Master Seminar – p-adic Galois Representations Talk 3: Étale φ -modules.

Marco Morosin

17.11.2020

The goal of talks 3 and 4

- Define the category $\Phi_R^{\text{\'et}}$ of étale φ -modules over a ring R
- For a field E of characteristic p, G_E := Gal(E^{sep}/E) prove category equivalences between F_p-representations (resp. Z_p-, Q_p-) of G_E and the categories of étale φ-modules over specific rings

$$\mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathit{G}_{\mathit{E}}) {\rightleftharpoons\!\!\!\!\!\!\!-} \Phi_{\mathit{E}}^{\mathsf{\acute{e}t}} \qquad \mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathit{G}_{\mathit{E}}) {\rightleftharpoons\!\!\!\!-} \Phi_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\mathsf{\acute{e}t}} \qquad \mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathit{G}_{\mathit{E}}) {\rightleftharpoons\!\!\!\!-} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathsf{\acute{e}t}}$$

 $M \in R$ -Mod, $f: M \to M$, $\varphi \in End(R)$

Definition

- f is φ -semilinear if f(m+m')=f(m)+f(m') and $f(rm)=\varphi(r)f(m)$
- A φ -module over R is (M, f) where f is φ -semilinear
- A morphism $(M,f) \to (N,g)$ is $F \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,N)$ such that $F \circ f = g \circ F$

Let $R \in R$ -Mod via $r \cdot s := \varphi(r)s$

- $R _{\omega} \otimes_{R} M := R \otimes_{R} M$, $r * (r' \otimes m) := rr' \otimes m$
- $\psi : R \times M \to M$, $(r, m) \mapsto rf(m)$ is R-balanced: \mathbb{Z} -linear and

$$\psi(r \cdot s, m) = \psi(\varphi(r)s, m) = \varphi(r)sf(m) = sf(rm) = \psi(s, rm)$$

Then

$$R \times M \longrightarrow R \otimes_R M$$

$$\downarrow^{\psi} \qquad \downarrow^{f_R} M$$

group hom. $f_R: R_{\varphi} \otimes_R M \to M, \quad r \otimes m \mapsto rf(m)$

$$f_R: R_{\varphi} \otimes_R M \to M, \quad r \otimes m \mapsto rf(m)$$

is actually an R-Mod morphism:

$$f_R(r*(r'\otimes m))=f_R(rr'\otimes m)=rr'f(m)=rf_R(r'\otimes m).$$

Definition

(M, f) is étale if M is finitely generated and f_R is bijective.

Denote this category by $\Phi_R^{\text{\'et}}$.

We will need the following

Proposition

Let R be a d.v.r., $k = R/\mathfrak{m}$ its residue field.

Let $\varphi \colon R \to R$ be a ring morphism with $\varphi(\mathfrak{m})R = \mathfrak{m}$; then

- 1. $a \varphi$ -module (M, f) over R with M fin. gen. is étale iff f_R is surjective;
- 2. if $0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0$ is an exact sequence of φ -modules, then M is étale iff M' and M'' are.

The objects involved

- 1. E is a complete d.v.f. of char. p > 0 $E^{\text{sep}} = \{x \in \overline{E} \mid x \text{ separable over } E\}$
- 2. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a Cohen ring of E (= c.d.v.r. C with max. ideal pC and C/pC = E)
- 3. $\mathcal{E} := \operatorname{Quot}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}})$ is a c.d.v.f. with residue field $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \cong E$
- 4. $\mathcal{E}^{ur} = \bigcup_{F/\mathcal{E} \text{ fin.ur.}} F$ is the maximal unramified extension of \mathcal{E}
 - \mathcal{E}^{ur} is a d.v.f. with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}} = \cup_{F/\mathcal{E} \text{ fin.ur.}} \mathcal{O}_F$ and res. field $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}} = E^{\text{sep}}$
 - ullet $\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}/\mathcal{E}$ is Galois with a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathsf{Gal}(\mathcal{E}^\mathsf{ur}/\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\mathcal{E}} \coloneqq \mathsf{Gal}(\mathcal{E}^\mathsf{sep}/\mathcal{E}), \quad \sigma \mapsto \overline{\sigma}$$

where
$$\overline{\sigma}(x + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}}) := \sigma(x) + p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$$

- - it is a c.d.v.f. with $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} = \underline{\lim}_{n} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}/p^{n}\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$ and res. field $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} = E^{\text{sep}}$
- 6. $\varphi \colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is a Frobenius lift, i.e. $\varphi(x) \equiv x^p \mod p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$
 - φ extends uniquely to a Frobenius lift $\varphi \colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}$
 - φ extends further to a Frobenius lift $\varphi \colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$

