

Relativistic Quantum Waves (Klein-Gordon Equation

Marcus Allen Denslow

2025-11-14

Contents

Chapter 1	Deriving the KG Equation	Page 2
1.1	double deriving	2
1.2	Plugging in the new values	2
1.3	this is the Klein-Gordon Equation!	2
1.4	Replacing with Laplacian	3
1.5	d'Alembertian	3
Chapter 2	Four-momentum Eigenstates	Page 4
2.1	Klein-Gordon Plane Wave	4
2.2	Proof that plane waves $\psi = A \exp [-ip \cdot x/\hbar]$ satisfy K.G.	4
Chapter 3	Superposition	Page 5
3.1	You can add together many states that solve K.G., and the resulting sum also solves K.G.	5
Chapter 4	Group Velocity, and c Speed limit	Page 6
4.1	calculating the group velocity of a Klein-Gordon Wave packet	6
4.2	Finding the group relation	6
Chapter 5	Fourier transform and antimatter	Page 7
5.1	Complex Coefficients on the Mass Shell	7
5.2	The Two Halves of the Mass Shell Reinterpreting Negative Energy: Feynman-Stueckelberg — 7	7
5.3	Dirac's Critique: The Fatal Flaws of Klein-Gordon Problem 1: Second-Order in Time — 8 • Problem 2: Negative Probability Density — 8 • Dirac's Solution — 9	8

Chapter 1

Deriving the KG Equation

1.1 double deriving

Definition 1.1.1: Relativity: the mass shell

$$p \cdot p = (mc)^2 \rightarrow (mc)^2 = \left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2 - p_z^2$$

Definition 1.1.2: Quantum: energy and momentum operators

$$\hat{E} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \text{ so } \left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 \text{ becomes } -\frac{\hbar^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}.$$

$$\hat{p} = -i\hbar \nabla, \text{ so } -p_x^2 \text{ becomes } \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}.$$

$$\text{likewise, } -p_y^2 \text{ becomes } \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \text{ and } -p_z^2 \text{ becomes } \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}.$$

1.2 Plugging in the new values

we can now plugin these into the original equation:

$$\begin{aligned} (mc)^2 &= \left(\frac{E}{c}\right)^2 - p_x^2 - p_y^2 - p_z^2 \\ (mc)^2 &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} + \hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} \\ \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

1.3 this is the Klein-Gordon Equation!

$$\frac{2}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

$$\left[\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} + \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 \right] \psi = 0 \quad (1.2)$$

1.4 Replacing with Laplacian

We know that $-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} = \nabla^2$ -otherwise known as a Laplacian

So the function becomes

$$\left[\frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 + \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \right] \psi = 0 \quad (1.3)$$

1.5 d'Alembertian

$\square =$ d'Alembertian

We can also rewrite $\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2$ as μ^2

Note:-

We could also write $\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)$ as just m as in this universe it would become $(\frac{m \cdot 1}{1})$ which is just the mass but this is the correct way to write it.

So our final equation becomes

$$[\square + \mu^2] \psi = 0 \quad (1.4)$$

Chapter 2

Four-momentum Eigenstates

2.1 Klein-Gordon Plane Wave

Definition 2.1.1: Klein-Gorden Plane Wave function

$$\psi = A \exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot x)$$

$$p = [E/c, \vec{p}], \quad x = [ct, \vec{x}]$$

$$A \in \mathbb{C}, \quad p^0 = \frac{E}{c} 0 \pm \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + m^2 c^2}$$

we can rewrite the original Equation as:

$$\psi = A \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} - Et)\right)$$

$$\psi = A \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} \pm c \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + m^2 c^2 t}\right)\right)$$

2.2 Proof that plane waves $\psi = A \exp[-ip \cdot x/\hbar]$ satisfy K.G.

$$\text{rewrite K.G.: } [\square + \mu^2] \psi = 0 \rightarrow \square \psi = -\mu^2 \psi$$

Does d'Alembertian do the same thing as multiplying by $-\mu^2$?

$$\square = \square \left[\exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot x\right) \right] = \square \left[\exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} - Et)\right) \right] \quad (2.1)$$

$$\text{Definition of d'Alembertian: } \square = \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2$$

$$\square = \left[-\left(\frac{E}{c\hbar}\right) + \left(\frac{|\vec{p}|^2}{\hbar}\right) \right] \exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar} (\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} - Et)\right] = -\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 \psi \quad (2.2)$$

...

