Marcus Holmgren
Data Analyst Nanodegree
13 April 2019

# **Project 5: Wrangle and Analyze Data**



My wrangling efforts started off by investigating what data files existed that I should download. Two files where provided as a starting point and was accessible though a public URL. **image\_predictions.tsv** and **twitter\_archive\_enhanced.csv**. The third file **tweet\_json.txt** is supposed to be created by downloading WeRateDogs tweets.

At the top of the Jupiter Notebook wrangle\_act.ipynb I have collected a handful of helper functions that are used later in the steps for gather, assess, and clean.

A total of 8 quality data issues are documented and addressed in the Jupiter notebook:

- · 1 in the image\_predictions.tsv
- 5 in the twitter\_archive\_enhanced.csv
- 2 in the tweet\_json.txt

Two tidiness issues:

- 1 in the twitter\_archive\_enhanced.csv 4 columns that should be combined into one.
- 1 in the tweet\_json.txt

## twitter\_archive\_enhanced.csv

The rating is a cumulative sum of the number of dogs rated in a picture. Because of this there are outliers that gives the rating\_numerator and rating\_denominator a right skewed. This was not addressed in the cleaning process.

The following issues was discovered in the data source columns.

## **Quality data issues**

- 1. tweet\_id convert to string
- 2. timestamp DataFrame stored as object, convert to date time
- 3. name -
  - A. None as null value
  - B. Not only names in columns
- 4. text non dogs pictures contains phrases like "We only rate dogs", "Pls stop sending...", "Only send dogs"
- 5. None as null value
  - A. doggo None as null value
  - B. floofer None as null value
  - C. pupper None as null value
  - D. puppo None as null value

#### **Tidiness issues**

The four columns doggo, floofer, pupper, and puppo are WeRateDogs language of DoggoLingo The column text and source is the same as full\_text from the tweet\_json.txt file.

## image\_predictions.tsv

This dataset consist of 12 columns with each row the top three classification results from analysis of dog images. Each of the three prediction contains a descriptive category name, a confidence score and a field that is true if the classification was a dog.

## **Quality data issues**

1. tweet\_id - convert to string

#### **Tidiness issues**

No tidiness issues discovered in the dataset.

## tweet\_json.txt

To generate the dataset I needed to create a developer API key with Twitter so I could retrieve tweet messages with the Python package tweepy. Because the twitter\_archive\_enhanced.csv contains a tweet\_id it was straight forward to query status for a specific tweet. What did take some trail and error to find the correct initialisation of the tweepy API, because the Twitter rate limit would be enforced and not allow querying and getting a response for up to 10 minutes. But after setting rate limit properties all tweets could be downloaded into the file in about 30 minutes.

```
tweepy.API(auth, wait_on_rate_limit=True, wait_on_rate_limit_notify=True)
```

The dataset consist of 32 columns and there are several columns that have missing values so they will be dropped.

## **Quality data issues**

Id column convert to string.

full\_text - non dogs pictures contains phrases like "We only rate dogs", "Pls stop sending...", "Only send dogs". This is the same issues as file twitter\_archive\_enhanced.csv

#### **Tidiness issues**

The column full text and source is the same as text from file twitter archive enhanced.csv

Several columns are JavaScript objects and contains several properties that could be split into their own columns in the Dataframe. This will not be done since I plan on dropping most of the columns for the master dataset.

## twitter\_archive\_master.csv

All three collected Dataframe was combined int to the final master dataset. I choose to only have 16 columns with what I think is the most relevant data for further exploration and data analysis.