

The Periodic Table of Primes

Han-Lin Li¹, Shu-Cherng Fang², Way Kuo^{3*}

¹Department of Computer Science, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

²Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, North Carolina State University, NC, USA

³Hong Kong Institute for Advanced Study, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

*Email: way@cityu.edu.hk

Abstract

Over millennia, nobody has been able to predict where prime numbers sprout or how they spread. This study establishes the Periodic Table of Primes (PTP) using four prime numbers of 2, 3, 5, and 7. We identify 48 integers out of a period of $2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 = 210$ to be the roots of all primes as well as composites without factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7. Each prime, twin primes, or composite without factors of 2, 3, 5 and 7 is an offspring of the 48 integers uniquely allocated on the PTP. Three major establishments made in the article are the Formula of Primes, the Periodic Table of Primes, and the Counting Functions of Primes and Twin Primes.

Keywords

Primes, Composites, the Periodic Table of Primes

1. Introduction

One of the greatest theorems of mathematics states that a composite integer can be represented uniquely as a product of primes. Today primes as roots of integers are studied and applied widely to data science, cryptography [1], systems reliability design [2], etc. For a long time, many said these integer roots grew like weeds among natural numbers, but nobody could predict where the next primes may sprout [3]. Many believe that primes are unpredictable. Oliver and Soundaranjan [4] investigated the distribution of consecutive primes, while Luque and Lacasa [5] reported patterns of the last digit of primes. Wang [6] adopted pictures to search for regularities of some of the primes, but with significant bias.

Owing to the lack of insight, there is no effective computable formula for counting functions of primes and twin primes. Studies published to predict primality and pattern of primes are ad hoc with tremendous limitations and uncertainties.

Some [5] observed that primes located near each other tend to avoid repeating their last digits, which indicates that primes are not distributed as randomly as theorists often assume. Others such as Tóth [7] found the existence of the primes in k-tuple where the difference of two neighboring primes stays the same. However, few are able to explain what and where these tuple primes occur, nor can they predict the longest tuple primes within an interval.

Initiated by Gauss, there have been studies on counting the total number of primes and twin primes within an interval, but only approximations were obtained [8, 9]. Since primes are the roots of integers, can we identify the roots of primes? Can we find rules for generating composites; and if possible, may we say that, in some aspects, primes are predictable?

Li et al. [10] used 2, 3 and 5 in building a universal color system C_{235} to unify RGB (a light color frame) and CMYK (a pigment color frame). C_{235} represents colors R(red), G(green), and B(blue) by primes 2, 3, and 5, respectively. Consequently, C(cyan), M(magenta), Y(yellow), and K(gray) are represented by $3 \times 5 = 15$, $2 \times 5 = 10$, $2 \times 3 = 6$, and $2 \times 3 \times 5 = 30$, respectively. Through this transformation, all colors are representable by 7 root numbers of 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 15, and 30. These root numbers encode millions of colors on a color wheel [10].

Inspired by C_{235} , we intend to:

- find a set of integers which serve as the root of primes and twin primes,
- establish the Formula of Primes and build the Periodic Table of Primes (PTP) that allocate primes, and
- form the Counting Functions of Primes and Twin Primes.

Also accomplished include to predict within an interval, the largest k-tuple primes [8] with the same difference.

First, we define a concise strategy by selecting the roots of primes greater than 10 and composites without factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7, and then form the Cyclic Table of Composites (CTC) identifying the locations of such composites, followed by advancing the Formula of Primes and building the PTP. The procedures are summarized in 4 steps:

- 1) Selecting the roots and cycles

Adopting the first four primes 2, 3, 5, and 7, we take $2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 7 = 210$ as the length of a period. Within the interval [11, 211], we sort out 48 integers of primes or composites that do not contain factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7. These 48 integers, to generate primes and composites, are considered as the roots denoted by $r_1 = 11$, $r_2 = 13$, $r_3 = 17$, ..., $r_{23} = 103$, $r_{24} = 107$, ..., $r_{48} = 211$. These r_i s are placed on the left column of a table.

- 2) Developing the Cyclic Table of Composites (CTC)

The CTC consists of multiple 48×48 tables $[l(i, j)]$ derived from the positions of composites without factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7.

Within an interval of $[48(\theta-1)+1, 48\theta]$, where $\theta \in N_+$ is considered a cycle, there are 48 integers which do not contain factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7. These $48 \times \theta$ integers are either primes or composites, denoted as $q_1 = r_1 = 11$, $q_2 = r_2 = 13$, $q_3 = r_3 = 17$, ..., $q_{48} = r_{48} = 211$, for cycle 1; $q_{49} = q_1 + 210 = 221$, $q_{50} = q_2 + 210 = 223$, ..., $q_{96} = q_{48} + 210 = 421$, for cycle 2; ...; $q_{48(\theta-1)+1} = q_{48(\theta-2)+1} + 210 = q_1 + 210(\theta-1)$, $q_{48(\theta-1)+2} = q_{48(\theta-2)+2} + 210 = q_2 + 210(\theta-1)$, ..., and $q_{48\theta} = q_{48(\theta-1)} + 210 = q_{48} + 210(\theta-1)$, for cycle θ . We call q_1 to q_{48} segments of cycle 1, q_{49} to q_{96} segments of cycle 2, ..., and $q_{48(\theta-1)+1}$ to $q_{48\theta}$ segments of cycle θ . The q_j s are placed on the top row of a CTC table of θ cycles.

Formulating the CTC of the first cycle, namely, CTC(1), we observe the dual effect existing in $[l(i, j)]$, where index i refers to r_i and j refers to q_j , such that in each row of this table, pairs of entries share the same value of elements $l(i, j)$ s. We also find for each row in the CTC(1), there is another row complementary to it, calling it the mirror effect. Specifically, there exist the mirror

effects between the 23 top rows and the next 23 rows as well as between the 47th row and the 48th row of CTC(1). We define the basic CTC (CTC(basic)) to be a 24×48 table of $[l(i,j)]$, $i=1,2,\dots,23,47$ and $j=1,2,\dots,48$.

Utilizing the mirror effect, we find the complementary CTC (CTC(complementary)) a 24×48 table made of $[l(i,j)]$ for $i=24,25,\dots,46,48$ and $j=1,2,\dots,48$. Combining the CTC(basic) and the CTC(complementary), we form CTC(1).

We observe further an intermedia effect in CTC(1) between different cycles of composite tables where each is 48×48 in size.

3) Advancing the Formula of Primes

By deleting all composites located by CTC in an interval, we develop the Formula of Primes.

Given a positive integer b with $b - 211$ being a multiplier of 210, an integer $\alpha \in [0, b]$ without factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7 is a prime if and only if there exists an $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 48\}$ such that $\alpha = r_i + 210k$, for some integer k with $k+1 \notin L_b(i)$, where $L_b(i)$ is a set of $l(i,j)$'s which satisfy some conditions of b and θ described in the Results section.

4) Building the Periodic Table of Primes (PTP)

Denote PTP(k^0, k') as a periodic table of primes from period k^0 to k' , $k^0 < k' \in N_+$. PTP(k^0, k') is a $48 \times (k' - k^0 + 1)$ table composed of all primes within an interval $[a, b]$, for $a = 11 + 210 \times k^0$ and $b = 211 + 210 \times k'$. Denote PTP⁺(k^0, k') as a table composed of primes in PTP(k^0, k') plus composites without factors 2, 3, 5, and 7 within the interval $[a, b]$.

The steps of building a PTP are depicted in Figure 1. Starting from the CTC(initial), we form a CTC(initial dual). By combining the CTC(initial) and the CTC(initial dual), we obtain the CTC(basic) which is outlined in the Supplement under Establishing the CTC(basic) by the CTC(initial). Once CTC(1) is deduced from CTC(basic), all subsequent CTC(2), CTC(3), ..., CTC(θ) are readily available. Utilizing CTCs, we generate PTP⁺(k^0, k'), where we delete all composites to obtain PTP(k^0, k'). The red and green points in Figure 1 represent the diagonal and intermediate effects, respectively, and also show the cyclical pattern on CTC and the periodical pattern on PTP⁺.

2. Transformation and Observations

Without loss of generality, discussions in this section on the CTC, the CTC(basic) and the CTC(complementary) are referred to cycle 1, namely, CTC(1), unless stated otherwise.

In CTC, consisting of 48 rows and 48 columns, denote r_i as the i^{th} row and q_j the j^{th} column.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{23}, r_{47}\} \\ &= \{11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, 61, 67, 71, 73, 79, 83, 89, 97, 101, \\ &\quad 103, 209\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= \{r_{24}, r_{25}, \dots, r_{46}, r_{48}\} \\ &= \{107, 109, 113, 121, 127, 131, 137, 139, 143, 149, 151, 157, 163, 167, 169, 173, 179, \\ &\quad 181, 187, 191, 193, 197, 199, 211\}, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

$$S = S_1 \cup S_2 = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{48}\} = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{48}\}.$$

2.1. Transformation

Note that r_i 's and q_j 's are integers in $[11, 211]$ without factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7. Let (i, j) be

at a position in the CTC and $l(i, j)$ the corresponding entry of point (i, j) , where $1 \leq i, j \leq 48$, determined by

$$l(i, j) = 1 + (q_j \times q_{\hat{j}} - r_i) / 210. \quad (1)$$

Given the i^{th} row and j^{th} column, we observe a unique $q_{\hat{j}} \in S$ that couples with q_j such that

$q_j \times q_{\hat{j}} - r_i$ is a multiplier of 210. Both q_j and $q_{\hat{j}}$ depend on r_i or the i^{th} row.

2.2. Three Statements

An outline of CTC is shown in Figure 2. Various effects in CTC are described by the following statements. Presented in Table S1(a) along with an illustrative example are given in the Supplement under Example for Table S1(a). Observing Table S1(a), note that $l(i, i) = q_i + 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 23, 47$. We identify the diagonal effect in CTC in Statement 1 below.

