Research Review
The effects of exchange rate regimes on economic growth: evidence from propensity score matching estimates.

Marco Zanotti
University of Milano Bicocca

October 24, 2023

Abstract

After a brief summary, this review points out the main advantages and the main drawbacks of the research.

Keywords: propensity score matching, time series, exchange rate regimes, economic growth

1 Summary

The paper focuses on the impact of different exchange rate regimes on economic growth and employs a variety of non-parametric matching methods to address potential biases in previous research. The key points and findings of the paper can be summarized as follows:

1. Background: The paper discusses the ongoing debate in the macroeconomic literature about how different exchange rate regimes affect economic growth. Previous studies using linear regression models have provided mixed results, possibly due to self-selection bias and other issues.

2. Methodology: The paper introduces a new approach using matching techniques to estimate the Average Treatment Effect (ATT) of exchange rate regimes on economic growth. These methods aim to address self-selection bias and other potential sources of bias.

3. Data: The study uses a comprehensive dataset covering 164 countries from 1970 to 2007, considering the period after the collapse of the Bretton Woods fixed exchange rate system. The key variables include exchange rate regimes (fixed or floating), economic growth rates, and several control variables like gross capital formation rate, trade as a share of GDP, industrialization rate, inflation rate, exports and imports as a share of GDP, and foreign direct investment as a share of GDP.

4. Propensity Score Matching: The paper employs propensity score matching to estimate the effect of exchange rate regimes on economic growth. This approach involves creating a probability score for each country to determine the likelihood of choosing a floating or fixed exchange rate regime based on observable covariates.

5. Matching Methods: The study uses various matching methods, including nearest-neighbor matching, radius matching, kernel matching, and local linear matching, to find suitable matches between countries with different exchange rate regimes.

6. Common Support: The research ensures that there is good overlap (common support) between the control group (fixed exchange rate regime) and the treatment group (floating exchange rate regime). This common support ensures that observed characteristics of treatment group countries can also be found among control group countries.

7. Findings: The results of all matching methods consistently indicate that the average treatment effect of floating exchange rate regimes on economic growth is statistically insignificant. In other words, the study finds no evidence to suggest that adopting a floating exchange rate regime leads to higher economic growth compared to a fixed exchange rate regime.

8. Robustness Analysis: The paper conducts a robustness analysis using Rosenbaum's bounds to account for potential hidden biases. The results remain strong and robust, supporting the conclusion that exchange rate regime choice does not significantly impact economic growth.

In summary, this statistical paper employs innovative matching techniques to examine the effect of exchange rate regimes on economic growth, finding that there is no statistically significant difference in economic growth between countries with floating and fixed exchange rate regimes.

2 Main Advantages

1. Innovative Methodology: One of the significant advantages of the paper is its innovative approach to examining the impact of exchange rate regimes on economic growth. By using propensity score matching and a variety of matching techniques, the paper addresses potential biases that may have affected previous research.

2. Comprehensive Data: The use of a comprehensive dataset covering 164 countries over a substantial time period provides a robust foundation for the analysis. The inclusion of various control variables adds depth to the analysis and helps control for potential confounding factors.

3. Robustness Analysis: The paper conducts a robustness analysis using Rosenbaum's bounds to assess the influence of hidden biases. This approach adds credibility to the findings and strengthens the conclusion that exchange rate regimes do not significantly affect economic growth.

4. Consistency in Results: The paper reports consistent results across different matching methods, enhancing the reliability of the findings. The fact that various techniques yield similar conclusions adds to the paper's credibility.

3 Main Drawbacks

1. Data Limitations: While the paper utilizes a comprehensive dataset, economic data can be subject to various limitations, such as measurement errors and reporting biases. It's important to acknowledge the potential limitations of the data source and discuss their implications.

2. Assumption of Common Support: The paper relies on the assumption of common support between control and treatment groups. While this is a crucial assumption in propensity score matching, the paper should provide a robustness analysis to explore the sensitivity of results to variations in this assumption.

3. Limited Causality Exploration: The paper primarily focuses on estimating the association between exchange rate regimes and economic growth. It may benefit from discussing potential mechanisms or channels through which exchange rate regimes might affect growth, providing more insights into the economic theory behind the findings.

4. Extrapolation of Findings: The paper concludes that there is no significant impact of exchange rate regimes on economic growth. However, it's essential to recognize that the findings may not apply universally across all time periods, countries, or economic contexts. Some discussion of the potential limitations of generalizing these findings could enhance the paper's completeness.

5. Policy Implications: The paper could benefit from a discussion of the policy implications of its findings. While it suggests that exchange rate regimes do not significantly affect growth, policymakers might still be interested in understanding the broader economic implications of different exchange rate policies.

4 Conclusion

In conclusion, the paper introduces an innovative methodology and uses a comprehensive dataset to examine the impact of exchange rate regimes on economic growth. However, it is essential to acknowledge data limitations, assumptions made in the analysis, and potential generalizability issues. Overall, the paper makes a valuable contribution to the empirical literature on exchange rate regimes and economic growth but should be interpreted within the context of its limitations.