Example

Suppose E is a c.d.v.f. of char. p > 0 with perfect res. field k. Then

- 1. $k(t) \cong E$, $\sum_i a_i t^i \mapsto \sum_i r(a_i) \pi^i$ for a canonical $r: k \to \mathcal{O}_E$
- 2. if W(k) is a Cohen ring for k, then a Cohen ring for k((t)) is

$$\left\{\sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}c_nt^n\mid c_n\in W(k), \lim_{n\to-\infty}v(c_n)=\infty\right\}=:\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

Definition (Ring of Witt vectors)

- $\Phi_n(X_0,\ldots,X_n):=\sum_{i=0}^n p^i X_i^{p^{n-i}}\in \mathbb{Z}[X_0,X_1,\ldots]$ n-th Witt polynomial
- map of sets $\Phi_k \colon k^{\mathbb{N}} \to k^{\mathbb{N}}, \ (a_n)_n \mapsto (\Phi_n(a_0, \dots, a_n))_n$ There is a ring $W(k) = (k^{\mathbb{N}}, \oplus, \odot)$ such that $\Phi_k \colon W(k) \to (k^{\mathbb{N}}, +, \cdot)$ becomes a ring homomorphism

For k perfect, W(k) is the unique (up to iso) absolutely unramified (v(p) = 1) c.d.v.r. with res. field k (= a Cohen ring)

3. a Frobenius lift is $\varphi \colon \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \ t \mapsto (1+t)^p - 1$

Universal property of completion

Let $M, N \in R$ -Mod have the topologies defined by descending sequences of submodules $(M_j)_j$, $(N_j)_j$ respectively. Assume N is Hausdorff and complete. Then, any R-linear continuous $\varphi \colon M \to N$ extends uniquely to an R-linear continuous $\widehat{\varphi} \colon \widehat{M} \to N$.

Consequence

 $G_E \cong Gal(\mathcal{E}^{ur}/\mathcal{E})$ acts on \mathcal{E}^{ur} . G_E acts on $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$:

$$G_E \times \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}, \quad (\sigma, \alpha) \mapsto \widehat{\sigma}(\alpha)$$

where $\sigma: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$ extends uniquely to $\widehat{\sigma}: \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$ By uniqueness: $\widehat{\sigma\tau} = \widehat{\sigma}\widehat{\tau}$, $\widehat{\mathrm{id}} = \mathrm{id}$, $\widehat{\sigma^{-1}} = \widehat{\sigma}^{-1}$

 $G_{\mathcal{E}}$ acts on $\widecheck{\mathcal{E}}$: write $x=\alpha/\beta$ with $\alpha,\beta\in\mathcal{O}_{\widecheck{\mathcal{E}}}$

$$G_E \times \breve{\mathcal{E}} \to \breve{\mathcal{E}}, \quad (\sigma, x) \mapsto \widehat{\sigma}(\alpha)/\widehat{\sigma}(\beta) =: \sigma(x)$$

We want to prove category equivalences

$$\mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_E) \overset{\mathbb{D}}{\underset{\mathbb{V}}{\rightleftarrows}} \Phi_E^{\mathsf{\acute{e}t}} \qquad \mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_E) \overset{\mathbb{D}}{\underset{\mathbb{V}}{\rightleftarrows}} \Phi_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\mathsf{\acute{e}t}} \qquad \mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(G_E) \overset{\mathbb{D}}{\underset{\mathbb{V}}{\rightleftarrows}} \Phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathsf{\acute{e}t}}$$

Equivalence of categories

- = there are isomorphisms of functors $\mathbb{V} \circ \mathbb{D} \cong \mathrm{id}$, $\mathbb{D} \circ \mathbb{V} \cong \mathrm{id}$
- = there are canonical isomorph.'s $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{D}(V)) \cong V$, $\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{V}(M)) \cong M$ for all V, M.

We are going to

- today: state the theorems for \mathbb{Z}_p , prove the result for \mathbb{F}_p as a special case
- **next week:** prove the result for \mathbb{Z}_p and for \mathbb{Q}_p

Proposition

1.
$$\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{G_E} = \{ x \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \mid \sigma(x) = x \ \forall \sigma \in G_E \} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \ \check{\mathcal{E}}^{G_E} = \mathcal{E}$$

2.
$$\mathcal{O}_{\breve{\mathcal{E}}}^{\varphi=1} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\breve{\mathcal{E}}} \mid \varphi(x) = x\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad \breve{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi=1} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$$

Proof of 1.

