Marcos Sora -- Microeconomics -- 33801 -- Section 92 -- Lars Stole -- Autumn 2020 -- London

47

Q1	Min	Max	Mean	Standard Deviation	Responses	3
How clear was the TA in communicating and explaining course material?	1.92	5.00	4.30	0.80	43	4.30
Q2	Min	Max	Mean	Standard Deviation	Responses	3
Were the review sessions effective?	1.00	5.00	4.28	0.80	35	4.28
Q3	Min	Max	Mean	Standard Deviation	Responses	3
Did the TA provide helpful strategies for completing course assignments and/or preparing for exams?	1.00	5.00	4.39	0.72	39	4.39
Q4	Min	Max	Mean	Standard Deviation	Responses	3
How responsive was the TA via online communication, e.g., email or class discussion boards?	3.90	5.00	4.74	0.42	39	4.74 5
Q5	Min	Max	Mean	Standard Deviation	Responses	3
How satisfied were you with this TA's overall performance?	2.35	5.00	4.48	0.65	43	4.48 5

Comment on the TA's strengths and weaknesses as a teacher.	Write down one thing the TA did that was particularly helpful for you.	Is there anything the TA could have done to improve your learning in this course?	How clear was the TA in communic ating and explaining course material?	Were the review sessio ns effecti ve?	Did the TA provide helpful strategies for completing course assignments and/or preparing for exams?	How responsive was the TA via online communicatio n, e.g., email or class discussion boards?	How satisfie d were you with this TA's overall perform ance?
strength: very responsive during class, explains the concepts clearly. weakness: none	I attended one of Marcos's review sessions and that was very helpful.	no, given the circumstances, there wasn't anything I could think of.	4.96	4.06	4.99	N/A	5.00
Very intelligent and takes time to explain concepts in a way anyone can get, even if he has to spend a lot of time with a group Would have liked more time to learn from Marcos	Help explain how to think about TFU questions, although still not sold on how to tackle this	Recorded videos of answering different questions/how they think about difficult concepts	4.09	4.47	4.23	5.00	5.00
Good clear communication	Midterm review	Slow down and be more thorough on the mathematics	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
N/A	Marcos has been very helpful and answers questions swiftly and in a manner that is easily understandable. I had specifically asked to run through particular type of questions during the review session, which Marcos did for us. Thank you Marcos for your support.	N/A	4.10	4.08	4.06	4.00	5.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.51	4.98	5.00	5.00	5.00
excellent knowledge and very effective in communicating with students.	He was able to teach the material from another angle than Professor Stole. So it was particularly effective and reinforcing concepts that maybe you didn't get 100 percent when Lars taught it. He was really great in his review sessions.	again more review sessions. One hour or one and a half for a who weekend is probably too little. At least one more each week.	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.04	N/A	5.00	5.00	5.00

Comment on the TA's strengths and weaknesses as a teacher.	Write down one thing the TA did that was particularly helpful for you.	Is there anything the TA could have done to improve your learning in this course?	How clear was the TA in communic ating and explaining course material?	Were the review sessio ns effecti ve?	Did the TA provide helpful strategies for completing course assignments and/or preparing for exams?	How responsive was the TA via online communicatio n, e.g., email or class discussion boards?	How satisfie d were you with this TA's overall perform ance?
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.97	5.00	5.00	4.92	5.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	5.00	4.16	4.01	5.00	5.00
Overall It was great to have Marcos as TA	He shared extra examples that were great to understand concepts	-	5.00	4.20	4.56	5.00	5.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.99	4.01	4.03	5.00	5.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	5.00	5.00	N/A	5.00	5.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.69	4.72	4.51	5.00	5.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Marcos is extremely hands on and dedicated to explain the problem and provide methods to shortcut the solutions	He provided helpful ways to prepare for the exams not mentioning the fact that in review sessions he display mastery in making connections between several problems and concepts	More interaction and time in with the professor	4.81	4.89	5.00	4.82	5.00
Marcos was highly committed to the program and wiling to help the students. I appreciated his efforts and pleasant way to interact with us. I believe Marcos can further develop his communication skills. Particular in this remote environment I would benefit from a clearer communication.	Marcos explained the solution of a problem to our study group. After 15 minutes he came back to our group to provide a different way to understand and solve it. This proactive approach and care was very good and we appreciated that as a group.	I believe in this remote environment Marcos could try to be more clear on the language / communication. A few times it was a little difficult to understand him.	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.00	5.00

