

WeRateDogs™

Wrangle and Analyze Data

WeRateDogs™


Wrangle and Analyze Data

WeRateDogs wrangle and data analysis have been done on tweets published between November 2015 and August 2017.

The most common names of the $\frac{2}{3}$ that could be identified with names in the tweets are:


- | | | | | |
|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| 1. Lucy | 3. Oliver | 5. Tucker | 7. Sadie | 9. Daisy |
| 2. Cooper | 4. Charlie | 6. Penny | 8. Winston | 10. Lola |

The rating of dogs are set on a freely interpreted scale of 10 points. Since there seem to be no real clear rules or guideline on how to perform ratings the range is much broader with a median of 11 and



extreme points of 1776 in special cases. There are no dogs in the analysed data that have negative scores. But from the four different clients used to produce tweets there most extreme high ratings are given from the TweetDeck client, compared against the other clients.

The most popular client used for interacting with WeRateDogs twitter stream is by far the Twitter for iPhone client. More than 95% of the dogs that was marked as favourite did it from the Twitter for iPhone client.



The Twitter handle for WeRateDogs is @dog_rates and they also have a website <https://weratedogs.com> that can be explored for those that are curious about the topic of dog rating.