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{E}}}$ is clear. Conversely, let $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{E}}}$;

$$x+p\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}\in \left(\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}\right)^{G_E}\cong \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}\right)^{G_E}=(E^{sep})^{G_E}=E\cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

- there is $x_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ with $x x_1 \in p\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$, say $x x_1 = px_1'$
- $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{G_E}$ and $x_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{G_E} \implies px_1' \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{G_E}$, so $x_1' \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{G_E}$:

$$\sigma(px_1') = px_1' \iff p\sigma(x_1') = px_1' \iff p(\sigma x_1' - x_1') = 0 \iff \sigma x_1' = x_1'$$

• Inductively, find $x_i \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$, $x_i' \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$ with $x = \sum_{i=1}^n p^{i-1} x_i + p^n x_n'$ $\sum_{i=1}^n p^{i-1} x_i$ converges in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ (by completeness) to x, hence $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$

$$\check{\mathcal{E}}^{G_E} = \mathcal{E} \text{ follows from } \check{\mathcal{E}} = \{ x p^n \mid x \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}, n \in \mathbb{Z} \} \text{ and } p \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{G_E}.$$

Proposition

- 1. $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{G_E} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \mid \sigma(x) = x \ \forall \sigma \in G_E\} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}, \ \check{\mathcal{E}}^{G_E} = \mathcal{E}$
- 2. $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{\varphi=1} = \{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \mid \varphi(x) = x\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad \check{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi=1} \cong \mathbb{Q}_p$

Proof of 2.

- $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ separated and complete, φ cont. $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi=1}$ separated and complete; hence $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi=1}$ extends to $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi=1}$
- $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi=1}$ domain $\Longrightarrow \ker(\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi=1})$ is prime, i.e. (0) or (p). It is (0) because $p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi=1} \neq 0$. Hence $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi=1}$ is injective.
- surjectivity: $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{\varphi=1}$, work mod p: $\overline{x} = \overline{\varphi(x)} = \overline{x}^p \implies \overline{x} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ hence $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{\varphi=1}/(p) \subset \mathbb{F}_p$ and by approximation as before we get $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{\varphi=1} \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$

For the second point

- $\ker(\varphi \colon \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}})$ is prime, $\operatorname{char} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \neq p$, so φ is injective and therefore extends to $\varphi \colon \check{\mathcal{E}} \to \check{\mathcal{E}}$ with $\varphi(p) = p$

$$V \in \mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_E) \implies \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V \begin{cases} \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}\text{-Mod via } \alpha \cdot (\beta \otimes v) \coloneqq \alpha\beta \otimes v \\ \text{is a } \varphi\text{-module via } \varphi \otimes \operatorname{id}_V (\varphi\text{-semilinear}) \\ G_E \text{ acts via } \sigma \otimes \sigma : \sigma(r \otimes v) = \sigma(r) \otimes \sigma(v) \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{D}(V) := (\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V)^{G_E} = \{ m \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V \mid \sigma(m) = m \ \forall \sigma \in G_E \}$$

$$(\mathbb{D}(V), f := (\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)|_{\mathbb{D}(V)}) \text{ is a } \varphi\text{-module over } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

• $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$ -Mod $\subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -Mod; $\mathbb{D}(V)$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -submodule of $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$:

$$\sigma(\alpha \cdot (\beta \otimes v)) = \sigma(\alpha \beta \otimes v) = \sigma(\alpha \beta) \otimes \sigma(v) = \alpha \sigma(\beta) \otimes \sigma(v) = \alpha \cdot \sigma(\beta \otimes v)$$

- $\sigma^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma \colon \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$ equals φ on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ since $G_{\mathcal{E}} \cong \mathsf{Gal}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathsf{ur}}/\mathcal{E})$ By uniqueness $\sigma^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma = \varphi$, i.e. $\varphi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \varphi$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$ (hence on $\check{\mathcal{E}}$)
- $\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}_V$ restricts to $f : \mathbb{D}(V) \to \mathbb{D}(V)$: for $m = \sum_i \beta_i \otimes v_i \in \mathbb{D}(V)$

$$\sigma \cdot (\varphi \otimes id_V)(m) = \sigma(\sum_i \varphi(\beta_i) \otimes v_i) = \sum_i \sigma \varphi(\beta_i) \otimes \sigma v_i =$$

$$= \sum_i \varphi \sigma(\beta_i) \otimes \sigma v_i = (\varphi \otimes id_V)(\sum_i \sigma \beta_i \otimes \sigma v_i) = (\varphi \otimes id_V)(m)$$

$$\begin{split} (\mathbb{D}(V), \ f &:= (\varphi \otimes \mathrm{id}_V)|_{\mathbb{D}(V)}) & \text{ is a } \varphi\text{-module over } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \\ (\mathcal{O}_{\breve{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V), \ \varphi \otimes f) & \text{ is a } \varphi\text{-module over } \mathcal{O}_{\breve{\mathcal{E}}} \text{ and } G_E \text{ acts via } \sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{D}(V)} \end{split}$$

There is a natural $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$ -linear map $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \times (\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V, \quad (\alpha, \beta \otimes v) \mapsto \alpha\beta \otimes v$$

restricts to $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \times \mathbb{D}(V) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -balanced:

$$(\alpha\alpha',\beta\otimes v)\mapsto \alpha\alpha'\beta\otimes v \longleftrightarrow (\alpha,\alpha'\beta\otimes v) \quad \text{for } \alpha'\in\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$$

hence induces a group hom.

