# Assessment, design and implementation of a private cloud for MapReduce applications

Patricia González Gómez Department of Electronics and Systems at UDC Campus de Elviña s/n, 15007 A Coruña, Spain patricia.gonzalez@udc.es José Carlos Cabaleiro Domínguez Institute for Clarity in Documentation P.O. Box 1212 Dublin, Ohio 43017-6221 jc.cabaleiro@usc.es Tomás Fernández Pena The Thørväld Group 1 Thørväld Circle Hekla, Iceland tf.pena@usc.es

Marcos Salgueiro Balsa Brookhaven Laboratories Brookhaven National Lab P.O. Box 5000 marcos.salgueiro@gmail.com

#### **ABSTRACT**

The extraordinarily vast amount of information generated as a byproduct of Internet usage, has been embodying an increasing burden to traditional procedures and models, unable to handle it efficiently due to its heterogeneous nature. Besides, as the volume of information grows so does the size of the datacenter required to process and store it, quickly overloading its full capacity when demand peaks. Together—not relational data and uneven demand distribution—they shape the basis of modern data-driven request servicing.

A series of technologies have been developing lately to manage this scenario. Two of the most highlighted among them are *MapReduce* and *Cloud Computing*. *MapReduce* was introduced in [3] to abstract the common difficulties linked to distributed processing on large clusters. *Cloud Computing*, on the other hand, agglutinates miscellaneous subsystems forming a unified interface to flexibly deploy and manage virtual clusters.

This paper explores their potential symbiosis, in order to create a robust and scalable environment, to execute MapReduce workflows regardless of the underlaying infrastructure. It also details a proof of concept implementation using open source tools, similar to Amazon's own Elastic MapReduce.

## **Keywords**

Distributed Processing, Virtualization, Cloud Computing, MapReduce, OpenStack, Hadoop.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, to republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

WOODSTOCK '97 El Paso, Texas USA Copyright 20XX ACM X-XXXXX-XX-X/XX/XX ...\$15.00.




Figure 1: Unstructured and relational data volume evolution. Source: Cloudera Inc.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

The proliferation of Internet-enabled handhelds and the continuously improving access speed, have set a background in which user services are becoming heftier —from SQ Video yesterday to HD today and 4K tomorrow—, are being consumed throughout the day and are requiring an increasing amount of user-related data —GPS position, locale, personal settings, filters, previous searches or purchases, connections, friends, retweets, etc.— to take into account. It is this last trait what have been representing the biggest trouble: the class of data packed within these services cannot be modeled by traditional standards, as it lacks a relational structure.

While some argue that every miniworld may be transformed into a Relational Model, it is the necessity to lay out the data structure before information can be saved and put to use what poses a central obstacle in making these models adapt to such a swiftly mutating data. As figure 1 depicts, the gap between relational and unstructured information continues to widen, that is why there has been an explicit push off schema-driven modeling towards loosely-structured representations.

So, relaying on *schemaless* data definition allows to better cope with unstructured information. There are still, however, two dimensions to discuss: data volume and non-

uniform access distribution.

To handle data flowing in at Internet scale there has to be devised a distributed processing model beyond large clusters, high capacity networks and intelligent load balancers. To deal with that sea of data, MapReduce processing model splits input all the way down to unrelated pairs of unique key and key-related data. Using the approach to uniquely identify each atomic piece of information, allows to easily apply a fair distribution policy across participating nodes able to reduce network transfers and to recover from failure.

Finally, clusters' capacity has to be able to accommodate a variable number of information requests per second, reducing idle node time without implying a loss in service quality. An ideally suited technique to that end is *Cloud Computing*. *Cloud Computing* has been making headlines as of late praised for its inherent nature to scale-out virtual deployments effortlessly, and so, capable of stretching and shrinking computational power with demand needs.

Inasmuch as MapReduce and Cloud Computing together may prove useful in servicing a potential world of data consumers, it is easy to understand the growing interest in both technologies. Currently, the best known example of a unified approach to said technologies is Amazon Elastic MapReduce (EMR) [1]. Nonetheless, there are other implementations focusing on extending EMR's functionality, either by surpassing its constraints—information must be made semi-public and MapReduce workflows need to be executed on Amazon's installation— with Resilin [6], Savanna [2] or Dynamic MapReduce [5], or by reusing its cloud interface to build a MapReduce platform upon like with Cloud MapReduce [4].

