

# Scattering of Photons

Marcus Allen Denslow

2026-01-14

# Contents

Chapter 1	Scattering of Photons	Page 2
1.1	Scattering of Photons	2
1.2	Resonant Scattering	6
1.3	Elastic Scattering	6
1.4	Rayleigh Scattering	8

# Chapter 1

## Scattering of Photons

### 1.1 Scattering of Photons

In the scattering of photons, for example from an atom, an initial state photon with wave-number  $\vec{k}$  and polarization  $\hat{\epsilon}$  is absorbed by the atom and a final state photon with wave-number  $\vec{k}'$  and polarization  $\hat{\epsilon}'$  is emitted. The atom may remain in the same state (elastic scattering) or it may change to another state (inelastic). Any calculation we will do will use the matrix element of the interaction Hamiltonian between initial and final states.

$$\mathbf{H}_{ni} = \left\langle n; \vec{k}' \hat{\epsilon}'^{(\alpha')} \left| \mathbf{H}_{int} \right| i; \vec{k} \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha)} \right\rangle$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{int} = -\frac{e}{mc} \vec{A}(x) \cdot \vec{p} + \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{A}.$$

The scattering process clearly requires terms in  $\mathbf{H}_{int}$  that annihilate one photon and create another. The order does not matter. The  $\frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{A}$  is the square of the Fourier decomposition of the radiation field so it contains terms like  $a_{k',\alpha'}^\dagger a_{k,\alpha}$ , which are just what we want. The  $-\frac{e}{mc} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}$  term has both creation and annihilation operators in it but not products of them. It changes the number of photons by plus or minus one, not by zero as required for the scattering process. Nevertheless this part of the interaction could contribute in second order perturbation theory, by absorbing one photon in a transition from the initial atomic state to an intermediate state, then emitting another photon and making a transition to the final atomic state. While this is higher order in perturbation theory, it is the same order in the electromagnetic coupling constant  $e$ , which is what really counts when expanding in powers of  $\alpha$ . Therefore, we will need to consider the  $\frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{A}$  term in first order and the  $-\frac{e}{mc} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p}$  term in the second order perturbation theory to get an order  $\alpha$  calculation of the matrix element. Start with the first order perturbation theory term. All the terms in the sum that do not annihilate the initial state photon and create the final state photon give zero. We will assume that the wavelength of the photon's is long compared to the size of the atom so that  $e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \approx 1$

$$A_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{k\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^2}{2\omega}} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha)} \left( a_{k,\alpha}(0) e^{ik_p x_p} + a_{k,\alpha}^\dagger(0) e^{-ik_p x_p} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \left\langle n; \vec{k}' \hat{\epsilon}'^{(\alpha')} \left| \vec{A} \cdot \vec{A} \right| i; \vec{k} \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha)} \right\rangle &= \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \frac{1}{V} \frac{\hbar c^2}{2\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha)} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha')} \left\langle n; \vec{k}' \hat{\epsilon}'^{(\alpha')} \left| \left( a_{k,\alpha} a_{k',\alpha'}^\dagger + a_{k',\alpha'}^\dagger a_{k,\alpha} \right) e^{i(k_p - k'_p)x_p} \right| i; \vec{k} \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha)} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \frac{1}{V} \frac{\hbar c^2}{2\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha)} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha')} e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} \left\langle n; \vec{k}' \hat{\epsilon}'^{(\alpha')} \left| 2 \right| i; \vec{k} \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha)} \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \frac{1}{V} \frac{\hbar c^2}{2\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha)} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha')} e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} 2 \langle n | i \rangle \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \frac{1}{V} \frac{\hbar c^2}{\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha)} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha')} e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} \delta_{ni}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the matrix element  $\mathbf{H}_{ni}(t)$ . The amplitude to be in the final state  $|n; \vec{k}' \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha')}\rangle$  is given by first order time dependent perturbation theory.

