



University of Insubria

DIPARTIMENTO DI SCIENZA E ALTA TECNOLOGIA
PhD in Computer Science and Mathematics of Calculus

REPORT OF (MARKOV CHAIN) MONTE CARLO SIMULATION

On the Mathematical Foundations of Approximate Bayesian Computation

Teacher: *A. Mira* Student: *M. Tarsia** Tutor: *D. Cassani* Advisor: *E. Mastrogiamomo*

Academic Year 2019–2020

14/11/2019

A mathematical frame for ABC

Underlying probability space: $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbf{P})$. *Dimensions:* $d_{\mathcal{Y}}$, $d_{\mathcal{H}}$ and n in $\mathbb{N}^* \equiv \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. *Observations:* $y^{1:n}(\omega) \equiv y^{1:n} = (y^1, \dots, y^n)^T \in \mathcal{Y}^n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_{\mathcal{Y}} \cdot n}$, $\forall \omega \in \Omega$, where $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_{\mathcal{Y}}}$ has a metric $\rho_{\mathcal{Y}}$. *Parameters:* $\vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ where $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_{\mathcal{H}}}$ has a metric $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}$. *Prior:* $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{H})$. *Model:* $\{\mu_{\vartheta}^n\}_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{H}}$, family in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^n)$.

Notations. Let X be a topological space. We denote by $\mathcal{B}(X)$ the σ -algebra on X of the Borel subsets of X , by $\mathcal{P}(X)$ the class of the probability measures on $\mathcal{B}(X)$ and, given another topological space Y , by $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ the class of the Borel measurable functions from $X \equiv (X, \mathcal{B}(X))$ to $Y \equiv (Y, \mathcal{B}(Y))$.

Next, we'll write $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ meaning $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H} [\pi]$, i.e. (id est) for π -a.a. (almost all) $\vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$.

[A0 - a] The model $\{\mu_{\vartheta}^n\}_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{H}}$ is *generative* meaning that, $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$, it's possible to generate how many $z^{1:n} = (z^1, \dots, z^n)^T \in \mathcal{Y}^n$ with $z^{1:n} \sim \mu_{\vartheta}^n$ we desire.

Pseudo-observations: $z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$ with $z^{1:n} \sim \mu_{\vartheta}^n$, $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$. *Deviation measure:* \mathcal{D} , pseudo-metric on \mathcal{Y}^n .

Notations. $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{Y}_{\vartheta}^n := \{z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n \mid z^{1:n} \sim \mu_{\vartheta}^n\} \subset \mathcal{Y}^n$ and, for any $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+ \equiv [0, +\infty[$,

$$D_{\varepsilon}^n := \mathcal{D}(y^{1:n}, \cdot)^{-1}([0, \varepsilon]) \equiv \{z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n \mid \mathcal{D}(y^{1:n}, z^{1:n}) \leq \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}^n).$$

Remark. Although there may exist $y \neq z$ in \mathcal{Y}^n s.t. (such that) $\mathcal{D}(y, z) = 0$, \mathcal{D} remains non-negative, subadditive and componentwise continuous. Moreover, $D_{\varepsilon}^n \downarrow D_0^n \equiv \mathcal{D}(y^{1:n}, \cdot)^{-1}(0)$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

[A0 - b] (under A0 - a) There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ s.t., for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0[$, the two following conditions hold.

1. The function $\vartheta \mapsto \mu_{\vartheta}^n[D_{\varepsilon}^n]$, to be seen as defined π -a.s. (almost surely), belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, [0, 1])$.
2. $\int_{\mathcal{H}} \mu_{\vartheta}^n[D_{\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta) > 0$ (i.e. $\neq 0$).

Remark. 2 of A0 - b is equivalent to having $\vartheta \mapsto \mu_{\vartheta}^n[D_{\varepsilon}^n]$, briefly $\mu_{(\cdot)}^n[D_{\varepsilon}^n]$, not π -a.s. identically zero.

► Regarding the whole continuation, we assume that A0 - a and A0 - b worth.