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} imes \mathbb{D}(V) \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V)$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad$$

which is also $\mathcal{O}_{\breve{\varepsilon}}$ -linear

Proposition

- 1. for any $V \in Rep_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{cont}(G_E)$, $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$ -linear, G_E -equivariant isomorphism of φ -modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$
- 2. the $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -module $\mathbb{D}(V)$ is finitely generated
- 3. if $0 \to V' \xrightarrow{g} V \xrightarrow{h} V'' \to 0$ is a s.e.s. in $Rep_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{cont}(G_E)$ (i.e. a s.e.s. of \mathbb{Z}_p -modules with g, h continuous G_E -equivariant \mathbb{Z}_p -linear maps), then

$$0 \to \mathbb{D}(V') \xrightarrow{id \otimes g} \mathbb{D}(V) \xrightarrow{id \otimes h} \mathbb{D}(V'') \to 0$$

is a well-defined exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -modules

Corollary

The φ -module ($\mathbb{D}(V)$, f) is étale, hence we have a functor

$$\mathbb{D} \colon \mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{E}}) o \Phi^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}, \quad V \mapsto (\mathbb{D}(V), f)$$

Special case
$$pV=0$$
 ($V=V/pV$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p/p\mathbb{Z}_p\cong \mathbb{F}_p$ -vector space) for $\alpha\otimes v\in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{S}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p}V$

$$p \cdot (\alpha \otimes v) = p\alpha \otimes v = \alpha \otimes pv = 0$$

hence the \mathbb{Z}_p -balanced map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \times V \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$ factors through an \mathbb{F}_p -balanced map $E^{\mathsf{sep}} \times V \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \times V \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$$

$$\downarrow \uparrow \qquad \qquad (E^{\mathsf{sep}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}})$$

$$E^{\mathsf{sep}} \times V$$

Univ. prop. $\otimes \implies$ the induced map $E^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$ is bijective:

- V has the p-adic topology with $pV = 0 \implies V$ is discrete
- as in Talk 1, the G_E -action on V factors through finite $G := \operatorname{Gal}(E'/E) \cong G_E/G_{E'}$
- $\bullet \ (E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V)^{G_{E'}} \cong (E^{\mathsf{sep}} \oplus \cdots \oplus E^{\mathsf{sep}})^{G_{E'}} \cong E' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$
- Taking *G*-invariants:

$$\mathbb{D}(V) \cong (E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V)^{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{E}}} = ((E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V)^{\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{E}'}})^{\mathsf{G}} \cong (E' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V)^{\mathsf{G}}$$

Hence it suffices to prove that $E' \otimes_E \mathbb{D}(V) \to E' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$ is bijective, then apply $E^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{E'} (-)$

Remark

A ring homomorphism $\varphi\colon R\to S$ is called *flat* if $S\otimes_R(-)$ is exact, i.e. if $0\to M'\to M\to M''\to 0$ exact in $R ext{-Mod}$ implies $0\to S\otimes_R M'\to S\otimes_R M\to S\otimes_R M''\to 0$ exact in $\mathbb{Z} ext{-Mod}$

Proposition

 $\varphi \colon R \to S$ is flat in each of the following cases:

- 1. S is free as an R-module via φ ;
- 2. φ is injective, R is a p.i.d., S is an integral domain.

 $E' \otimes_E \mathbb{D}(V) \to E' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_n} V$ surjective:

- pick $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d)$ E-basis of E', $G = \{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d\}$
- $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_d\}$ lin. independent set in the E'-vector space End_E(E')
- the matrix $(\sigma_i(\lambda_i))_{ii} \in M_{d \times d}(E')$ is invertible (otherwise there are a_1, \ldots, a_d not all zero such that $\sum_i a_i \sigma_i(\lambda_i) = 0$ for all i, so $\sum_i a_j \sigma_j \in \operatorname{End}_E(E')$ is zero on a basis, hence $\sum_i a_j \sigma_j = 0$
- for $w \in E' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$, set $w_i := \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(\lambda_i w) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sigma_i(\lambda_i) \sigma_i(w) \in \mathbb{D}(V)$; if $(a_{ij})_{ij}$ is the inverse matrix, we get $\sigma_i(w) = \sum_i a_{ij} w_j$
- since $\sigma_1 = id$, w lies in the image

$$E' \otimes_E \mathbb{D}(V) \to E' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$$
 injective:

- $(v_i)_i$ E-basis of $\mathbb{D}(V) \implies (1 \otimes v_i)_i$ E'-basis of $E' \otimes_E \mathbb{D}(V)$
- claim: the $(v_i)_i$'s, seen inside $E' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V$, are lin. indep. over E': otherwise choose $\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i v_i$ with minimal r and $a_1 = 1$

by assumption
$$r \ge 2$$
 and not all a_i belong to E , so w.l.o.g. $a_2 \in E' \setminus E$; then, since $(E')^G = E$, there exists $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma(a_2) \ne a_2$

then, since
$$(E')^G = E$$
, there exists $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma(a_2) \neq a_2$
then $0 = \sum_{i=0}^r (\sigma(a_i) - a_i)v_i$ is a dependency relation of length $\leq r - 1$

$$\begin{array}{l} E' \otimes_E \mathbb{D}(V) \to E' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V \text{ isomorphism} \\ \Longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V \text{ isomorphism } \square(1) \end{array}$$

Consequence

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p}(V) = \dim_{E'}(E' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} V) = \dim_{E'}(E' \otimes_E \mathbb{D}(V)) = \dim_E \mathbb{D}(V) < \infty$$

$$\implies \mathbb{D}(V) \text{ is a finitely generated } \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}\text{-module } (E\text{-module}) \square(2)$$

Proof of 3

Let $0 \to V' \to V \to V'' \to 0$ be exact in $\mathsf{Rep}^\mathsf{cont}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_E)$. Then we have a commutative diagram of E^sep -modules

the first row is exact by flatness, hence the second row is exact. Then $0 \to \mathbb{D}(V') \to \mathbb{D}(V) \to \mathbb{D}(V'') \to 0$ is exact by the following

Lemma

Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}), (S, \mathfrak{n})$ be local rings, $\varphi \colon R \to S$ flat with $\varphi(\mathfrak{m})S = \mathfrak{n}$; then an R-module morphism $f \colon M \to N$ is surjective (resp. injective) iff $id_S \otimes f \colon S \otimes_R M \to S \otimes_R N$ is surjective (resp. injective).

Corollary

The φ -module $(\mathbb{D}(V), f) = ((\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V)^{G_{\mathcal{E}}}, (\varphi \otimes id_V)|_{\mathbb{D}(V)})$ over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is étale. We must only check that the linearization

$$f_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \ _{\varphi} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V) \to \mathbb{D}(V)$$
 $r \otimes m \mapsto rf(m)$

is surjective. Applying $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}\otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}(-)$, by faithful flatness it is enough to prove

$$\mathsf{id} \otimes f \colon \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \ _{\varphi} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V)$$
$$s \otimes m \mapsto s \otimes f(m)$$

is surjective. Composing with $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$ we get

$$\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \varphi \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$$

$$s \otimes \sum_{i} r_i \otimes v_i \mapsto \sum_{i} s \varphi(r_i) \otimes v_i$$

which is obtained applying $(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$ to

$$\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \ _{\varphi} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}, \quad s \otimes r \mapsto s \varphi(r)$$

which is surjective $(s \otimes 1 \mapsto s)$, hence the resulting map is surjective by right-exactness of $(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V$.

We have an exact functor $\mathbb{D}\colon \mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_E) \to \Phi^{\mathsf{\acute{e}t}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}$, for now we just proved $\mathbb{D}\colon \mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_E) \to \Phi^{\mathsf{\acute{e}t}}_E$

Now we will define an exact functor $\mathbb{V}\colon\Phi^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}\to\mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_E)$ today we will just prove $\mathbb{V}\colon\Phi^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}_{E}\to\mathsf{Rep}^{\mathsf{cont}}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(G_E)$

 $(M, f) \varphi$ -module over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$

$$\varphi \times f : \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \times M \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M, \quad (s, m) \mapsto \varphi(s) \otimes f(m)$$

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -balanced, hence inducing

$$\varphi \otimes f : \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M, \quad s \otimes m \mapsto \varphi(s) \otimes f(m)$$

makes $(\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M, \varphi \otimes f)$ a φ -module over $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$.

$$\mathbb{V}(M) := (\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M)^{\varphi = 1} := \{ x \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M \mid (\varphi \otimes f)(x) = x \}$$

• $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -Mod $\subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ -Mod: $\alpha \cdot (s \otimes m) = \alpha s \otimes m = s \otimes \alpha m$; $\mathbb{V}(M)$ is a \mathbb{Z}_p -submodule of $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M$: for $x = \sum_i s_i \otimes m_i$

$$(\varphi \otimes f)(\alpha \cdot x) = \sum_{i} \varphi(\alpha s_{i}) \otimes f(m_{i}) = \sum_{i} \alpha \varphi(s_{i}) \otimes f(m_{i}) = \alpha \cdot (\varphi \otimes f)(x) = \alpha \cdot x$$