Chapter 3

Superposition

3.1 You can add together many states that solve K.G., and the resulting sum also solves K.G.

Another important concept we have to know about when working with the Klein-Gordon Equation is that any superposition of wave functions that satisfy the Klein-Gordon Equation, also satisfy the Klein-Gordon Equation. That lets us create a complex landscape starting with the simple basis set of functions

Let's say that you have two functions that satisfy the Klein-Gordon Equation, call them ψ_1 and ψ_2

$$[\square + \mu^2] \psi_1 = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

$$[\square + \mu^2] \psi_2 = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

Let's call their sum ψ_3 so

$$\psi_3 = \psi_1 + \psi_2.$$

The question then arises: does ψ_3 satisfy the Klein-Gordon Equation?

Well, yes it does. We can show this with:

$$\begin{aligned} [\square + \mu^2] \psi_3 &= [\square + \mu^2] (\psi_1 + \psi_2) \\ &= [\square + \mu^2] \psi_1 + [\square + \mu^2] \psi_2 = 0 + 0 = 0 \dots \text{also satisfies K.G..} \end{aligned}$$

The following reasoning does not just apply to the sum of two wave functions, but also scaling up a wave function or taking the arbitrary sum over many wave functions. Or even more usefully if you have a basis set of functions that satisfy the Klein-Gordon Equation, then you can make wave functions of that basis set by summing over them with some series of coefficients

Say we write a wavefunction ψ as a linear combination of $\{\psi_n\}$

$$\psi = \sum_n C_n \psi_n, \quad C_n \in \mathbb{C} \quad (3.3)$$

Since each basis function in $\psi_n \in \{\psi_n\}$ satisfies K.G....

$$[\square + \mu^2] \psi_n = 0 \rightarrow [\square + \mu^2] \sum_n C_n \psi_n = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

And that's how we actually use energy and momentum in Eigen-states most of the time.

Chapter 4

Group Velocity, and c Speed limit

4.1 calculating the group velocity of a Klein-Gordon Wave packet

In order to calculate the group velocity of a Klein-Gordon Wave packet, we first have to calculate something called the **DispersionRelation**.

The **DispersionRelation** is when **angularfrequency** ω is written as a function of **angularwavenumber**.

General form of plane wave:

$$\psi = A \exp \left(i \left(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x} - \omega t \right) \right) \quad (4.1)$$

Klein-Gordon plane wave:

$$\psi = A \exp \left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \left(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x} \pm c \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + m^2 c^2 t} \right) \right) \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= \frac{c}{\hbar} \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + m^2 c^2}, & k &= |\vec{p}| / \hbar \\ |\vec{p}|^2 &= \hbar^2 k^2, & \rightarrow \omega &= \sqrt{(kc)^2 + \left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \right)^2} \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Finding the group relation

Now we have the Dispersion Relation: $\omega = \sqrt{(kc)^2 + \left(\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \right)^2}$

The group velocity is then: $v_g = \frac{d\omega}{dk}$

$$v_g = \frac{d}{dk} \left[\sqrt{(kc)^2 + (mc^2/\hbar)^2} \right] \quad (4.3)$$

with $k = |\vec{p}| / \hbar$ in mind:

$$v_g = \frac{kc}{\sqrt{k^2 + (mc/\hbar)^2}} \rightarrow v_g = \frac{c |\vec{p}|}{\sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + (mc)^2}} \quad (4.4)$$

Playing around with the Klein-Gordon equation, we have found a speed limit: the speed of light!

Massive particle: can only approach c as momentum increases, can never reach c .

Massless particle: always travels at c , regardless of momentum. – Light always travels at c in all reference frames!

Chapter 5

Fourier transform and antimatter

5.1 Complex Coefficients on the Mass Shell

$$\psi(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\hbar}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(p) \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\hbar} p \cdot x\right] dp \quad (5.1)$$

- wavefunction in spacetime
- Normalization constant
- Add up eigenstate for each p , weighted $\phi(p)$
- Momentum-space wavefunction
- p -eigenstate

5.2 The Two Halves of the Mass Shell

There is a one-to-one connection between all possible wavefunctions that satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation in spacetime and all possible ways of decorating the mass shell with complex numbers.

