- 1. Suppose that f is differentiable on (a,b), f' is continuous on (a,b), and that  $f'(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in (a,b)$ .
  - (a) Prove that f is one-to-one (a, b) and maps (a, b) onto some open interval (c, d).

**Proof** A function f is said to be one-to-one if  $\forall x, y \in (a, b), f(a) = f(b) \implies a = b$ .

To begin, note that the derivative of f is never 0. If  $f' \neq 0$ , then f(x) > 0 or f(x) < 0. That is, f is strictly monotone. Since f is monotone and continuous over this interval, for every  $x \in (a, b)$  there exists a  $y \in (c, d)$  such that f(x) = y. Because there cannot exist  $x_1, x_2 \in (a, b)$  such that  $f(x_1) = y = f(x_2)$  (by monotonicity), then f is one-to-one.

Further, because f is strictly monotone and by the completeness axiom of the real numbers, the following limits both exist:

$$\lim_{x\to a^+}=c, \lim_{x\to b^-}=d.$$

Note from (\*) mentioned in further discussion below, let [a',b'] be a closed interval such that  $a' \to a$  and  $b' \to b$ . Because the function f is said to be differentiable and  $(f'(x) \neq 0)(\forall x \in (a,b))$ , we need to evoke the IVT **over the closed interval** [a',b'] because f is monotone, let a' (resp. b') exist such that  $f(a') \in (c,c')$  (resp.  $f(b') \in (d,d')$ ), where  $[c',d'] \subset (c,d)$  because the two limits above exist. That is, a' (resp. b') is "close enough" to a (resp. b). So,  $f(a') \in (c,c')$  (resp.  $f(b') \in (d,d')$ ). Therefore, f maps onto (c,d) because of monotonicity.

## Further Discussion

Rolle's Theorem states that if a function f is continuous on the closed, bounded interval [a,b] and differentiable on the open interval (a,b) such that f(a) = f(b), then f'(x) = 0 for some x with  $a \le x \le b$ . This is an immediate contradiction of the initial assumption that  $f'(x) \ne 0$  over the closed interval [a,b]. However, the question asks about the open interval (a,b) (note (\*) above). By contradiction, let  $x_1, x_2 \in (a,b)$  be two numbers such that  $f'(x_1) < 0$  and  $f'(x_2) > 0$ . By the IVT, there must exist a third number  $x_3$  in the given interval such that  $f'(x_3) = 0$ . This is a contradiction of the initial assumption (i.e.  $f'(x) \ne 0$ ). Furthermore, f' is either strictly positive or strictly negative over the interval. As such, f is strictly monotonic.

A function f is said to map (a,b) onto some open interval (c,d) if  $\forall x \in (a,b)$ , there exists  $y \in (c,d)$  such that f(x) = y. Over the closed interval, this onto condition is true by the IVT. However once again, the question asks about open intervals. Because the limits c and d defined above both exist by monotonicity, and once again establishing the closed, interval  $[a',b']: a' \to a, b' \to b$ , then f maps (a,b) onto (c,d) by the IVT and the Monotone Convergence Property.

(b) Show that  $(f^{-1})'$  exists and is continuous on (c,d)

**Proof** Given that f is differentiable, we know that

$$f'(x_0) = \lim_{x \to x_0} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}$$

exists. Let

$$y = f(x), f^{-1}(y) = x$$
  
 $y_0 = f(x_0), f^{-1}(y_0) = x_0$ 

be two invertible functions in the given domain. Then,

$$\frac{f^{-1}(y) - f^{-1}(y_0)}{y - y_0} = \frac{1}{\frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}}$$

It follows from the composition property for limits and the quotient property of limits and the definition of differentiability that

$$\lim_{y \to y_0} \frac{f^{-1}(y) - f^{-1}(y_0)}{y - y_0} = \lim_{y \to y_0} \frac{1}{\frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0}} = \frac{1}{f'(x_0)}$$

Therefore, the inverse of the derivative f is given by the above result. Given that the denominator in that fraction is never 0, this inverse's derivative exists.

To prove that f is continuous over (c,d), note the Corollary 4.12 in Fitzpatrick. By composition of functions, note that the f' is continuous and f is continuous, therefore, the composition of two continuous functions is also continuous.