• $G_{\mathcal{E}}$ acts on $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M$ via $\sigma \otimes \mathrm{id}_{M}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -linearly since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}^{G_{\mathcal{E}}}$. $G_{\mathcal{E}}$ acts \mathbb{Z}_{p} -linearly on $\mathbb{V}(M)$ since, by $\varphi \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \varphi$ on $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$

$$(\varphi \otimes f)(\sigma \cdot (s \otimes m)) = \varphi(\sigma(s)) \otimes f(m) = \sigma(\varphi(s)) \otimes f(m) = \sigma \cdot (\varphi(s) \otimes f(m)) = \sigma \cdot ((\varphi \otimes f)(s \otimes m)) = \sigma \cdot (s \otimes m)$$

 $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{\breve{E}}}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_n}\mathbb{V}(M)$ has a G_E -action via $\sigma\otimes\sigma$

There is a natural $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$ -linear map $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{V}(M) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M$:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \times (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M, \quad (\alpha, \beta \otimes m) \mapsto \alpha\beta \otimes m$$

restricts to $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \times \mathbb{V}(M) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M$, \mathbb{Z}_p -balanced, hence inducing a group hom.

which is also $\mathcal{O}_{\breve{\varepsilon}}$ -linear

Proposition

- 1. for any $M \in \Phi_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\text{\'et}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{V}(M) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -linear, G_E -equivariant isomorphism of φ -modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$
- 2. the \mathbb{Z}_p -module $\mathbb{V}(M)$ is finitely generated
- 3. if $0 \to M' \xrightarrow{g} M \xrightarrow{h} M'' \to 0$ is exact in $\Phi_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\text{\'et}}$, then

$$0 \to \mathbb{V}(M') \xrightarrow{id \otimes g} \mathbb{V}(M) \xrightarrow{id \otimes h} \mathbb{V}(M'') \to 0$$

is a well-defined exact sequence of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ -modules

Corollary

$$\mathbb{V}(M) \in Rep_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{cont}(G_E)$$
, hence we have a functor

$$\mathbb{V} \colon \Phi^{\acute{e}t}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} o \mathsf{Rep}^{cont}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{E}}), \quad M \mapsto \mathbb{V}(M)$$

Special case pM = 0 (M = M/pM is an $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}/p\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \cong E$ -vector space)

1. for any $M \in \Phi_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\text{\'et}}$, $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{V}(M) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M$ is an $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$ -linear, G_E -equivariant isomorphism of φ -modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}$

Recall

$$\mathbb{V}(M) := (\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M)^{\varphi = 1} := \{ x \in \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M \mid (\varphi \otimes f)(x) = x \}$$

- Analogously as before: $\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M \cong E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} M$
- $(E^{\text{sep}} \otimes_E M, \varphi \otimes f) \in \Phi_{E^{\text{sep}}}^{\text{\'et}}$ since f and $\varphi \otimes f$ have the same matrix in $E^{d \times d}$: note that, for $(e_j)_j$ E-basis of M, $f_E(1 \otimes e_j) = f(e_j) = \sum_i a_{ij} e_i$, so $(M, f) \in \Phi_E^{\text{\'et}} \iff f_E$ surj. $\iff (a_{ij})$ is invertible
- we prove $E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} (E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} M)^{\varphi=1} \xrightarrow{\sim} E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} M$
- General claim: for $(N,g) \in \Phi_{E^{\mathrm{sep}}}^{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}$, $N^{\varphi=1} \coloneqq \{x \in N \mid g(x) = x\}$

$$E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} N^{\varphi=1} \xrightarrow{\sim} N$$

Step 1: $N \neq 0 \implies N^{\varphi=1} \neq 0$ • for $v_0 \in N \setminus \{0\}$ set

$$v_i \coloneqq g^i(v_0), \qquad m \coloneqq \min\{j \ge 1 \mid v_0, \dots, v_j \text{ lin. dep. over } E^{\mathsf{sep}}\}$$

so there are $a_0,\ldots,a_m\in E^{\text{sep}}$ not all zero with $\sum_{i=0}^m a_i v_i=0$; $a_m\neq 0$

• $\varphi \colon E^{\text{sep}} \to E^{\text{sep}}, \ x \mapsto x^p \text{ injective} \implies g \text{ injective:}$ if $(n_i)_i$ is an E^{sep} -basis of N, then $(g(n_i))_i$ is also an E^{sep} -basis, as $g(n_i) = g_{E^{\text{sep}}}(1 \otimes n_i)$ and N is étale;

then, if some $n = \sum_i a_i n_i \in N$ gives $g(n) = \sum_i \varphi(a_i)g(n_i) = 0$, we must have $a_i = 0$ for all i, hence n = 0