Statement 1 (The Diagonal Effect of the CTC)

In the CTC, $l(j, j) = q_j + 1$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 48$. Moreover, $l(j, j) \geq l(i, j)$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 48$ and $i \neq j$.

Analyzing the distribution of $l(i, j)$ in the i^{th} row of Table S1(a), we find the dual effect between $l(i, j)$ and $l(i, \hat{j})$, described in Statement 2 below.

Statement 2 (The Dual Effect of the CTC)

In the CTC, for any $l(i, j)$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 48$ and $i \neq j$, there exists a $\hat{j} \in \{1, 2, \dots, 48\}$ such that $l(i, j) = l(i, \hat{j})$.

Statement 2 implies that given $l(i, j)$, we know $l(i, \hat{j})$, under which situation j and \hat{j} are dual to each other. Take $i=1$ and $r_1=11$ for instance, $l(1, 5)=l(1, 8)=5$, where $q_5 \times q_8 = 23 \times 37 = 851 = 11 + 210(5-1)$. Notice that when $\hat{j}=j$, q_j^2 is a descendant of r_i and $l(i, j)$ appears in the i^{th} row only once. For instance, $l(48, 9)=8$ appears only once and $41^2 = 1681 = 211 + 210 \times (8-1)$.

Further elaboration of the dual effect and associated examples are given in the Supplement under Elaboration of the Dual Effect of the CTC(basic). Analyzing Tables S1(a) and S1(b), we also identify the mirror effect between each row of the CTC(basic) and its complementary row in CTC deduced below.

Statement 3 (The Mirror Effect of the CTC)

The mirror effect in the CTC exists between pairs of all rows such that

$$(i) \quad l(47-i, j) + l(i, j) = \begin{cases} q_j + 1, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i+j \neq 47 \\ 2q_j + 1, & \text{if } i = j \text{ or } i+j = 47 \end{cases},$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 23, j = 1, \dots, 48$

$$(ii) \quad l(47, j) + l(48, j) = \begin{cases} q_j, & \text{if } j = 1, \dots, 46 \\ 2q_j, & \text{if } j = 47, 48 \end{cases}$$

Statement 3 implies that if we know $l(i, j)$ for $1 \leq i \leq 23$, then we can find $l(47-i, j)$. Similarly, if we know $l(47, j)$, then we find $l(48, j)$. Following Statement 3, we form the CTC(complementary), the complementary of the CTC(basic).

CTC(complementary) is presented as Table S1(b), in the Supplement. Merging the CTC(basic) and the CTC(complementary), we obtain the CTC(1) as the CTC for cycle 1, referring to Figure 2.

Consider CTC in Figure 2. There are two red diagonals shown in Figure 2, which further elaborates Table S1(a) and Table S1(b). The first diagonal is $l(1,1)=12, l(2,2)=14, \dots$, and $l(46,46)=200$, and the second one is $l(46,1)=11, l(45,2)=13, \dots$, and $l(1,46)=199$. The two cross lines in Figure 2 are obvious due to the mirror effect. $l(47, j)$ and $l(48, j)$, $j=1, 2, 3, \dots, 48$, are mirror with each other. An Example is given in the Supplement under An Associated Example for Statement 3.

Table S1(a) and Table S1(b) show another distribution of $l(i, j)$ on CTC, named the intermedia effect, which happens at some symmetrical columns, described in the Supplement under Statement and Proof on the Intermedia effect in the CTC. Both the diagonal effect and the intermedia effect on the CTC are helpful to show how to transfer CTC to PTP. In Figure 2, the red and green numbers represent the diagonal and the intermediate effects, respectively.

Proofs for the above Statements are given in the Supplement under Proofs of the Statements.

3. Results

This study provides three major results: the Formula of Primes, the Periodic Table of Primes, and the Counting Functions of Primes and Twin Primes.

Given a positive integer $b = 211 + 210 \times \theta^* \hat{\theta}^*$, $\theta^*, \hat{\theta}^* \in \mathbb{N}_+$, for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, 48\}$, define

$$L_b(i) \equiv \{l(i, j) + q_j(\hat{\theta}-1) + q_{j+48}(\hat{\theta}-1) + 210(\theta-1)(\hat{\theta}-1) \leq \theta^* \hat{\theta}^* + 1 \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, 48\}, \text{ with}$$

the corresponding \hat{j} defined in Expression (1), $\theta = 1, 2, \dots, \theta^*$ and $\hat{\theta} = 1, 2, \dots, \hat{\theta}^*$. (2)

For the case $\hat{\theta}^* = 1$, then

$$L_b(i) \equiv \{l(i, j + 48(\theta-1)) \leq \theta^* + 1 \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, 48, \text{ and } \theta = 1, 2, \dots, \theta^*\}, \quad (2')$$

Notice that $L_b(i)$ is a set consisting of $l(i, j) \leq \Delta_{i,\theta+1}$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, 48 \theta$, where $\Delta_{i,\theta} = \min_j \{l(i, j) \mid j = 48(\theta-1)+1, 48(\theta-1)+2, \dots, 48\theta\}$ for $\theta \in \mathbb{N}_+$, and $210\Delta_{i,\theta+1} \geq b$.

Also $l(i, j+48(\theta-1)) = l(i, j) + (\theta-1)q_j$, where q_j is derived from Expression (1). For any positive integer α containing no factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7, α must have a unique root $r_i \in S$ such that $\alpha - r_i$ is a multiple of 210. Moreover, if α is not a composite number, then it is a prime.

An example for $L_b(1)$ where $\hat{\theta} = 1$ can be found in Supplement for the Text “7. Justification for Establishing $L_b(1)$ and Table S2”.

3.1. The Formula of Primes

We summarize the Formula of Primes below:

The Formula of Primes

An integer $\alpha \in [11, b]$ containing no factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7 is a prime if and only if there exists $r_i \in S$ and $k \in N_0$ such that $\alpha = r_i + 210k$, with $k+1 \notin L_b(i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, 48$.

A list of $L_b(1)$ for various b up to 44521 and $\hat{\theta} = 1$ is given in Table S2, which is presented in the Supplement.

3.2. The Periodic Table of Primes

According to CTC(θ) and the Formula of Primes, we specify the Periodic Table of Primes below.

The Periodic Table of Primes from period k^0 to period k'

For given $k^0 \in N_0$, $k' \in N_+$, and $k' > k^0$, $PTP(k^0, k')$ is a $48 \times (k' - k^0 + 1)$ table [$\lambda(i, k+210)$], where $\lambda(\cdot)$ is an integer such that

$$11 + 211 \times k^0 \leq \lambda(i, k) = r_i + 210k \leq 211(k'+1),$$

for $k \in N_0$ with $k+1 \notin L_b(i)$ and $b = 211(k'+1)$.

Denote $PTP^+(k^0, k')$ as the table composed of primes in $PTP(k^0, k')$ plus composites without factors of 2, 3, 5 and 7, within an interval $[11 + 211 \times k^0, 211(k'+1)]$.

Figure 3 is an outline of $PTP^+(0, 210)$, which includes $PTP(0, 210)$ and composites without factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7 within the interval $[11, 1 + 210^2]$ converted from Figure 2. Figure 3 has 48 rows and 211 columns, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 48$, and $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 210$. $\lambda(i, k)$ is an integer of the entry (i, k) , computed from $l(i, j)$ of Figure 2. The two dotted red curves in Figure 3 are configured from two diagonal red lines of Figures 2, i.e., 12–14–18… 198–200 and 11–13–17… 197–199. The two dotted green diamond-shape lines in Figure 3 come from two green lines of Figure 2 of 24–75–74… 29–80 and 84–31–32… 77–24.

Table 1 is a realization of $PTP^+(0, 10)$ including primes and composites without factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7. Further elaboration is seen in the Supplement under Numerical Illustrations for The Periodic Table of Primes. According to Gauss [8,9], the number of primes no more than b is ap-

proximately $b / \ln b$. We find further the exact Counting Function of primes $\pi(b)$ when $b - 211$ is a multiplier of 210.

3.3. The Counting Functions of Primes and Twin Primes

We use $\pi(b)$ and $\pi^*(b)$ to represent the Counting Function of Primes and the Counting Function of Twin Primes in $[1, b]$ for a natural number b , respectively.

The Counting Function of Primes

Given a positive integer $b = 211 + 210 \times k$,

$$\pi(b) = 4 + 48 \times (k+1) - \sum_{i=1}^{48} |L_b(i)|. \quad (3)$$

Currently, few predict the number of twin-prime pairs in an interval $[2, b]$. For $b - 211$ as a multiplier of 210, denote $T(i, i+1)$ as the set of twin-prime pairs on PTP($0, \lceil b / 211 \rceil$), i.e., a set of k with $k+1 \notin L_b(i)$ and $k+1 \in L_b(i+1)$, where i and $i+1$ are for the i^{th} and the $(i+1)^{\text{st}}$ row on the PTP, respectively. Our study finds the exact $\pi^*(b)$ below:

The Counting Function of Twin Primes

$$\pi^*(b) = 3 + \sum_{i \in W} |T(i, i+1)|, \quad (4)$$

where $W = \{1, 3, 6, 9, 13, 16, 22, 24, 30, 33, 37, 40, 43, 45, 47\}$.

Elaborations of Expressions (3) and (4) are given in the Supplement under Elaborations of Expressions (3) and (4), and examples of computing $\pi(b)$ and $\pi^*(b)$ are illustrated in the Supplement under Examples for the Predictions of Primes by the PTP.

4. Discussions

The unpredictability of prime numbers forms a basis of many applications, one being encryption called the RSA algorithm [1]. However, neither the ancient Sieve of Eratosthenes' nor the modern Sieve of Atkin's algorithms [11] have ever elaborated the physical meaning of finding primes. Torquato, Zhang and Courcy-Ireland [12] claimed that they found a physical structure pattern hidden in the distribution of prime numbers, but that discovery still didn't explain the essence of prime numbers. We are shared by SSRN a McCanney's working paper, New Definition of Prime Numbers with Sppn Tables, stating that "every prime number has a unique ancestry of prime numbers going back to 0". Just like reported, openly or not, by Oliver and Soundaranjan [4] among others, little progress has been made on establishing a compact table for primes.

