Macroeconomics & well-being III

Principles of Macroeconomics // Spring 2025

Prof. Santetti

marcio.santetti@emerson.edu

Readings

Recommended readings

Required readings:

- Macroeconomics in Context, 4th ed.
 - → Chapter 4, section 4.

Recommended reading:

Words of the day

- "Domestic" vs. "National";
- "Real" vs. "Nominal";
- Big Mac;
- Growth rates.

Suppose a "toy" economy, with **no** government and **no** interactions with the foreign sector, that only produces **apples**:

A "Toy" ECONOMY

YEAR	QUANTITY SOLD	CURRENT PRICE (\$)
2015	200	1.00
2016	200	1.50
2017	230	1.30

• Let us compute its GDP for each year.

Simply looking at current (market) prices can be misleading.

• Why?

• **Inflation** creates **distortions** in assessing whether **production/income** have actually changed or not over time.

Inflation is a sustained increase in an economy's price level.

To that end, we introduce an important **distinction**:

- Nominal GDP: the dollar value of all final goods and services produced in a year in that year's prices;
- **Real** GDP: a measure reflecting the *actual value* of goods and services produced, by removing the effect of changes in prices over time.

When analyzing economic indicators, we usually pay attention to **real** measures.

→ This way, **real** values refer to the same statistic after it has been **adjusted for inflation**.

⊘U.S. Real GDP over time

Back to the "toy" economy example:

A "Toy" ECONOMY

YEAR	QUANTITY SOLD	CURRENT PRICE (\$)
2015	200	1.00
2016	200	1.50
2017	230	1.30

• How do we obtain the **Real** (i.e., *adjusted for inflation*) **GDP** for each year?

There are major issues when using base-year prices to compute Real GDP:

- 1. **Conditional** on the chosen year/period;
- 2. Less reliable over time, as prices and spending patterns change more and more.

The U.S. BEA uses a "chained-dollar" approach to compute its Real GDP.

- Although more complex, it preserves the intuition behind adjusting for inflation.
- See Ch. 4's **Appendix** for more info.

As any economy produces an *overwhelming* amount of goods and services every year, **how** are all prices accounted for?

The U.S. uses the **GDP deflator** to transform **Nominal** into **Real** GDP.

The **GDP deflator** is a price index for measuring the general level of prices and defined as the ratio of **nominal** GDP to **real** GDP.

Ø U.S. BEA page for GDP deflator

Recall the **Domestic** part of GDP.

• What about a country's *citizens/businesses* operating **abroad**?

In order to account for *citizenship* rather than *location*, one can replace **Domestic** with **National**.

Therefore, we can define:

- **Gross National Product** (GNP);
- Gross National Income (GNI).

- Ø U.S. Gross National Product over time
- Ø U.S. Gross National Income over time

It is common practice *comparing* different economies for diverse purposes.

In terms of GDP, there are a few ways of doing so:

- GDP per capita;
- GDP in terms of Purchasing Power Parity (PPP).

A country may have a **higher GDP** (GNP) than another, but that may be because it has a **larger population** than the other.

In order to control for this factor, *per capita* measures are helpful.

For the case of GDP, for instance:

$$GDP \ per \ capita = \frac{Nominal \ or \ Real \ GDP}{Population}$$

In terms of **income**, **Gross National Income** (**GNI**) *per capita* is one of the main indicators of **living standards**.

By now, we know that GDP (and its close cousins) are measured in terms of local currencies.

 But what if we want to make cross-country comparisons, without relying on population sizes?

We need to bring these statistics into a common currency.

One option are exchange rates.

The **exchange rate** is the value of one currency in terms of another currency.

In terms of **living standards**, a more **meaningful** comparison between two or more economies involves **cross-country** differences in purchasing power.

In short, controlling for **price differentials** allows us to more meaningfully compare GDP (and its relatives) between countries.

Thus we arrive to GDP in terms of **Purchasing Power Parity (PPP)**.

The **purchasing power parity conversion factor** takes the *relative prices* between countries into account and allows for comparisons on how many currency units one has to spend **to buy the same amount of goods and services** in another country.

"Purchasing power parity exchange rates, PPPs, are international multilateral price indexes that measure, for the various components of GDP, the amount of local currency required to purchase the same real amount in that country relative to a numeraire, which is typically the United States." (Deaton & Aten, 2015).

♦ GDP price levels relative to the U.S., 1990 to 2022

What about Big Macs?

Making better sense of numbers

Making better sense of numbers

Plain numbers, without **context**, are usually *meaningless*.

Regarding macroeconomic statistics, this is no different.

As seen before, the U.S. economy's current **Gross Domestic Product** is of US\$ 29.37 trillion.

• So what?

A *first step* to make better sense of such numbers is to look at this measure's **historical trajectory**.

Making better sense of numbers

Looking at economic measures **in levels** shows the overall long-run process of economic growth.

But if we would like to know what was the **growth rate** over time, we need to compute these rates from the data in levels.

Growth rate (%) =
$$\frac{\text{Final Period - Initial Period}}{\text{Initial Period}} \times 100$$

U.S. ECONOMY'S GDP, 2020–2023

YEAR	REAL GDP	GROWTH RATE (%)
2020	20,234.074	N/A
2021	21,407.693	
2022	21,822.037	
2023	22,376.907	

Next time: GDP and well being?