



# ZAP Scanning Report

Site: <http://host.docker.internal>

Generated on Tue, 22 Apr 2025 03:30:45

ZAP Version: 2.16.1

ZAP by [Checkmarx](#)

## Summary of Alerts

Risk Level	Number of Alerts
High	0
Medium	3
Low	4
Informational	2
False Positives:	0

## Summary of Sequences

For each step: result (Pass/Fail) - risk (of highest alert(s) for the step, if any).

## Alerts

Name	Risk Level	Number of Instances
<a href="#">Absence of Anti-CSRF Tokens</a>	Medium	1
<a href="#">Content Security Policy (CSP) Header Not Set</a>	Medium	1
<a href="#">Missing Anti-clickjacking Header</a>	Medium	1
<a href="#">Cookie No HttpOnly Flag</a>	Low	1
<a href="#">Cookie without SameSite Attribute</a>	Low	1
<a href="#">Server Leaks Information via "X-Powered-By" HTTP Response Header Field(s)</a>	Low	1
<a href="#">X-Content-Type-Options Header Missing</a>	Low	1
<a href="#">Modern Web Application</a>	Informational	1
<a href="#">Session Management Response Identified</a>	Informational	2

## Alert Detail

Medium	Absence of Anti-CSRF Tokens
Description	<p>No Anti-CSRF tokens were found in a HTML submission form.</p> <p>A cross-site request forgery is an attack that involves forcing a victim to send an HTTP request to a target destination without their knowledge or intent in order to perform an action as the victim. The underlying cause is application functionality using predictable URL/form actions in a repeatable way. The nature of the attack is that CSRF exploits the trust that a web site has for a user. By contrast, cross-site scripting (XSS) exploits the trust that a user has for a web site. Like XSS, CSRF attacks are not necessarily cross-site, but they can be. Cross-site request forgery is also known as CSRF, XSRF, one-click attack, session riding, confused deputy, and sea surf.</p> <p>CSRF attacks are effective in a number of situations, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>* The victim has an active session on the target site.</li><li>* The victim is authenticated via HTTP auth on the target site.</li><li>* The victim is on the same local network as the target site.</li></ul> <p>CSRF has primarily been used to perform an action against a target site using the victim's privileges, but recent techniques have been discovered to disclose information by gaining access to the response. The risk of information disclosure is dramatically increased when the target site is vulnerable to XSS, because XSS can be used as a platform for CSRF, allowing the attack to operate within the bounds of the same-origin policy.</p>
URL	<a href="http://host.docker.internal:80">http://host.docker.internal:80</a>
Method	GET
Parameter	
Attack	

Evidence	<form action="/?default=English" method="post">
Other Info	No known Anti-CSRF token [anticsrf, CSRFToken, __RequestVerificationToken, csrfmiddlewaretoken, authenticity_token, OWASP_CSRFTOKEN, anoncsrf, csrf_token, _csrf, _csrfSecret, __csrf_magic, CSRF, _token, _csrf_token, _csrfToken] was found in the following HTML form: [Form 1: "password" "username" ].
Instances	1
Solution	Phase: Architecture and Design
	Use a vetted library or framework that does not allow this weakness to occur or provides constructs that make this weakness easier to avoid.
	For example, use anti-CSRF packages such as the OWASP CSRFGuard.
	Phase: Implementation
	Ensure that your application is free of cross-site scripting issues, because most CSRF defenses can be bypassed using attacker-controlled script.
	Phase: Architecture and Design
	Generate a unique nonce for each form, place the nonce into the form, and verify the nonce upon receipt of the form. Be sure that the nonce is not predictable (CWE-330).
	Note that this can be bypassed using XSS.
	Identify especially dangerous operations. When the user performs a dangerous operation, send a separate confirmation request to ensure that the user intended to perform that operation.
	Note that this can be bypassed using XSS.
Reference	https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Cross-Site_Request_Forgery_Prevention_Cheat_Sheet.html
	https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/352.html
	352
	9
	10202

