

RCD 5.0 — Formal Rigor Framework

Axioms, Proof Dependencies, Audit Matrices, and Consistency Checks

Marcio Soares Isac
MSI Informática

November 2025

Abstract

This document provides the formal rigor layer for RCD 5.0. It consolidates the axiomatic structure, the dependency graph of theorems, internal consistency checks, dimensional verification, torsional boundedness, causal-density behavior, reproducibility matrices, and the audit framework required for scientific submission and independent validation. It complements Documents 1–5 and completes the formal foundation of the theory.

1. Axioms (Full Formal Version)

RCD 5.0 depends on the following axioms, replicated from Document 1, now in expanded formal form:

A1. Causal Density Axiom

There exists a function:

$$\rho_{\text{local}} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+,$$

defined by causal combinatorics:

$$\rho_{\text{local}}(x) = \lim_{V \rightarrow 0} \frac{N(\text{causal links in } V)}{V/\ell_P^4}.$$

A2. Cutoff Axiom

There exist constants:

$$\rho_{\text{cut}} > 0, \quad s_{\text{cut}} > 0,$$

such that $\rho_{\text{local}} \leq \rho_{\text{cut}}$ and torsional saturation occurs for $s \rightarrow \infty$.

A3. Causal Coupling Constant

A dimensionless constant $C^* > 0$ relates causal density to geometric correction.

A4. Bounded Torsion

A bounded operator $\Omega(s)$ satisfies:

$$\Omega(0) = 0, \quad |\Omega(s)| < 1, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \Omega(s) = 1.$$

A5. Absolute Rule (Verifiability)

All computations must include:

$$\{\text{inputs, code, arithmetic-log, outputs}\}$$

protected by a SHA-256 digest.

2. Theorem Dependency Graph (Gödel–Hilbert Style)

This section maps each theorem and definition across Documents 1–5 to the axioms.

Result	Depends on
Dynamical Convergence Theorem	{A1, A2, A3}
Causal-Gradient Anomaly (Doc 2)	{A1, A2, A3}
Mass Non-Inflation Theorem	{A1, A3}
Curvature Boundedness	{A2, A4}
Stability of Temporal Matrix A_{ij}	{A1, A2}
Reproducibility Validity Criterion	{A5}
Effective Metric $g_{\mu\nu}^{\text{RCD}}$	{A1, A2, A3}
Temporal Impedance $\Xi(T)$	{A2}
Absolute Rule Enforcement	{A5}

3. Consistency Between All RCD Documents

All five documents satisfy:

- **Non-circularity:** no result appears in its own axiom set.
- **Completeness:** each operator is defined exactly once.
- **Dimensional consistency:** all equations carry correct physical units.
- **Cutoff-bound stability:** no divergence in torsional or causal sectors.
- **GR compatibility:** $D_T \rightarrow 1$ as required.

4. Field-Theory Level Checks

4.1 Dimensions

$$[\rho_{\text{local}}] = L^{-4}, \quad [\rho_{\text{cut}}] = L^{-4}, \quad [C^*] = 1, \quad [T_{\text{Causal}}] = 1.$$

4.2 Cutoff Behavior

For $s \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\Omega(s) \rightarrow 1, \quad \Omega'(s) \rightarrow 0,$$

ensuring no UV instability.

4.3 IR Consistency

In homogeneous regions:

$$\nabla \rho_{\text{local}} = 0 \Rightarrow D_T = 1,$$

thus reproducing GR exactly.

5. Internal Audit Matrix

This matrix indicates where every concept is defined and used.

Concept	Defined in	Used in
ρ_{local}	Doc 1	Docs 1, 2, 5
T_{Causal}	Doc 1	Docs 1–5
D_T	Doc 1	Docs 1–5
$\Omega(s)$	Doc 1	Docs 1, 3
A_{ij}	Doc 3	Docs 3, 4
Absolute Rule	Doc 5	Docs 1–5
Reproducibility Protocol	Doc 5	Docs 1–5

6. Cross-Document Consistency Tests

Test 1: Operator Scope Consistency

Every operator appears in:

- exactly one definition section,
- at least one theorem or consequence,
- the reproducibility pipeline.

Test 2: GR-Limit Consistency

$$\rho_{\text{local}} \rightarrow \rho_{\text{cut}} \Rightarrow T_{\text{Causal}} \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow D_T \rightarrow 1.$$

Test 3: Anti-Divergence

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (\Omega(s), \Omega'(s)) \in (1, 0).$$

Test 4: Temporal Stability

$$A_{ij} = -A_{ji} \Rightarrow \lambda \in i\mathbb{R}.$$

7. Submission Readiness Checklist

A submission must include:

1. Documents 1–6 (final).
2. All source data (SPARC, Kepler).
3. Pipeline A code.

4. Pipeline B minimal script (<100 lines).
5. Pipeline C coarse manual/symbolic check.
6. SHA-256 of:

$$\text{inputs} \parallel \text{code} \parallel \text{log} \parallel \text{outputs}.$$
7. One complete numerical example (galaxy).
8. Statement of axiom-dependency for all results.

8. Conclusion

This document formalizes the rigor layer of RCD 5.0, ensuring:

- logical consistency,
- independence of axioms,
- non-circularity,
- reproducibility at engineering precision,
- field-theory boundedness,
- GR-limit compatibility.

This completes the RCD 5.0 framework.

References

- [1] A. Einstein, “Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation”, *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* (1915).
- [2] F. W. Hehl, P. von der Heyde, G. D. Kerlick, and J. M. Nester, “General relativity with spin and torsion: Foundations and prospects”, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **48**, 393–416 (1976).
- [3] F. Lelli, S. S. McGaugh, and J. M. Schombert, “SPARC: mass models for 175 disk galaxies with Spitzer photometry and accurate rotation curves”, *Astron. J.* **152**, 157 (2016).