This paper identifies 48 natural numbers between 11 and 211, which do not contain factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7, to be the roots for generating all primes and composites without factors of 2, 3, 5, and 7. The locations of such composites exhibit periodic and cyclic properties, as represented by

the CTC, which enable us to eliminate them for finding primes, as represented by the PTP. Treating the 48 roots as the genes of prime numbers, we can easily find the next prime of any given prime number and identify the next pair of twin primes. Our findings provide a platform to study many primes-related problems. No primes, twin primes or primes-related issues can ever surface if such issues are not rooted to the 48 integers. After all, prime numbers are not as random as many believe.

We form the CTC, followed by the PTP. All these present the primes effectively and with physical meaning. In addition, we can count the exact numbers of primes and twin primes within an interval. Concluded below are some further thoughts.

- 1) The PTP is helpful in understanding many unclear phenomena. For instance, it explains a troublesome observation [5] that for a given prime with the last digit of 1, the chance of its next prime to have 1 as its last digit is much less than that of 3 or 7 or 9. From the Formula of Primes, if a given prime is 221 (i.e., $\theta=2$, $r_i=11$), then the most possible near primes should be firstly 223 (i.e., $\theta=2$, $r_i=13$), followed by 227, 229, 233, 239, and 241.
- 2) From the 48 roots identified, we find no triplet or higher multiples of primes existing in the roots. Therefore, there will be no triplet primes found in future generations. Likewise, all twin primes will appear exactly at the parallel locations as those appearing in the 48 roots of the PTP. This implies that all twin-prime pairs are descendent of 15 pairs of twin primes or composites. In fact, an equal chance is found in the last digit of the 48 roots for 1, 3, 7, and 9. Therefore, the last digits for all primes will each have a 25% chance to be 1, 3, 7, and 9 when primes go to infinity.
- 3) Examples for the predictions of primes are given in the Supplement.

Acknowledgements

We thank Nianrui Lin for reviewing the article and verifying the illustrating examples with valuable inputs. We also acknowledge several reviewers for comments.

References

- [1] Dickson, L. E. (2005) History of the Theory of Numbers, Volume II: Diophantine Analysis. Dover Publications, Inc.
- [2] Li, H. L., Huang, Y. H., Fang, S. C. and Kuo, W. (2021) A Prime-logarithmic Method for Optimal Reliability Design. *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 70, 146-162.
- [3] Zagier, D. (1977) The first 50 million prime numbers. *The Mathematical Intelligencer*, 1 (Suppl 1), 7-19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03039306>
- [4] Oliver, R. J. L., and Soundarajan, K. (2016) Unexpected Biases in the Distribution of Consecutive Primes, *Proc of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113, E4446-E4454. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1605366113>
- [5] Luque, B. and Lacasa, L. (2009) The First-digit Frequencies of Prime Numbers and Riemann Zeta Zeros. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A*, 564, 2197-2216. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2009.0126>
- [6] Wang, X. (2021) The Genesis of Prime Numbers—Revealing the Underlying Periodicity of Prime Numbers. *Advances in Pure Mathematics*, 11, 12-18. <https://doi.org/10.4236/apm.2021.111002>
- [7] Tóth, L. (2019) On the Asymptotic Density of Prime k-tuples and A Conjecture of Hardy and Littlewood. *Computational Methods in Science and Technology*, 25(3), 143-148. <https://doi.org/10.12921/cmst.2019.0000033>

- [8] Zagier, D. (1997) Newman's Short Proof of the Prime Number Theorem. *American Mathematics Monthly*, 104(8), 705-708. JSTOR 2975232
- [9] Nicomachus of Gerasa, *Introduction to Arithmetic*, 2nd century. Translated into English by Martin Luther D'Ooge.
- [10] Li, H. L., Fang, S. C., Lin, B. M. T. and Kuo, W. (2023) Unifying Colors by Primes. *Light Science & Applications*, 12, 32. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41377-023-01073-x>
- [11] Atkin, A. O. L. and Bernstein, D. J. (2004) Prime Sieves Using Binary Quadratic Forms. *Mathematics of Computation*, 73, 1023-1030.
<https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-03-01501-1>
- [12] Torquato, S., Zhang, G. and Courcy-Ireland, M. de (2018) Uncovering Multiscale Order in the Prime Numbers via Scattering. *J. of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment*.
<https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-5468/aad6be>

Supporting Information for

The Periodic Table of Primes

Han-Lin Li¹, Shu-Cherng Fang², Way Kuo^{3*}

¹Department of Computer Science, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

²Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering, North Carolina State University, NC, USA

³Hong Kong Institute for Advanced Study, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, China

*Email: way@cityu.edu.hk

Figure 1, Figure 2, Figure 3, Table 1, Table S1(a), Table S1(b), Table S2, and Table S3 are included.

Supplement for the Text

1. Establishing the CTC(basic) by the CTC(initial)

Specifically, we adopt the following steps to establish the CTC(basic):

- a. From the CTC(basic) of Figure 1, we find 23×23 CTC(initial) table for the first cycle. In the CTC(initial), its entries of $[l(i,j)]$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 23$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, 23$, are both starting from 11; namely, the left column contains r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{23} and the top row contains q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{23} .
- b. Applying the dual effect, we form another 23×23 CTC(initial dual) table from CTC(initial). In this new table, its entries of $[l(i,j)]$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 23$ and $j = 24, 25, \dots, 46$, are the first 23 roots starting from 11 for "i"s and the 24th to 46th roots for "j"s, respectively. Namely, the left column contains r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{23} , and the top row contains $q_{24}, q_{25}, \dots, q_{46}$.
- c. Add r_{47} as the end of the 24th row and add q_{47} and q_{48} as the end of the 47th and the 48th columns. Namely, the left column contains r_{47} as the last index and the top row contains q_{47}, q_{48} as the last two indices.
- d. Combine tables developed by a, b, and c steps to complete CTC(basic).

As shown in Figure 1, there are the CTC(initial), the CTC(initial-dual), the CTC(basic), the CTC(complementary), and CTC(1).

$$\text{CTC (initial)} = \{l(i,j) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, 23; j = 1, 2, \dots, 23\},$$

$$\text{CTC (initial-dual)} = \{l(i,j) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, 23; j = 24, \dots, 46\},$$

$$\text{CTC(basic)} = \{l(i,j) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, 23, 47; j = 1, 2, \dots, 48\},$$

$$\text{CTC(complementary)} = \{l(i,j) \mid i = 24, 25, \dots, 46, 48; j = 1, 2, \dots, 48\},$$

$$\text{CTC(1)} = \{l(i,j) \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 48\}.$$

2. Example for Table S1(a)

Given $q_1 = 11$, compute $l(1, 1)$ as follows:

Since $l(1, 1) = 1 + \frac{q_1 \times q_{\hat{1}} - r_1}{210}$, and the unique $q_{\hat{1}}$ to let $\frac{11 \times q_{\hat{1}} - 11}{210}$ be an integer is $q_{\hat{1}} = 211$, $l(1, 1) = 1 + \frac{11 \times 211 - 11}{210} = 1 + 11 = 12$.

Similarly, we find

$$l(2, 1), l(3, 1), l(4, 1), l(5, 1), l(6, 1), \dots, l(23, 1), l(47, 1) = (10, 6, 4, 11, 5, \dots, 8, 1).$$

3. Elaboration of the Dual Effect of the CTC(basic)

In the CTC(1), the dual effect made in Statement 2 can be further elaborated below between $l(i, j)$ and $l(i, \hat{j})$.

(i) For $l(i, j) = l(i, \hat{j})$, if $1 \leq j, \hat{j} \leq 23$, then

$$\begin{aligned} l(i, 47 - j) &= l(i, 47 - \hat{j}) \\ &= 1 + \frac{q_{47-j} \times q_{47-\hat{j}}}{q_j \times q_{\hat{j}}} \left(\frac{r_i}{210} + l(i, j) - 1 \right) - \frac{r_i}{210}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $l(i, j) = l(i, \hat{j})$, for $1 \leq j \leq 23$ but not for \hat{j} , then $24 \leq \hat{j} \leq 46$ and $q_j = \frac{r_i + 210[l(i, j) - 1]}{q_{\hat{j}}}$.

By utilizing Statement 2, we can generate a new table CTC(initial dual) in the Introduction from CTC(initial). Taking the first row, i.e. $i = 1$ and $r_1 = 11$ as an example (Figure 2):

(i) Since $l(1, 2) = l(1, 3) = 2$, we have

$$l(1, 47 - 2) = l(1, 47 - 3) = 1 + \frac{q_{45} \times q_{44}}{q_2 \times q_3} \left(\frac{11}{210} + l(1, 2) - 1 \right) - \frac{11}{210} = 182$$

Similarly, since $l(1, 5) = l(1, 8) = 5$, we have

$$l(1, 47 - 5) = l(1, 47 - 8) = 1 + \frac{q_{42} \times q_{39}}{q_5 \times q_8} \left(\frac{11}{210} + l(1, 5) - 1 \right) - \frac{11}{210} = 155$$

(ii) For $i = 1$ and $j = 1, 6, 10, 12, \dots, 19, 21, 22$, we do not have $1 \leq \hat{j} \leq 23$ to fit

$l(1, j) = l(1, \hat{j})$, then we compute $l(1, \hat{j})$ for $24 \leq \hat{j} \leq 46$.

When $j = 1$, $q_1 = 11$ and $l(1, 1) = 12$,

$$\text{since } \frac{r_1 + 210(l(1, 1) - 1)}{q_1} = \frac{11 + 2 - (12 - 1)}{11} = 211 = q_{48}, \text{ we have}$$

$\hat{j} = 48$ and $l(1, 48) = l(1, 1) = 12$

When $j = 6$, $q_6 = 29$ and $l(1, 6) = 16$,

$$\text{since } \frac{r_1 + 210(l(1, 6) - 1)}{q_6} = 109 = q_{25}, \text{ we have}$$

$\hat{j} = 25$ and $l(1, 25) = l(1, 6) = 16$.