$$\begin{aligned} c_n^{(1)}(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \int_0^t e^{i\omega_{ni}t'} \mathbf{H}_{ni}(t') dt' \\ c_{n; \vec{k}' \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha')}}^{(1)}(t) &= \frac{1}{i\hbar} \frac{e^2}{2mc^2} \frac{1}{V} \frac{\hbar c^2}{\sqrt{\omega' \omega}} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha)} \epsilon_\mu^{(\alpha')} \delta_{ni} \int_0^t e^{i\omega_{ni}t'} e^{-i(\omega - \omega')t} dt' \\ &= \frac{e^2}{2imV\sqrt{\omega' \omega}} \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha')} \delta_{ni} \int_0^t e^{i(\omega_{ni} + \omega' - \omega)t'} dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that the absolute square of the time integral will turn into  $2\pi t \delta(\omega_{ni} + \omega' - \omega)$ . We will carry along the integral for now, since we are not yet ready to square it.

Now we very carefully put the interaction term into the formula for second order time dependent perturbation theory, again using  $e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x}} \approx 1$ . Our notation is that the intermediate state of atom and field is called  $|I\rangle = |j, n_{\vec{k}, \alpha}, n_{\vec{k}', \alpha'}\rangle$  where  $j$  represents the state of the atom and we may have zero or two photons, as indicated in the diagram.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &= -\frac{e}{mc} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} \equiv -\frac{e}{mc} \frac{1}{\sqrt{V}} \sum_{\vec{k}\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^2}{2\omega}} \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \vec{p} \left( a_{\vec{k}, \alpha} e^{-i\omega t} + a_{\vec{k}, \alpha}^\dagger e^{i\omega t} \right) \\ c_n^{(2)}(t) &= -\frac{1}{\hbar^2} \sum_{j, \vec{k}, \alpha} \int_0^t dt_2 \mathcal{V}_{nI}(t_2) e^{i\omega_{nj}t_2} \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 e^{i\omega_{ji}t_1} \mathcal{V}_{Ii}(t_1) \\ c_{n; \vec{k}' \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha')}}^{(2)}(t) &= -\frac{e^2}{m^2 c^2 \hbar^2} \sum_I \frac{1}{V} \frac{\hbar c^2}{2\sqrt{\omega' \omega}} \int_0^t dt_2 \langle n; \vec{k}' \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha')} | \left( \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha)} a_{\vec{k}, \alpha} e^{-i\omega t_2} + \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha')} a_{\vec{k}', \alpha'}^\dagger e^{i\omega' t_2} \right) \cdot \vec{p} | I \rangle e^{i\omega_{nj}t_2} \\ &\quad \times \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 e^{i\omega_{ji}t_1} \langle I | \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha)} a_{\vec{k}, \alpha} e^{-i\omega t_1} + \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha')} a_{\vec{k}', \alpha'}^\dagger e^{i\omega' t_1} \cdot \vec{p} | i; \vec{k} \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha)} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We can understand this formula as a second order transition from state  $|i\rangle$  to state  $|n\rangle$  through all possible intermediate states. The transition from the initial state to the intermediate state takes place at time  $t_1$ . The transition from the intermediate state to the final state takes place at time  $t_2$ .

The space-time diagram below shows the three terms in  $c_n(t)$ . Time is assumed to run upwards in the diagrams.

Diagram (c) represents the  $A^2$  term in which one photon is absorbed and one emitted at the same point. Diagrams (a) and (b) represent two second order terms. In diagram (a) the initial state photon is absorbed at time  $t_1$ , leaving the atom in an intermediate state which may or may not be the same as the initial (or final) atomic state. This intermediate state has no photons in the field. In diagram (b), the atom emits the final state photon at time  $t_1$ , leaving the atom in some intermediate state. The intermediate state  $|I\rangle$  includes two photons in the field for this diagram. At time  $t_2$  the atom absorbs the initial state photon.