- $g(v_0) = v_1, \cdots, g(v_{m-1}) = v_m$ are lin. indep. over E^{sep} , hence $a_0 \neq 0$
- $a_0^{p^m} t^{p^m} + a_1^{p^{m-1}} t^{p^{m-1}} + \dots + a_m t \in E^{\text{sep}}[t] \text{ sep. } \implies \text{root } y \in E^{\text{sep}} \setminus \{0\}$

$$c_i := \sum_{j=0}^r a_j^{p^{i-j}} y^{p^{i-j}} \implies c_{i-1}^p = c_i - a_i y$$

$$v := \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i v_i \implies g(v) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} c_i^p v_{i+1}$$

(note $c_0 = a_0 y \neq 0 \implies v \neq 0$); then we have

$$v - g(v) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (c_i - c_{i-1}^p) v_i = \sum_{i=0}^{m} y a_i v_i = 0$$

Step 2: $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} N^{\varphi=1} \leq \dim_{E^{\text{sep}}} N$

- let $u_1,\ldots,u_r\in N^{\varphi=1}$ lin. ind. over \mathbb{F}_p , assume they are lin. dep. over E^{sep}
- choose r minimal and a relation $\sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i u_i = 0$. Then $r \ge 2$ and $b_i \ne 0 \ \forall i$ w.l.o.g. $b_1 = 1$

$$0 = g(0) = g\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i u_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} b_i^{p} u_i \implies \sum_{i=2}^{r} (b_i - b_i^{p}) u_i = 0$$

using $b_1 = 1 = b_1^p$. By minimality of $r, b_1, \ldots, b_r \in (E^{\text{sep}})^{\varphi=1} = \mathbb{F}_p$, contradicting linear independence of u_1, \ldots, u_r over \mathbb{F}_p .

contradicting linear independence of u_1, \ldots, u_r over

This proves the inequality and more precisely,

$$E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathsf{N}^{arphi = 1} o \mathsf{N}$$

is injective.

In Step 3 we prove equality $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} N^{\varphi=1} = \dim_{E^{\text{sep}}} N$, hence bijectivity.

Step 3: $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} N^{\varphi=1} = \dim_{E^{\mathrm{sep}}} N$, by induction on $d \coloneqq \dim_{E^{\mathrm{sep}}} N$

• by step 1 $N^{\varphi=1}
eq 0$, so $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_n} N^{\varphi=1} \ge 1 = \dim_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathsf{sep}}} N \ge \dim_{\mathbb{F}_n} N^{\varphi=1}$

$$d-1\mapsto d$$

d=1

choose $v_1 \in N^{\varphi=1} \setminus \{0\}$, set $N' := N/E^{\text{sep}} v_1$

- $g(v_1) = v_1 \implies (E^{\mathsf{sep}} v_1, g)$ is a well-defined φ -module over E^{sep}
- $(N',g'), \ g': x+E^{\mathsf{sep}}v_1\mapsto g(x)+E^{\mathsf{sep}}v_1$ is a φ -module over E^{sep} , étale by the exact sequence in $\Phi^{\mathsf{\acute{e}t}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}$

$$0 \rightarrow E^{sep} v_1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N' \rightarrow 0$$

- $\dim_{E^{\mathrm{sep}}} \mathsf{N}' = d-1 \implies \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} (\mathsf{N}')^{\varphi=1} = \dim_{E^{\mathrm{sep}}} \mathsf{N}' = d-1$
- $\overline{v}_2, \dots, \overline{v}_d \mathbb{F}_p$ -basis of $(N')^{\varphi=1} \implies$ by step 2 it is an E^{sep} -basis of N'.
- if $\overline{v}_i = v_i' + E^{\mathsf{sep}} v_1$, then v_1, v_2', \dots, v_d' is an E^{sep} -basis of N
- $\overline{v}_i \in (N')^{\varphi=1} \Longrightarrow$ there are $a_i \in E^{\text{sep}}$ s.t. $g(v_i') v_i' = a_i v_1 \ (2 \le i \le d)$ let $c_i \in E^{\text{sep}}$ be a root of the separable polynomial $t^p t + a_i$ for $2 \le i \le n$: $v_i := v_i' + c_i v_i$; then v_1, \ldots, v_d is still an E^{sep} -basis of N with

$$g(v_i) = g(v_i') + c_i^p g(v_1) = v_i' + a_i v_1 + c_i^p v_1 = v_i' + c_i v_1 = v_i,$$

hence
$$v_1, \ldots, v_d \in N^{\varphi=1}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} (E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} M)^{\varphi = 1} \to E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathcal{E}} M \text{ isomorphism} \\ \Longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{V}(M) \to \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M \text{ isomorphism } \square(1) \end{array}$$

