

Weather Station Records and Communicating Climate Change—Arizona

Marc Los Huertos

May 30, 2022

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|----------|
| 1 | Evaluating Terrestrial Meteorological Data | 2 |
| 1.1 | Selected History of Climate Science | 2 |
| 1.2 | Why Look at Individual Stations? | 3 |
| 1.3 | Approach | 3 |
| 1.3.1 | NOAA Data Records | 3 |
| 1.3.2 | rNOAA Package and R | 3 |
| 1.4 | Selecting Weather Records by State | 4 |
| 1.4.1 | Identify List of State IDs (FIPS) | 4 |
| 1.4.2 | Selection Stations | 4 |
| 2 | Gathering Weather Record Datasets | 4 |
| 2.1 | Main Datasets of Interest | 4 |
| 2.2 | Functions to Collect and Clean GSOM | 5 |
| 2.2.1 | Functions to Report Probabilities | 5 |
| 2.3 | GSOM: Retrieve and Clean Data | 5 |
| 2.4 | CHCND: Retrieve and Clean Data | 5 |
| 3 | Data Analysis Processes | 5 |
| 3.1 | Map Weather Station Location | 5 |
| 3.2 | Using a Linear Model Monthly Trends | 5 |
| 3.2.1 | Trends in Tabular Formats | 5 |
| 3.2.2 | Defining TMAXmonth and TMINmonth | 7 |
| 4 | Communicating Long-term Weather Records | 7 |
| 4.1 | Complete Records vs. Post 1975 Trends | 7 |
| 4.2 | Filtering Seasonal Effect | 8 |
| 4.2.1 | Method 1: Filtering by Monthly Mean | 8 |
| 4.2.2 | Method 2: Polynomial Filter | 8 |
| 4.3 | Extreme Events—Using Daily Records | 10 |
| 4.3.1 | Complicated Nature of Rainfall Patterns | 10 |
| 4.3.2 | Drought | 11 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 4.4 | Record Setting Temperature Records | 13 |
| 4.5 | Iterate TMAX vs. Month Boxplots | 14 |
| 4.6 | Four Plots Compelling Figures | 14 |
| 4.7 | KISS | 14 |
| 4.7.1 | Change Point Analysis | 16 |
| 4.8 | Temp & Precipitation Probability | 16 |
| 4.9 | Using library densEstBayes | 17 |
| 5 | Animated GIFs | 19 |
| 5.1 | Probability Distributions | 19 |
| 5.2 | 4 Weather Trend Plots | 19 |
| 5.3 | Evaluating Records | 19 |
| 5.4 | Export Options | 19 |
| 6 | Sea Surface Temperature Data – SURP PROJECT WAITING TO HAPPEN | 20 |
| 7 | Satellite Data | 20 |
| 8 | Ice-Core Data | 20 |
| 9 | Conclusions | 20 |

1 Evaluating Terrestrial Meteorological Data

1.1 Selected History of Climate Science

Geologists have known the climate has been changing over the Earth’s history. But what causes these changes has been a major research area for over 100 years. There are numerous drivers that contribute to changing climates – including the arrangement of the continents on the planet, the distance to the sun, energy generated by the sun, volcanic activity, and the composition of the Earth’s atmosphere.

It’s the last one that we’ll spend time because the Earth’s temperature are changing pretty dramatically over the last 100 years and the cause is no mystery – the human activity that has released carbon dioxide (CO₂) into the atmosphere. The two main sources of CO₂ is from land use change, e.g. deforestation, and the burning of fossil fuels, e.g. coal, oil, and natural gas.

The first to propose the role of CO₂ on the Earth’s atmosphere was a Swedish scientist Svante Arrhenius, who figured out that CO₂ absorbs infrared light. Moreover, he deduced that the Earth’s temperature was actually warmer than it might otherwise be if CO₂ was not part of the Earth’s atmosphere.

1.2 Why Look at Individual Stations?

I don't think there is a single, perfect way to analyze and communicate climate change. But the beauty of the network of stations in the USA and around the world is that these stations record weather as experienced by local people. And while individual stations may not represent the overall regional and global patterns well, this gives us a mechanism to connect local experiences to regional or global processes.