Similarly, we can predict $l(1, 26) = l(1, 15) = 37, l(1, 28) = l(1, 12) = 33, l(1, 29) = l(1, 14) = 39, l(1, 30) = l(1, 10) = 29, \dots, l(1, 41) = l(1, 22) = 88$.

4. An Associated Example for Statement 3

Comparing the CTC(basic) with the CTC(complementary) and referring to Table S1(a) and Table S1(b), it is clear that:

Given $j = 1$ and $q_1 = 11$, we have $l(1, 1) + l(46, 1) = 12 + 11 = 2q_1 + 1$.

Given $j = 2$ and $q_2 = 13$, we have $l(2, 2) + l(45, 2) = 14 + 13 = 2q_2 + 1$.

Given $j = 1$ and $q_1 = 11$, for $i = 2$, we have $l(45, 1) + l(2, 1) = 2 + 10 = 12 = q_1 + 1$.

Given $j = 12$ and $q_{12} = 53$, for $i = 1$, $l(46, 12) + l(1, 12) = 21 + 33 = 54 = q_{12} + 1$;

for $i = 23$, $l(24, 12) + l(23, 12) = 28 + 26 = 54 = q_{12} + 1$;

for $i = 47$, $l(47, 12) + l(48, 12) = 26 + 27 = 53 = q_{12}$.

5. Statement and proof of the intermedia effect in the CTC(1)

Table S1(a) and Table S1(b) show another distribution of $l(i, j)$ on the CTC, named the intermedia effect, which happens at some symmetrical columns, described below.

The intermedia effect in the CTC(1)

In CTC(1), there exists the intermedia effect between columns 23 and 24 such that, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 48$,

- (i) if $l(i, 23) + l(i, 24) = 108$, then $l(i, 23) = l(47 - i, 24)$,
- (ii) if $l(i, 23) + l(i, 24) = 106$, then $l(i, 23) + 2 = l(47 - i, 24)$.

For instances, in the case of green columns 23 and 24 of Figure 2, $l(19, 23) = l(28, 24) = 6, \dots, l(2, 23) + 2 = l(45, 24) = 77$. Other column pairs also exhibit the intermedia effect. For example, in the case of columns 22 and 25, if $l(i, 22) + l(i, 25) = 110$, then $l(i, 22) = l(47 - i, 25)$.

Proof of the intermedia effect in the CTC

For the case of columns 23 and 24,

(i) From the mirror effect, we have $l(i, 24) + l(47 - i, 24) = q_{24} + 1 = 108$.
If $l(i, 23) + l(i, 24) = 108$, then $l(i, 23) = 108 - l(i, 24) = l(47 - i, 24)$.

(ii) If $l(i, 23) + l(i, 24) = 106$, then $l(i, 23) + 2 = 108 - l(i, 24) = l(47 - i, 24)$.

For the case of columns 22 and 25, from the mirror effect, we know
 $l(i, 25) + l(47 - i, 25) = q_{25} + 1 = 109 + 1 = 110$
If $l(i, 22) + l(i, 25) = 110$, then $l(i, 22) = l(47 - i, 25)$.

6. Proofs of the Statements

Proof of Statement 1

For each $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, 48$, notice that in CTC(1),

$$\begin{aligned} l(j, j) &= 1 + \frac{q_j \times q_{\hat{j}} - r_j}{210} \\ &= 1 + \frac{q_j(211 - 1)}{210} \\ &= 1 + q_j, \text{ as } r_j = q_j \text{ and we choose } q_{\hat{j}} = q_{48} = 211. \end{aligned}$$

For a given j , $r_i = q_j \times q_{\hat{j}} - (l(i, j) - 1) \times 210$, for some \hat{j} . This means that a fixed r_i is the remainder of $q_j \times q_{\hat{j}}$ subtracting the maximum multiple of 210 smaller than $q_j \times q_{\hat{j}}$. Since $q_{\hat{j}} = q_{48} = 211$ is the largest $q_{\hat{j}}$ hence $l(j, j) \geq l(i, j)$.

Proof of Statement 2

By definition, $l(i, j) = 1 + \frac{q_j q_{\hat{j}} - r_i}{210}$, $l(i, \hat{j}) = 1 + \frac{q_j q_{\hat{j}} - r_i}{210}$.

Therefore, we have $l(i, j) = l(i, \hat{j}) = 1 + \frac{q_j \times q_{\hat{j}} - r_i}{210}$

Proof of Statement 3

(i): In this proof, we use $q_{j|i}$ to differentiate q_j given different r_i .

Notice that $r_{47-i} + r_i = 210, \forall i = 1, \dots, 23$,

$l(47 - i, j) = 1 + \frac{q_{j|i} q_{j|(47-i)} - r_{47-i}}{210}$ for some $q_{j|(47-i)}$ and

$l(i, j) = 1 + \frac{q_{j|i} q_{j|i} - r_i}{210}$ for some $q_{j|i}$.

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} l(47 - i, j) + l(i, j) &= 2 + \frac{q_{j|i}(q_{j|(47-i)} + q_{j|i}) - 210}{210} \\ &= 1 + \frac{q_{j|i}(q_{j|(47-i)} + q_{j|i})}{210} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{q_{j|i}(q_{j|(47-i)} + q_{j|i})}{210}$ must be an integer, and $q_{j|i}$ has no factors of 2, 3, 5, 7, $q_{j|(47-i)} + q_{j|i}$ must be a multiple of 210. This happens only when

$$q_{j|(47-i)} + q_{j|i} = \begin{cases} 210, & \text{if } \hat{j}|(47 - i) + \hat{j}|i = 47 \\ 420, & \text{if } \hat{j}|(47 - i) \text{ or } \hat{j}|i = 48 \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$l(47 - i, j) + l(i, j) = \begin{cases} q_{j|i} + 1, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } 47 - i \neq j \\ 2q_{j|i} + 1, & \text{if } i = j \text{ or } 47 - i = j \end{cases}$$

(ii): Notice that $r_{47} + r_{48} = 209 + 211 = 420$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} l(47, j) + l(48, j) &= \left(1 + \frac{q_{j|47}q_{j|47} - r_{47}}{210}\right) + \left(1 + \frac{q_{j|48}q_{j|48} - r_{48}}{210}\right) \\ &= \frac{q_{j|i}(q_{j|47} + q_{j|48})}{210} \\ &= \begin{cases} q_{j|i}, & \text{if } j|47 + j|48 = 47 \\ 2q_{j|i}, & \text{if } j|47 \text{ or } j|48 = 48 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The 2nd case happens only when $j = 47, 48$.

7. Justification for Establishing L_b(1) and Table S2

Starting with $\theta = 1$, compute $q_j, q_{\hat{j}}$ and $l(1, j)$ using Expression (1)

$$l(1, j) = 1 + \frac{q_j \times q_{\hat{j}} - 11}{210}, \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, 48.$$

Then for $2 \leq \theta \leq 19$ and $\hat{\theta} = 1$, we have:

$$l(1, j + 48) = l(1, j) + q_{\hat{j}}$$

$$l(1, j + 96) = l(1, j) + 2q_{\hat{j}}$$

\vdots

$$l(1, j + 864) = l(1, j) + 18q_{\hat{j}}$$

We then list $l(i, j + 48(\theta - 1))$ for $\theta = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 19$ in Table S2, corresponding to Expression (2'). The bottom row of Table S3 demonstrates that $\Delta_{1,1} = 2, \Delta_{1,2} = 15, \Delta_{1,3} = 28, \dots, \Delta_{1,19} = 210$. Notably, $\min_j \{l(i, j + 48(\theta - 1))\} < \min_j \{l(i, j + 48\theta)\}$ for all $\theta = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 19$, and $\Delta_{i,1} < \Delta_{i,2} < \Delta_{i,3} < \dots$, for all i .

For instance, given $b = 2311 = 211 + 210 \times 10$, compute $L_{2311}(1)$ by applying Expression (2) to obtain $L_{2311}(1) = \{2, 5, 7, 9\}$.

According to the Formula of Primes, in order for $\alpha = 11 + 210k \leq 2311$ to be primes, it requires $k + 1 \notin L_{2311}(1)$ for $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$. Therefore, we let $k \in \{0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10\}$ and get the following primes: $11, 11 + 210 \times 2 = 431, 11 + 210 \times 3 = 641, 11 + 210 \times 5 = 1061, 11 + 210 \times 7 = 1481, 11 + 210 \times 9 = 1901$ and $11 + 210 \times 10 = 2111$. They are all shown in the first row of Table 1.

Given $b = 211 + 210 \times 28 = 6091$, we get

$$L_{6091}(1) = \{2, 5, 7, 9, 12, 15, 16, 17, 19, 23, 24, 28\}.$$

In order for $\alpha = 11 + 210k \leq 6,091$ to be primes, we let $k \in \{0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 17, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26\}$ such that $k+1 \in L_{6091}(1)$ to obtain the following primes: 11, 431, 641, 1061, 1481, 1901, 2111, 2531, 2741, 3581, 4001, 4211, 4421, 5051, 5261, 5471.

8. Elaborations of Expressions (3) and (4)

Proof of Expression (3)

The total number of elements in $PTP^+(0, k)$ is $48 \times (k + 1)$, for $k \in N_0$. Within the interval $[11, 211^2\theta]$, the number of different $l(i, j)$ is $|L_b(i)|$ for $b = 211 + 210k$. Hence, the total number of composites without 2, 3, 5, and 7 within the above interval is $|L_b(i)|$. Note that 4 primes are less than 10. Therefore, the number of primes within $[2, b]$ is $\pi(b) = 4 + 48 \times (k + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{48} |L_b(i)|$.