Medium	Content Security Policy (CSP) Header Not Set
Description	Content Security Policy (CSP) is an added layer of security that helps to detect and mitigate certain types of attacks, including Cross Site Scripting (XSS) and data injection attacks. These attacks are used for everything from data theft to site defacement or distribution of malware. CSP provides a set of standard HTTP headers that allow website owners to declare approved sources of content that browsers should be allowed to load on that page — covered types are JavaScript, CSS, HTML frames, fonts, images and embeddable objects such as Java applets, ActiveX, audio and video files.
URL	http://host.docker.internal:80
Method	GET
Parameter	
Attack	
Evidence	
Other Info	
Instances	1
Solution	Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to set the Content-Security-Policy header.
Reference	https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/Security/CSP/Introducing_Content_Security_Policy https://cheatsheetseries.owasp.org/cheatsheets/Content_Security_Policy_Cheat_Sheet.html https://www.w3.org/TR/CSP/ https://w3c.github.io/webappsec-csp/ https://web.dev/articles/csp https://caniuse.com/#feat=contentsecuritypolicy https://content-security-policy.com/
CWE Id	693
WASC Id	15
Plugin Id	10038

Medium	Missing Anti-clickjacking Header
Description	The response does not protect against 'ClickJacking' attacks. It should include either Content-Security-Policy with 'frame-ancestors' directive or X-Frame-Options.
URL	http://host.docker.internal:80
Method	GET
Parameter	x-frame-options
Attack	

Evidence	
Other Info	
Instances	1
Solution	Modern Web browsers support the Content-Security-Policy and X-Frame-Options HTTP headers. Ensure one of them is set on all web pages returned by your site/app.  If you expect the page to be framed only by pages on your server (e.g. it's part of a FRAMESET) then you'll want to use SAMEORIGIN, otherwise if you never expect the page to be framed, you should use DENY. Alternatively consider implementing Content Security Policy's "frame-ancestors" directive.
Reference	<a href="https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Frame-Options">https://developer.mozilla.org/en-US/docs/Web/HTTP/Headers/X-Frame-Options</a>
CWE Id	<a href="#">1021</a>
WASC Id	15
Plugin Id	<a href="#">10020</a>

Low	Cookie No HttpOnly Flag
Description	A cookie has been set without the HttpOnly flag, which means that the cookie can be accessed by JavaScript. If a malicious script can be run on this page then the cookie will be accessible and can be transmitted to another site. If this is a session cookie then session hijacking may be possible.
URL	<a href="http://host.docker.internal:80">http://host.docker.internal:80</a>
Method	GET
Parameter	connect.sid
Attack	
Evidence	Set-Cookie: connect.sid
Other Info	
Instances	1
Solution	Ensure that the HttpOnly flag is set for all cookies.
Reference	<a href="https://owasp.org/www-community/HttpOnly">https://owasp.org/www-community/HttpOnly</a>
CWE Id	<a href="#">1004</a>
WASC Id	13
Plugin Id	<a href="#">10010</a>

Low	Cookie without SameSite Attribute
Description	A cookie has been set without the SameSite attribute, which means that the cookie can be sent as a result of a 'cross-site' request. The SameSite attribute is an effective counter measure to cross-site request forgery, cross-site script inclusion, and timing attacks.
URL	<a href="http://host.docker.internal:80">http://host.docker.internal:80</a>
Method	GET
Parameter	connect.sid
Attack	
Evidence	Set-Cookie: connect.sid
Other Info	
Instances	1
Solution	Ensure that the SameSite attribute is set to either 'lax' or ideally 'strict' for all cookies.
Reference	<a href="https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-httpbis-cookie-same-site">https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-httpbis-cookie-same-site</a>
CWE Id	<a href="#">1275</a>
WASC Id	13
Plugin Id	<a href="#">10054</a>

Low	Server Leaks Information via "X-Powered-By" HTTP Response Header Field(s)
Description	The web/application server is leaking information via one or more "X-Powered-By" HTTP response headers. Access to such information may facilitate attackers identifying other frameworks/components your web application is reliant upon and the vulnerabilities such components may be subject to.
URL	<a href="http://host.docker.internal:80">http://host.docker.internal:80</a>
Method	GET
Parameter	
Attack	
Evidence	X-Powered-By: Express
Other Info	
Instances	1
Solution	Ensure that your web server, application server, load balancer, etc. is configured to suppress "X-Powered-By" headers.
Reference	<a href="https://owasp.org/www-project-web-security-testing-guide/v42/4-Web_Application_Security_Testing/01-Information_Gathering/08-Fingerprint_Web_Application_Framework">https://owasp.org/www-project-web-security-testing-guide/v42/4-Web_Application_Security_Testing/01-Information_Gathering/08-Fingerprint_Web_Application_Framework</a> <a href="https://www.troyhunt.com/2012/02/shhh-dont-let-your-response-headers.html">https://www.troyhunt.com/2012/02/shhh-dont-let-your-response-headers.html</a>
CWE Id	<a href="#">497</a>
WASC Id	13