Consequence

 $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{V}(M) = \dim_{E^{\mathsf{sep}}}(E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{V}(M)) = \dim_{E^{\mathsf{sep}}}(E^{\mathsf{sep}} \otimes_E M) = \dim_E M < \infty$ $\Longrightarrow \mathbb{V}(M) \text{ is a finitely generated } \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-module } (\mathbb{F}_p\text{-module}) \ \Box(2)$ Finally,

$$\begin{array}{l} 0 \to M' \to M \to M'' \to 0 \text{ exact in } \Phi_E^{\text{\'et}} \left(pM' = pM = pM'' = 0 \right) \\ \Longrightarrow \text{ applying } E^{\text{sep}} \otimes_E \left(- \right) \text{ gives short exact sequence in } \Phi_{E^{\text{sep}}}^{\text{\'et}} \left(\text{by flatness} \right) \\ \Longrightarrow \text{ applying } \left(- \right)^{\varphi = 1} \text{ also } 0 \to \mathbb{V}(M') \to \mathbb{V}(M) \to \mathbb{V}(M'') \text{ is exact} \\ \Longrightarrow 0 \to E^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{V}(M') \to E^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{V}(M) \to E^{\text{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{V}(M'') \text{ exact (by flatness)} \end{array}$$

$$E^{\operatorname{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{V}(M) \longrightarrow E^{\operatorname{sep}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{V}(M'')$$

$$\cong \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \cong$$

$$E^{\operatorname{sep}} \otimes_E M \longrightarrow E^{\operatorname{sep}} \otimes_E M''$$

⇒ the top map is surjective

 \implies by faithful flatness, also $\mathbb{V}(M) \to \mathbb{V}(M'')$ is surjective $\square(3)$

Corollary

 $\mathbb{V}(M) \in Rep_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{cont}(G_E)$, hence we have a functor

$$\mathbb{V} \colon \Phi^{ ext{\'et}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} o Rep^{cont}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_E), \quad M \mapsto \mathbb{V}(M)$$

- G_E -action on $\mathbb{V}(M)$ is \mathbb{Z}_p -linear (already seen)
- Continuity: check that G_E -action on $\mathbb{V}(M)/p^n\mathbb{V}(M)$ has open stabilizers for every n (see Talk 1, recall $\mathbb{V}(M)/p^n\mathbb{V}(M)$ discrete)

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{V}(M)/\rho^n \mathbb{V}(M) &\cong \mathbb{V}(M/\rho^n M) = \\ &= (\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M/\rho^n M)^{\varphi = 1} \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}}/\rho^n \mathcal{O}_{\check{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M/\rho^n M)^{\varphi = 1} \cong \\ &\cong (\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}}/\rho^n \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{ur}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M/\rho^n M)^{\varphi = 1} \end{split}$$

and on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}} \subset \mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}$ (hence on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}}/p^n\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}}$) every element x is fixed by the open subgroup $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}/\mathcal{E}[x]) \subset \mathrm{Gal}(\mathcal{E}^{\mathrm{ur}}/\mathcal{E}) \cong G_{\mathcal{E}}$.

Theorem

The functors

$$\textit{Rep}^{\textit{cont}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\textit{G}_{\textit{E}}) \xrightarrow{\mathbb{D}} \Phi^{\textit{\'et}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \qquad \qquad \Phi^{\textit{\'et}}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{V}} \textit{Rep}^{\textit{cont}}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\textit{G}_{\textit{E}})$$

are inverse equivalences of categories, i.e.

- 1. for any $V \in Rep_{\mathbb{Z}_p}^{cont}(G_E)$, the natural \mathbb{Z}_p -linear G_E -equivariant map $V \to \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{D}(V))$ is an isomorphism;
- 2. for any $M \in \Phi_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}}^{\text{\'et}}$, the natural morphism of étale φ -modules over $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}$ $M \to \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{V}(M))$ is an isomorphism.

1.

$$\begin{split} V(\mathbb{D}(V)) &= \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} \mathbb{D}(V)\right)^{\varphi=1} \cong \left(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V\right)^{\varphi=1} = \\ &= \left\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V \mid \left(\varphi \otimes id_V\right)(x) = x\right\} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\varphi=1} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} V \cong V \end{split}$$

2.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{V}(M)) &= \left(\mathcal{O}_{\breve{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{V}(M)\right)^{G_E} \cong \left(\mathcal{O}_{\breve{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M\right)^{G_E} = \\ &= \left\{x \in \mathcal{O}_{\breve{\mathcal{E}}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M \mid (\sigma \otimes id_M)(x) = x \, \forall \sigma\right\} = \mathcal{O}_{\breve{\mathcal{E}}}^{G_E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}}} M \cong M \end{split}$$