Proof of Expression (4)

For an integer $i \in W = \{1, 3, 6, 9, 13, \dots, 45, 47\}$, (r_i, r_{i+1}) are twin primes for $r_i \geq 11$. If there exists a $k, k \in N_0$, such that $k + 1 \notin L_b(i)$ and $k + 1 \notin L_b(i + 1)$, then $r_i + 210k$ and $r_{i+1} + 210k$ are twin primes. Since (2, 3), (3, 5), (5, 7) are the only three twin-prime pairs less than 11. Therefore, the number of twin-prime pair in interval $[2, b]$ is $\pi^*(b) = 3 + \sum_{i \in W} |T(i, i + 1)|$, where $T(i, i + 1)$ is a set of k such that $k + 1 \notin L_b(i)$ and $k + 1 \notin L_b(i + 1)$.

9. Numerical Illustrations for The Periodic Table of Primes

Let $\lambda(i, k)$ be the integer value of the entry (i, k) in $PTP^+(0, 210)$ if Figure 3, which is computed based on CTC (1) and the Formula of Primes. For instance, $\lambda(i, k)$ on the diagonal dotted points (1, 11), (2, 13), (3, 17), (4, 19) and (5, 23) are computed below:

$l(1, 1) = 12, l(2, 2) = 14, \dots, l(23, 23) = 104, \dots, l(46, 46) = 200$ are converted to $\lambda(1, 11), \lambda(2, 13), \dots, \lambda(23, 17), \dots, \lambda(46, 103)$, respectively, shown by red numbers as

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(1, 11) &= r_1 + 210(l(1, 1) - 1) = 11 + 210(12 - 1) = 2321 = 11 \times 211, \\ \lambda(2, 13) &= r_2 + 210(l(2, 2) - 1) = 13 + 210(14 - 1) = 2743 = 13 \times 211,\end{aligned}$$

⋮

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(23, 103) &= r_{23} + 210(l(23, 23) - 1) = 103 + 210(104 - 1) = 21733 = 103 \times 211. \\ \lambda(46, 199) &= r_{46} + 210(l(46, 46) - 1) = 199 + 210(200 - 1) = 41989 = 199 \times 211.\end{aligned}$$

Also, $l(46, 1) = 11, l(45, 2) = 13, \dots, l(1, 46) = 199$ are converted to $\lambda(i, k)$, respectively, as

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(46, 10) &= r_{46} + 210(l(46, 1) - 1) = 199 + 210(11 - 1) = 2299 = 11 \times 209 \\ \lambda(45, 12) &= r_{45} + 210(l(45, 2) - 1) = 197 + 210(13 - 1) = 2717 = 13 \times 209\end{aligned}$$

⋮

$$\lambda(1, 198) = r_1 + 210(l(1, 46) - 1) = 11 + 210(199 - 1) = 41591 = 199 \times 209.$$

Now return to columns 22 and 25 in Figure 2. We note that (i, 23) and (i, 24), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, 48$ being the intermediate dotted points. There are two other green lines 12–10–9–7–6 for column 22, and 6–7–9–10–12 for column 25. Both are caused by the intermedia effect, with respect to 47. These two lines are also converted to Table 1, shown as $11 \times 101 - 13 \times 101 - 17 \times 101 - 19 \times 101 - 23 \times 101$, and $11 \times 109 - 13 \times 109 - 17 \times 109 - 19 \times 109 - 23 \times 109$, respectively.

The above conversions between $l(i, j)$ in the CTC and $\lambda(i, k)$ in the PTP⁺ demonstrate that (i) many composites such as the digonal and intermediate dotted points which allocate cyclically at the CTC are also allocated periodically at the PTP⁺. (ii) the PTP is obtained from removing all composites periodically allocated at PTP⁺. Therefore, we claim that prime numbers are also generated periodically since it comes from removing all periodically distributed composites.

Table 1 contains the first 11 columns for the PTP⁺(0, 210), which is composed of $48 \times 11 = 528$ elements, represented by $\lambda(i, k)$ and elaborated below.

- (i) Each entry $\lambda(i, k)$ is either a prime or a composite.
- (ii) If $\lambda(i, k)$ is a prime, then $\lambda(i, k) = r_i + 210k$, and vice versa. If $\lambda(i, k)$ is a composite, then there are q_j and $q_{j'}$ such that

$$\lambda(i, k) = q_j \times q_{j'} = r_i + 210 \times (l(i, j) - 1).$$

Take $i = 1, r_i = 11$ for instance,

$$\lambda(1, 1) = 11 + 210 \times (l(1, 2) - 1) = q_2 \times q_3 = 13 \times 17,$$

$$\lambda(1, 4) = 11 + 210 \times (l(1, 5) - 1) = q_5 \times q_8 = 23 \times 37.$$

$$\text{Then, we have } \lambda(1, 0) = 11, \quad \lambda(1, 2) = 11 \times 210(3 - 1) = 431,$$

$$\lambda(1, 3) = 11 + 210 \times (4 - 1) = 641, \dots,$$

$$\lambda(1, 9) = 11 + 210 \times (10 - 1) = 1901.$$

- (iii) Primes $\lambda(i, k)$ and $\lambda'(i, k) = \lambda(i, k) + 1$ are twin-prime pairs, if and only if $r'_i = 2 + r_i$, where $\lambda(i, k) = r_i + 210 \times k$, $\lambda'(i, k) = r'_i + 210 \times k$.
For instance, (431, 433), (641, 643), (1061, 1063), (1481, 1483) are twin-prime pairs.
- (iv) The numbers of primes in column k of the PTP⁺(0, 210) are shown as (43, 35, 32, 31, 31, 28, 28, 30, 26, 27, ⋯). The sum of primes within interval [11, 2311] is 43 +

$35 + 32 + \dots + 18 = 329$. The number of twin-prime pairs of a column k are listed as $(12, 6, 4, 4, 4, 8, 6, 4, 4, 4, \dots, 3)$. The maximum prime gap for the k^{th} column can also be found from Table 1. For instance, the maximum prime gap for column 2 is 10, occurring at 409 and 419 of r_{46} and r_{47} , respectively.

- (v) The $\text{PTP}(k^0, k')$ is useful in predicting primes. Examples are given by Nine Examples for the Predictions of Primes by the PTP.

In summary, the Formula of Primes, for the case $\hat{\theta} = 1$, is checked below:

From Table S1(a), we know that

$$L_b(1) = \{2, 5, 7, 9, 12, \dots\}$$

$$L_b(2) = \{7, 10, 12, 13, 14, \dots\}$$

$$L_b(3) = \{3, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, \dots\}$$

:

From Table 1, we know that

$$\alpha = r_1 + 210k \text{ is prime for } k = 0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 10$$

$$\alpha = r_2 + 210k \text{ is prime for } k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10$$

$$\alpha = r_3 + 210k \text{ is prime for } k = 0, 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9$$

:

In general, $\alpha = r_i + 210k$ is prime, if $k + 1 \notin L_b(i)$ and $\hat{\theta} = 1$.

10. Examples for the Predictions of Primes by the PTP

Example 1: Prediction of the next prime after 1,951

Since $1951 = 61 + 210(10 - 1)$, from Table 1 there are four consecutive composites, i.e. $19 \times 103, 37 \times 53, 13 \times 151$, and 11×179 , right after 1951. We know the prime next to 1951 is 1973.

Example 2: Prediction of the 300th prime

From Expression (3), given $b = 1891$, the number of primes within $[2, 1891]$ is counted as

$$\pi(1891) = 4 + 48 \times (8 + 1) - \sum_{i=1}^{48} |L_b(i)| = 4 + 432 - 146 = 290.$$

The 290th prime is 1889, which is located at $(i, k) = (47, 8)$ as shown in Table 1. Therefore, the 300th prime is counted to be 1987, at the location of $(i, k) = (21, 9)$.

Example 3: Prediction of the next twin primes after the twin-prime pair (1277, 1279)

The location of 1277 is at $(i, k) = (3, 6)$. The twin primes $\lambda(i, k)$ and $\lambda' = \lambda(i, k) + 1$ are expressed as $\lambda(i, k) = r_i + 210(7 - 1)$, $\lambda' = r'_i + 210(7 - 1)$ and $r'_i = r_i + 2$.

Therefore, $r_i = 29$, $r'_i = 31$, and $\lambda(i, k) = 1289$, $\lambda' = 1291$.

Example 4: Predicting the longest same-difference primes less than or equal to b

The same-difference in this example means 210.

We can predict the longest same-difference prime series in $\text{PTP}^+(0, 10)$ from the CTC(basic) by checking all rows of Table S1(a).

For each row i, we find $L_{2311}(i)$, $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 48$, below:

$$\begin{aligned} L_{2311}(1) &= \{2, 5, 7, 9\}, L_{2311}(2) = \{10, 7\}, L_{2311}(3) = \{11, 3, 6\}, \\ L_{2311}(4) &= \{10, 11, 4\}, L_{2311}(5) = \{11, 6, 9\}, L_{2311}(6) = \{10, 5, 6\}, \\ L_{2311}(7) &= \{10, 3, 5, 6, 8, 9\}, L_{2311}(8) = \{10, 2, 4, 8, 9\}, L_{2311}(9) = \{4\}, \\ L_{2311}(10) &= \{2, 8\}, L_{2311}(11) = \{10, 11, 8, 9\}, L_{2311}(12) = \{10, 3, 5, 7\}, \\ L_{2311}(13) &= \{11, 4, 5, 8, 9\}, L_{2311}(14) = \{3, 5, 6\}, \\ L_{2311}(15) &= \{10, 11, 4, 8\}, L_{2311}(16) = \{10, 11, 6, 7, 8, 9\}, \\ L_{2311}(17) &= \{10, 11, 3, 4, 5, 7\}, L_{2311}(18) = \{10, 2, 7\}, \\ L_{2311}(19) &= \{11, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9\}, L_{2311}(20) = \{11, 2, 6, 7, 9\}, \\ L_{2311}(21) &= \{11, 3, 6, 7\}, L_{2311}(22) = \{10, 11, 4, 9\}, L_{2311}(23) = \{5, 7, 8\}, \\ L_{2311}(24) &= \{3, 4, 6, 8\}, L_{2311}(25) = \{11, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7\}, \\ L_{2311}(26) &= \{2, 3, 9\}, L_{2311}(27) = \{1, 5, 8\}, L_{2311}(28) = \{11, 6, 7, 9\}, \\ L_{2311}(29) &= \{10, 11, 2, 3, 7\}, L_{2311}(30) = \{4, 7, 9\}, \\ L_{2311}(31) &= \{3, 5, 6, 9\}, L_{2311}(32) = \{1, 10, 7\}, \\ L_{2311}(33) &= \{11, 4, 5, 6, 9\}, L_{2311}(34) = \{10, 2, 4, 7\}, \\ L_{2311}(35) &= \{10, 11, 6, 7, 9\}, L_{2311}(36) = \{11, 3, 4, 5, 8, 9\}, \\ L_{2311}(37) &= \{10, 2, 5\}, L_{2311}(38) = \{1, 10, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9\}, \\ L_{2311}(39) &= \{4, 8, 9\}, L_{2311}(40) = \{11, 8, 9\}, L_{2311}(41) = \{10, 2, 7, 8\}, \\ L_{2311}(42) &= \{1, 10, 4, 5\}, L_{2311}(43) = \{11, 3, 6, 8\}, L_{2311}(44) = \{2, 6\}, \\ L_{2311}(45) &= \{2, 5, 6, 7\}, L_{2311}(46) = \{11\}, L_{2311}(47) = \{1, 3, 7, 8\}, \\ L_{2311}(48) &= \{10, 4, 6, 8, 9\}. \end{aligned}$$

Apparently, the longest same-difference primes is indicated by $L_{2311}(46) = \{11\}$.

Therefore, α is prime, for $\alpha = 199 + 210k$, $k = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots, 9\}$.

We then find the longest same-difference prime series in $\text{PTP}^+ [0, 10]$, or a 10-tuple primes series, to be

(199, 409, 619, 829, 1039, 1249, 1459, 1669, 1879, 2089), as shown on row 46 of Table 1.

Similarly, we can find the next longest same-difference prime series in $\text{PTP}^+(0, 10)$, which is associated with $L_{2311}(9) = \{4\}$. Namely, it happens at row 9, listed to be (881, 1091, 1301, 1511, 1721, 1931, 2141), as shown on row 9 of Table 1.

Example 5: How many primes are within the interval [2, 2311]?

From the CTCs, we count to find $\sum_{i=1}^{48} |L_b(i)| = 188$ for $b = 2311$. From Expression (3), $\pi(2311) = 4 + 48(10 + 1) - 188 = 344$.

Example 6: How many twin-prime pairs are within the interval [11, 2311]?

Referring to Expression (4), let $b = 2311$, from CTC, we compute $T(i, i + 1)$, for $i \in \{1, 3, 6, 9, 13, 16, 22, 24, 30, 33, 37, 40, 43, 45, 47\}$ to reach

$$T(1,2) = \{k \mid k + 1 \notin L_b(1), k + 1 \notin L_b(2)\} = \{0, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10\}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(3,4) &= \{0, 1, 4, 6, 7, 8\}, T(6,7) = \{0, 1, 3, 6\}, \text{ and} \\ T(9,10) &= \{0, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9\}, \text{ and } T(47,48) = \{0, 1, 4, 10\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the number of twin-prime pairs is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^*(2311) &= 3 + \sum_{i \in w} |T(i, i + 1)| = |T(1, 2)| + |T(3, 4)| + |T(6, 7)| + \dots \\ &\quad + |T(47, 48)| + 3 = 6 + 6 + \dots + 4 + 3 = 68. \end{aligned}$$

Figures and Tables for the Text

CTC(initial)

| | | segment q $\langle l_i, j \rangle$ | 1 | 2 | \cdots | 23 |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|----------|
| | | root i r_i | q_1 | q_2 | \cdots | q_{23} |
| 1 | | | r_1 | | | |
| 2 | | | r_2 | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | r_{23} | | | |

CTC(initial dual)

| | | segment q $\langle l_i, j \rangle$ | 24 | 25 | \cdots | 46 |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | root i r_i | q_{24} | q_{25} | \cdots | q_{46} |
| 1 | | | r_1 | | | |
| 2 | | | r_2 | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | r_{23} | | | |

22

dual effect

CTC(basic)

| | | segment q $\langle l_i, j \rangle$ | 1 | 2 | \cdots | 23 | 24 | \cdots | 46 | 47 | 48 |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | root i r_i | q_1 | q_2 | \cdots | q_{23} | q_{24} | \cdots | q_{46} | q_{47} | q_{48} |
| 1 | | | r_1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | r_2 | | | | | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | r_{23} | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | | | r_{47} | | | | | | | | |

CTC(complementary)

| | | segment q $\langle l_i, j \rangle$ | 1 | 2 | \cdots | 23 | 24 | \cdots | 46 | 47 | 48 |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | root i r_i | q_1 | q_2 | \cdots | q_{23} | q_{24} | \cdots | q_{46} | q_{47} | q_{48} |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 25 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 46 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | | | | | | | | | | | |

CTC(1)

| | | segment q $\langle l_i, j \rangle$ | 1 | 2 | \cdots | 23 | 24 | \cdots | 46 | 47 | 48 |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|----------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | root i r_i | q_1 | q_2 | \cdots | q_{23} | q_{24} | \cdots | q_{46} | q_{47} | q_{48} |
| 1 | | | r_1 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | | | r_2 | | | | | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 23 | | | r_{23} | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 47 | | | r_{47} | | | | | | | | |
| 48 | | | | | | | | | | | |

CTC(2)

| | | segment q $\langle l_i, j \rangle$ | 49 | 50 | \cdots | 71 | 72 | \cdots | 94 | 95 | 96 |
|----------|--|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | | root i r_i | q_{49} | q_{50} | \cdots | q_{71} | q_{72} | \cdots | q_{94} | q_{95} | q_{96} |
| 49 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 50 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 71 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 72 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 94 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 95 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 96 | | | | | | | | | | | |

CTC(θ)

| | | 48($\theta-1$)+1 | \cdots | 48 θ -2 | 48 θ -1 | 48 θ |
|------------|--|----------------------|----------|------------------|------------------|----------------|
| | | $q_{48(\theta-1)+1}$ | \cdots | $q_{48\theta-2}$ | $q_{48\theta-1}$ | $q_{48\theta}$ |
| 48 | | | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | |
| $\theta-1$ | | | | | | |
| θ | | | | | | |

cyclic effect

PTP^{+(0, 210)}

| | | period k $\langle l_i, k \rangle$ | 1 | 2 | \cdots | 210 |
|----------|--|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|----------|-----------|
| | | root i r_i | r_1 | r_2 | \cdots | r_{210} |
| 1 | | | r_1 | | | |
| 2 | | | r_2 | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | |
| 210 | | | | | | |

PTP^{+(211, 420)}

| | | 211 | 212 | \cdots | 420 | |
|----------|--|-----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | | root i r_i | r_{211} | r_{212} | \cdots | r_{420} |
| 211 | | | | | | |
| 212 | | | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | | | |
| 420 | | | | | | |

 $\theta \geq 2$


| | | 210($\theta-1$)+1 | \cdots | 210 θ |
|------------|--|-----------------------|----------|-----------------|
| | | $q_{210(\theta-1)+1}$ | \cdots | $q_{210\theta}$ |
| 210 | | | | |
| \vdots | | | | |
| $\theta-1$ | | | | |
| θ | | | | |

Figure 1: Schematic process of forming the PTP

Note:  for diagonal effect.  for intermediate effect.

Note: Numbers in red — for diagonal effect. Numbers in green — for intermediate effect.

Figure 2: An outline of CTC(1)

Figure 3: An outline of $\text{PTP}^+(0, 210)$

Note: ••••• for diagonal effect. ••••• for intermediate effect.

Table 1: A Partial table of PTP*[0, 48)