ABC thresholds: any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0[$. *ABC rejection algorithms:* hereunder.

(i) Choose $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0[$. **(ii)** Draw $\vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ by π and $z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\vartheta}^n$. **(iii)** Keep ϑ if, and only if, $z^{1:n} \in D_{\varepsilon}^n$.

ABC posteriors: $\pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon} \ll \pi$, $\forall \varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0[$, whose density is proportional to $\mu_{(\cdot)}^n[D_{\varepsilon}^n]$: for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon}[B] = \frac{\int_B \mu_{\vartheta}^n[D_{\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta)}{\int_{\mathcal{H}} \mu_{\vartheta}^n[D_{\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta)}.$$

*E-mail: mtarsia1@uninsubria.it. Web page: <https://www.uninsubria.it/hpp/marco.tarsia>.

Remark. Explicitly, $\forall \varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0[$, $\pi_{y^{1:n}}^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{P}(H)$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. (with respect to) π meaning that, for any $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, if $\pi[\mathcal{N}] = 0$ then $\pi_{y^{1:n}}^\varepsilon[\mathcal{N}] = 0$ as well.

[A0 - c] For any $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}^n)$, $\mu_{(\cdot)}^n[Y] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, [0, 1])$ (coherently w.r.t. A0 - b).

Model for the true posterior (under A0 - c): for any $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}^n)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\pi[B] > 0$,

$$P[Y|B] \doteq \frac{1}{\pi[B]} \int_B \mu_\vartheta^n[Y] \pi(d\vartheta)$$

from which the corresponding posterior: for any $Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}^n)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, whenever it makes sense,

$$\pi[B|Y] \equiv \frac{\int_B \mu_\vartheta^n[Y] \pi(d\vartheta)}{\int_{\mathcal{H}} \mu_{\vartheta'}^n[Y] \pi(d\vartheta')}$$

(through the Bayes' formula with π still as the prior). Therefore, the *true posterior* would be

$$\pi[\cdot | y^{1:n}] := \pi[\cdot | \{y^{1:n}\}].$$

Remark. For any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0[$, $\pi_{y^{1:n}}^\varepsilon[\cdot] = \pi[\cdot | D_\varepsilon^n]$.

A convergence result for $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$

Notation. We'll denote by $m := m^{dy \cdot n}$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{dy \cdot n}$ (on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{dy \cdot n})$).

[A1] $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$, the two following conditions hold.

1. $\mu_\vartheta^n \ll m$ with $f_\vartheta^n \doteq dm/d\mu_\vartheta^n$ s.t., $\tilde{\forall} z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$ [m] for which it's defined, $f_{(\cdot)}^n(z^{1:n}) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{R}_+)$.
2. $f_\vartheta^n(\cdot)$ is continuous and $f_{(\cdot)}^n(y^{1:n})$ is not π -a.s. identically zero.

Remark. 1 of A1 implies A0 - c while 2 of A1 ensures that $\int_{\mathcal{H}} f_\vartheta^n(y^{1:n}) \pi(d\vartheta) > 0$ (eventually $+\infty$).

[A2] (under A1) There exist $\delta, \bar{\varepsilon} \in]0, +\infty[$ and $g \in L^1(\pi)$ with $g \geq \delta$ [π] all s.t., $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\delta \leq \sup_{z^{1:n} \in D_\varepsilon^n} f_\vartheta^n(z^{1:n}) \leq g(\vartheta).$$

Remarks.

- A2 would imply 2 of A0 - b employing any $\varepsilon_0 \in]0, \bar{\varepsilon}[$ because, for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \bar{\varepsilon}[$, the function

$$\vartheta \mapsto \mu_\vartheta^n[D_\varepsilon^n] \equiv \int_{D_\varepsilon^n} f_\vartheta^n(z^{1:n}) dz^{1:n}$$

cannot be π -a.s. identically zero. In particular, for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \bar{\varepsilon}[$, $m[D_\varepsilon^n] > 0$ too.

