) See simple string.c
3) is this an ok version of simp str copy? if not why? No
    simp_str* simp_str_copy(simp_str *in)
   {
      simp_str *ret = malloc(sizeof(simp_str); missing parenthesis => malloc(sizeof(simp_str));
               <---- (should check here that malloc didn't return a NULL ptr)
      ret->len = in->len;
      ret->buf_len = in->buf_len;
                                  => malloc(ret->buf len);
      ret->str = malloc(ret->len);
      if (NULL == ret->str)
        return NULL;
      }
                                              => strncpy(ret->str, in->str, ret->len);
      strncpy(ret->buf, in->buf, ret->len);
                                               (buf is not defined in simp str)
      return ret;
       }
   4) What is the value of b in the code fragment below?
       char a[4];
       unsigned short b;
       a[0] = 1; a[1] = 2; a[2] = 3; a[3] = 4;
        b = *(unsigned short *)a;
        b will get 2 bytes out of a, so it'll get a[0] = '01' and a[1] = '02'
        but since my PC is little endian, it gets stored as x'02'x'01'
       so, b = x'201'
   5) See nth bit checker.c
    6) Consider the following snippet of (admittedly contrived) threaded code:
```

For gcc (gcc version 4.8.2 at least) when we compile it like this:

gcc thread_question.c -o thread_question -lpthread

and run it, everything seems to work fine. However when we compile it like this:

gcc thread_question.c -o thread_question -lpthread -O3

It seems to just hang forever! What's going on there? How can we fix it?

Ordering isn't really guaranteed and the optimization might have reordered it. Making the "g_keep_running" atomic will allow it to be updated from multiple threads and guarantee ordering.

Aside from removing the sleep(2); line of code, changing

"int g_keep_running = 1;" to "atomic_int g_keep_running = 1;" fixes the issue.

See thread_question.c