Note: Numbers in red — for composites

| root i | period k | pumos/composites | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 47 | | | | | | | |
|--------|----------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--------|----------|--------|
| | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | |
| 1 | 11 | 11 | 13x17 | 431 | 641 | 23x37 | 1061 | 31x41 | 1481 | 19x89 | 1901 | 2111 | 11x211 | | | | | | | | | | | | 47x103 | 41x241 |
| 2 | 13 | 13 | 223 | 433 | 643 | 853 | 1063 | 19x67 | 1483 | 1693 | 11x173 | 2113 | 23x101 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9883 |
| 3 | 17 | 17 | 227 | 19x23 | 647 | 857 | 11x97 | 1277 | 1487 | 1697 | 1907 | 29x73 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9887 | |
| 4 | 19 | 19 | 229 | 439 | 11x59 | 859 | 1069 | 1279 | 1489 | 1699 | 23x83 | 13x163 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11x29x31 | |
| 5 | 23 | 23 | 233 | 443 | 653 | 863 | 29x37 | 1283 | 1493 | 13x131 | 1913 | 11x193 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 13x761 | |
| 6 | 29 | 29 | 239 | 449 | 659 | 11x79 | 13x83 | 1289 | 1499 | 1709 | 19x101 | 2129 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 19x521 | |
| 7 | 31 | 31 | 241 | 11x41 | 661 | 13x67 | 23x47 | 1291 | 19x79 | 29x59 | 17x113 | 2131 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9901 | |
| 8 | 37 | 37 | 13x19 | 457 | 23x29 | 877 | 1087 | 1297 | 11x137 | 17x101 | 41x47 | 2137 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9907 | |
| 9 | 41 | 41 | 251 | 461 | 11x61 | 881 | 1091 | 1301 | 1511 | 1721 | 1931 | 2141 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 53x187 | |
| 10 | 43 | 43 | 11x23 | 463 | 673 | 883 | 1093 | 1303 | 17x89 | 1723 | 1933 | 2143 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 23x431 | |
| 11 | 47 | 47 | 257 | 467 | 677 | 887 | 1097 | 1307 | 37x41 | 11x157 | 13x149 | 19x113 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 47x211 | |
| 12 | 53 | 53 | 263 | 11x43 | 683 | 19x47 | 1103 | 13x101 | 1523 | 1733 | 26x67 | 2153 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9923 | |
| 13 | 59 | 59 | 269 | 479 | 13x53 | 29x31 | 1109 | 1319 | 11x139 | 37x47 | 1949 | 17x127 | 23x103 | | | | | | | | | | | | 9929 | |
| 14 | 61 | 61 | 271 | 13x37 | 691 | 17x53 | 11x101 | 1321 | 1531 | 1741 | 1951 | 2161 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9931 | |
| 15 | 67 | 67 | 277 | 487 | 17x41 | 907 | 1117 | 1327 | 29x53 | 1747 | 19x103 | 11x197 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 19x523 | |
| 16 | 71 | 71 | 281 | 491 | 701 | 911 | 19x59 | 11x121 | 23x67 | 17x103 | 37x53 | 13x167 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9941 | |
| 17 | 73 | 73 | 283 | 17x29 | 19x37 | 11x83 | 1123 | 31x43 | 1543 | 1753 | 13x151 | 41x53 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 61x163 | |
| 18 | 79 | 79 | 17x17 | 499 | 709 | 919 | 1129 | 13x103 | 1549 | 1759 | 11x179 | 2179 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9949 | |
| 19 | 83 | 83 | 293 | 503 | 23x31 | 13x71 | 11x103 | 17x79 | 1553 | 41x43 | 1973 | 37x59 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 37x269 | |
| 20 | 89 | 89 | 13x23 | 509 | 719 | 929 | 17x67 | 19x71 | 1559 | 29x61 | 1979 | 11x199 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 23x433 | |
| 21 | 97 | 97 | 307 | 11x47 | 727 | 937 | 31x37 | 23x59 | 1567 | 1777 | 1987 | 13x169 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9967 | |
| 22 | 101 | 101 | 311 | 521 | 17x43 | 941 | 1151 | 1361 | 1571 | 13x137 | 11x181 | 31x71 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 13x13x59 | |
| 23 | 103 | 103 | 313 | 523 | 733 | 23x41 | 1153 | 29x47 | 11x143 | 1783 | 1993 | 2203 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9973 | |
| 24 | 107 | 107 | 317 | 17x31 | 11x67 | 947 | 13x89 | 1367 | 19x83 | 1787 | 1997 | 2207 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11x907 | |
| 25 | 109 | 109 | 11x29 | 23x23 | 739 | 13x73 | 19x61 | 37x37 | 1579 | 1789 | 1999 | 47x47 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 17x587 | |
| 26 | 113 | 113 | 17x19 | 13x41 | 743 | 953 | 1163 | 1373 | 1583 | 11x163 | 2003 | 2213 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 67x149 | |
| 27 | 121 | 121 | 11x11 | 331 | 541 | 751 | 31x31 | 1171 | 1381 | 37x43 | 1801 | 2011 | 2221 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 97x103 |
| 28 | 127 | 127 | 337 | 547 | 757 | 967 | 11x107 | 19x73 | 1597 | 13x139 | 2017 | 17x131 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 13x769 | |
| 29 | 131 | 131 | 11x31 | 19x29 | 761 | 971 | 1181 | 13x107 | 1601 | 1811 | 43x47 | 23x97 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 73x137 | |
| 30 | 137 | 137 | 347 | 557 | 13x59 | 977 | 1187 | 11x127 | 1607 | 23x79 | 2027 | 2237 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10007 | |
| 31 | 139 | 139 | 349 | 13x43 | 769 | 11x89 | 29x41 | 1399 | 1609 | 17x107 | 2029 | 2239 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10009 | |
| 32 | 143 | 11x13 | 353 | 563 | 773 | 983 | 1193 | 23x61 | 1613 | 1823 | 19x107 | 2243 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 17x19x31 | |
| 33 | 149 | 149 | 359 | 569 | 19x41 | 23x43 | 11x109 | 1409 | 1619 | 31x59 | 2039 | 13x173 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 43x233 | |
| 34 | 151 | 19x13 | 379 | 19x31 | 17x47 | 1009 | 23x53 | 1429 | 11x149 | 1621 | 1831 | 13x157 | 2251 | 23x107 | | | | | | | | | | | | 11x911 |
| 35 | 157 | 157 | 367 | 577 | 787 | 997 | 17x71 | 13x109 | 1627 | 11x167 | 23x89 | 37x61 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 37x271 | |
| 36 | 163 | 163 | 373 | 11x53 | 13x61 | 17x59 | 1213 | 1423 | 23x71 | 19x97 | 2053 | 31x73 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 79x127 | |
| 37 | 167 | 167 | 13x29 | 587 | 797 | 19x53 | 1217 | 1427 | 1637 | 1847 | 11x187 | 2267 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10037 | |
| 38 | 169 | 13x13 | 379 | 19x31 | 17x47 | 1009 | 23x53 | 1429 | 11x149 | 1623 | 43x43 | 29x71 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10039 | |
| 39 | 173 | 173 | 383 | 593 | 11x73 | 1013 | 1223 | 1433 | 31x53 | 17x109 | 2063 | 2273 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 11x11x83 | |
| 40 | 179 | 179 | 389 | 599 | 809 | 1019 | 1229 | 1439 | 17x97 | 11x169 | 2069 | 43x53 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 13x773 | |
| 41 | 181 | 17x23 | 397 | 601 | 811 | 1021 | 1231 | 11x131 | 13x127 | 1861 | 19x109 | 2281 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 19x23x23 | |
| 42 | 187 | 11x17 | 397 | 607 | 19x43 | 13x79 | 1237 | 1447 | 1657 | 1867 | 31x67 | 2287 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 89x113 | |
| 43 | 191 | 191 | 401 | 13x47 | 821 | 1031 | 17x73 | 1451 | 11x151 | 1871 | 2081 | 29x79 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10061 | |
| 44 | 193 | 13x31 | 613 | 823 | 1033 | 11x113 | 1453 | 1663 | 1873 | 2083 | 2293 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 29x347 | | |
| 45 | 197 | 11x37 | 617 | 827 | 17x61 | 29x43 | 31x47 | 1667 | 1877 | 2087 | 2297 | 23x109 | 13x209 | | | | | | | | | | | | 10067 | |
| 46 | 199 | 199 | 409 | 619 | 829 | 1039 | 1249 | 1459 | 1669 | 1879 | 2089 | 11x209 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10069 | |
| 47 | 209 | 11x19 | 419 | 17x37 | 839 | 1049 | 1259 | 13x113 | 23x73 | 1889 | 2099 | 2309 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 10079 | |
| 48 | 211 | 211 | 421 | 631 | 29x29 | 1051 | 13x97 | 1471 | 41x41 | 31x61 | 11x191 | 2311 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 17x593 | |

Table S1(a): A basic composite table CTC(basic)

| root i | r_i | $l(i, j)$ | j | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | ... | 23 | 24 | ... | 46 | 47 | 48 |
|--------|-------|-----------|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | | | segment q | 11 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 23 | 29 | 31 | 37 | 41 | 43 | 47 | 53 | ... | 103 | 107 | ... | 199 | 209 | 211 |
| 1 | 11 | | 12 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 16 | 7 | 5 | 7 | 29 | 24 | 33 | 24 | 84 | | 199 | 12 | | | |
| 2 | 13 | | 10 | 14 | 13 | 7 | 12 | 24 | 25 | 36 | 23 | 38 | 41 | 34 | 75 | 31 | | 197 | 14 | | | |
| 3 | 17 | | 6 | 12 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 30 | 24 | 14 | 13 | 28 | 36 | 74 | 32 | | 193 | 18 | | | |
| 4 | 19 | | 4 | 11 | 12 | 20 | 10 | 19 | 17 | 18 | 30 | 22 | 45 | 37 | 22 | 86 | | 191 | 20 | | | |
| 5 | 23 | | 11 | 9 | 17 | 16 | 24 | 6 | 22 | 6 | 21 | 40 | 32 | 39 | 21 | 87 | | 187 | 24 | | | |
| 6 | 29 | | 5 | 6 | 16 | 10 | 22 | 30 | 14 | 25 | 28 | 24 | 36 | 42 | 71 | 35 | | 181 | 30 | | | |
| 7 | 31 | | 3 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 6 | 9 | 32 | 19 | 3 | 33 | 6 | 43 | 19 | 89 | | 179 | 32 | | | |
| 8 | 37 | | 8 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 24 | 38 | 10 | 17 | 10 | 46 | 69 | 37 | | 173 | 38 | | | |
| 9 | 41 | | 4 | 13 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 29 | 26 | 42 | 35 | 44 | 48 | 68 | 38 | | 169 | 42 | | | |
| 10 | 43 | | 2 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 2 | 28 | 16 | 20 | 17 | 44 | 14 | 49 | 16 | 92 | | 167 | 44 | | | |
| 11 | 47 | | 9 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 16 | 15 | 21 | 8 | 8 | 19 | 48 | 52 | 15 | 93 | | 163 | 48 | | | |
| 12 | 53 | | 3 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 13 | 27 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 54 | 65 | 41 | | 157 | 54 | | | |
| 13 | 59 | | 8 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 22 | 30 | 9 | 4 | 12 | 96 | | 151 | 60 | | | |
| 14 | 61 | | 6 | 3 | 10 | 16 | 19 | 13 | 23 | 3 | 38 | 39 | 26 | 5 | 63 | 43 | | 149 | 62 | | | |
| 15 | 67 | | 11 | 13 | 4 | 10 | 17 | 8 | 15 | 22 | 4 | 23 | 30 | 8 | 10 | 98 | | 143 | 68 | | | |
| 16 | 71 | | 7 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 8 | 24 | 20 | 10 | 36 | 41 | 17 | 10 | 9 | 99 | | 139 | 72 | | | |
| 17 | 73 | | 5 | 10 | 3 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 34 | 11 | 60 | 46 | | 137 | 74 | | | |
| 18 | 79 | | 10 | 7 | 2 | 17 | 13 | 27 | 30 | 23 | 18 | 34 | 38 | 14 | 7 | 101 | | 131 | 80 | | | |
| 19 | 83 | | 6 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 25 | 16 | 6 | 102 | | 127 | 84 | | | |
| 20 | 89 | | 11 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 27 | 30 | 16 | 36 | 29 | 19 | 56 | 50 | | 121 | 90 | | | |
| 21 | 97 | | 3 | 11 | 16 | 18 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 39 | 29 | 3 | 23 | 54 | 52 | | 113 | 98 | | | |
| 22 | 101 | | 10 | 9 | 4 | 14 | 21 | 28 | 11 | 31 | 30 | 4 | 37 | 25 | 53 | 53 | | 109 | 102 | | | |
| 23 | 103 | | 8 | 8 | 15 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 29 | 25 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 26 | 104 | 107 | | 107 | 104 | | | |
| 47 | 209 | | 1 | 7 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 25 | 22 | 3 | 33 | 17 | 15 | 26 | 26 | 80 | | 210 | 210 | | | |

Note: Numbers in red — for diagonal effect. Numbers in green — for intermediate effect.