- A2 implies that $f_{(\cdot)}^n(y^{1:n}) \in L^1(\pi)$ with $L^1(\pi)$ -norm lower or equal than $\|g\|_1 := \|g\|_{L^1(\pi)}$.
- Even the following generalization of A2 would work. [A2̃] (under A1) There exist $g \in L^1(\pi)$ with $g > 0$ [π] and $\tilde{\varepsilon} \in]0, +\infty[$ s.t., for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \tilde{\varepsilon}[$, there exists $\delta_\varepsilon \in]0, +\infty[$ s.t., $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\delta_\varepsilon \leq \sup_{z^{1:n} \in D_\varepsilon^n} f_\vartheta^n(z^{1:n}) \leq g(\vartheta).$$

[A3] (under A1) $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{D}(y^{1:n}, \cdot)^{-1}(0) \subseteq f_\vartheta^n(\cdot)^{-1}(f_\vartheta^n(y^{1:n}))$.

Remark. Extensively, for any $z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$, if $\mathcal{D}(y^{1:n}, z^{1:n}) = 0$ then, $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$, $f_\vartheta^n(z^{1:n}) = f_\vartheta^n(y^{1:n})$. Hence, if $\mathcal{D}(y^{1:n}, \cdot)^{-1}(0) = \{y^{1:n}\}$, which happens when \mathcal{D} is an actual metric, then A3 trivially holds.

Proposition. Under assumptions A1, A2 and A3, the three following conditions hold.

- a. The ABC rejection algorithm and the ABC posterior are well defined for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 \vee \bar{\varepsilon}[$.
- b. The true posterior $\pi[\cdot | y^{1:n}]$ makes sense and it takes the following expression: for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\pi[B | y^{1:n}] = \frac{\int_B f_\vartheta^n(y^{1:n}) \pi(d\vartheta)}{\int_{\mathcal{H}} f_{\vartheta'}^n(y^{1:n}) \pi(d\vartheta')}$$

- c. The ABC posterior strongly converges to the true posterior as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$: for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\pi_{y^{1:n}}^\varepsilon[B] \rightarrow \pi[B | y^{1:n}] \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Proof (b and c). The thesis essentially matches with the fact that, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\int_B \frac{1}{m[D_\varepsilon^n]} \mu_\vartheta^n[D_\varepsilon^n] \pi(d\vartheta) \rightarrow \int_B f_\vartheta^n(y^{1:n}) \pi(d\vartheta) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0$$

as a consequence of the classical Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem. Indeed, on one side, pointwise convergence: $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$, $m[D_\varepsilon^n]^{-1} \mu_\vartheta^n[D_\varepsilon^n] \equiv m[D_\varepsilon^n]^{-1} \int_{D_\varepsilon^n} f_\vartheta^n(z^{1:n}) dz^{1:n} \rightarrow f_\vartheta^n(y^{1:n})$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ due to the basic integral mean value theorem leaning on the continuity of $f_\vartheta^n(\cdot)$ and A3. On the other side, dominance: $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall \varepsilon \in]0, \bar{\varepsilon}[$, $0 \leq m[D_\varepsilon^n]^{-1} \mu_\vartheta^n[D_\varepsilon^n] \leq g(\vartheta)$ from A2, and $g \in L^1(\pi)$. \square

Optimal transport theory in ABC

Let's visualize $(\mathcal{Y}, \rho_{\mathcal{Y}})$ as a separable and complete metric space in such a way that it is also a Radon space, i.e. any element in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ is a Radon probability measure (outer regular on Borel subsets and inner regular on open subsets), and let's choose an unit cost function $c: \mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ which is lower semicontinuous (thus Borel measurable) and a parameter $p \in [1, +\infty[$ of summability.

Notation. We'll denote by $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{Y})$ the subclass of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ whose elements have finite p -th moment.