Plugin Id	10037
Low	X-Content-Type-Options Header Missing
Description	The Anti-MIME-Sniffing header X-Content-Type-Options was not set to 'nosniff'. This allows older versions of Internet Explorer and Chrome to perform MIME-sniffing on the response body, potentially causing the response body to be interpreted and displayed as a content type other than the declared content type. Current (early 2014) and legacy versions of Firefox will use the declared content type (if one is set), rather than performing MIME-sniffing.
URL	http://host.docker.internal:80
Method	GET
Parameter	x-content-type-options
Attack	
Evidence	
Other Info	This issue still applies to error type pages (401, 403, 500, etc.) as those pages are often still affected by injection issues, in which case there is still concern for browsers sniffing pages away from their actual content type. At "High" threshold this scan rule will not alert on client or server error responses.
Instances	1
Solution	Ensure that the application/web server sets the Content-Type header appropriately, and that it sets the X-Content-Type-Options header to 'nosniff' for all web pages.  If possible, ensure that the end user uses a standards-compliant and modern web browser that does not perform MIME-sniffing at all, or that can be directed by the web application/web server to not perform MIME-sniffing.
Reference	https://learn.microsoft.com/en-us/previous-versions/windows/internet-explorer/ie-developer/compatibility/gg622941(v=vs.85) https://owasp.org/www-community/Security-Headers
CWE Id	693
WASC Id	15
Plugin Id	10021

Informational	Modern Web Application
Description	The application appears to be a modern web application. If you need to explore it automatically then the Ajax Spider may well be more effective than the standard one.
URL	http://host.docker.internal:80
Method	GET
Parameter	
Attack	
Evidence	<a href="#">Vuln<b>Node</b>App </div> <!-- /.login-logo --> <div class="card"> <div class="card-body login-card-body"> <p class="login-box-msg">Sign in to start your session</p> <form action="/?default=English" method="post"> <div class="input-group mb-3"> <input type="email" name="username" class="form-control" placeholder="Email"> <div class="input-group-append"> <div class="input-group-text"> <span class="fas fa-envelope"></span> </div> </div> </div> <div class="input-group mb-3"> <input type="password" name="password" class="form-control" placeholder="Password"> <div class="input-group-append"> <div class="input-group-text"> <span class="fas fa-lock"></span> </div> </div> </div> <p class="text-danger"></p> <div class="row"> <div class="col-8"> </div> <!-- /.col --> <div class="col-4"> <button type="submit" class="btn btn-primary btn-block">Sign In</button> </div> <!-- /.col --> </div> </form> <p class="mb-1">
Other Info	Links have been found that do not have traditional href attributes, which is an indication that this is a modern web application.
Instances	1
Solution	This is an informational alert and so no changes are required.
Reference	
CWE Id	
WASC Id	
Plugin Id	10109

Informational	Session Management Response Identified
Description	The given response has been identified as containing a session management token. The 'Other Info' field contains a set of header tokens that can be used in the Header Based Session Management Method. If the request is in a context which has a Session Management Method set to "Auto-Detect" then this rule will change the session management to use the tokens identified.
URL	http://host.docker.internal:80
Method	GET
Parameter	connect.sid
Attack	
Evidence	s%3A7JQOrqPyYDalil1yFTAp_7SfUn5YQyDm.b354tfXdoUBnfawLqVid32Sb7zplUUGEOHInMoo8%2BS0
Other Info	cookie:connect.sid
URL	http://host.docker.internal:80
Method	GET
Parameter	connect.sid
Attack	
Evidence	s%3Awsg4FsEH4QIBFTmxd3Qz516uUtwJGi_e.F1HV4S0b%2Fcxl97fYEuH2mudWOuxU1PYM3C8kRQSGSd4
Other Info	cookie:connect.sid
Instances	2

Solution	This is an informational alert rather than a vulnerability and so there is nothing to fix.
Reference	<a href="https://www.zaproxy.org/docs/desktop/addons/authentication-helper/session-mgmt-id">https://www.zaproxy.org/docs/desktop/addons/authentication-helper/session-mgmt-id</a>
CWE Id	
WASC Id	
Plugin Id	<a href="#">10112</a>

Sequence Details

With the associated active scan results.