Table S1(b): A complementary composite table CTC (complementary)

| root i | r_i | $l(i, j)$ | segment \hat{q}_j | j | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------|------------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----|------------|------------|-----|-----|-----|----|
| | | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | ... | 23 | 24 | ... | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| 24 | 107 | | | 4 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 19 | 23 | 3 | 13 | 37 | 31 | 41 | 28 | | 103 | 108 | | 103 | 108 | |
| 25 | 109 | | | 2 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 21 | 7 | 12 | 40 | 11 | 29 | | 51 | 55 | | 101 | 110 | |
| 26 | 113 | | | 9 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 17 | 18 | 26 | 32 | 3 | 15 | 45 | 31 | | 50 | 56 | | 97 | 114 | |
| 27 | 121 | | | 1 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 22 | 21 | 5 | 8 | 26 | 8 | 19 | 35 | | 48 | 58 | | 89 | 122 | |
| 28 | 127 | | | 6 | 9 | 11 | 7 | 20 | 16 | 28 | 27 | 33 | 35 | 23 | 38 | | 98 | 6 | | 83 | 128 | |
| 29 | 131 | | | 2 | 7 | 16 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 24 | 10 | 10 | 40 | | 97 | 7 | | 79 | 132 | |
| 30 | 137 | | | 7 | 4 | 15 | 16 | 9 | 27 | 25 | 34 | 31 | 37 | 14 | 43 | | 44 | 62 | | 73 | 138 | |
| 31 | 139 | | | 5 | 3 | 9 | 14 | 16 | 6 | 12 | 28 | 6 | 3 | 31 | 44 | | 95 | 9 | | 71 | 140 | |
| 32 | 143 | | | 1 | 1 | 14 | 10 | 7 | 22 | 17 | 16 | 38 | 21 | 18 | 46 | | 94 | 10 | | 67 | 144 | |
| 33 | 149 | | | 6 | 11 | 13 | 4 | 5 | 17 | 9 | 35 | 4 | 5 | 22 | 49 | | 41 | 65 | | 61 | 150 | |
| 34 | 151 | | | 4 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 12 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 20 | 14 | 39 | 50 | | 92 | 12 | | 59 | 152 | |
| 35 | 157 | | | 9 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 10 | 20 | 19 | 11 | 27 | 41 | 43 | 53 | | 39 | 67 | | 53 | 158 | |
| 36 | 163 | | | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 11 | 30 | 34 | 25 | 47 | 3 | | 89 | 15 | | 47 | 164 | |
| 37 | 167 | | | 10 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 22 | 2 | 16 | 18 | 25 | 43 | 34 | 5 | | 88 | 16 | | 43 | 168 | |
| 38 | 169 | | | 8 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 12 | 41 | 9 | 4 | 6 | | 36 | 70 | | 41 | 170 | |
| 39 | 173 | | | 4 | 12 | 9 | 18 | 20 | 26 | 8 | 37 | 32 | 27 | 38 | 8 | | 35 | 71 | | 37 | 174 | |
| 40 | 179 | | | 9 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 18 | 21 | 31 | 19 | 39 | 11 | 42 | 11 | | 85 | 19 | | 31 | 180 | |
| 41 | 181 | | | 7 | 8 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 29 | 18 | 13 | 14 | 20 | 12 | 12 | | 33 | 73 | | 29 | 182 | |
| 42 | 187 | | | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 23 | 24 | 10 | 32 | 21 | 4 | 16 | 15 | | 83 | 21 | | 23 | 188 | |
| 43 | 191 | | | 8 | 3 | 6 | 19 | 14 | 11 | 15 | 20 | 12 | 22 | 3 | 17 | | 82 | 22 | | 19 | 192 | |
| 44 | 193 | | | 6 | 2 | 17 | 17 | 21 | 11 | 2 | 14 | 28 | 31 | 20 | 18 | | 30 | 76 | | 17 | 194 | |
| 45 | 197 | | | 2 | 13 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 7 | 20 | | 29 | 77 | | 13 | 198 | |
| 46 | 199 | | | 11 | 12 | 16 | 11 | 19 | 14 | 25 | 33 | 35 | 15 | 24 | 21 | | 80 | 24 | | 11 | 200 | |
| 48 | 211 | | | 10 | 6 | 14 | 18 | 15 | 4 | 9 | 34 | 8 | 26 | 32 | 27 | | 77 | 27 | | 208 | 212 | |

Note: Numbers in red — for diagonal effect. Numbers in green — for intermediate effect.

Table S2: List of $L_{44521}(1)$, corresponding to Expression (2'), for $\theta = 1, 2, \dots, 19$

| j | $\theta = 1$ | | | $\theta = 2$ | $\theta = 3$ | $\theta = 19$ |
|---------------------|--------------|-------|----------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| | q_j | q_j | $l(1,j)$ | $l(1,j+48) = l(1,j)+q_j$ | $l(1,j+96) = l(1,j)+2q_j$ | |
| 1 | 11 | 211 | 12 | 223 | 434 | 3810 |
| 2 | 13 | 17 | 2 | 19 | 36 | 308 |
| 3 | 17 | 13 | 2 | 15 | 28 | 236 |
| 4 | 19 | 89 | 9 | 98 | 187 | 1611 |
| 5 | 23 | 37 | 5 | 42 | 79 | 671 |
| 6 | 29 | 109 | 16 | 125 | 234 | 1978 |
| 7 | 31 | 41 | 7 | 48 | 89 | 745 |
| 8 | 37 | 23 | 5 | 28 | 51 | 419 |
| 9 | 41 | 31 | 7 | 38 | 69 | 565 |
| 10 | 43 | 137 | 29 | 166 | 303 | 2495 |
| 11 | 47 | 103 | 24 | 127 | 230 | 1878 |
| 12 | 53 | 127 | 33 | 160 | 287 | 2319 |
| 13 | 59 | 139 | 40 | 179 | 318 | 2542 |
| 14 | 61 | 131 | 39 | 170 | 301 | 2397 |
| 15 | 67 | 113 | 37 | 150 | 263 | 2071 |
| 16 | 71 | 151 | 52 | 203 | 354 | 2770 |
| 17 | 73 | 167 | 59 | 226 | 393 | 3065 |
| 18 | 79 | 149 | 57 | 206 | 355 | 2739 |
| 19 | 83 | 157 | 63 | 220 | 377 | 2889 |
| 20 | 89 | 19 | 9 | 28 | 47 | 351 |
| 21 | 97 | 143 | 67 | 210 | 353 | 2641 |
| 22 | 101 | 181 | 88 | 269 | 450 | 3346 |
| 23 | 103 | 47 | 24 | 71 | 118 | 870 |
| 24 | 107 | 163 | 84 | 247 | 410 | 3018 |
| 25 | 109 | 29 | 16 | 45 | 74 | 502 |
| 26 | 113 | 67 | 37 | 104 | 171 | 1243 |
| 27 | 121 | 191 | 111 | 302 | 493 | 3549 |
| 28 | 127 | 53 | 33 | 86 | 139 | 987 |
| 29 | 131 | 61 | 39 | 100 | 161 | 1137 |
| 30 | 137 | 43 | 29 | 72 | 115 | 803 |
| 31 | 139 | 59 | 40 | 99 | 158 | 1102 |
| 32 | 143 | 37 | 67 | 104 | 141 | 733 |
| 33 | 149 | 79 | 57 | 136 | 215 | 1479 |
| 34 | 151 | 71 | 52 | 123 | 194 | 1330 |
| 35 | 157 | 83 | 63 | 146 | 229 | 1557 |
| 36 | 163 | 107 | 84 | 191 | 298 | 2010 |
| 37 | 167 | 73 | 59 | 132 | 205 | 1373 |
| 38 | 169 | 179 | 145 | 324 | 503 | 3367 |
| 39 | 173 | 187 | 155 | 342 | 529 | 3521 |
| 40 | 179 | 169 | 145 | 314 | 483 | 3187 |
| 41 | 181 | 101 | 88 | 189 | 290 | 1906 |
| 42 | 187 | 173 | 155 | 328 | 501 | 3269 |
| 43 | 191 | 121 | 111 | 232 | 353 | 2289 |
| 44 | 193 | 197 | 182 | 379 | 576 | 3728 |
| 45 | 197 | 193 | 182 | 375 | 568 | 3656 |
| 46 | 199 | 209 | 199 | 408 | 617 | 3961 |
| 47 | 209 | 199 | 199 | 398 | 597 | 3781 |
| 48 | 211 | 11 | 12 | 23 | 34 | 210 |
| $\Delta_{i,\theta}$ | | | 2 | 15 | 28 | 210 |

$$\Delta_{i,\theta} = \min_j \{l(i, j+48(\theta-1))\}, i=1$$

Table S3: The Periodic Table of Primes of various intervals