

# **PasswordStore Security Review**

Version 1.0

## PasswordStore - Security Review

Marco Besier

February 19, 2024

Prepared by: Marco Besier

## **Protocol Summary**

PasswordStore is a protocol dedicated to storage and retrieval of a user's passwords. The protocol is designed to be used by a single user, and is not designed to be used by multiple users. Only the owner should be able to set and access the password.

#### Disclaimer

I make all effort to find as many vulnerabilities in the code in the given time period, but hold no responsibilities for the findings provided in this document. A security review is not an endorsement of the underlying business or product. The review was time-boxed and the code was solely reviewed with regards to the security aspects of the Solidity implementation of the contracts.

## **Risk Classification**

		Impact		
		High	Medium	Low
	High	С	Н	М
Likelihood	Medium	Н	М	L
	Low	М	L	L

## **Audit Details**

## The findings described in this document correspond to the following commit hash:

```
1 7d55682ddc4301a7b13ae9413095feffd9924566
```

## Scope

```
1 ./src/
2 #-- PasswordStore.sol
```

#### **Roles**

- Owner: The user who can set the password and read the password.
- Outsiders: No one else should be able to set or read the password.

#### **Issues found**

Severity	Number of issues found	
Critical	2	
High	0	
Medium	0	
Low	0	
Info	1	
Total	3	

## **Findings**

#### **Critical**

## [C-1] Storing the password on-chain exposes it to everyone.

**Description** All data stored on the blockchain is publicly visible and can be directly accessed. The PasswordStore::s\_password variable is designed as a private variable, intended to be accessed solely through the PasswordStore::getPassword function, which should only be invoked by the contract's owner.

**Impact** The public visibility of the password severely compromises the protocol's security.

**Proof of Concept** The following Proof of Concept (PoC) demonstrates how the password can be directly read from the blockchain by anyone:

Step 1: Initialize a local blockchain.

```
1 make anvil
```

Step 2: Deploy the contract to this local blockchain.

```
1 make deploy
```

Step 3: Read the password from storage using cast.

```
1 cast storage <CONTRACT ADDRESS> 1 --rpc-url http://127.0.0.1:8545
```

Note: The number 1 is used because it is the storage slot of PasswordStore::s password.

The output will be:

This hexadecimal can be converted to a string via:

The output will be:

myPassword

**Recommended Mitigation** Considering this vulnerability, it's advisable to rethink the contract's overall architecture. One approach could be to encrypt the password off-chain before storing the encrypted version on-chain. This method would necessitate the user remembering an additional off-chain password to decrypt the on-chain password. Furthermore, it may be advisable to eliminate the view function to prevent the user from inadvertently broadcasting a transaction that includes the decryption password.

## [C-2] Lack of access controls in PasswordStore::setPassword allows non-owners to change the password.

**Description** The PasswordStore::setPassword function is designed to be external, implying that it should be callable from outside the contract. However, according to the function's NatSpec documentation and its intended purpose, it should only allow the contract's owner to set a new password. Currently, the function lacks any form of access control to enforce this restriction.

```
function setPassword(string memory newPassword) external {
    // @audit - There are no access controls.
    s_password = newPassword;
    emit SetNetPassword();
}
```

**Impact** The absence of access controls on the PasswordStore::setPassword function permits any user to alter the password, severely compromising the contract's security and its intended functionality.

**Proof of Concept** To demonstrate this vulnerability, insert the following test into the PasswordStore.t.sol file:

```
function test_anyone_can_set_password(address randomAddress) public
           vm.assume(randomAddress != owner);
           vm.prank(randomAddress);
4
           string memory expectedPassword = "myNewPassword";
           passwordStore.setPassword(expectedPassword);
5
6
           vm.prank(owner);
7
           string memory actualPassword = passwordStore.getPassword();
8
9
           assertEq(actualPassword, expectedPassword);
10
       }
```

Confirm the test's success with:

```
1 forge test --mt test_anyone_can_set_password
```

**Recommended Mitigation** Implement an access control check in the PasswordStore:: setPassword function to ensure that only the owner can update the password.

```
1 if(msg.sender != s_owner) {
2    revert PasswordStore__NotOwner();
3 }
```

#### **Informational**

[I-1] Discrepancy in PasswordStore:: getPassword NatSpec and function signature.

**Description** The PasswordStore::getPassword function is defined with the signature getPassword(), implying it does not accept any parameters. However, the NatSpec documentation for this function incorrectly suggests a parameterized version getPassword(string) by mentioning a non-existent parameter.

```
1  /*
2  * @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.
3 @> * @param newPassword The new password to set.
4  */
5  function getPassword() external view returns (string memory) {
```

**Impact** The NatSpec documentation does not accurately reflect the function's implementation, potentially causing confusion.

**Recommended Mitigation** To align the documentation with the actual function implementation, the incorrect NatSpec line should be removed. The corrected documentation would then look as follows:

```
* @notice This allows only the owner to retrieve the password.

2 - * @param newPassword The new password to set.

3 */
```