The major contribution of this work is a simple and unified interface to manage MapReduce computations, leveraging any existing IaaS deployment with a little customization, while providing an automatic one node test installation based on OpenStack and  $Apache\ Hadoop$ . We have called our implementation qosh and it has been written in Python.

Section 2 details *qosh*'s architecture and self-installing deployment structure. Section 3 describes how the *Apache Hadoop* virtual machine was built up. Section 4 goes through a complete execution cycle, identifying key points of the process. Section 5 reviews qosh performance when deployed on a real cluster.

# 2. ARCHITECTURE

qosh's setup defaults to a single node installation in which both infrastructure and execution environment are configured. Figure 2 precisely depicts the layered configuration. Atop Fedora 17 our setup script downloads and installs *Open-Stack* precompiled packages, and afterwards it downloads, untars and registers a virtual machine image containing an *Oracle 1.7 JRE* and *Apache Hadoop 1.0.4* installation. Likewise, it automatically creates the right user and tenant so that *qosh* may be put to use straightaway.

At the right end of Figure 2, it appears an *Interface* module lying on top of Fedora and being connected to both *Open-Stack* and *Apache Hadoop*. Its main purpose is to deploy virtual Hadoop clusters, to manage its component virtual machines' —or VMs— lifecycles and to orchestrate MapReduce workflows executions.

#### 2.1 Initial setup

qosh's own installation script will automatically configure a highly-performing testing environment that could be easily




Figure 2: High level design diagram




Figure 3: Layered initial deployment

scaled-out as demand grows. Figure 3 represents the layered setup decomposition in a single node after the installation procedure had finished.

The *OpenStack* modules deployed are those fundamentally required by a minimum standalone setup:

**Keystone** manages authorization, authentication and quota by user and *tenant*.

Nova handles VMs' lifecycles and networking configuration, routing and data flow utilizing the *Kernel Virtual Machine* (KVM) as hypervisor.

**Glance** holds the browsable catalog of installed VM images on the local file system.

Which implies that no fault tolerance measures are defined—as expected from a single node and local file system arrangement—cloud-wise, but it certainly allows for other standard safety protocols to be implemented—on the order of some RAID level with replication or UPS solutions.

#### 2.2 Interface

Figure 4 represents the user interface's modular composition. There are three essential modules within:

#### 2.2.1 Compute

Compute is the REST access client that bridges the Open-Stack cloud with the web interface, effectively decoupling qosh from the infrastructure provider. It basically encapsulates a series of methods by which an authorized user is allowed to manually define VM deployment behavior.

Current implementation manages virtual clusters defined with OpenStack running on a single real cluster, i. e. no



Figure 4: Interface composition

hybrid clouds are supported. However, *Compute* may be effortlessly adapted to handle VMs running on other IaaS deployments or to manage hybrid clouds, with no interaction whatsoever with another module, as far as *qosh* API semantics are preserved.

#### 2.2.2 Fabric

Fabric is a Python library used to simplify managing our virtual cluster by establishing SSH tunnels with the VMs, letting qosh shape Hadoop configuration, put processing data into HDFS—Hadoop Distributed File System— and recover results to user space; everything as SSH traffic.

To establish SSH connections our Fabric module is fed a Keystone-generated keypair. This keypair is created on each virtual cluster deployment and shared by all VMs in the same cluster. Its private part is injected into VMs once they have finished booting (refer to section A.2 for an implementation), and its public part is kept on the local file system. It is automatically removed —both from OpenStack and file system— when Apache Hadoop execution completes.

#### 2.2.3 Django

*Django* glues together both modules, renders HTML to be displayed to the user and organizes result and metadata storage.

Django can be plugged different back-ends to deal with varied needs.

Putting it all together, a user would define *MapReduce* computations though a Django-backed web interface. Django would pass configuration parameters on to *Fabric* for creating and feeding input data to a virtual *Hadoop* cluster. And lastly, real infrastructure would be provisioned by an IaaS cloud driven through *Compute* module.

# 2.3 Deployment

qosh's installation script will take care of a single node deployment in an automatic fashion, so no previous knowledge of OpenStack or Hadoop would be required to exploit qosh's elastic MapReduce prowess in this case; though the virtual cluster's elasticity would be heavily constrained. To overcome this limitation, qosh has been architected to abstract the infrastructure underneath, allowing for any IaaS framework to be deployed at any size —some Compute module's parts would require rewriting, nonetheless, if OpenStack were not used.

