## Untitled

## Beate, Marcus, Jonas, Wilmer

## 2024-04-11

First load the following libraries

```
library(tidyverse)
library(tidymodels)
library(dplyr)
library(rsample)
library(ranger)
```

The data is fetched from "https://shorturl.at/crAK3". This dataset contains files for four wine styles: red, white, rosé, and sparkling. Each of these has eight columns, including the "NumberOfRatings" column indicating the count of people who rated the wine.

Each dataset is augmented with a "Type" column indicating the wine type, and unnecessary columns like "Name", "Winery", and "Region" are removed for analysis.

```
red <- read.csv("Red.csv")
white <- read.csv("White.csv")
rose <- read.csv("Rose.csv")
sparkling <- read.csv("Sparkling.csv")

red$Type <- "Red"
white$Type <- "White"
rose$Type <- "Rose"
sparkling$Type <- "Sparkling"

data <- rbind(red, white, rose, sparkling) %>% select(-Name, -Winery, -Region)
data$Country <- as.factor(data$Country)
data$Year <- as.factor(data$Year)
data$Type <- as.factor(data$Type)</pre>
data <- data %>% filter(Year != "N.V."); head(data)
```

```
Country Rating NumberOfRatings Price Year Type
##
                               100 95.00 2011 Red
## 1 France
               4.2
## 2 France
               4.3
                               100 15.50 2017 Red
## 3
      Italy
               3.9
                               100 7.45 2015 Red
## 4
      Italy
               3.5
                               100 8.72 2019 Red
## 5 Austria
               3.9
                               100 29.15 2016 Red
                               100 19.90 2017 Red
## 6 France
               3.7
```

Here, we split the combined dataset into training and testing sets. This step ensures that our models are trained on a portion of the data and evaluated on unseen data.

```
cell_split <- initial_split(data, prop = 3/70, strata = "Type")</pre>
```

```
train_data <- training(cell_split)
test_data <- testing(cell_split)</pre>
```

We construct a random forest regression model with 1000 trees and fit it to the training data. Additionally, we perform cross-validation with 10 folds to assess the model's performance across different subsets of the data.

```
## # A tibble: 2 x 6
##
     .metric .estimator mean
                                 n std_err .config
##
     <chr>>
            <chr>
                       <dbl> <int>
                                      <dbl> <chr>
## 1 rmse
            standard
                       0.208
                                10 0.00659 Preprocessor1_Model1
## 2 rsq
            standard
                       0.533
                                10 0.0336 Preprocessor1_Model1
```

The cross-validation results for the random forest model demonstrate a RMSE of 0.193 with a standard error of 0.007 and an R-squared of 0.601, indicating strong predictive performance. For comparison, we specify and fit a linear regression model to the training data. Similar to the random forest model, cross-validation is performed to compare these models performance.

```
lm_recipe <- recipe(Rating ~ ., data = train_data)

lm_mod <- linear_reg() %>% set_engine("lm")

lm_wf <- workflow() %>%
   add_recipe(lm_recipe) %>%
   add_model(lm_mod)

lm_fit <- lm_wf %>% fit(data = train_data)

lm_fit_rs <- lm_wf %>% fit_resamples(folds)

lm_metrics <- collect_metrics(lm_fit_rs)</pre>
```

Here, we compare the performance metrics, between the random forest and linear regression models. This comparison provides insights into which model may be more suitable for predicting wine ratings.

```
comparison_metrics <- bind_rows(
  lm_metrics %>% mutate(Model = "Linear Regression"),
  rf_metrics %>% mutate(Model = "Random Forest"));comparison_metrics
```

```
## # A tibble: 4 x 7
```

```
.metric .estimator mean
##
                                 n std_err .config
                                                               Model
                       <dbl> <int>
##
    <chr>
           <chr>
                                     <dbl> <chr>
                                                                <chr>>
                                 3 0.0110 Preprocessor1 Model1 Linear Regression
## 1 rmse
            standard
                       0.268
                                 3 0.0693 Preprocessor1_Model1 Linear Regression
## 2 rsq
            standard
                       0.235
## 3 rmse
            standard
                       0.208
                                10 0.00659 Preprocessor1_Model1 Random Forest
## 4 rsq
            standard
                       0.533
                                10 0.0336 Preprocessor1 Model1 Random Forest
```

The Random Forest model has a significantly lower RMSE and higher RSQ compared to the Linear Regression model, suggesting that it provides a more accurate prediction. \ Moreover, we further enhance the performance of our models by fine-tuning hyperparameters. Using grid search, we can fine-tune hyperparameters and select the best model configuration. By fine-tuning the Random Forest model, we get various combinations of hyperparameters.

```
tune_spec <- rand_forest(
  mtry = tune(),
  trees = 1000,
  min_n = tune()
) %>%
  set_mode("regression") %>%
  set_engine("ranger")

tree_wf <- workflow() %>%
  add_model(tune_spec) %>%
  add_formula(Rating ~ .)

tree_res <- tune_grid(
  tree_wf,
  resamples = folds,
  grid = 20
)</pre>
```

## i Creating pre-processing data to finalize unknown parameter: mtry

```
mtry_range <- tree_res$.metrics[[1]] %>%
  select(mtry) %>%
  summarise(min_mtry = min(mtry),
            max mtry = max(mtry))
min_n_range <- tree_res$.metrics[[1]] %>%
  select(min_n) %>%
  summarise(min_min_n = min(min_n),
            max_min_n = max(min_n))
rf_grid <- grid_regular(</pre>
  mtry(range = mtry_range),
  min_n(range = min_n_range),
  levels = 5
)
tree_res <- tune_grid(</pre>
  tree_wf,
  resamples = folds,
  grid = rf_grid
)
tree_res %>%
```

```
show_best(metric = "rmse")

## # A tibble: 5 x 8

## mtry min_n .metric .estimator mean n std_err .config

## <int> <int> <chr> <dbl> <int> <dbl> <chr>
```

```
## 1
         3
              30 rmse
                          standard
                                     0.207
                                               10 0.00651 Preprocessor1_Model18
## 2
         4
              30 rmse
                          standard
                                     0.207
                                               10 0.00691 Preprocessor1_Model19
         3
                                               10 0.00674 Preprocessor1_Model13
## 3
              21 rmse
                          standard
                                     0.207
## 4
                                               10 0.00687 Preprocessor1_Model24
         4
              40 rmse
                          standard
                                     0.207
         3
                                               10 0.00658 Preprocessor1_Model23
## 5
              40 rmse
                          standard
                                     0.207
```

The show\_best() function is used to identify the model with the lowest RMSE across different configurations. We then select the best model and perform the final fit using the optimized workflow, ensuring that the model is trained on the entire training dataset.

```
best_tree <- tree_res %>%
    select_best(metric = "rmse")

final_wf <-
    tree_wf %>%
    finalize_workflow(best_tree)

final_fit <-
    final_wf %>%
    last_fit(cell_split)

final_fit %>%
    collect_metrics()
```

These are the metrics of our selected configuration, which should be performing reasonably in predicting wine rating.