Of course, some may fixate on the local pattern and remain unconvinced of the larger context and for those folks, there may be better ways to communicate climate data.

However, I would be remiss in failing to mention that some may fixate on local patterns and use these patterns to ignore or to dismiss the patterns in other regions.

Finally, the impacts of climate change are highly specific to the region in question. Thus, once someone understands the impacts on climate change in their region, they may not be able to appreciate how different the climate impacts might affect other peoples, who may be more vulnerable, around the globe.

Thus, with these weaknesses in mind, I will pursue this project with an eye to address these other issues at later stages.

1.3 Approach

1.3.1 NOAA Data Records

The US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) maintains several sources of digital weather data from the USA and beyond. These data have been collected from stations around the country to support a wide range of human activities that include farming, aviation, shipping, and even armed conflict.

At various times, these records have been used to evaluate long-term climate change with varying success. Without a doubt, these data are not perfect, but they remain that foundation of an effective and professionally maintained environmental monitoring program that engenders integrity, even when facing budget cuts.

I will use these data to select for a station with a long record for each state in the USA. Future projects might evaluate the record for stations around the world, but we will see about that.

1.3.2 rNOAA Package and R

R is an open source programming environment that has become one of the most popular tools for statisticians and data scientists. Capitalizing on the open source framework, a wide range of libraries or packages have been developed to facilitate data processing, analysis, and graphical displays. One such package is rNOAA developed to collect and display climate records stored on NOAA servers.

Using the package requires the use of a key. To maintain the integrity of the key, it's best to avoid posting the key in a public repository and to encryp the key to ensure it's not abused.

1.4 Selecting Weather Records by State

There are numerous ways to analyze temperature records, where stations can be analyzed individually or records could be sampled and analyzed in spatially in grids. Each of these are valid approaches depending on the question to be addressed.

In this case the question is “Based on the longest state meterological record, is there a temperature trend?”

1.4.1 Identify List of State IDs (FIPS)

Using the rNOAA library in R, we can queary NOAA’s database to identify station codes (FIPS) by state. With the states and some territories, there are 55 FIPS for US weather stations.

rNOAA has a simple function to list for each of the states and the weather stations in each. I use `ncdc_locs()` functions to select each state and `ncdc_station()` to obtain the station ids with the longest records.

The function queries the NOAA website and retrieves state codes, “FIPS:XX”. Each state has a number of weather stations,¹ some with a long record, some with a short record, and some with numerous interruptions. Our goal is to select a long record with few missing data.

1.4.2 Selection Stations

With the state ids, we can evaluate the metadata for all the weather stations, which will work to get the longest records, using `ncdc_stations()`.

First, we subset the data for stations that actively collecting data. Then we’ll sort to the active stations to find the one with the longest records. We will use these stations for our analysis.

The record selected has the following metadata associated with it, which will be used for nameing, labeling, and mapping.

```
elevation mindate maxdate latitude name datacoverage 176 1420.1 1893-07-01 2022-03-01 31.7119 TOMBSTONE, AZ US 0.9301 id elevationUnit longitude 176 GHCND:USC00028619 METERS -110.0686 [1] 1893
```

2 Gathering Weather Record Datasets

2.1 Main Datasets of Interest

GSOM

¹Project Idea: It would be nice to make a map of how concentrated the stations spatially.

CHCND

CHCNM

2.2 Functions to Collect and Clean GSOM

To collect the data, I used a short function, but the download time is painfully slow because only 1 year can be obtained at a time. Might want to get a work around for this at some point.

Functions to bin data into decades and scores.

The function relies on two inputs, the station id and the measured parameter – TMAX and TMIN in this case. After that, the data needs to be clean up quite a bit.

Furthermore, I have converted units to Farenheit, which is not my favorite, but important for US consumption.

2.2.1 Functions to Report Probabilities

2.3 GSOM: Retreive and Clean Data

2.4 CHCND: Retreive and Clean Data

CHCND have been bias corrected...

3 Data Analysis Processes

3.1 Map Weather Station Location

3.2 Using a Linear Model Monthly Trends

I used a linear model (`lm()`) to evaluate the long term trend for each each month to determine which, if any, have long-term trends. At somepoint, I'll have to the stats correcting for the autocorrelation using a autoregressive model.