Comment on the TA's strengths and weaknesses as a teacher.	Write down one thing the TA did that was particularly helpful for you.	Is there anything the TA could have done to improve your learning in this course?	How clear was the TA in communic ating and explaining course material?	Were the review sessio ns effecti ve?	Did the TA provide helpful strategies for completing course assignments and/or preparing for exams?	How responsive was the TA via online communicatio n, e.g., email or class discussion boards?	How satisfie d were you with this TA's overall perform ance?
N/A	N/A	N/A	5.00	N/A	5.00	5.00	5.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	5.00	N/A	5.00	5.00	5.00
Helpful and attentive, very positive	Directing into problem solving	N/A	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.04	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00
Spending time to explain difficult concepts to us. Making simple complicated concepts.	Marcos gave valuable feedback on the midterms and worked through several problem sets.	Marcos was great.	5.00	N/A	5.00	5.00	4.99
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.20	4.17	4.48	5.00	4.99
N/A	N/A	N/A	5.00	4.03	4.03	5.00	4.54
Always has a relevant example to hand, was clear in his explanations and agile in restating his thoughts if the initial pass didnt take.	Very helpful and thoughtful in study group assignments	N/A	4.19	3.63	3.83	3.98	4.26
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.02	4.10	4.12	4.20
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.08	4.79	N/A	4.96	4.19
Explained the concepts very well.	Explaining the big picture and concept	N/A	5.00	5.00	4.05	N/A	4.16
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.79	4.79	4.09	N/A	4.09
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.08	4.04	4.05	5.00	4.09

Comment on the TA's strengths and weaknesses as a teacher.	Write down one thing the TA did that was particularly helpful for you.	Is there anything the TA could have done to improve your learning in this course?	How clear was the TA in communic ating and explaining course material?	Were the review sessio ns effecti ve?	Did the TA provide helpful strategies for completing course assignments and/or preparing for exams?	How responsive was the TA via online communicatio n, e.g., email or class discussion boards?	How satisfie d were you with this TA's overall perform ance?
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.06	N/A	4.04	N/A	4.06
Marcos was clear and very helpful with providing insights to topics covered during review sessions	Tips on how to approach TFU questions	n/a	4.05	4.06	4.04	4.08	4.05
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.03	4.01	4.02	4.01	4.04
N/A	N/A	N/A	5.00	5.00	4.01	4.09	4.03
N/A	N/A	N/A	4.07	N/A	4.98	4.05	4.01
Marcos is very responsive and was always willing to go over/explain materials more than once. That said, he speaks quite fast, which sometimes makes it hard to follow/comprehend.	I had a clarification question on one of the weekend problem sets, ahead of Midterm exams, and Marco was quick to respond.	Dedicating more time for review sessions and being more articulate.	4.03	3.04	4.06	5.00	4.01
He definitely knows the subject but at times I felt he forgot to take into account that a lot of us were novices here. So unknowingly he may have assumed that a particular level of understanding stands applied to all of us uniformly. Overall he was very prompt with answers and was able to respond to the questions at hand, extremely helpful.	I attended one of the review sessions done by Marcos and it was quiet helpful, he was able to highlight the important points that needed to be focused on. It helped me to orient and focus better on that particular subject.	The impression I got was that he holds back a bit. He needs to be a bit more confident in his explanations - It appears that hes still processing the information when he communicates.	4.05	4.04	4.06	5.00	4.00
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.11	3.90	3.92
N/A	N/A	More question solving and exam technique tips instead of reviewing concepts or theory.	3.12	4.11	3.86	5.00	3.90

Comment on the TA's strengths and weaknesses as a teacher.	Write down one thing the TA did that was particularly helpful for you.	Is there anything the TA could have done to improve your learning in this course?	How clear was the TA in communic ating and explaining course material?	Were the review sessio ns effecti ve?	Did the TA provide helpful strategies for completing course assignments and/or preparing for exams?	How responsive was the TA via online communicatio n, e.g., email or class discussion boards?	How satisfie d were you with this TA's overall perform ance?
N/A	N/A	N/A	3.02	3.43	5.00	N/A	3.59
N/A	N/A	N/A	2.99	3.00	N/A	N/A	3.14
N/A	N/A	N/A	1.92	N/A	N/A	4.95	3.01
I had a lot of difficulty understanding Marcos - I'm used to different accents so it's not at all an unpleasant comment on his accent but it's more the content. I found the review sessions quite frustrating as well.	N/A	More strategies for exams; more advice on approaching questions.	1.96	1.00	1.00	3.96	2.35
Without a doubt, Marcos has great knowledge of Microeconomics. However, I think that he could work on a way of communicating it to the students - simpler language would be appreciated.	Marcos was great at explaining concepts during group assignments.	I think that Marcos could work on a way of communicating his knowledge to the students - simpler language would be appreciated.	3.03	N/A	N/A	5.00	N/A
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Understood the course materials but struggled to explain same effectively	He was available	Set more sessions and explain more clearly	3.99	4.01	N/A	4.06	N/A
N/A	It was helpful to have the material summaries though the TA sessions	N/A	4.21	N/A	4.02	N/A	N/A