An installation may be grown from a starting single node setup just by laying out a real IaaS cloud cluster of any size. In fact, any public cloud *Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud*-compatible (EC2-compatible) could be used to expose infrastructure that *qosh* would utilize to spawn virtual clusters of any size.

# 3. APACHE HADOOP VIRTUAL MACHINE

The *Apache Hadoop* installation has been manually configured from scratch inside a virtual machine. What follows is the procedure carried out to yield the VM image.

 After a clean Fedora 17 installation, yum was employed to add the Virtual Machine Manager (virt-manager) package which would be exerted to sketch the VM. Along with it, libvirt, kvm and qemu were also installed.

- Using virt-manager a VM was spawned anew with 1 VCPU, 1 GB RAM and 4 GB qcow2 HDD.
- A CentOS 6.3 network installation image was booted inside the VM, choosing Basic Server as set of packages to be configured within a single ext4-formatted partition without LVM.
- Once completed and self-restarted, the system was updated and SELinux relaxed to be permissive by issuing:

```
[guest]$ sudo yum update -y
[guest]$ sudo setenforce 0
[guest]$ sudo sed -i \
s_SELINUX=enforcing_SELINUX=permissive_ \
/etc/selinux/config
```

- Both Oracle JRE 1.7 and Apache Hadoop 1.0.4 were downloaded —AMD64 and rpm packages—, installed and configured in the VM.
- Right afterwards, an hduser was added with hadoop as primary group.
- sshd was set to disallow either root user connections or the tuple (user, password) as credentials, to effectively limit SSH tunnels to those authorized with (public, private) keypair collations. By using this approach, only the user spawning a virtual cluster would have access to its instances, provided he or she remained the sole acquaintances with the *OpenStack*-injected private keypair.

```
[guest]$ sudo sed -i \
s_^#PermitRootLogin\ yes.*_\
PermitRootLogin\ no_ /etc/ssh/sshd_config
[guest]$ sudo sed -i \
s_^PasswordAuthentication\ yes.*_\
PasswordAuthentication\ no_ \
/etc/ssh/sshd_config
[guest]$ sudo rm -rf /home/hduser/.ssh
[guest]$ sudo rm -f /etc/ssh_host*
```

- Three scripts were written (refer to appendix A) and placed in /etc/init.d/ to convey user configuration to the VM and to secure access.
- With yum groups, unused sets of services and applications —like Xserver— were removed from the system in order to trim its size and memory footprint.
- Subsequently, the qcow2 HDD image was packed in two steps. Initially, the beginning offset of the partition inside the image was trimmed by exposing only that partition with qemu-nbd. Right afterwards, its contents were dumped into a new partitionless image. Then, a long zero-file was generated to fill up all the remaining free space in the image, so that qemu-img be adequately executed to do the real compressing. Once qemu-img had completed processing, the image file in the host system was neatly compressed but the image's file system reported no free space, clogged up with the zero-file that had to be manually removed.

```
[host]$ sudo modprobe nbd max_part=8
[host]$ sudo qemu-nbd -c /dev/nbd0 \
-P 1 original.qcow2
[host] $ dd if=/dev/nbd0 of=trimmed.qcow2
[host]$ sudo qemu-nbd -d /dev/nbd0
[host]$ sudo qemu-nbd -c /dev/nbd0 \
trimmed.qcow2
[host]$ sudo mkdir /mnt/img
[host]$ sudo mount /dev/nbd0 /mnt/img
[host]$ sudo dd if=/dev/zero \
of=/mnt/img/root/zeros bs=1M count=4K
[host]$ sudo umount /mnt/img
[host]$ sudo qemu-nbd -d /dev/nbd0
[host] $ qemu-img convert -c -p -f qcow2 \
-O qcow2 trimmed.qcow2 compacted.qcow2
[host]$ sudo qemu-nbd -c /dev/nbd0 \
compacted.qcow2
[host]$ sudo mount /dev/nbd0 /mnt/img
[host]$ sudo rm -f /mnt/img/root/zeros
```

• Lastly, both *initram* and *kernel* were copied out to the host machine and nbd0 disconnected.

```
[host]$ sudo cp /mnt/img/boot/initramfs-\
$(uname -r).img
/mnt/img/boot/vmlinuz-$(uname -r)
[host]$ sudo umount /mnt/img
[host] $ sudo qemu-nbd -d /dev/nbd0
```