Evaluate both TMAX and TMIN in GSOM by Year using `MonthEvalStats()` function.

3.2.1 Trends in Tabular Formats

Admittedly, determining the months with the biggest changes isn't a very good approach for hypothesize testing – it's more like a fishing expedition, but as long as we understand the difference between an a priori hypothesis and an exploratory analysis, we should be okay if we make appropriate conclusions.

For this section, we'll look to see what months had the greatest changes for both TMIN and TMAX. By looking at significant slopes in whatever direction, we might learn if warming is really the dominiant pattern.

Table ?? summarizes the monthly trends for TMAX.

Table ?? summarizes the monthly trends for TMAX.




Figure 1: Weather Station Location (USC00028619).

| | Month | Slope100 | r2 | p_value | Symbol |
|----|-------|----------|------|---------|--------|
| 1 | 1 | 0.0149 | 0.19 | 0.0002 | *** |
| 4 | 2 | 0.0144 | 0.13 | 0.0019 | ** |
| 7 | 3 | 0.0215 | 0.27 | 0.0000 | *** |
| 10 | 4 | 0.0165 | 0.20 | 0.0001 | *** |
| 13 | 5 | 0.0135 | 0.19 | 0.0001 | *** |
| 16 | 6 | 0.0214 | 0.36 | 0.0000 | *** |
| 19 | 7 | 0.0110 | 0.29 | 0.0000 | *** |
| 22 | 8 | 0.0113 | 0.26 | 0.0000 | *** |
| 25 | 9 | 0.0109 | 0.22 | 0.0000 | *** |
| 28 | 10 | 0.0115 | 0.16 | 0.0004 | *** |
| 31 | 11 | 0.0194 | 0.25 | 0.0000 | *** |
| 34 | 12 | 0.0108 | 0.12 | 0.0029 | ** |

Table 1: TMIN Trends

| | Month | Slope100 | r2 | p_value | Symbol |
|----|-------|----------|------|---------|--------|
| 2 | 1 | 0.0027 | 0.00 | 0.6621 | |
| 5 | 2 | -0.0007 | 0.00 | 0.9082 | |
| 8 | 3 | 0.0121 | 0.06 | 0.0345 | * |
| 11 | 4 | 0.0035 | 0.01 | 0.4906 | |
| 14 | 5 | 0.0007 | 0.00 | 0.8710 | |
| 17 | 6 | 0.0075 | 0.05 | 0.0379 | * |
| 20 | 7 | -0.0011 | 0.00 | 0.7351 | |
| 23 | 8 | 0.0017 | 0.00 | 0.6085 | |
| 26 | 9 | -0.0029 | 0.01 | 0.4091 | |
| 29 | 10 | 0.0009 | 0.00 | 0.8652 | |
| 32 | 11 | 0.0015 | 0.00 | 0.7830 | |
| 35 | 12 | -0.0048 | 0.01 | 0.3410 | |

Table 2: TMAX Trends

PPT changes are tricky to capture and I'll have to keep working on this (Table ??).

3.2.2 Defining TMAXmonth and TMINmonth

The greatest changes for Station GHCND:USC00028619

4 Communicating Long-term Weather Records

4.1 Complete Records vs. Post 1975 Trends

Communicating climate change based on station records is tricky. The long-term record would on the surface to be the most robust, but several issues arise with a naive analytical approach – my favorite!

| | Month | Slope100 | r2 | p_value | Symbol |
|----|-------|----------|------|---------|--------|
| 3 | 1 | -0.0019 | 0.00 | 0.9561 | |
| 6 | 2 | 0.0510 | 0.05 | 0.0592 | |
| 9 | 3 | 0.0111 | 0.00 | 0.6850 | |
| 12 | 4 | -0.0124 | 0.01 | 0.3655 | |
| 15 | 5 | 0.0111 | 0.01 | 0.3281 | |
| 18 | 6 | 0.0135 | 0.00 | 0.5950 | |
| 21 | 7 | -0.0508 | 0.01 | 0.4724 | |
| 24 | 8 | -0.0370 | 0.01 | 0.5021 | |
| 27 | 9 | 0.0569 | 0.03 | 0.1518 | |
| 30 | 10 | 0.0025 | 0.00 | 0.9467 | |
| 33 | 11 | 0.0390 | 0.04 | 0.1031 | |
| 36 | 12 | 0.0108 | 0.00 | 0.6926 | |

The noise in the data may suggests that no trend is present (Figure 2). It's tricky because the seasonal variation dominates the source of variation. In other words the intra-annual variation exceeds the inter-annual variation, making signal detection very difficult. Is there a way to "filter" out the seasonal effect? Yes, let's see how that works next.