Kantorovich's formulation. For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{Y})$, let's consider the subclass $\Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y})$ whose elements γ are the couplings with marginals μ and ν . Then the Kantorovich's formulation of the optimal transport problem related to $(\mathcal{Y}, \rho_{\mathcal{Y}})$, c and p is

$$\mathcal{K}(\mu, \nu) \doteq \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)} \int_{\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{Y}} c(y, y') d\gamma(y, y').$$

It can be shown that there exists a minimizer $\gamma^* \in \Gamma(\mu, \nu)$ for such a problem which could be determined by means of gradient descent algorithms.

Example. For $c = (\rho_{\mathcal{Y}})^p$, \mathcal{K} coincides with the p -power of the Wasserstein or Kantorovich-Rubinstein distance: in symbols, $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{W}_p^p$.

Monge's formulation. For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{Y})$, let's consider the subclass $\mathsf{T}(\mu, \nu)$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}) := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y})$ whose elements T are such that $T_\# \mu = \nu$.

Remark. Here $T_\# \mu$ stands for the push-forward or image measure $\mu^T \equiv T(\mu)$ of μ through T : that is, the element in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ defined by $T_\# \mu[A] \doteq \mu[T^{-1}(A)]$, $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$.

Then, at least when μ and ν are both atomic (not diffuse) or otherwise when μ is not atomic (diffuse), the Monge's formulation of the optimal transport problem related to $(\mathcal{Y}, \rho_{\mathcal{Y}})$, c and p is

$$\mathcal{M}(\mu, \nu) \doteq \inf_{T \in \mathsf{T}(\mu, \nu)} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} c(y, T(y)) \mu(dy).$$

Example. Let's assume that $d_Y = 1$ and $\mathcal{Y} = \mathbb{R}$ with ρ_Y equal to the usual Euclidean metric.

Notation. For any $\eta \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$, we'll denote by F_η and F_η^{-1} the cumulative distribution function of η and the quantile function of η respectively.

If there exists a function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is convex and such that, for any $y, y' \in \mathbb{R}$, $c(y, y') = \varphi(y - y')$ then, for any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathbb{R})$ with μ not atomic, the function $T^* := F_\nu^{-1} \circ F_\mu \in \mathcal{T}(\mu, \nu)$ is an optimal transport map w.r.t. the Monge's formulation and the following identity holds:

$$\mathcal{M}(\mu, \nu) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(y - T^*(y)) \mu(dy) = \int_0^1 \varphi(F_\mu^{-1}(t) - F_\nu^{-1}(t)) dt.$$

Moreover, if φ is strictly convex, then such a T^* is the unique optimal transport map.

Radon's metric. For any $\mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{Y})$,

$$\rho_R(\mu, \nu) \doteq \sup_{h \in C^0(\mathcal{Y}, [-1, 1])} \int_{\mathcal{Y}} h(y) (\mu - \nu)(dy)$$

defines a metric on $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{Y})$ whose notion of convergence corresponds with the total variation convergence.

Remark. The space (\mathcal{Y}, ρ_Y) is a Hausdorff, namely T_2 , and locally compact as a topological space.

Some lower bounds for $n \rightarrow +\infty$

Notation. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we'll write $\tilde{\forall} y^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$ meaning to vary of $y^{1:n}(\omega) \equiv y^{1:n}$ in \mathcal{Y}^n for \mathbf{P} -a.a. $\omega \in \Omega$.

Deviation measure of distributions: once and for all, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\tilde{\forall} y^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$, $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\vartheta}^n$, we univocally associate an element in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, possibly in $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{Y})$ ($p \geq 1$), to both of $y^{1:n}$ and $z^{1:n}$, let be

$$\mu_n \equiv \mu_{y^{1:n}} \text{ to } y^{1:n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_{\vartheta, n} \equiv \mu_{\vartheta, z^{1:n}} \text{ to } z^{1:n}$$

and we select a pseudo-distance \mathcal{T} on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, possibly on $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{Y})$.

