

# Flexible Modelling of Diel and Other Periodic Variation in Hidden Markov Models

Marco Zanotti

University Milano-Bicocca



# Contents

1. Hidden Markov Model
2. Modelling Periodic Variations
3. Model Estimation
4. Conclusions

# 1. Hidden Markov Model


# Motivation



- Understanding key patterns of animal movement
- Investigating drivers of animal movement and behavior

# HMM

**Hidden Markov Models (HMMs)** are statistical models for time series data involving two stochastic processes:



1. the observation process  $x_1, \dots, x_T$ , the time series
2. the latent state process  $S_1, \dots, S_T$ , not observed

# HMM

In the basic framework of HMM

- ▶  $X_t$  is the state-dependent process
- ▶ each observation  $x_t$  is assumed to be generated by one of  $N$  possible distributions  $f_j(x)$
- ▶ the latent state process  $S_t$  selects which distributions is active at any time
- ▶  $S_t$  is assumed to be a Markov process with  $N$  states

# Markov Property

Being  $S_t$  a Markov process, it satisfies the **Markov property**

$$f(S_t | S_1, \dots, S_{t-1}) = f(S_t | S_{t-1})$$

that is, the state at time  $t$  depends only on the state at time  $t - 1$ .  
Moreover, the Markov property implies that  $S_t$  is fully characterized by:

- ▶  $\delta_j^{(1)} = P(S_1 = j)$ , the initial state probabilities
- ▶  $\Gamma^{(t)} = [\gamma_{ij}^{(t)}]$ , the transition probability matrix, where
$$\gamma_{ij}^{(t)} = P(S_t = j | S_{t-1} = i)$$

## 2. Modelling Periodic Variations



## Problem: Periodicity

In many real applications, time series data are often characterized by **periodicities**, such as diel variations (recurrent patterns over a 24 hours period).


Ignoring the periodicity of the data can **invalidate inference**, for instance the standard errors might be underestimated due to autocorrelation.

Moreover, it may be of interest, instead, to model such periodic variations to comprehensively **understand the process dynamics**, for instance some intra-day behavioral patterns.

Periodic variations can be effectively modeled within the framework of HMM by including **temporal covariates** in the model.

## HMM with Covariates

Incorporating covariates in HMM can be done in the **state-transition probabilities**.



Expressing state transition probabilities as function of covariates to infer how state switching depends on external factors.

## HMM with Covariates

The covariance-dependence on the categorical distribution of states at time  $t$  is typically modeled using a **multinomial logistic regression**, which is achieved by applying the inverse multinomial logit link to each row of the transition probability matrix

$$\gamma_{i1}^{(t)} = \text{logit}^{-1}(\tau_{ij}^{(t)}) = \frac{e^{\tau_{ij}^{(t)}}}{\sum_{k=1}^N e^{\tau_{ik}^{(t)}}}$$

and the general form of the linear predictor is given by

$$\tau_{ij}^{(t)} = z_t' \beta^{(ij)} = \beta_0^{(ij)} + \beta_1^{(ij)} z_{t1} + \dots + \beta_p^{(ij)} z_{tp} \quad (1)$$

# HMM with Seasonality

A special type of covariate is **seasonality**, that is a variation that repeats over a specif time period, e.g. within-day or within-year.

Modelling this type of periodicity can be achieved by using:

- ▶ calendar features (e.g. time of the day)
- ▶ **trigonometric functions**, with period equal to the cycle length
- ▶ **cyclic splines**

## Trigonometric Modelling

The linear predictor (1) can be extended by including **trigonometric functions** with the desired periodicity.

$$\tau_{ij}^{(t)} = z'_t \beta^{(ij)} + \sum_{k=1}^K \omega_k^{(ij)} \sin\left(\frac{2\pi kt}{l}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^K \psi_k^{(ij)} \cos\left(\frac{2\pi kt}{l}\right)$$

where  $l$  is the period length (i.e. number of sequential observations to complete a cycle).

The flexibility of the model increases with  $K$ , the number of periodic components.

## Cyclic Splines Modelling

To avoid making any assumption on the functional form of the periodic effect, a **nonparametric** modelling of the periodic effect can be obtained replacing trigonometric functions with **splines**.

$$\tau_{ij}^{(t)} = z_t' \beta^{(ij)} + \sum_{q=1}^Q a_q^{(ij)} B_q$$

where  $a_q^{(ij)}$  are the scaling coefficients and  $B_q$  are basis splines wrapped at the desired periodicity.

A large value of  $Q$  is used to guarantee sufficient flexibility and overfitting is avoided by including a penalty term (P-spline approach).

### 3. Model Estimation

# EM Algorithm

The model is estimated using the **Expectation-Maximization (EM)** algorithm.

The missing data are taken to be the hidden states and the complete-data log-likelihood for the HMM is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_c(\theta) = \log \delta_{S_1}^{(1)} + \sum_{t=2}^T \log \gamma_{S_{t-1}, S_t}^{(1)} + \sum_{t=1}^T \log f_{S_t}(x_t)$$

where  $\theta$  is the set of parameters necessary to define  $\delta_j^{(1)}$ ,  $\Gamma^{(t)}$  and the state-dependent distributions  $f_j(x)$ .



# EM Algorithm

The parameter estimates are derived:

- ▶ Choosing **starting values** for the parameter set  $\theta$  necessary to define state-dependent distributions and transition probabilities
- ▶ **E-step** computes conditional expectations of missing data (i.e. the latent states), given the data and current parameter values
- ▶ **M-step** updates parameters based on the CDLL, replacing the functions of the states by their conditional expectations calculated in the E-step

The E-M steps are repeated until convergence.

## 4. Conclusions

# Conclusions

A particular class of HMM to model periodic variations is introduced that:

- ▶ makes use of splines instead of trigonometric functions
- ▶ increases flexibility, which might be helpful to reveal interesting patterns
- ▶ applications show that using sine and cosine waves is often enough

# Bibliografy

*Carlina C. Feldmann, Sina Mews, Angelica Coculla, Ralf Stanewsky & Roland Langrock (2023), 'Flexible Modelling of Diel and Other Periodic Variation in Hidden Markov Models', Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice, 17:45.*

Thank you!