4.2 Filtering Seasonal Effect

There are several ways to filter out seasonal effects. The easiest way is subtract the mean value for each date, but that's tricky because every four years there is an extra day in February – although there are ways to deal with this, a more straight forward way is to use mean monthly values to capture the seasonality for each month. With 12 months, this is a pretty good approach because there is pretty good resolution.

4.2.1 Method 1: Filtering by Monthly Mean

And to see what we created, see Figure 3.

4.2.2 Method 2: Polynomial Filter

Project to be followed up with.

```
# fit polynomial: x^2*b1 + x*b2 + ... + bn

# create time series object
#X = [i%365 for i in range(0, len(series))]
# y = series.values

# degree = 4
#coef = polyfit(X, y, degree)
```





Figure 2: The climate trends from full record and post 1975 data. These data have a high level of variability due to seasonality effects that have not been filtered out.




Figure 3: The changing in monthly temperature data.

```
# print('Coefficients: %s' % coef)
# create curve
```

4.3 Extreme Events—Using Daily Records

4.3.1 Complicated Nature of Rainfall Patterns


Rainfall trends are tough. Extreme events can occur in 24 hours or over long periods that might result in floods or droughts. Each region might have different patterns, so developing a consistent approach is tough.

We can look for trends in monthly averages, number of days without rain (important in tropics), and/or extreme events based on daily or hourly data.

I don't know of a robust way to look at this for the entire globe.

Rainfall totals by season might be a useful way to think about changes, because the rainfall is often seasonal, I wonder if we can see patterns by season.


```
## 'geom_smooth()' using formula 'y ~ x'
```




4.3.2 Drought

Days without rain...within a calendar year... bleed over between years isn't captured.. This is screwed up, Drought.run needs work.

```
## Warning in if (Drought.run$lengths > 100) {: the condition has
length > 1 and only the first element will be used
## Error in if (Drought.run$lengths > 100) {: missing value where
TRUE/FALSE needed
## Error in eval(m$data, eframe): object 'Drought.run.100' not found
```



```
## Error in is.data.frame(data):  object 'Drought.run.100' not found
## Error in is.data.frame(data):  object 'Drought.run.100' not found
```



Rainfall Probability Distributions by decade... to be developed.

4.4 Record Setting Temperature Records

In many cases, people seem to "feel" how temperature has been changing over time, and new records seem to capture the attention in the media. So, we'll create a updated record of maximum temperatures and display them.

This is a common way to communicate temperatures changes. I suspect we have a better sense of change when we notice "extreme" events...

I tried to use a for loop and in then statements and it was painfully slow, so I converted the data to a matrix that can be used by barplots with much more efficiency!

Create the matrix

```
## Error in TMAX.mat.noleap[j, year.seq$Col[year.seq$Year == i]] <-
CHCND.noleap$TMAX[CHCND.noleap$Year == : replacement has length zero
```




Figure 4: Daily temperatures that have been the highest on record (in red) and lowest on record (in blue). In some cases, climate change has created more records in the recent decades, while other stations seem don't show that trend.

```
## Error in TMAX.mat.noleap[140:144, 134:135]: subscript out of bounds
```

The patterns of record temperatures often shows increasing number of new high temperature records and fewer record low temperatures more recently, but as usual, it depends on the location (Figure 4).

4.5 Iterate TMAX vs. Month Boxplots

Evaluating the changes in TMAX and Monthly temperatures might be useful, but for now, I think it's hard to see the patterns.

4.6 Four Plots Compelling Figures

To test the code, I have created graphics that can then be used in the animation process, i.e. try to create code that doesn't get too complicated and then fail!

4.7 KISS

Keeping it simple is critical in communicating scientific information. In this section, I try to come up with a consistent message for every state and a simple graphic.