Looking again at the formula for the second order scattering amplitude, note that we integrate over the times  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  and that  $t_1 < t_2$ . For diagram (a), the annihilation operator  $a_{\vec{k}, \alpha}$  is active at time  $t_1$  and the creation operator is active at time  $t_2$ . For diagram (b) it's just the opposite. The second order formula above contains four terms as written. The  $a^\dagger a$  and  $aa^\dagger$  terms are the ones described by the diagram. The  $aa$  and  $a^\dagger a^\dagger$  terms will clearly give zero. Note that we are just picking the terms that will survive the calculation, not changing any formulas. Now, reduce to the two nonzero terms. The operators give a factor of 1 and make the photon states work out. If  $|j\rangle$  is the intermediate atomic state, the second order term reduces to.

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{n;\vec{k}'\hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha')}}^{(2)} &= -\frac{e^2}{2Vm^2\hbar\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \sum_j \int_0^t dt_2 \int_0^{t_2} dt_1 \left[ e^{i(\omega'+\omega_{nj})t_2} \langle n | \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha')} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle e^{i(\omega_{ji}-\omega)t_1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^{i(\omega_{nj}-\omega)t_2} \langle n | \hat{\epsilon}^{(\alpha)} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle e^{i(\omega'+\omega_{ji})t_1} \right] \\
c_{n;\vec{k}'\hat{\epsilon}'}^{(2)}(t) &= -\frac{e^2}{2Vm^2\hbar\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \sum_j \int_0^t dt_2 \left[ e^{i(\omega'+\omega_{nj})t_2} \langle n | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle \left[ \frac{e^{i(\omega_{nj}-\omega)t_1}}{i(\omega_{ji}-\omega)} \right]_0^{t_2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^{i(\omega_{nj}-\omega)t_2} \langle n | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle \left[ \frac{e^{i(\omega'+\omega_{ji})t_1}}{i(\omega'+\omega_{ji})} \right]_0^{t_2} \right] \\
c_{n;\vec{k}'\hat{\epsilon}'}^{(2)}(t) &= -\frac{e^2}{2Vm^2\hbar\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \sum_j \int_0^t dt_2 \left[ e^{i(\omega'+\omega_{nj})t_2} \langle n | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \left[ \frac{e^{i(\omega_{ji}-\omega)t_2} - 1}{i(\omega_{ji}-\omega)} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. e^{i(\omega_{nj}-\omega)t_2} \langle n | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle \left[ \frac{e^{i(\omega'+\omega_{ji})t_2} - 1}{i(\omega'+\omega_{ji})} \right] \right].
\end{aligned}$$

The  $-1$  terms coming from the integration over  $t_1$  can be dropped. We can anticipate that the integral over  $t_2$  will eventually give us a delta function of energy conservation, going to infinity when energy is conserved and going to zero when it is not. Those  $-1$  terms can never go to infinity and can therefore be neglected. When the energy conservation is satisfied, those terms are negligible and when it is not, the whole thing goes to zero.

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{n;\vec{k}'\hat{\epsilon}'}^{(2)}(t) &= -\frac{e^2}{2Vm^2\hbar\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \sum_j \int_0^t dt_2 \left[ e^{i(\omega_{ni}+\omega'-\omega)t_2} \langle n | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle \left[ \frac{1}{i(\omega_{ji}-\omega)} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + e^{i(\omega_{ni}+\omega'-\omega)t_2} \langle n | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle \left[ \frac{1}{i(\omega'+\omega_{ji})} \right] \right] \\
c_{n;\vec{k}'\hat{\epsilon}'}^{(2)}(t) &= -\frac{e^2}{2iVm^2\hbar\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji}-\omega} + \frac{\langle n | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega'+\omega_{ji}} \right] \\
&\quad \times \int_0^t dt_2 e^{i(\omega_{ni}+\omega'-\omega)t_2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We have calculated all the amplitudes. The first order and second order amplitudes should be combined,

then squared.