Example. $\mu_n \equiv \hat{\mu}_n := \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{y^k}$ and $\mu_{\vartheta, n} \equiv \hat{\mu}_{\vartheta, n} := \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{z^k}$ where, for any $x \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$,

$$\delta_x[B] \equiv \mathbb{1}_B(x) \doteq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \in B, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \notin B. \end{cases}$$

Remark. The space $(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}), \mathcal{T})$, possibly $(\mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{Y}), \mathcal{T})$, may not be of Hausdorff as a topological space.

[B0] $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$, the three following conditions hold.

1. $\mathcal{Y}_{\vartheta}^n \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}^n)$.
2. $\tilde{\forall} y^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$, the function $z^{1:n} \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\mu_n, \mu_{\vartheta, n})$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_{\vartheta}^n, \mathbb{R}_+)$.
3. $\tilde{\forall} y^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$ and $\forall \varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0[$,

$$\mu_{\vartheta}^n[D_{\varepsilon}^n] \geq \mu_{\vartheta}^n[\{z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\vartheta}^n \mid \mathcal{T}(\mu_n, \mu_{\vartheta, n}) \leq \varepsilon\}].$$

Remark. 3 of B0 holds if, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\tilde{\forall} y^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$, $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}_{\vartheta}^n$, $\mathcal{D}(y^{1:n}, z^{1:n}) \leq \mathcal{T}(\mu_n, \mu_{\vartheta, n})$.

[B1] (under B0) There exists unique $\mu_{\star} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, possibly in $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{Y})$, s.t. the following occurs.

1. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\omega \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\mu_n, \mu_{\star})$ is \mathcal{A} -measurable as a function from Ω to \mathbb{R}_+ .
2. $\mathcal{T}(\mu_n, \mu_{\star}) \rightarrow 0$, \mathbf{P} -a.s., as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Remark. B1 implies that, for any $\xi > 0$, $\mathbf{P}[\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \mathcal{T}(\mu_n, \mu_{\star}) > \xi\}] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

[B2] (under B1) $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists unique $\mu_\vartheta \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, possibly in $\mathcal{P}_p(\mathcal{Y})$, s.t. the following occurs.

1. The function $\vartheta \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\mu_\vartheta, \mu_*)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathbb{R}_+)$.
2. $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$, the function $z^{1:n} \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta)$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y}_\vartheta^n, \mathbb{R}_+)$.
3. There exists $\tau \in [0, 1[$ such that, $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\limsup_n \mu_\vartheta^n [\{ z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}_\vartheta^n \mid \mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) > \varepsilon \}] \leq \tau.$$

4. There exist $\sigma \in [0, \tau]$ and $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that, $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall \varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_1[$,

$$\liminf_n \mu_\vartheta^n [\{ z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}_\vartheta^n \mid \mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) > \varepsilon \}] \geq \sigma.$$

Remark. 3 of B2, without specific requests on ε , is equivalent to any version of that in which upper bounds for ε are imposed. Furthermore if, $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\mu_\vartheta^n [\mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) > \varepsilon] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow +\infty$ (shortly put), then any $\tau \in [0, 1[$ satisfies 3 of B2 while only $\sigma = 0$ but any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ fulfill 4 of B2.

[B3] (under 1 and 2 of B2) There exists $\vartheta_* \in \mathcal{H}$ which minimizes $\vartheta \mapsto \mathcal{T}(\mu_\vartheta, \mu_*)$ over \mathcal{H} : symbolically,

$$\vartheta_* \in \arg \min_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{T}(\mu_{(\cdot)}, \mu_*).$$

Notations. We'll denote $\varepsilon_* \doteq \mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta_*}, \mu_*) \equiv \min_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{T}(\mu_{(\cdot)}, \mu_*) \geq 0$ and, $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{T}_\vartheta := \mathcal{T}(\mu_\vartheta, \mu_*) \geq \varepsilon_*$.