# **EXECUTION**

## PERFORMANCE

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Amazon Web Services: Elastic MapReduce.
- https://wiki.openstack.org/wiki/Savanna, Oct.
- [3] J. Dean and S. Ghemawat. Mapreduce: simplified data processing on large clusters. In Proceedings of the 6th conference on Symposium on Opearting Systems Design & Implementation - Volume 6, OSDI'04, pages 10-10,
- MapReduce Implementation on Top of a Cloud Operating System. In Proceedings of the 2011 11th IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Cluster, Cloud and Grid Computing, CCGRID '11, pages 464-474, Washington, DC, USA, 2011. IEEE Computer
- J. Kirschnick, and J. Guijarro. Dynamic Cloud Deployment of a MapReduce Architecture. IEEEInternet Computing, 16(6):40-50, Nov. 2012.
- [6] P. Riteau, A. Iordache, and C. Morin. Resilin: Elastic MapReduce for Private and Community Clouds. Research Report RR-7767, INRIA, Oct. 2011.

## **APPENDIX**

# **CLOUD-INIT SCRIPTS**

# A.1 cloud-prenet.sh

| awk {'print \$2'})"

 $new_hostname="$(\$ 

response\_code="\$(\

then

meta-data/hostname)"

HOSTNAME=\$new\_hostname hostname \$new\_hostname

if [ "\$response\_code" == "200" ]

curl -s http://169.254.169.254/1.0/\

sed -i 's/'"\$HOSTNAME"','"\$new\_hostname"\

'/g' /etc/hosts /etc/sysconfig/network

curl -sI http://169.254.169.254/1.0/\

curl -s http://169.254.169.254/1.0/\

/home/hduser/.ssh/authorized\_keys

meta-data/public-keys/0/openssh-key\

meta-data/public-keys/0/openssh-key\

| grep HTTP | awk {'print \$2'})"

if [ "\$response\_code" == "200" ]

rm -rf /home/hduser/.ssh

| grep 'ssh-rsa' >> \

mkdir -p /home/hduser/.ssh

```
#!/bin/sh
# Custom script to control pre-network
# initialization
# Remove ssh Keys DSA, RSA & HOST
rm -f /etc/ssh/*host*
# Remove persistent-net-rules
rm -f /etc/udev/rules.d/70-persistent-net.rules
# Remove history
rm -f /home/hduser/.bash_history\
/root/.bash_history
A.2 cloud-init.sh
#!/bin/sh
# Simple cloud-init script to fetch
# meta-data injected into instances
# in order to configure them
fetch_and_set() {
  response_code="$(\
   curl -sI http://169.254.169.254/1.0/\
  meta-data/hostname | grep HTTP\
```

- http://aws.amazon.com/elasticmapreduce/, Oct. 2013.
- [2] OpenStack: Project Savanna.
- Berkeley, CA, USA, 2004. USENIX Association.
- [4] H. Liu and D. Orban. Cloud MapReduce: A
- [5] S. Loughran, J. M. Alcaraz Calero, A. Farrell,
- chown -R hduser:hadoop /home/hduser/.ssh echo -e "\nAUTHORIZED\_KEYS:"




Figure 5: Global execution flow

```
echo "***************
   cat /home/hduser/.ssh/authorized_keys
                                                       # Clear some system logs
   echo "**************
                                                       for file in $(ls -F /var/log |grep -ve "/$")
 fi
                                                         echo > /var/log/$file
}
                                                       done
# Change hostname to match meta-data
# instance name if found
http_response_code="$(\
curl -sI http://169.254.169.254/1.0/\
| grep HTTP | awk {'print $2'})"
[ "$http_response_code" != "200" ] && \
echo -e "\nWARNING: No meta-data found!\n"\
&& exit 1
fetch_and_set
A.3 cloud-shtdwn.sh
#!/bin/sh
# Remove ssh Keys DSA, RSA & HOST
rm -f /etc/ssh/*host*
# Remove ssh authorized_keys
rm -f /root/.ssh/authorized_keys\
/home/hduser/.ssh/authorized_keys
# Remove persistent-net-rules
rm -f /etc/udev/rules.d/\
70-persistent-net.rules
# Remove history
rm -f /home/hduser/.bash_history\
/root/.bash_history
# Remove hadoop logs
```

rm -rf /var/log/hadoop/hduser