The critical insight: You need *both halves* of the mass shell to have a complete basis set for Fourier transforms from momentum space to position space.

Recall from the plane wave solution that:

$$p^0 = \frac{E}{c} = \pm \sqrt{|\vec{p}|^2 + m^2 c^2} \quad (5.2)$$

This \pm sign gives us two branches:

- Positive energy: $E = +\sqrt{p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4}$ (normal particles)
- Negative energy: $E = -\sqrt{p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4}$ (antimatter!)

5.2.1 Reinterpreting Negative Energy: Feynman-Stueckelberg

Negative energy sounds strange. But there's a beautiful way to reinterpret this that doesn't require "negative energy" at all.

Recall the energy operator:

$$\hat{E} = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad (5.3)$$

Shift your perspective: Instead of thinking about negative energy, reinterpret what $-\hat{E}$ means:

$$-\hat{E} = -i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \quad (5.4)$$

Negative energy?? \longrightarrow Time reversal!

A *negative energy* particle moving *forward in time* is mathematically equivalent to a *positive energy* antiparticle moving *backward in time*.

This is the **Feynman-Stueckelberg interpretation**:

- Particles: positive energy, moving forward in time
- Antiparticles: positive energy, moving backward in time (which *looks like* negative energy forward in time)

When an electron and positron annihilate, you can picture the positron as an electron that reversed its direction in time!

The bottom line: The negative energy solutions represent *antimatter*. When you include both halves of the mass shell, you're accounting for both particles and antiparticles.

5.3 Dirac's Critique: The Fatal Flaws of Klein-Gordon

Dirac identified two deeply connected problems with the Klein-Gordon equation that made it unsuitable as a single-particle quantum theory.

5.3.1 Problem 1: Second-Order in Time

The Klein-Gordon equation is **second-order in time**.

Compare the time derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Schrödinger: } & i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\psi \quad (\text{first-order in time}) \\ \text{Klein-Gordon: } & \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \psi + \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 \psi = 0 \quad (\text{second-order in time}) \end{aligned}$$

Being second-order in time allows both positive and negative energy solutions and treats space and time on equal footing (manifestly relativistic). However, it creates a serious problem.

Too much freedom! Just like classical mechanics needs position AND velocity for second-order equations, Klein-Gordon requires *two* initial conditions:

- The wavefunction: $\psi(x, 0)$

- The time derivative: $\left. \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0}$

In quantum mechanics, the state should be completely determined by $\psi(x, 0)$ alone. Having $\partial\psi/\partial t$ as an independent initial condition violates this fundamental principle.

5.3.2 Problem 2: Negative Probability Density

This "too much freedom" problem directly causes the negative probability issue.

For Schrödinger, the probability density is simple:

$$\rho = |\psi|^2 = \psi^* \psi \geq 0 \quad (\text{always positive!}) \tag{5.5}$$

For Klein-Gordon, deriving the probability density from the continuity equation gives:

$$\rho = \frac{i\hbar}{2mc^2} \left(\psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} - \psi \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial t} \right) \tag{5.6}$$

This depends on both ψ and $\partial\psi/\partial t$! Since $\partial\psi/\partial t$ is an independent degree of freedom (Problem 1), we can choose it to make ρ negative. Negative probabilities are physically nonsensical.

The connection: The negative probability problem exists *because* the equation is second-order in time. These aren't separate issues — they're two sides of the same coin.

5.3.3 Dirac's Solution

Dirac wanted the impossible:

1. **First-order in time** (like Schrödinger) — needs only $\psi(x, 0)$, no extra freedom
2. **Relativistically correct** — treats energy and momentum on equal footing
3. **Positive definite probability** — $\rho \geq 0$ always

This seemingly impossible requirement led Dirac to discover the **Dirac equation**, which is first-order in *both* time and space. The price? The wavefunction becomes a multi-component spinor, and quantum mechanical spin emerges naturally!

However, even Dirac's equation still has negative energy solutions. The Feynman-Stueckelberg interpretation (discussed earlier) applies here too — those solutions represent antimatter. Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations aren't single-particle theories — they're fundamentally quantum field theory equations.