[B4] (under B3) There exist a neighborhood $U_* \subset \mathcal{H}$ of ϑ_* , a connected neighborhood $I_0 \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ of zero and a strictly increasing function $\psi: I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ all s.t., $\forall \vartheta \in U_*$,

$$\mathcal{T}_\vartheta - \varepsilon_* \leq \psi(\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(\vartheta, \vartheta_*)).$$

Notations. We'll write “for any $(y^{1:n})_n$ ” meaning to vary of $(y^{1:n}(\omega))_n \equiv (y^{1:n})_n$, with $y^{1:n}(\omega) \equiv y^{1:n}$ in \mathcal{Y}^n for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, w.r.t. a $\omega \in \Omega$. Lastly, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we'll denote by ε^- any element of $]0, \varepsilon]$.

Proposition. Under assumptions B0, B1, 1, 2 and 3 of B2 and B3, the following occurs so far as

$$\varepsilon_* < \varepsilon_0$$

for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_*[$, $(y^{1:n})_n$ with $n \equiv n_\varepsilon$ large enough and with probability \mathbf{P} going to 1 as $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

- a. $\pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon} [\mathcal{T}(\cdot) \geq \varepsilon_* + \varepsilon^-/3] \geq (1 - \tau) \pi [\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon^-/3 \leq \mathcal{T}(\cdot) \leq \varepsilon_* + \varepsilon/3]$.
- b. $\pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon} [\mathcal{H} \setminus \arg \min_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{T}(\cdot)] \geq (1 - \tau) \pi [\varepsilon_* < \mathcal{T}(\cdot) \leq \varepsilon_* + \varepsilon/3]$.
- c. Under assumption 4 of B2, let's suppose that in 3 of B0 the equality holds and that $\varepsilon_* < \varepsilon_1/2$. Then, for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_*[$ even more enough small,

$$\lambda_\varepsilon := (1 - \sigma) \pi [\mathcal{T}(\cdot) \leq \varepsilon_* + 5\varepsilon/3] + \tau \pi [\mathcal{T}(\cdot) > \varepsilon_* + 5\varepsilon/3] > 0$$

and

$$\pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon} [\mathcal{T}(\cdot) \geq \varepsilon_* + \varepsilon^-/3] \geq \frac{1 - \tau}{\lambda_\varepsilon} \pi [\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon^-/3 \leq \mathcal{T}(\cdot) \leq \varepsilon_* + \varepsilon/3].$$

- d. Under assumption B4, for any $\zeta \in I_0 \setminus \{0\}$ and $r > 0$ small enough,

$$\pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon} [\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot, \vartheta_*) \geq r] \geq \pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon} [\mathcal{T}(\cdot) \geq \varepsilon_* + \psi(\zeta)]$$

for which lower bounds of a and eventually c hold if also ζ is small enough.

Proof. First of all, by virtue of the classical Fatou's lemma (and of A0-b) it's simple to realize that, for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_\star[$ and $(y^{1:n})_n$, $\int_{\mathcal{H}} \limsup_n \mu_\vartheta^n[D_{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta) > 0$ and, for any $\zeta > 0$,

$$\liminf_n \pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon} [\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_\star + \zeta] \geq \frac{\int_{\{\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_\star + \zeta\}} \liminf_n \mu_\vartheta^n[D_{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta)}{\int_{\mathcal{H}} \limsup_n \mu_\vartheta^n[D_{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta)}.$$

a. Since $\int_{\mathcal{H}} \limsup_n \mu_\vartheta^n[D_{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta) \leq 1$, the thesis will be obtained once has been demonstrated that, for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_\star[$, $\zeta \in]0, \varepsilon/3]$ and \mathbf{P} -a.a. $(y^{1:n})_n$ with $n \equiv n_\varepsilon$ large enough to have $\mathcal{T}(\mu_n, \mu_\star) \leq \varepsilon/3$,

$$\int_{\{\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_\star + \zeta\}} \liminf_n \mu_\vartheta^n[D_{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta) \geq (1 - \tau) \pi[\varepsilon_\star + \zeta \leq \mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \leq \varepsilon_\star + \varepsilon/3].$$