$$\begin{aligned}
c_n(t) &= c_n^{(1)}(t) + c_n^{(2)}(t) \\
c_{n;\vec{k}'\hat{e}'}^{(1)}(t) &= \frac{e^2}{2iVm\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \hat{e} \cdot \hat{e}' \delta_{ni} \int_0^t e^{i(\omega_{ni}+\omega'-\omega)t'} dt' \\
c_{n;\vec{k}'\hat{e}'}^{(2)}(t) &= -\frac{e^2}{2iVm^2\hbar\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega' + \omega_{ji}} \right] \int_0^t dt_2 e^{i(\omega_{ni}+\omega'-\omega)t_2} \\
c_{n;\vec{k}'\hat{e}'}(t) &= \left( \delta_{ni} \hat{e} \cdot \hat{e}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega' + \omega_{ji}} \right] \right) \\
&\quad \times \frac{e^2}{2iVm\sqrt{\omega'\omega}} \int_0^t dt_2 e^{i(\omega_{ni}+\omega'-\omega)t_2} \\
|c(t)|^2 &= \left| \delta_{ni} \hat{e} \cdot \hat{e}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega' + \omega_{ji}} \right] \right|^2 \\
&\quad \times \frac{e^4}{4V^2m^2\omega'\omega} \left| \int_0^t dt_2 e^{i(\omega_{ni}+\omega'-\omega)t_2} \right|^2 \\
|c(t)|^2 &= \left| \delta_{ni} \hat{e} \cdot \hat{e}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega' + \omega_{ji}} \right] \right|^2 \\
&\quad \times \frac{e^4}{4V^2m^2\omega'\omega} 2\pi t \delta(\omega_{ni} + \omega' - \omega) \\
\Gamma &= \int \frac{Vd^3k'}{(2\pi)^3} \left| \delta_{ni} \hat{e} \cdot \hat{e}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega' + \omega_{ji}} \right] \right|^2 \\
&\quad \times \frac{e^4}{4V^2m^2\omega'\omega} 2\pi \delta(\omega_{ni} + \omega' - \omega) \\
\Gamma &= \int \frac{V\omega'^2 d\omega' d\Omega}{(2\pi)^3} \left| \delta_{ni} \hat{e} \cdot \hat{e}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega' + \omega_{ji}} \right] \right|^2 \\
&\quad \times \frac{e^4}{4V^2m^2\omega'\omega} 2\pi \delta(\omega_{ni} + \omega' - \omega) \\
\Gamma &= \int d\Omega \left| \delta_{ni} \hat{e} \cdot \hat{e}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega' + \omega_{ji}} \right] \right|^2 \\
&\quad \times \frac{V\omega'^2}{(2\pi c)^3} \frac{e^4}{4V^2m^2\omega'\omega} 2\pi \\
\frac{d\Gamma}{d\Omega} &= \frac{e^4\omega'}{(4\pi)^2 V m^2 c^3 \omega} \left| \delta_{ni} \hat{e} \cdot \hat{e}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n|\hat{e} \cdot \vec{p}|j\rangle \langle j|\hat{e}' \cdot \vec{p}|i\rangle}{\omega' + \omega_{ji}} \right] \right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that the delta function has enforced energy conservation requiring that  $\omega' = \omega - \omega_{ni}$ , but we have left  $\omega'$  in the formula for convenience.

The final step to a differential cross section is to divide the transition rate by the incident flux of particles. This is a surprisingly easy step because we are using plane waves of photons. The initial state is one particle in

the volume  $V$  moving with velocity of  $c$ , so the flux is simply  $\frac{c}{V}$ .