- 2. Suppose that the function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at x = 0. Also suppose that for each natural number  $n, f(\frac{1}{n}) = 0$ .
  - (a) Prove that f(0) = 0

**Proof** Note that because for each natural number n,  $f(\frac{1}{n}) = 0$ ,

$$f(0) = 0 \implies f(0) = f(\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(\frac{1}{n}) = 0$$

(b) Prove that f'(0) = 0

**Proof** Let  $x_n$  be the given sequence  $\frac{1}{n}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  Because in part (a) we showed that f(0) = 0 and we know that f is differentiable at x = 0, i.e. f'(0) exists,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(x_n) - f(0)}{x_n - 0} = f'(0)$$

Following the given path to 0 for  $x_n$  along the sequence  $\frac{1}{n}$ , n = 1, 2, 3, ... (the way this sequence is defined in the question, meaning that it is zero at all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{f(\frac{1}{n}) - 0}{\frac{1}{n}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{0}{\frac{1}{n}} = 0 = f'(0)$$

Since each  $f(\frac{1}{n})$  is given to be 0, and  $\lim 0 = 0$ , f'(0) = 0.

3. Decide whether

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{\tan(x^4+y^2)}{x^4+y^2}$$

exists and prove your result. If the limit exists, also determine the value of the limit.

**Proof** Clearly, plugging in 0 for each x and y component of the two-variable function produces an indeterminate result. In order to determine the value of the limit,

First, note that

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)}\frac{\tan(x^4+y^2)}{x^4+y^2} = \lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)}\frac{\sin(x^4+y^2)}{x^4+y^2}\frac{1}{\cos(x^4+y^2)}$$

.

Note that the first term in the expanded limit can be written as the composition g(f(x,y)), where

$$g(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(z)}{z} & z \neq 0\\ 1 & z = 0 \end{cases}$$

is continuous and  $f(x,y) \to 0$  as  $(x,y) \to (0,0)$ . Hence, the limit of the first term approaches g(0) = 1 as  $z \to 0$  (this is from Calc I... using L'Hopitals you can see that in one variable, the limit of  $\frac{\sin(z)}{z}$  can be evaluated at  $\frac{\cos(z)}{1}$ ).

Note the second term in the expanded limit is simply  $\frac{1}{\cos(x^4+y^2)}$ , or  $\sec(x^4+y^2)$ . This term is continuous and approaches 1 as  $(x,y) \to (0,0)$  using a similar composition. Hence, the limit of the overall term tends to  $1 \times 1 = 1$ .

4. Prove the following

Let A be a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . and let  $x_*$  be a limit point of A. For a function,  $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$  and a real number L, the following two assertions are equivalent:

(a)

$$\lim_{\mathbf{x} \to x_*} f(\mathbf{x}) = L$$

(b) For each positive number  $\epsilon$ , there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - L| < \epsilon$$

if  $\mathbf{x}$  is in  $A \setminus \{x_*\}$  and  $\operatorname{dist}(\mathbf{x}, x_*) < \delta$ .

**Proof** The following proof requires equality, so I will begin my proving the forward direction (a)  $\rightarrow$  (b), i.e. given that  $\lim_{x\to x_*} f(\mathbf{x}) = L$ , show that  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive number  $\delta$  such that  $|f(\mathbf{x}) - L| > \epsilon$  if  $\mathbf{x}$  is in  $A \setminus \{x_*\}$  and  $(\mathbf{x}, x_*) > \delta$ 

Suppose by contradiction that  $\exists \epsilon_*$  such that for all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $\mathbf{x} \in A \setminus \{x_*\}$  such that

$$\|\mathbf{x} - x_*\| \le \delta \implies |f(\mathbf{x}) - L| \ge \epsilon_*$$

Let  $\delta$  be the sequence  $\frac{1}{n}$  for all natural numbers n. Then,

$$\|\mathbf{x} - x_*\| \le \frac{1}{n} \implies \|\mathbf{x}_2 - x_*\| \le \frac{1}{2} \implies \|f(\mathbf{x}) - L\| \ge \epsilon_*$$

for all natural numbers n. Now, construct a sequence  $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$  such that