Figure 5: Climate can be analyzed using several types of lenses. In this case, we have analyzed show the months with the greatest changes. The first figure is monthly average of TMINs (daily low temperatures) with a best fit line. The second figure shows the monthly TMAX range and asterisks indicate singifcant changes over the station record and the third figure is the trend for these TMAXs over time and includes the best fit line. The final figure shows the daily temperatures that have been the highest on record (in red) and the lowest minimum temperatures (in blue). In some cases, climate change has created more records in the recent decades, while other stations seem don't show that trend.




Figure 6: Keep it simple stupid!

4.7.1 Change Point Analysis

First, TMIN and TMAX and change point analysis...

<https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/mcp/readme/README.html>

Let's create a figure that simplifies the narrative, if we can!

4.8 Temp & Precipitation Probability

To highlight the patterns of change, it might be useful to analyze how the probability distribution might change – we can use a normal probability distribution as a theoretical distribution (and we can check if this distribution is appropriate with a Chi-Square test), or we can use the data to create an empirical distribution, which is my favored approach.

Arizona (TOMBSTONE, AZ US)





Figure 7: The changing in monthly temperature data, assuming a normal probability distribution.

I started with decade bins, but used 20 years bins (scores) to simplify the graphics while keeping a pretty good temporal resolution.

This figure is pretty effective, but still needs work.

4.9 Using library densEstBayes

Now, I used a screen split to look at the distribution of the temperate anomalies. First, we look at a simple histogram of the entire dataset.



The data center around zero, as expected, but are these normally distributed?

For TMAX there is a 0.039 probability that the distribution is the same as the normal distribution. For TMIN there is a 0.133 probability that the distribution is the same as the normal distribution. For PPT is a 0 probability that the distribution is the same as the normal distribution.

These values suggest that there is good reason to avoid the normal probability distribution.

Next we use a function to estimate the probability distribution using a markof chain the creates an estimated probability distribution. This doesn't always work when the distribution is not even and their only 10 years of data per slot. I suspect, I should make this by every 20 years. Plus that will go way faster and I think the data visualization will be more robust.

The process to create these figures is very time consuming, so in general, I need to come up with an if then statement to avoid creating these everytime!




Figure 8: The changing in monthly temperature data.

5 Animated GIFs

So far, this creates a gif file, but I haven't been able to get the gif in the pdf directly yet. I will need an additional package or create separate pngs that are combined. For now, we'll create a gif file to be used in separate documents.

5.1 Probability Distributions

The file is saved in the main directory.

5.2 4 Weather Trend Plots

The file is saved in the main directory.

5.3 Evaluating Records

TBD

5.4 Export Options

TBD

6 Sea Surface Temperature Data – SURP PROJECT WAITING TO HAPPEN

In contrast to terrestrial data, sea surface temperature (SST) is quite difficult to obtain and process. There are numerous tools to access the data, but they often require knowledge of complex software tools that are not easy to set up or programming experience with python or others.

<https://climexp.knmi.nl/select.cgi?id=someone@somewhere&field=ersstv5>

There are, however, a few tools build for R users that seem to accomplish all that we need.

https://rda.ucar.edu/index.html?hash=data_user&action=register

<https://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds277.9/>

Alternatively, we can download flat ascII tables of gridded data:

<https://www1.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/cmb/ersst/v5/ascii/>

7 Satellite Data

TBD

8 Ice-Core Data

TBD

9 Conclusions

Developing a robust method to analyze weather stations is both time consuming and difficult to justify the outcome. In part because the data suggest that each station (region) requires different types of analysis, based on the expected patterns of temperature and rainfall. As climate scientists have known for decades, the terminology of global warming is not very useful. Not because scientists are trying to hide something or promote some biased agenda, but that even as warming of the global average is well documented, the impacts of climate change on each region is highly specific, requiring specificity in the analysis.

Hopefully, this little analysis has created some mechanism for others to appreciate this compexity.

```
## Error in data.frame(State = fips$State, Station = stid, gif_public  
= gif_public, : object 'GSOM_estPDF.png' not found  
## Error in is.data.frame(x): object 'dbase' not found
```

The document took 22.4 minutes to process and compile. My next goal will be to optimize the process and streamline the time to analyze.