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{e^4 \omega'}{(4\pi)^2 m^2 c^4 \omega} \left| \delta_{ni} \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega' + \omega_{ji}} \right] \right|^2 \quad (1.1)$$

The classical radius of the electron is defined to be  $r_0 = \frac{e^2}{4\pi m c^2}$  in our units. We will factor the square of this out but leave the answer in terms of fundamental constants.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi m c^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\omega'}{\omega} \right) \left| \delta_{ni} \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} + \omega'} \right] \right|^2 \quad (1.2)$$

This is called the Kramers-Heisenberg Formula. Even now, the three (space-time) Feynman diagrams are visible as separate terms in the formula.

(They show up like  $\left| c + \sum_j (a + b) \right|^2$  Note that, for the very short time that the system is in an intermediate state, energy conservation is not strictly enforced. The energy denominators in the formula suppress larger energy non-conservation. The formula can be applied to several physical situations as discussed below.

Also note that the formula yields an infinite result if  $\omega = \pm \omega_{ji}$ . This is not a physical result. In fact the cross section will be large but not infinite when energy is conserved in the intermediate state. This condition is often referred to as 'the intermediate state being on the mass shell' because of the relation between energy and mass in four dimensions

## 1.2 Resonant Scattering

The Kramers-Heisenberg photon scattering cross section, below, has unphysical infinities if an intermediate state is on the mass shell.

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi m c^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\omega'}{\omega} \right) \left| \delta_{ni} \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle n | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle n | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} + \omega'} \right] \right|^2 \quad (1.3)$$

In reality, the cross section becomes large but not infinite. These infinities come about because we have not properly accounted for the finite lifetime of the intermediate state when we derived the second order perturbation theory formula. If the energy width of the intermediate states is included in the calculation, as we will attempt below, the cross section is large but not infinite.

The resonance in the cross section will exhibit the same shape and width as does the intermediate state. These resonances in the cross section can dominate scattering. Again both resonant terms in the cross section, occur if an intermediate state has the right energy so that the energy is conserved.

## 1.3 Elastic Scattering

In elastic scattering, the initial and final atomic states are the same, as are the initial and final photon energies.

$$\frac{d\sigma_{elastic}}{d\Omega} = \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi m c^2} \right)^2 \left| \delta_{ii} \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}' - \frac{1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle i | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle i | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} + \omega} \right] \right|^2 \quad (1.4)$$

The commutator  $[\vec{x}, \vec{p}]$  (with no dot products) can be very useful in calculations. When the two vectors are multiplied directly, we get something with two Cartesian indices.

$$x_i p_j - p_j x_i = i\hbar \delta_{ij} \quad (1.5)$$

The commutator of the vectors is  $i\hbar$  times the identity. This can be used to cast the first term above into something like the other two.

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i p_j - p_j x_i &= i\hbar \delta_{ij} \\
\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}' &= \hat{e}_i \hat{e}'_j \delta_{ij} \\
i\hbar \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}' &= \hat{e}_i \hat{e}'_j (x_i p_j - p_j x_i) \\
&= (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{x}}) (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \mathbf{p}) - (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}}) (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{x}}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we need to put the states in using an identity, then use the commutator with  $\mathbf{H}$  to change  $\vec{\mathbf{x}}$  to  $\vec{\mathbf{p}}$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
1 &= \sum_j \langle i|j \rangle \langle j|i \rangle \\
i\hbar \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}' &= \sum_j \left[ (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{x}})_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ji} - (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{x}})_{ji} \right] \\
[\mathbf{H}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}] &= \frac{\hbar}{im} \vec{\mathbf{p}} \\
\frac{\hbar}{im} (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} &= (\hat{\mathbf{e}} [\mathbf{H}, \vec{\mathbf{x}}])_{ij} \\
&= \hbar \omega_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} \\
(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{x}})_{ij} &= -\frac{i}{m\omega_{ij}} (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} \\
i\hbar \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}' &= \sum_j \left[ \frac{-i}{m\omega_{ij}} (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ji} - \frac{-i}{m\omega_{ji}} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ji} \right] \\
&= \sum_j \left[ \frac{-i}{m\omega_{ij}} (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ji} + \frac{-i}{m\omega_{ij}} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ji} \right] \\
&= \sum_j \frac{-i}{m\omega_{ij}} \left[ (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ji} + (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ji} \right] \\
\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}' &= \frac{-1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \frac{1}{\omega_{ij}} \left[ (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ji} + (\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} (\hat{\mathbf{e}}' \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ji} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