$$\exists \mathbf{x}_1 \in A \setminus \{x_*\} : \|\mathbf{x}_1 - x_*\| < 1 \implies |f(\mathbf{x}_1) - L| \ge \epsilon_*,$$
  
$$\exists \mathbf{x}_2 \in A \setminus \{x_*\} : \|\mathbf{x}_2 - x_*\| < \frac{1}{2} \implies |f(\mathbf{x}_2) - L| \ge \epsilon_*,$$
  
$$\exists \mathbf{x}_3 \in A \setminus \{x_*\} : \|\mathbf{x}_3 - x_*\| < \frac{1}{3} \implies |f(\mathbf{x}_3) - L| \ge \epsilon_*,$$

so on and so forth. Furthermore,

$$0 \le \|\mathbf{x}_n - x_*\| < \frac{1}{n}.$$

For all natural numbers n,  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}=0$ , so using the Squeeze Theorem,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\mathbf{x}_n - x_*\| = 0 \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbf{x}_n = x_* \implies (\exists \epsilon_* > 0) : (|f(\mathbf{x}_n) - L| \ge \epsilon_0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}) \implies \lim_{n \to \infty} f(\mathbf{x}_n) \ne L.$$

This contradicts the original statement (the converse of the below) and therefore,

$$(\forall \epsilon > 0)(\exists \delta > 0) : (\|\mathbf{x} - x_*\| \le \delta) \implies (\|f(\mathbf{x}) - L\| \le \epsilon)$$

Now proving (b)  $\to$  (a), let  $\{x_k\}A\setminus\{x_*\}$  such that  $\lim_{k\to\infty}x_k=x_*$ . Let  $\epsilon>0$ . From forward direction above, there must exist  $\delta>0$  such that  $(\forall x\in A\setminus\{x_0\})(\|x-x_k\|<\delta)\Longrightarrow (\|f(x)-L\|<\epsilon)$ . For  $\delta$ , there exists  $K\geq 1$  such that  $\forall k\geq K, \|x_k-x_*\|\leq \delta$  by the definition of convergence, i.e.  $x_k\to x_*$ . By this definition,

$$\lim_{x \to x_*} f(x) = L$$

5. Let I be a neighborhood of  $x_0$  and let  $f^{-1}: f(I) \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous, strictly monotone, and differentiable at  $x_0$ . Assume that  $f'(x_0) = 0$ . Use the characteristic property of the inverses,

$$f^{-1}(f(x)) = x, \forall x \in I,$$

and the Chain Rule to prove that the inverse function  $f^{-1}: f(I) \to \mathbb{R}$  is not differentiable at  $f(x_0)$ .

**Proof** Given  $f^{-1}(f(x)) = x$ , we can differentiate both sides to get

$$f^{-1'}(f(x))f'(x) = 1 \implies f^{-1'}(f(x_0))f'(x_0) = 1$$

when evaluated at  $x_0$  (chain rule). If  $f'(x_0)$ , then  $0 \neq 1$  and  $f^{-1'}(f(x_0))$  does not exist. Therefore,  $f^{-1}$  is not differentiable at  $f(x_0)$ .

6. Let the function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  have the property that there is a positive number c such that

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \le c(u - v)^2$$

for all  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is constant.

**Proof** Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Take  $\Delta c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u = c + \Delta c$ , v = c from the provided inequality. Then,

$$|f(c + \Delta c) - f(c)| \le c(\Delta c)^2$$

$$-c(\Delta c) < \frac{f(c + (\Delta c)) - f(c)}{h} \le c(\Delta c)$$

Because

$$\lim_{\Delta c \to 0^+} (-c(\Delta c)) = \lim_{\Delta c \to 0^+} (c(\Delta c)) = 0,$$

we conclude that

$$\lim_{\Delta c \to 0^+} \frac{f(c + \Delta c) - f(c)}{\Delta c} = 0.$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{\Delta c \to 0^{-}} \frac{f(c + \Delta c) - f(c)}{\Delta c} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$f'(c) = \lim_{\Delta c \to 0} \frac{f(c + \Delta c) - f(c)}{\Delta c} = 0.$$

This is the logical equivalent to the definition of the derivative in Fitzpatrick (i.e. this is the difference quotient definition), and since  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  is arbitrary, f'(x) = 0 for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore, f is constant on  $\mathbb{R}$ .