Machine Learning: Homework #3

Due on November 13, 2017 at 09:55am

 $Professor\ Dr.\ Stephan\ Guennemann$

Marc Meissner

Problem 1

Usually one considers the log likelihood $\log p(x_1,...,x_n|\theta)$. The next problems justifies this. In the lecture, we encountered the likelihood maximization problem

$$\underset{\theta \in [0,1]}{\arg \max} \, \theta^t (1-\theta)^h$$

, where t and h denoted the number of tails and heads in a sequence of coin tosses, respectively. Compute the first and second derivative of this likelihood w.r.t. θ . Then compute first and second derivative of the log likelihood $\log \theta^t (1-\theta)^h$.

Solution

First equation:

$$f(\theta) = \theta^t (1 - \theta)^h$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \\ &= t\theta^{t-1} (1-\theta)^h - \theta^t h (1-\theta)^{h-1} \\ &= \theta^{t-1} (1-\theta)^{h-1} (t(1-\theta) - h\theta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\frac{\partial^2 f(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}}{\partial \theta^2} = \dots$$

$$= \left[\theta^{t-2} (1-\theta)^{h-2} \cdot ((t-1)(1-\theta) - (h-1)\theta) \cdot (t(1-\theta) - h\theta) \right] - \theta^{t-1} (1-\theta)^{h-1} (t+h)$$

Second equation:

$$g(\theta) = \ln[\theta^t (1 - \theta)^h] = t \ln \theta + h \ln(1 - \theta)$$

$$\frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \frac{t}{\theta} - \frac{h}{1-\theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{t}{\theta^2} - \frac{h}{(1-\theta)^2}$$

Problem 2

Show that every local maximum of log $f(\theta)$ is also a local maximum of the differentiable, positive function $f(\theta)$. Considering this and the previous exercise, what is your conclusion?

Solution

Given $f(\theta)$ with local maximum θ_{max} . Then, for a certain ϵ , it locally holds that:

$$f(\theta_{\text{max}}) \ge f(\theta)$$
 for any $\theta \in [\theta_{\text{max}} - \epsilon; \theta_{\text{max}} + \epsilon]$

Since $q(\theta) = \ln f(\theta)$ is a monotonic function, the following property holds:

$$x_2 - x_1 \implies \ln(x_2) > \ln(x_1)$$

$$g(\theta_{\text{max}}) = \ln f(\theta_{\text{max}}) \ge \ln f(\theta) = g(\theta)$$

Thus, one can apply log-likelihood and preserve the position of the maximum while severely reducing the complexity of the solution.

Problem 3

Show that θ_{MLE} can be interpreted as a special case of θ_{MAP} in the sense that there always exists a prior $p(\theta)$ such that $\theta_{\text{MLE}} = \theta_{\text{MAP}}$.

Solution

Any constant prior (uniform distribution) should preserve the position of the maximum, since it just scales the distribution. Given $p(\theta) = c$ and the definitions from the slides:

$$\theta_{\text{MAP}} = \arg\max_{\theta} p(D|\theta)p(\theta) = \arg\max_{\theta} p(D|\theta)c = \arg\max_{\theta} p(D|\theta) = \theta_{\text{MLE}}$$

Problem 4

Consider a Bernoulli random variable X and suppose we have observed m occurrences of X=1 and l occurrences of X=0 in a sequence of N=m+l Bernoulli experiments. We are only interested in the number of occurrences of X=1. We will model this with a Binomial distribution with parameter θ . A prior distribution for θ is given by the Beta distribution with parameters a,b. Show that the posterior mean value $E[\theta|D]$ (not the MAP estimate) of θ lies between the prior mean of θ and the maximum likelihood estimate for θ . To do this, show that the posterior mean can be written as λ times the prior mean plus $(1-\lambda)$ times the maximum likelihood estimate, with $\theta \leq \lambda \leq 1$. This illustrates the concept of the posterior mean being a compromise between the prior distribution and the maximum likelihood solution. The probability mass function of the Binomial distribution for some $m \in \{0,1,...,N\}$ is

$$p(x = m|N, \theta) = {N \choose m} \theta^m (1 - \theta)^{N-m}.$$

Hint: Identify the posterior distribution. You may then look up the mean rather than computing it.

Solution

Solution.

Problem 5

Let X be Poisson distributed. Again, for n i.i.d. samples from X, determine the maximum likelihood estimate for λ . In class we also talked about avoiding overfitting of parameters via prior information. Compute the posterior distribution over λ , assuming a $\operatorname{Gamma}(\alpha,\beta)$ prior for it. Compute the MAP for λ under this prior. Show your work.

Solution

Solution.