(Reminder:  $\omega_{ij} = \frac{E_i - E_j}{\hbar}$  is just a number.  $(\hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}})_{ij} = \langle i | \hat{\mathbf{e}} \cdot \vec{\mathbf{p}} | j \rangle$  is a matrix element between states.)

We may now combine the terms for elastic scattering.



$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d\sigma_{elas}}{d\Omega} &= \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi mc^2} \right)^2 \left| \delta_{ii} \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}' - \frac{1}{\hbar} \left[ \frac{\langle i | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} + \frac{\langle i | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} + \omega} \right] \right|^2 \\
\delta_{ii} \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}' &= \frac{-1}{m\hbar} \sum_j \left[ \frac{\langle i | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ij}} + \frac{\langle i | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ij}} \right] \\
\frac{1}{\omega_{ij}} + \frac{1}{\omega_{ji} \pm \omega} &= \frac{\omega_{ji} \pm \omega + \omega_{ji}}{\omega_{ij} (\omega_{ji} \pm \omega)} = \frac{\mp \omega}{\omega_{ji} (\omega_{ji} \pm \omega)} \\
\frac{d\sigma_{elas}}{d\Omega} &= \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi mc^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{m\hbar} \right)^2 \left| \sum_j \left[ \frac{\omega \langle i | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} (\omega_{ji} - \omega)} - \frac{\omega \langle i | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{p} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{p} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} (\omega_{ji} + \omega)} \right] \right|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This is a nice symmetric form for elastic scattering. If computation of the matrix elements is planned, it's useful to again use the commutator to change  $\vec{p}$  into  $\vec{x}$ .

$$\boxed{\frac{d\sigma_{elas}}{d\Omega} = \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi mc^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{m\omega}{\hbar} \right)^2 \left| \sum_j \omega_{ji} \left[ \frac{\langle i | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{x} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{x} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} - \frac{\langle i | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{x} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{x} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} + \omega} \right] \right|^2} \quad (1.6)$$

## 1.4 Rayleigh Scattering

Lord Rayleigh calculated low energy elastic scattering of light from atoms using classical electromagnetism. If the energy of the scattered photon is much less than the energy needed to excite an atom,  $\omega \ll \omega_{ji}$ , then the cross section may be approximated.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mp \omega_{ji}}{\omega_{ji} \pm \omega} &= \frac{\mp \omega_{ji}}{\omega_{ji} \left( 1 \pm \frac{\omega}{\omega_{ji}} \right)} = \mp \left( 1 \mp \frac{\omega}{\omega_{ji}} \right) = \mp 1 \frac{\omega}{\omega_{ji}} \\
\frac{d\sigma_{elas}}{d\Omega} &= \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi mc^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{m\omega}{\hbar} \right)^2 \left| \sum_j \frac{\omega_{ji} \langle i | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{x} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{x} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} - \omega} - \frac{\omega_{ji} \langle i | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{x} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{x} | i \rangle}{\omega_{ji} + \omega} \right|^2 \\
&= \left( \frac{e^2}{4\pi mc^2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{m\omega}{\hbar} \right)^2 \left| \sum_j \left[ (\langle i | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{x} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{x} | i \rangle - \langle i | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{x} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{x} | i \rangle) + \frac{\omega}{\omega_{ji}} (\langle i | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{x} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{x} | i \rangle + \langle i | \hat{\epsilon} \cdot \vec{x} | j \rangle \langle j | \hat{\epsilon}' \cdot \vec{x} | i \rangle) \right] \right|^2
\end{aligned}$$