Indeed B0 applies and, thanks to the triangle inequality which holds for \mathcal{T} , it's easy to verify that, $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\forall y^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$, $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall \varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_\star[$, if $\mathcal{T}_\vartheta \leq \varepsilon_\star + \varepsilon/3$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mu_n, \mu_\star) \leq \varepsilon/3$, then

$$\{z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}_\vartheta^n \mid \mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) \leq \varepsilon/3\} \subset \{z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}_\vartheta^n \mid \mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_n) \leq \varepsilon_\star + \varepsilon\}$$

and thus

$$\int_{\{\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_\star + \zeta\}} \liminf_n \mu_\vartheta^n[D_{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta) \geq \int_{\{\varepsilon_\star + \zeta \leq \mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \leq \varepsilon_\star + \varepsilon/3\}} \liminf_n \mu_\vartheta^n[\mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) \leq \varepsilon/3] \pi(d\vartheta)$$

from which we conclude considering that by 3 of B2, $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\liminf_n \mu_\vartheta^n[\mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) \leq \varepsilon/3] \equiv 1 - \limsup_n \mu_\vartheta^n[\mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) > \varepsilon/3] \geq 1 - \tau.$$

b. That's a corollary of the previous result: for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_\star[$ and $(y^{1:n})_n$ with $n \equiv n_\varepsilon$ large enough,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon} [\mathcal{H} \setminus \arg \min_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)}] &\equiv \pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon} [\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} > \varepsilon_\star] \\ &= \pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon} [\bigcup_{\zeta < 0} \{\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_\star + \zeta\}] \\ &= \sup_{0 < \zeta \leq \varepsilon/3} \pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon} [\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_\star + \zeta] \\ &\geq (1 - \tau) \sup_{0 < \zeta \leq \varepsilon/3} \pi[\varepsilon_\star + \zeta \leq \mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \leq \varepsilon_\star + \varepsilon/3] \\ &= (1 - \tau) \pi[\varepsilon_\star < \mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \leq \varepsilon_\star + \varepsilon/3]. \end{aligned}$$

c. It would be sufficient to show that, for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_\star[$ even more enough small and \mathbf{P} -a.a. $(y^{1:n})_n$,

$$\int_{\mathcal{H}} \limsup_n \mu_\vartheta^n[D_{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta) \leq \lambda_\varepsilon \equiv (1 - \sigma) \pi[\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \leq \varepsilon_\star + 5\varepsilon/3] + \tau \pi[\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} > \varepsilon_\star + 5\varepsilon/3]$$

(bearing in mind the procedure of the first proof). Indeed, thanks again to the subadditivity of \mathcal{T} , $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\forall y^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$, $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall \varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_\star[$, if $\mathcal{T}_\vartheta > \varepsilon_\star + 5\varepsilon/3$ and $\mathcal{T}(\mu_n, \mu_\star) \leq \varepsilon/3$, then

$$\{z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}_\vartheta^n \mid \mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_n) \leq \varepsilon_\star + \varepsilon\} \subset \{z^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}_\vartheta^n \mid \varepsilon/3 < \mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) \leq \mathcal{T}_\vartheta + \varepsilon_\star + 4\varepsilon/3\}$$

so, using the hypothesis $\mu_\vartheta^n[D_{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon}^n] = \mu_\vartheta^n[\mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_n) \leq \varepsilon_\star + \varepsilon]$ (i.e. \leq), and breaking the integral,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{H}} \limsup_n \mu_\vartheta^n[D_{\varepsilon_\star+\varepsilon}^n] \pi(d\vartheta) &\leq \int_{\{\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \leq \varepsilon_\star + 5\varepsilon/3\}} \limsup_n \mu_\vartheta^n[\mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) \leq 2\varepsilon_\star + 3\varepsilon] \pi(d\vartheta) \\ &\quad + \int_{\{\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} > \varepsilon_\star + 5\varepsilon/3\}} \limsup_n \mu_\vartheta^n[\mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) > \varepsilon/3] \pi(d\vartheta) \end{aligned}$$

and finally, by 4 of B2, $\forall \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall \varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_\star[$ small enough to have also $2\varepsilon_\star + 3\varepsilon < \varepsilon_1$,

$$\limsup_n \mu_\vartheta^n[\mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) \leq 2\varepsilon_\star + 3\varepsilon] \equiv 1 - \liminf_n \mu_\vartheta^n[\mathcal{T}(\mu_{\vartheta,n}, \mu_\vartheta) > 2\varepsilon_\star + 3\varepsilon] \leq 1 - \sigma.$$

d. Let's fix any $r > 0$ small enough to have $r \leq \zeta$ and $\{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot, \vartheta_*) < r\} \subseteq U_*$. Then B4 guarantees that there exists $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\pi[\mathcal{N}] = 0$ such that, for any $\vartheta \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{N}$ with $\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(\vartheta, \vartheta_*) < r$,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\vartheta} - \varepsilon_* \leq \psi(\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(\vartheta, \vartheta_*)) < \psi(r) \leq \psi(\zeta)$$

and thus $\{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot, \vartheta_*) < r\} \setminus \mathcal{N} \subset \{\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} < \varepsilon_* + \psi(\zeta)\}$ or, equivalently,

$$\{\rho_{\mathcal{H}}(\cdot, \vartheta_*) \geq r\} \cup \mathcal{N} \supset \{\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_* + \psi(\zeta)\}$$

hence the thesis ($\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall y^{1:n} \in \mathcal{Y}^n$ and $\forall \varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_*[$, $\pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon}[\mathcal{N}] = 0$ as well). \square

Remark. Let's discuss how a condition consistent with A2 as the following could interact.

[A2'] (under A1) There exist $\delta, \varepsilon' \in]0, +\infty[$ and $g \in L^1(\pi)$ with $g \geq \delta$ [π] all s.t., $\tilde{\forall} \vartheta \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\forall (z^{1:n})_n$ with $z^{1:n} \in D_{\varepsilon'}^n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\delta \leq \liminf_n f_{\vartheta}^n(z^{1:n}) \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_n f_{\vartheta}^n(z^{1:n}) \leq g(\vartheta).$$

Proposition. Under assumptions B0, B1, 1 and 2 of B2, B3, A1 and A2', the following occurs so far as $\varepsilon_* < \varepsilon_0 \wedge \varepsilon'$ and for any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 \wedge \varepsilon' - \varepsilon_*[$ and \mathbf{P} -a.a. $(y^{1:n})_n$.

- a. For any $\zeta > 0$, $\pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon}[\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_* + \zeta] \geq \frac{\delta}{\|g\|_1} \pi[\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_* + \zeta]$.
- b. $\pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon}[\mathcal{H} \setminus \arg \min_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)}] \geq \frac{\delta}{\|g\|_1} \pi[\mathcal{H} \setminus \arg \min_{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)}]$.

Proof. For any $\varepsilon \in]0, \varepsilon_0 \wedge \varepsilon' - \varepsilon_*[$, \mathbf{P} -a.a. $(y^{1:n})_n$ and $\zeta > 0$,

$$\pi_{y^{1:n}}^{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon}[\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_* + \zeta] = \frac{\int_{\mathcal{H}} \int_{\{\mathcal{T}_{(\cdot)} \geq \varepsilon_* + \zeta\}} \pi(d\vartheta) \int_{D_{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon}^n} f_{\vartheta}^n(z^{1:n}) dz^{1:n}}{\int_{\mathcal{H}} \pi(d\vartheta') \int_{D_{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon}^n} f_{\vartheta'}^n(\bar{z}^{1:n}) d\bar{z}^{1:n}}.$$

- a. We use directly A2' provided we reduce further ε (note the cancellation of both the terms $m[D_{\varepsilon_* + \varepsilon}^n]$).
- b. That's an elementary consequence of the above result in a way already seen previously. \square

Starting reference

- [1] E. Bernton, P. E. Jacob, M. Gerber, C. P. Robert. *Approximate Bayesian computation with the Wasserstein distance*. J. R. Statist. Soc. B (2019). 81, Part 2, pp. 235–269.